

MINUTES OF THE METRO COUNCIL MEETING

November 4, 1999

Metro Council Chamber

Councilors Present: Rod Monroe (Presiding Officer), Susan McLain, Ed Washington, Rod Park, Bill Atherton, David Bragdon, Jon Kvistad

Councilors Absent:

Presiding Officer Monroe convened the Regular Council Meeting at 2:05 p.m.

1. INTRODUCTIONS

None.

2. CITIZEN COMMUNICATION

None.

3. EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMMUNICATIONS

None.

4. AUDITOR COMMUNICATIONS

None.

5. MPAC COMMUNICATION

None.

6. MCCI ANNUAL REPORT

Kay Durtchi, MCCI, highlighted a few items from the MCCI annual report, a copy of which is included in the agenda packet.

7. CLASSICAL CHINESE GARDENS PRESENTATION

Cheryl Tonkin, Executive Director, Classical Chinese Gardens, made a presentation on the Classical Chinese Garden, currently under construction at 239 NW Everett in Portland. A folder of information includes information presented by Ms. Tonkin, and is included in the meeting record. She said collaboration between the cities of Portland and Suzhou, China, will result in the largest authentic urban Suzhou-style garden beyond China's borders. Ms. Tonkin showed a brief news video on Portland's Classical Chinese Garden.

8. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE PRESENTATION

Councilor Park introduced the representatives from the Oregon Department of Agriculture and agricultural associations: Debbie Gorham, Department of Agriculture Administrator; Brent Searle, Department of Agriculture, Special Assistant to the Director; Bruce Pokarney, Department of Agriculture Information Officer; Red Rowley, Oregon Agriculture Statistics Service; Bruce Ecklund, Oregon Agriculture Statistics Service; Mary Stewart, Executive Director of the Agro Business Council; Marcus Simantel, Farm Bureau, Scott Schaeffer, Oregon Farm Bureau Federation Board member; Scott Ashcom, lobbyist representing the Oregon Nurserymen's Association; and Jim Johnson, Land Use and Water Specialist with the Department of Agriculture.

Mr. Johnson spoke on the role of agriculture in the Metro region as an economic asset, using slides during his presentation. A packet of information includes information presented by Mr. Johnson and is included in the meeting record. He said their goal was for people to think of agriculture as an industry, and not merely an issue of open space, wildlife, and flood plains.

Councilor Bragdon asked if Mr. Johnson's statistics on agriculture in Oregon and the metro region included forestry.

Mr. Johnson said that it did not include forestry, but it did include Christmas tree farms.

Presiding Officer Monroe asked if the list of the top 10 agricultural commodities produced in Oregon were listed in the order of economic importance.

Mr. Johnson said yes, and noted that nursery crops were number one for the fifth or sixth consecutive year.

Councilor Park asked what was the top complaint about agriculture?

Mr. Johnson said the most common complaint about agriculture was not spraying or dust, but helicopter flying overhead during the holiday season harvesting Christmas trees. He said he has hear more complaints regarding helicopter harvesting in Clackamas County than he has about any other agricultural activity in Clackamas County. He noted that a large percentage of Christmas trees grown in Oregon were exported to other parts of the United States and abroad.

Mr. Johnson closed by stressing that agriculture was an industrial use, just like other industrial uses. The major difference was that agriculture's factories did not have walls.

Councilor Atherton asked if agriculture paid property taxes.

Mr. Johnson said yes, they do.

Councilor Atherton asked if, in Mr. Johnson's opinion, the property tax paid by farmers exceeded or was less than the services they required from government.

Mr. Johnson said that it was less. A number of studies have been done across the United States and every study has shown that agriculture pays more in taxes than it needs in services. He added that the same was true for other industrial lands. Residential land, on the other hand, tends to cost more in services than it pays in taxes.

Councilor Park thanked Mr. Johnson for his presentation, and said it showed the diversity of the crops grown in the region. He said he hoped this would open the door for other presentations on some of the items highlighted today, such as Christmas trees, and how they are affected by Metro's actions.

