BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADOPTING THE)	ORDINANCE NO. 81-109
ANNUAL BUDGET OF THE METROPOLITAN)	
SERVICE DISTRICT FOR FISCAL YEAR)	Introduced by the Council
1982 MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FROM)	Coordinating Committee
FUNDS OF THE DISTRICT IN ACCORD-)	
ANCE WITH SAID ANNUAL BUDGET AND)	
LEVYING AD VALOREM TAXES		

THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT HEREBY ORDAINS: SECTION 1. The Council of the Metropolitan Service District (Metro) finds that the Multnomah County Tax Supervising and Conservation Commission held its public hearing June 16, 1981, on the annual budget of Metro for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1981,

SECTION 2. Recommendations from the Tax Supervising and Conservation Commission have been received by Metro and have been acted upon, as reflected in the Budget and in the Schedule of Appropriations.

and ending June 30, 1982.

SECTION 3. The "FY 1982 Budget of the Metropolitan Service District" as attached hereto as Exhibit A to this ordinance and amended by the Council, is hereby adopted.

SECTION 4. The Council of the Metropolitan Service District does hereby levy ad valorem taxes for the Zoo fund as provided in the budget adopted by Section 2 of this Ordinance in the amount of TWO MILLION SEVEN HUNDRED THOUSAND AND NO 100THS (\$2,700,000) DOLLARS for the Zoo Operations Fund and TWO MILLION THREE HUNDRED THOUSAND AND NO 100THS (\$2,300,000) DOLLARS for the Zoo Capital Fund

for a total of five million dollars (\$5,000,000), said levy being a three-year serial levy outside the six percent constitutional limit approved by district voters on May 20, 1980, said that these taxes be, and hereby are, levied upon taxable properties within the Metropolitan Service District as of 1:00 a.m., January 1, 1981.

SECTION 5. The Council hereby authorizes expenditures and positions in accordance with the annual budget adopted by Section 2 of this Ordinance, and hereby appropriates funds for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1981, from the funds and for the purposes listed in the Schedule of Appropriations, Exhibit A, attached hereto and by reference made a part of this Ordinance.

SECTION 6. The Executive Officer shall make the following filings as provided by ORS 294.555 and ORS 310.060:

- 1. Multnomah County Assessor
 - 1.1 An original and one copy of the Notice of Levy marked Exhibit B, attached hereto and made a part of this Ordinance.
 - 1.2 Two copies of the budget document adopted by Section 2 of this Ordinance.
 - 1.3 A copy of the Notice of Publication provided for by ORS 294.421.
- Clackamas and Washington County Assessor and Clerk
 A copy of the Notice of Levy, marked Exhibit B.

2.2 A copy of the budget document adopted by Section 2 of this Ordinance.

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District this 25th day of June, 1981.

Presiding Officer

ATTEST:

Lerk of the Council

CS:g1/3273B/236A

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

BUDGET FY 1982 SCHEDULE OF APPROPRIATIONS

General Fund	
Personnel Services	\$ 1,945,840
Materials and Services	1,608,076
Capital Outlay	5,400
Contingency	383,626
	\$ 3,942,942
Total General Fund Appropriation	100,000
Unappropriated Balance	\$ 4,042,942
Total Requirements	3 4,042,342
Zoo Operating Fund	
Personnel Services	\$ 2,118,615
Materials and Services	1,399,597
	277,290
Capital Outlay	968,043
Transfers	
Contingency	628,894
Total Zoo Operating Fund Appropriation	\$ 5,392,439
Unappropriated Balance	500,000
Total Requirement	\$ 5,892,439
nee Geettel nord	
Zoo Capital Fund	¢ 2 021 116
Capital Projects	\$ 2,831,116
Contingency	138,000
Total Zoo Capital Fund Requirement	2,969,116
Solid Waste Operations Fund	
Solid Waste Operations Fund	
	\$ 612,047
Personnel Services	
Personnel Services Materials and Services	5,083,326
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay	5,083,326 14,500
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay Transfers to Other Funds	5,083,326 14,500 1,074,720
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay Transfers to Other Funds Contingency	5,083,326 14,500 1,074,720 285,362
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay Transfers to Other Funds Contingency Total Solid Waste Operations Appropriation	5,083,326 14,500 1,074,720 285,362 \$ 7,069,955
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay Transfers to Other Funds Contingency Total Solid Waste Operations Appropriation Unappropriated Balance	5,083,326 14,500 1,074,720 285,362 \$ 7,069,955 150,000
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay Transfers to Other Funds Contingency Total Solid Waste Operations Appropriation	5,083,326 14,500 1,074,720 285,362 \$ 7,069,955
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay Transfers to Other Funds Contingency Total Solid Waste Operations Appropriation Unappropriated Balance Total Requirement	5,083,326 14,500 1,074,720 285,362 \$ 7,069,955 150,000
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay Transfers to Other Funds Contingency Total Solid Waste Operations Appropriation Unappropriated Balance Total Requirement Solid Waste Debt Service Fund	5,083,326 14,500 1,074,720 285,362 \$ 7,069,955 150,000 \$ 7,219,955
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay Transfers to Other Funds Contingency Total Solid Waste Operations Appropriation Unappropriated Balance Total Requirement Solid Waste Debt Service Fund Materials and Service	5,083,326 14,500 1,074,720 285,362 \$ 7,069,955 150,000
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay Transfers to Other Funds Contingency Total Solid Waste Operations Appropriation Unappropriated Balance Total Requirement Solid Waste Debt Service Fund Materials and Service Total Solid Waste Debt Service Fund	5,083,326 14,500 1,074,720 285,362 \$ 7,069,955 150,000 \$ 7,219,955 \$ 720,734
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay Transfers to Other Funds Contingency Total Solid Waste Operations Appropriation Unappropriated Balance Total Requirement Solid Waste Debt Service Fund Materials and Service	5,083,326 14,500 1,074,720 285,362 \$ 7,069,955 150,000 \$ 7,219,955
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay Transfers to Other Funds Contingency Total Solid Waste Operations Appropriation Unappropriated Balance Total Requirement Solid Waste Debt Service Fund Materials and Service Total Solid Waste Debt Service Fund Requirement	5,083,326 14,500 1,074,720 285,362 \$ 7,069,955 150,000 \$ 7,219,955 \$ 720,734
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay Transfers to Other Funds Contingency Total Solid Waste Operations Appropriation Unappropriated Balance Total Requirement Solid Waste Debt Service Fund Materials and Service Total Solid Waste Debt Service Fund Requirement Solid Waste Capital Improvement Fund	5,083,326 14,500 1,074,720 285,362 \$ 7,069,955 150,000 \$ 7,219,955 \$ 720,734
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay Transfers to Other Funds Contingency Total Solid Waste Operations Appropriation Unappropriated Balance Total Requirement Solid Waste Debt Service Fund Materials and Service Total Solid Waste Debt Service Fund Requirement Solid Waste Capital Improvement Fund Capital Projects	5,083,326 14,500 1,074,720 285,362 \$ 7,069,955 150,000 \$ 7,219,955 \$ 720,734 \$ 720,734
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay Transfers to Other Funds Contingency Total Solid Waste Operations Appropriation Unappropriated Balance Total Requirement Solid Waste Debt Service Fund Materials and Service Total Solid Waste Debt Service Fund Requirement Solid Waste Capital Improvement Fund Capital Projects Transfers	5,083,326 14,500 1,074,720 285,362 \$ 7,069,955 150,000 \$ 7,219,955 \$ 720,734 \$ 720,734 \$ 13,571,000 1,351,685
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay Transfers to Other Funds Contingency Total Solid Waste Operations Appropriation Unappropriated Balance Total Requirement Solid Waste Debt Service Fund Materials and Service Total Solid Waste Debt Service Fund Requirement Solid Waste Capital Improvement Fund Capital Projects Transfers Contingency	5,083,326 14,500 1,074,720 285,362 \$ 7,069,955 150,000 \$ 7,219,955 \$ 720,734 \$ 720,734
Personnel Services Materials and Services Capital Outlay Transfers to Other Funds Contingency Total Solid Waste Operations Appropriation Unappropriated Balance Total Requirement Solid Waste Debt Service Fund Materials and Service Total Solid Waste Debt Service Fund Requirement Solid Waste Capital Improvement Fund Capital Projects Transfers	5,083,326 14,500 1,074,720 285,362 \$ 7,069,955 150,000 \$ 7,219,955 \$ 720,734 \$ 720,734 \$ 13,571,000 1,351,685