Mr. Simantel commented on the state of agriculture in Washington County. He said although it continued to contribute substantially to the county and state's economic engine, it was not alive and well, due to low commodity prices. He said the region's diversity helped, but unfortunately, most of the crops grown in the area were not the major crops, such as wheat, corn, and soy beans, which received most of the government help when times were hard. The farmers in Washington County had two major things going for them. First, they had the Tualatin Valley Irrigation District, which has made affordable water available to thousands of acres of farmland in Tualatin Valley, which made it possible to grow high-value crops, such as nurseries. He said they had a very dedicated and skilled work force to help with those high value intensive crops and he was able to pay a little better than average wages to their workers. He said, however, their big problem was keeping their farmland so they could continue farming. He said that was where Metro came in. He said bottom line for agriculture in Washington County was that they were at a point where if they lost any more land they would lose critical mass along with the suppliers of farm supplies and services like Clark County had already. He noted that the Clark County farmers had to come to Washington County for supplies now. He reiterated the need to keep their land and convince the land speculators that farmland would remain farmland. He thought maybe that would moderate prices somewhat. He said they need Metro to hold the line and not go out any more into the farmland. He thanked the council for past efforts to help the agricultural community.

Councilor Atherton asked Mr. Simantel if he had carried his message to the State of Oregon.

Mr. Simantel responded that the Agribusiness Council of Oregon was not a lobbyist organization, but they were doing more and more along those lines. He said the farmers were starting to recognize that they had to step forward and say something. He thought Councilor Park was among the first agricultural representatives on the council. He remembered that Councilor McLain claimed that background as well.

Councilor Kvistad said his family's century wheat farm in eastern Oregon was still in operation.

Councilor McLain agreed with Mr. Simantel that this was the first time an active farmer had sat on the council. She said sentiment on this council had always tried to recognize agriculture as a real industry and had always tried to work toward the balance necessary for that industry to be vital.

Mary Stewart, appreciated the work that the Agribusiness Council and the Metro Council were doing. She said she thought of agriculture as picking apples and making applesauce with her daughter or the good cheese sandwich she had for lunch, or driving past the beautiful farmland, or seeing the ocean going ships loading wheat outside her office window. She said agriculture was a part of the quality of life that was enjoyed in this area every day. She said some people did not understand the value of agriculture for quality and affordable food for their tables. She said that lack of awareness had a direct and potentially damaging impact on the challenges agriculture faced on a daily basis, such as developing and marketing products, being responsible environmental citizens, producing safe and wholesome products, proving thousands of jobs, and adapting to changing land use and transportation climates. She noted the packet they handed out

to the council. (see copy with the permanent record of this meeting). She said by considering farmers needs you positively affect the everyday lives of every citizen in the Metro area.

9. CONSENT AGENDA

9.1 CONSIDERATION MEETING MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER 28, 1999 REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING.

Motion: **Councilor Atherton** moved to adopt the meeting minutes of October 28, 1999 Regular Council Meeting.

Seconded: **Councilor McLain** seconded the motion.

Councilor Bragdon noted that on page 14, about the middle, in words attributed to him, the word "not" needed to be included. He said he would not vote for the resolution unless they were sure they would define where they were going with the budget.

Vote: The vote was 7 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed as amended.

10. RESOLUTIONS

10.1 **Resolution No. 99-2850**, For the Purpose of Changing the Representatives of Cities of Multnomah County and Changing the Alternate for the Affordable Housing Technical Advisory Committee.

Motion: **Councilor Park** moved to adopt Resolution No. 99-2850.

Seconded: **Councilor Washington** seconded the motion.

Councilor Park reviewed that this housekeeping matter would add Gresham City Councilors Chris Lassen and Vicki Thompson to the committee as member and alternate, respectively, and Gayle Brownmiller as alternate from Washington County. He commented that H-TAC was searching for ways to create housing needed by the region for diversity as well as because of increasing values within the region. Their task is to come forth with ideas. He urged an aye vote.

Councilor Washington said the committee would most likely run beyond December.

Councilor Kvistad suggested a briefing for the Council on this. He noted that they should have some discussion about where the committee was at this time.

Presiding Officer Monroe asked if this would be appropriate for an Informal. Councilor Kvistad agreed it could be.

Councilor Washington said he would get a presentation together for the Council as soon as they wished.

Councilor McLain said they had had an informal presentation to the Growth Committee and had asked for another one. She understood the subcommittees agreed to have the information at a Growth Committee, an Informal or a Council meeting so this was not new for them.

Presiding Officer Monroe asked if the December informal would be too soon.