Criminal Justice Assistance Fund

Materials and Services	\$ 1,100,000
Transfers	27,958
Total Criminal Justice Assistance Fund Requirement	\$ 1,127,958

TOTAL ALL FUNDS

\$37,633,144

CS:srb 3275B/236A

AGENDA MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

TO: FROM: Metro Council

Executive Officer

SUBJECT: Adopting the FY 1982 Budget

I. RECOMMENDATIONS:

ACTION REQUESTED: First reading of Ordinance No. 81-109 Α. adopting the FY 1982 Metro budget.

- POLICY IMPACT: The Metro budget is a key document setting В. the policy direction for the organization for the next fiscal year. The policy alternatives have been reviewed by the Council Committees and the full Council.
- BUDGET IMPACT: The Metro budget, adopted in accordance C. with State law, establishes the legal authorization to expend public funds.

II. ANALYSIS:

- BACKGROUND: The Metro budget has been prepared after a Α. long series of review meetings with the Council and Council Committees stretching back to last January. In accordance with State budget law, the Metro budget has been submitted to the Tax Supervising and Conservation Commission (TSCC) for review. That Commission will hold its public hearing on June 16, 1981, and will return the budget to the Council for final adoption on June 25, 1981.
- ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Policy and program alternatives В. have been previously discussed with the Council.
- CONCLUSION: Conduct first reading of Ordinance No. 81-109 C. adopting the FY 82 Metro budget.

CS/ql 3282B/236

6/25/8)

AGENDA MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

TO: Metro Council FROM: Executive Officer

SUBJECT: Adopting the Annual Budget of the Metropolitan Service District for Fiscal Year 1982 Making Appropriations from Funds of the District in Accordance with Said Annual Budget and Levying Ad Valorem Taxes

I. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- A. ACTION REQUESTED: Adopt Ordinance No. 81-109 adopting the FY 1982 Metro budget.
- B. POLICY IMPACT: The Metro budget is a key document setting the policy direction for the organization for the next fiscal year. The policy alternatives have been reviewed by the Council Committee and the full Council.
- C. BUDGET IMPACT: The Metro budget, adopted in accordance with State law, establishes the legal authorization to expend public funds.

II. ANALYSIS:

A. BACKGROUND: The Metro budget has been prepared after a long series of review meetings with the Council and Council Committees stretching back to last January. In accordance with State budget law, the Metro budget has been submitted to the Tax Supervising and Conservation Commission (TSCC) for review. The hearing was held on June 16 and the TSCC certified the budget as presented with no objections. The recommendation on modifications in the way the Solid Waste budget for the St. Johns Landfill will be taken into account when the FY 83 budget is presented next year.

Two changes in the Transportation Department budget are included in the attached Ordinance. These changes have been reviewed with the Regional Development Committee.

1. Delete the Telecommunications Program: The Council had previously voted to cut this program in the approved budget, but due to a staff oversight, this program was included. Deleting this program reduces local dues requirements by \$6,000.

2. Add contract with Robert Duncan: The portion of this contract for services to be provided in FY 82 were not included in the approved budget. The total amount of the contract is \$15,000, of this amount \$11,250 will come from Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington Counties and \$3,750 from local Metro dues.

Summary of Changes

1.	Delete Telecommunications Local Dues	(\$6,000)
2.	Add Robert Duncan Contract Increase Local Agency Payments Local Dues Share	11,250 3,750 \$15,000
	Net Change Transfer Balance of Dues Change to Contingency Total Change	\$ 9,000 2,250 \$11,250

- B. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Policy and program alternatives have been previously discussed with the Council.
- C. CONCLUSION: Adopt Ordinance No. 81-109 adopting the FY 82 Metro budget.

CS/srb 3558B/236 06/24/81 Metro Council Minutes of June 4, 1981

CALL TO ORDER

After declaration of a quorum, Presiding Officer Deines called the meeting to order at 7:35 p.m. in the Council Chamber, 527 S.W. Hall Street, Portland, Oregon.

1. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

There were no citizen communications to Council on non-agenda items at this meeting.

2. CONSENT AGENDA

Coun. Kirkpatrick moved, seconded by Coun. Rhodes, to approve the Consent Agenda. A vote was taken on the motion and it passed unanimously.

ORDINANCE

3.1 PUBLIC HEARING on Ordinance No. 81-109, For the Purpose of Adopting the Annual Budget of the Metropolitan Service District for Fiscal Year 1982, making appropriations from funds of the District in accordance with Said Annual Budget, and levying Ad Valorem Taxes (First Reading)

It having been ascertained that it was the consensus of the Council to do so, the Clerk read Ordinance No. 81-109 for the first time by title only.

Coun. Bonner moved, seconded by Coun. Kirkpatrick, to adopt Ordinance No. 81-109.

Presiding Officer Deines informed those present that the Public Hearing on Ordinance No. 81-109 was now open for testimony. As no one present wished to give testimony, the Public Hearing was closed.

Coun. Banzer asked what will happen if Metro's Dues Bill does not pass by June 30, 1981, or if it does not pass at all?

Denton Kent, Chief Administrative Officer, replied that we are now in the process of analyzing the impact of that event. Should there be any curtailment of revenue then the budget will be amended accordingly. However, if the Dues Bill does not pass, we will need to make cuts to bring the budget into balance. A cut in funds would cause Metro to loose available matching federal funds.

Coun. Banzer asked if Zoo and Solid Waste revenues can be used to give Metro the necessary local matching of funds?

Mr. Kent pointed out that the stated policy, ratified by the Coordinating Committee, is that Metro not use Zoo or Solid Waste funds to make up shortfalls in the general funds. This policy can be changed

by the Council. Many of our programs will be affected, i.e., Transportation, Development Services, Support Services, Local Government, Public Information and Technical Assistance.

Presiding Officer Deines asked if a budget cut would impair Metro's ability to maintain/monitor the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB)? Mr. Kent replied that the anticipated \$55,000 from the Land Conservation Development Commission will be used for UGB work.

Coun. Burton commented that he is disappointed to find a lack of interest by the public's non-attendance at this first hearing. This budget is for \$37 million and can be considered a policy tool. He hopes there will be a better response at the second hearing.

Presiding Officer Deines announced that the Multnomah County Tax Supervising & Conservation Commission will hold its public hearing on Metro's annual budget on June 16, 1981 at 3:00 p.m.

3.2 PUBLIC HEARING on Ordinance No. 81-110, For the Purpose of Amending Ordinance No. 80-97, Adding Supplemental Appropriations to FY 1981 Budget (First Reading)

It having been ascertained that it was the consensus of the Council to do so, the Clerk read Ordinance No. 81-110 for the first time by title only.

Coun. Burton moved, seconded by Coun. Rhodes, to adopt Ordinance No. 81-110.

Presiding Officer Deines informed those present that the Public Hearing on Ordinance No. 81-110 was now open for testimony. As no one present wished to give testimony, the Public Hearing was closed.

Charlie Shell, Director of Management Services, explained the Budget transfers process to this Committee. There will be some other budget adjustments, i.e., adding some grant appropriations, which will go before the Coordinating Committee on June 15 at 5:00 p.m.

4. GENERAL DISCUSSION

Coun. Bonner complimented Coun. Burton for the fine work he did on this year's Metro Budget which was difficult to do. He also complimented Gus Rivera, Yard Debris Coordinator, for organizing Metro's first Yard Debris program and Metro's first golf tournament.

Rick Gustafson, Executive Officer, reported that Coun. Kirkpatrick will be inaugurated as president of the National Association of Regional Councils (NARC) at their Annual Conference in Niagara Falls June 7. He announced a Western Conference by this group in Ashland July 30-August 2 and encouraged Councilors to attend.

950

Metro Council Minutes of June 25, 1981 Page 2

CALL TO ORDER

After declaration of a quorum, Presiding Officer Deines called the meeting to order at 7:35 p.m. in the Council Chamber, 527 S.W. Hall Street, Portland, Oregon.

INTRODUCTIONS

Coun. Schedeen introduced the new chairman of the National Association of Regional Councils (NARC), Coun. Corky Kirkpatrick. She and other Councilors congratulated Coun. Kirkpatrick on receiving this honor.

2. WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

There were no written communications to Council at this meeting.

3. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

There were no citizen communications to Council on non-agenda items at this meeting.

4. CONSENT AGENDA (Items 4.1 through 4.5)

Coun. Kirkpatrick moved, seconded by Coun. Schedeen, to approve the Consent Agenda. A vote was taken on the motion and it passed unanimously.

4.4 <u>Resolution No. 81-250</u>, For the Purpose of Endorsing Project Priorities Using Supplementary Interstate Transfer Funds Expected for FY 1981

Coun. Schedeen said the approval of this resolution was of much importance to the Gresham area. She then introduced Mayor Allen Meyer and Mr. Rick Walker, Planning Director, both of the City of Gresham. Coun. Schedeen, Mayor Meyer and Mr. Walker thanked the Council for their support in this matter.

ORDINANCES

5.1 Ordinance No. 81-109, For the Purpose of Adopting the Annual Budget of the Metropolitan Service District for Fiscal Year 1981, Making Appropriations from Funds of the District in Accordance with Said Annual Budget and Levying Ad Valorem Taxes (Second Reading)

Presiding Officer Deines referred the Council to a letter from the Tax Supervising Conservation Commission which approved Metro's proposed FY 82 budget. The Clerk read the ordinance by title only.

Metro Council Minutes of June 25, 1981 Page 3

Coun. Williamson said that since no public comment had been given at the public hearing on this ordinance, a subject of a newspaper editorial, perhaps any members of the public wishing to comment at this meeting should be given the opportunity to do so. The Presiding Officer asked if any citizens wished to give testimony. There was no public comment. The Presiding Officer and other Councilors said they had not received any written or verbal communications on the ordinance.

Presiding Officer Deines explained a motion to adopt Ordinance No. 81-109 was received at the last meeting of the Council. A vote was then taken on the motion and it passed unanimously.

5.2 Ordinance No. 81-110, For the Purpose of Amending Ordinance No. 80-97, Adding Supplemental Appropriations to FY 1981 Budget (Second Reading)

The Clerk read the ordinance by title only. Presiding Officer Deines said this item was moved for adoption at the previous Council meeting. A vote was taken on the motion and it passed unanimously.

Presiding Officer Deines left the meeting chamber.

RESOLUTIONS

Joint Resolution No. , In the Matter of Setting Forth an Understanding of Agreement Between the Washington County Board of Commissioners and the Council of the Metropolitan Service District

Coun. Oleson introduced a resolution not on the regular meeting agenda. He explained he had been working with the Washington County Board of Commissioners to gain more cooperation in regional planning efforts. This resolution, he said, was the product of the positive working relationship achieved between Metro and Washington County. He was pleased to announce that four of the five Washington County Commissioners had endorsed the resolution. He then introduced Commissioners Hays and Gardener of Washington County and thanked them for their efforts.

Coun. Burton, Etlinger and Bonner saw the resolution as a major step for both Metro and Washington County and thanked the Commissioners for their support.

Coun. Banzer said she would support the resolution because of the assistance Metro had received from the Washington County Legislative Delegation. She expressed her hope that future relations with Washington County would be positive.

Coun. Oleson moved for adoption of the resolution. Coun. Kirkpatrick seconded the motion. All those present voted aye. When Presiding Officer Deines entered the room, he cast an approving vote making the passage of the resolution unanimous.

Commissioner Gardener thanked Metro for their support and said he hoped the resolution would provide the fourth leg to what once could be called a three-legged stool.

TITLE FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADOPTING THE ANNUAL BUDGET OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1981, MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FROM FUNDS OF THE DISTRICT IN ACCORDANCE WITH SAID ANNUAL BUDGET AND LEVYING AD VALOREM TAXES.

DATE INTRODUCED __6/4/81

FIRST READING ___6/4/81

SECOND READING ___6/25/81

DATE ADOPTED ___6/25/81

DATE EFFECTIVE ___7/25/81

ROLLCALL

Burton
Oleson
Williamson
Berkman
Kirkpatrick
Deines
Rhodes
Schedeen
Bonner
Banzer
Etlinger
Kafoury

Yes	No	Abst.
X		
X	100	STREET STREET
X		
X		
Χ		
X	1100	
X		
X		
X		Market L
Χ		
X		
X		