Councilor Washington asked how the Presiding Officer wished to have the matter handled.

Presiding Officer Monroe said that Councilor Kvistad had requested a presentation to the full council, probably at an Informal, either in late November or second week of December.

Councilor Washington indicated that the December one would be the best.

Councilor Park urged an aye vote to close. He felt filling these replacements would help get the committee back to work.

Vote: The vote was 7 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed unanimously.

10.2 **Resolution No. 99-2857A**, For the Purpose of Granting a Time Extension for Compliance with Title 4 of the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan for the City of Sherwood and Requiring Actions to Assure Coordination Among the Comprehensive Plans of the Cities of Sherwood, Tualatin, Tigard, Beaverton, and Washington County Concerning Title 4 of the Functional Plan.

Motion: **Councilor McLain** moved to adopt Resolution No. 99-2857A.

Seconded: **Councilor Park** seconded the motion.

Councilor McLain said the November 4th Growth Report in the packet described this resolution very well. The resolution passed out of the Growth Committee with a do-pass recommendation to the Council. It gives an extension to Sherwood to June 15th, 2000, that would enable them to complete changes to their existing zoning code as it related to compliance with Title 4. She noted they were out of compliance at this time for Titles 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 and this resolution only addressed Title 4.

Councilor Kvistad asked where in Metro Code or Ordinance was the authority given to demand that Sherwood be required to deny applications for certain retail uses (number 7 under "be it resolved".)

Dan Cooper, General Counsel, answered that the authority for these conditions came from both the Functional Plan and state law giving coordination authority.

Councilor Kvistad understood that part of it. He also understood where the City of Sherwood was on this item. He was concerned that this was incredibly intrusive on the authority of the local jurisdictions. He said he would vote in favor because one of his cities had requested it, but he did not like the trend or the direction it was going.

Councilor Washington asked Mr. Cooper whether this council voted on this item previously. He remembered that a great deal of discussion occurred around the square footage, etc.

Mr. Cooper said the provision set out in Section 7, was a provision of Title 4 of the Functional Plan that the council voted on. It dealt with large retail stores locating in industrial only zones. He believed it was in the fall of 1996.

Presiding Officer Monroe understood that this provision had Mayor Hitchcock's approval and support and would be helpful in allowing them to be in conformity with their neighbors on this issue.

Councilor Kvistad said he also knew that Mayor Hitchcock and his city wanted to deny an application for a certain company. He understood that, and as a regional elected official he wanted to support his local jurisdictions. He did not support the Functional Plan the or Framework Plan requirements in front of them, but this was the first time there was a condition to an ordinance or resolution that would require a denial. He felt it was setting bad precedent and while he wanted to support his communities, he found it disconcerting as an elected official. He felt he had to bring it up because of his concerns.

Councilor Atherton asked Mr. Cooper if the Council had the authority to protect transportation assets. He wondered if there were regional transportation facilities that were at risk in this situation as well.

Mr. Cooper said the Functional Plan adopted by the Council in December of 1996 had seven substantive titles that dealt with urban density, transportation, natural area protection, retail, parking requirements, housing, were all part of the mix. They required each city within the boundary to adopt amendments to their comprehensive plans and zoning ordinances on most of those titles. He said protection of regional transportation assets was certainly one of the policy areas that the council dealt with.

Councilor McLain closed by urging an aye vote for this resolution.

Councilor Atherton asked about Sherwood's zoning density requirement.

Mr. Cooper said the question referred to an LCDC ruling and not the functional plan. He said the Functional Plan set a housing target for Sherwood that dealt with mixed-use areas. He did not know if the density.

Councilor Atherton clarified that Metro had no responsibility to the state to carry out their housing mandates.

Mr. Cooper said Metro's responsibility in their Regional Framework and Functional Plans was to make sure they were consistent with state rules, therefore we couldn't authorize them to do anything less than the state had already mandated. He believed whatever their density requirements under the state rules were, Metro's were probably equal to or greater.

Vote: The vote was 7 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed.

Councilor Kvistad qualified his vote by saying that he objected to the 60,000 square foot concept in the resolution, but due to the nature of Sherwood's emergency, he would vote in favor.

10.3 **Resolution No. 99-2863**, For the Purpose of Directing the Executive Officer in the Preparation of the 2000-2001 Budget and Creating a Task Force to Recommend Allocation of Certain One-Time Expenditures.

Motion: **Councilor Bragdon** moved to adopt Resolution No. 99-2868.

Seconded: **Councilor McLain** seconded the motion.

Councilor Bragdon said this resolution directed the Executive Officer that a version of next fiscal year's budget was to be drawn up on the basis of no new revenue. The intent was to make sure the savings accrued from the re-negotiated solid waste disposal and transportation contracts did not disappear into the general fund and the council and the public could track those funds very carefully. The second part of the resolution designated the task force that Presiding Officer Monroe mentioned last week to follow through and design a process to make sure that every dime would be traced and reviewed.

Councilor Kvistad read the 4th "Whereas" aloud and said he disagreed with it because if it were true, they would not be taking 60% of the money for other projects. He reiterated his reservations and objections to having a special subcommittee determine how to spend money that was taxed prior to determination of what they were taxing for. He maintained that they had made a mistake and wished they would revisit it. He thought it was not prudent public policy and said he would not vote for this resolution for that reason. He commended the portion of the resolution dealing with the Executive Officer's budget submission. He thought it was interesting that the agency was working with a \$1.3 million deficit that would not have been addressed had this discussion not occurred. He said he did not want to go on record in any way, shape, or form endorsing their action their last council meeting because he felt it was inappropriate and poor public policy.

Councilor McLain said she was in favor of this resolution because there had not been any new revenue gathered. She said there was a definite budget process each year with a budget review, and a budget provided by the Executive Office. Sometimes previously the council also had a subcommittee review some of the budget material before it went to the budget committee.

Councilor Park thought Councilor Bragdon had hit the nail on the head about the zero-based budget. In terms of the fund, he thought it was a procedural matter because the way it was set up they were required to have a 90 day notice for the ordinances. He noted they were being asked to take the funds first and then figure out how to spend them vs the other way around, much the same as they were asking the Executive Office to do. He said he would support the resolution and was looking forward to the budget discussions as they worked from the zero-based budget to do what was needed to make sure the agency is fiscally responsible.

Councilor Atherton said he was not in favor of this resolution. He felt it should have had more discussion by the council. He said the garbage money had presented the agency with a unique opportunity to create a model of how regional government could work for us in this region in planning and support. He agreed with Councilor Kvistad that there should be a discussion of the whole council rather than a task force.

Councilor Park wanted to be sure Councilor Atherton was aware that the task force meetings would be public.

Councilor Kvistad added that subcommittees were all publicly noticed. He felt this decision had caused a great deal of damage to the Council and the agency. He could see no reason not to deliberate on this matter in front of the television cameras at council meetings so the public could see. He wanted to see the Council deal with the damage up front with all 7 councilors present talking about their priorities. He felt they should first refine their needs and then budget for those needs.

Councilor McLain said she would support the resolution. She also wanted all 7 councilors to be able to discuss the issues, but sometimes some pre-work needed to be done to make sure all the necessary information was available. She noted she was not on the committee but felt comfortable attending the meetings to get her 2¢ in. She felt all the councilors would have a definite part in the process. In regard to the decision, they had done exactly what they said they would do, they negotiated a contract and made sure they would have a better standard than ever before for that negotiation. She felt it was a win-win situation for Metro and the contractor for better service for solid waste matters. They also said they would work toward finding out what the public meant. She said there had been 8-9 months worth of meetings where people had said they wanted the money reinvested to make available more environmentally sound practices and programs, whether existing or new programs. She said Metro had always done a good job with their budget process, and had in fact won awards for that process.

Presiding Officer Monroe said fiscal decisions of this type required 90 days notice prior to taking affect and not one penny would be collected until after February 1, 2000. He said it was prudent to act as they did last week, and it was prudent to have a special budget subcommittee to make recommendations to the full council who would then make final decisions on the investment of any funds. He said that was consistent and appropriate. Furthermore, he said, if this council had been damaged by actions taken, the damage had been primarily because of the public comments of certain members of this council.

Councilor Bragdon closed by saying that last year's budget was last year's budget and last week's decisions were last week's decisions. He said if a councilor believed there was damage as a result of past budgeting practices or last week's vote, this resolution was about remediating that damage, not perpetuating it. He added that if the council believed in prudence or accountability or being fiscally responsible, they would vote for this resolution, because that was what it is about. He spoke about the workings of the subcommittee. He said he had never implied in any way that JPACT was run in any way that was bias because it was not. He said if there was any implication that he would run a committee with bias, he wanted to lay that to rest. Over the past six months in dealing with this issue, literally hundreds of people have addressed the Councilors and hundreds of hours had been spent on it. To move this forward faster to come to a resolution was the purpose of this committee. It was about agility not exclusivity. These were to be public meetings and all Councilors were encouraged to participate, and he urged citizen participation. This was an open process and staff had already put together public comments. Nothing would pass without all seven Councilors looking at the information, and this would be no exception. He urged support of this resolution so things could move on.

Vote: The vote was 5 aye/ 2 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed with Councilors Atherton and Kvistad voting no.

10.4 **Resolution No. 99-2868**, For the Purpose of Adopting the Portland Area Air Quality Conformity Determination for the FY 2000 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program

Motion: **Councilor Bragdon** moved to adopt Resolution No. 99-2868.

Seconded: **Councilor McLain** seconded the motion.

Councilor Bragdon reviewed Resolution No. 99-2868. At last week's Council meeting, a resolution was passed with regard to air quality attainment and an amendment was made because information had come forward with regard to potential future non-attainment in the 82nd Avenue

subregion. Part of the resolution was amended to reflect that non-attainment. Staff had since reviewed how the geographic area was treated in the transportation planning and DEQ world, and there was disparity in how the subregion was construed. The current position is the 82nd Avenue area would be in attainment according to the forecast. He requested the Council to approve the resolution without the amendments made last week.

Councilor Atherton stated that the information he had indicated the current status was right up against the edge. His primary concern was if the urban growth boundary expansion areas were developed according to current plans would we still be in attainment.

Andy Cotugno, Transportation Planning Department Director, responded that the data provided in the report represented three milestone years: 2005, 2015 and 2020. It assumed the development of more of the urban reserves. For 20 years' worth of growth in the urban reserves this showed that we were in attainment. Right at attainment, but within attainment with development of the urban reserves.

Councilor Atherton said one of the requirements for expansion of urban settlement in the regional transportation plan, would be a certain percentage of vehicle trips by transit. To show non-conformity, Councilor Atherton pointed out that in the Stafford Area in the regional transportation plan which called for expansion of I-205 into three lanes each way, it was required that only 84 houses be built. Yet, the urban growth boundary was moved which was clearly inconsistent with the transportation plan. How were the problems reconciled?

Mr. Cotugno said transportation plans call for a lot of improvements like I-205 for which we do not have funding. However, the federal government required a much more conservative assumption and to demonstrate that standards can be met within the level of improvements that are affordable. All this analysis was under a much more constrained financial future based upon a reasonably expended level of resources, not implementation of the full Regional Transportation Plan. It did not assume over half of the regional transportation plan because the resources had not yet been identified. At that constrained situation, we were at attainment.

Councilor Atherton said we were still making urban growth boundary movements, land use entitlements were being implied and pressured, in spite of the fact that there were no current funds for transportation plan improvements to protect the air quality.

Mr. Cotugno said there was a lack of resources to fund all the transportation improvement that have been identified.

Councilor Atherton said approving this resolution at this time really dealt with the money that we have available right now and the immediate plans that were for light rail and the like.

Mr. Cotugno said it was a 20 year forecast and 20 years' worth of forecasted revenue but based on existing revenue sources, not hypothetical revenue sources.

Councilor Atherton summarized his concern that the lack of coordination between land use, transportation and air quality needed to be dealt with in another venue, not in this measure, but in the regional transportation plan.

Mr. Cotugno said that was correct.

Councilor Bragdon summarized that this resolution related to our eligibility for federal transportation funds and was the same resolution approved last week without the approved amendments.

Vote: The vote was 7 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed.

11. CONTRACT REVIEW BOARD

11.1 **Resolution No. 99-2846**, Authorizing an Amendment to the Contract between Metro and OTAK, Inc. for Design and Engineering Services at Oxbow Regional Park and Howell Territorial Park.

Motion: **Councilor Kvistad** moved to adopt Resolution No. 99-2846.

Seconded: **Councilor Washington** seconded the motion.

Councilor Kvistad said we have contracted with OTAK to do masterplan preliminary design work for Howell Territorial Park and Oxbow Park. The total budgeted amount in the masterplan was for \$1.4 million, of which \$381,500 had been allocated so far. This amendment allowed the department to amend the contract so as funds become available, the department could bring additional work online in an efficient manner. The department will be given authorization to do contract amendments as they were required, but the funding would still come before the Council for approval. He recommended its passage.

Councilor Park told Councilor Kvistad he understood what he was trying to do. The first bite was \$381,500, the next anticipated bite was a little over one million dollars. At what point does the department have to come back for each portion?

Councilor Kvistad said that the resolution did not have the directive that they must come back, but gave them more flexibility. But in the future, there would need to be budget amendments that would allow them to expend the money, which was where the touch points would be.

Heather Nelson Kent, Parks and Greenspaces Department, said since the funding for these two projects had not all been identified, the department would come before Council yearly during the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) and budget approval processes where available funds would be identified and make a request of Council. In 1999-2000 there were available approximately \$100,000 allocated for design and engineering services at Howell Territorial Park. Due to the reallocation of the Multnomah County Local Share Funds approved by the Council earlier in the year, those design projects would be able to be constructed. In next year's budget, they would approach the Council for approval of budget money for design and engineering and construction if funding became available.

Councilor Park said that the touch points indicated by Councilor Kvistad would help the Council to monitor this process and that all the funds would not be released at one time.

Councilor Kvistad said that the department would have flexibility. In this case, this was more of an art rather than a science and working directly with the contractor would be a healthy place to be.

Councilor Atherton asked if 17% for design, engineering and construction inspection was a normal figure?

Ms. Kent-Nelson responded that the contract with OTAK included additional services beyond design and engineering. It also included interpretative design for both facilities which increase costs considerably. In OTAK's RFP it was clearly outlined to provide survey work, construction inspection and other types of services. They were pleased with OTAK's services to date. This winter the first phase of construction would be completed at Oxbow.

Councilor Kvistad recommended an aye vote.

Vote: The vote was 7 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed.

11.2 **Resolution No. 99-2852**, For the Purpose of Approving the Sole Source Agreement with Creative Information and Transformation Education.

Motion: **Councilor McLain** moved to adopt Resolution No. 99-2852.

Seconded: **Councilor Washington** seconded the motion.

Councilor McLain said they had passed a functional, regional Solid Waste Management Plan. One of the components of this plan was that natural resources saved were an important way to prevent destruction to environmental issues as well as help with recycling and waste reduction. Solid Waste had an education department that had used a variety of tools to assist in meeting the educational goal. This year a play called "In the Sweet Buy and Buy" will be used and this resolution requested a sole source agreement with the Creative Information and Transformation Education Group because they wrote it. This allows the Executive Officer to waive the competitive bidding process and requirements since this play was the only one of interest.

Councilor Bragdon said he had friends who had seen this play and thought it was a very good message and thought it was a very good idea.

Vote: The vote was 7 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed.

12. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATION

Councilor Park thanked the Council for their indulgence for the Dept of Agriculture presentation. He hoped as deliberations moved forward on expansion, once paved, changed, converted, it wasn't possible to go back. He said he hoped the Council recognized the non-renewable resource with which they have been entrusted and make the best decisions possible.

Councilor Washington commented that he was a bit disturbed about the activities that had been coming out of the Council over the last several weeks. During his time on Council, there had always been respect in spite of disagreements. He said it appeared that recently the Council has become extremely acrimonious in public. What kind of impression had been made with staff. Councilors should set an example. What kind of impression had been left with those people in the building who work for the agency, for the region and the public impression, through television. He hoped that thought would be given to how they were treating each other, and how they should be treating each other.

13. ADJOURN

There being no further business to come before the Metro Council, Presiding Officer Monroe adjourned the meeting at 4:12 p.m.

Prepared by,

Chris Billington
Clerk of the Council

Document Number	Document Date	Document Title	TO/FROM	RES/ORD
110499C-01	No date	Portland's Classical Chinese Garden information		
110499C-02	No date	Dept. of Agriculture information from presentation to Council		
110499C-03	July 17, 1999	Agri-Business Council of Oregon Action Plan for Keeping Agriculture Viable in the Portland Metro Area	Council/Tashman Johnson LLC, Strategic Resources, LLC, and Dr. James C. Cornelius, Economist	