

# MINUTES OF THE METRO COUNCIL MEETING

March 20, 1997

Council Chamber

Councilors Present: Jon Kvistad (Presiding Officer), Don Morissette, Susan McLain, Ruth McFarland, Ed Washington, Lisa Naito

Councilors Absent: Patricia McCaig

**Presiding Officer Jon Kvistad** called the meeting to order at 2:00 p.m.

## 1. INTRODUCTIONS

None.

## 2. POTENTIAL ISSUES REGARDING STATE LEGISLATION

**Councilor Naito** briefed the Council on several State legislative issues. She noted the resolution on this week's agenda dealing with some of this legislation. On Measure 47, the Conference Committee would be meeting this evening and there had been an agreement to delete the Senate amendments on the timber tax and some of the PERS issues. The understanding was that it would be passed out of both chambers tomorrow and would meet the deadline for the May ballot.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** asked if there was agreement to pass them out.

**Councilor Naito** responded that her understanding was that there was an agreement. She continued with the transportation funding issue and package. Wednesday morning, Representative Bob Montgomery House Transportation Committee began hearings on the transportation proposal of the Governor and other transportation issues. Local governments were there to testify. She and a group of the JPACT had been meeting to resolve some of the local differences in the county package that was proposed.. She would be testifying before the Transportation Committee tomorrow on some of the linkages of growth and transportation. Her understanding was that it would go through Representative Montgomery's committee prior to going to Revenue. They reached some resolve with local government issues, there was still some unresolved more with the wording than substance of what they were trying to get to.

**Councilor Washington** added that Councilor Naito, Presiding Officer Kvistad and he had been meetings for the last several weeks trying to get some issues relative to the Governor's transportation package, trying to get cities, counties on the same page so that this Council could have a position. As the result of the meeting and the support of Andy Cotugno and his staff, he felt they were close to getting some language that he would be bringing to the Council. He felt that Councilor Naito's testimony before the State legislature on growth and transportation was essential, particularly with her background and experience in the legislature. Her testimony was critical at this time, she had been working very closely with him on transportation issues.

**Councilor Naito** said that she would be representing the Council on the general principles that had been adopted by the Council. Senator Baker had filed a bill which would clean up the differences between the charter and the statute relating to Metro. There were some unintended consequences to the language of the bill but she believed the sponsor of the bill would be working with Metro Legal Counsel to substitute a proposal that Metro had submitted in 1983 and hadn't been successful in getting through. It was important to have something in place to merge the charter with the statute.

**Mr. Dan Cooper**, Legal Counsel, said that he would be meeting with Mr. Eky who was the person who had asked that this bill be introduced. He believed that Mr. Eky sincerely did not intend to abolish the Urban Growth Boundary. There was inadvertent confusion as to the way the bill was originally drafted, Mr. Cooper was hopeful to have a package worked out shortly that would maintain the Council's current authority to do exactly what the charter mandated for them to do, consistent with state law which ought to be supported in the legislature.

**Councilor Naito** reviewed the boundary commission bill, SB 947. There was some discussion at the last Council meeting about this bill. She noted the memo from Dan Cooper concerning this bill (a copy of this bill may be found in the Permanent Record of this meeting in the Council Office).

**Mr. Cooper** referred to his memo indicating that there was one area where the bill, as drafted by legislative counsel, varied from the draft that Metro submitted to them, in section 10 which dealt with what Metro would do in exercising authority over boundary changes. He included in the memo what he believed would be a much more artful way of saying what he thought the Council and MPAC had intended.

**Councilor Washington** said one of the difficulties for any government in dealing with very complex issues and Transportation was extremely complex, was a lack of history of all of the pieces that had occurred prior. So he had asked the Transportation department to put together a seminar which he called Transportation 101, a historical perspective of transportation. He urged everyone's attendance to be familiar with all that had gone on and a better understanding of the city, county, state and Metro positions. This would be happening in the near future.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** said he had met with Tom Bryan and that he may be meeting with him on transportation this weekend. He would bring this back to Council at first opportunity.

### 3. CITIZEN COMMUNICATION

None.

### 4. EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMMUNICATIONS

**Mike Burton, Executive Officer**, reviewed issues that would be coming out 30 to 60 days down the road on regional environmental management. Metro had received proposal from four firms on the transfer station operations, KV Recycling, Waste Management Oregon, USA Waste Services and Browning Ferris Industries in Oregon. KV Recycling proposed to operate Metro South Transfer Station only, while the other three proposed to operate either or both Metro South and Central. An evaluation committee had been established to review and rank the proposals. The Committee would report its findings in early May and then negotiations would proceed with the highest ranking firm or firms. The award of the contract was expected to be before the REM Committee sometime in June of 1997 with the contract starting in October of 1997.

**Councilor McFarland** asked Mr. Burton, of those people who gave bids on either or both, did they give a specific bid for each one and then a combined bid also?

**Mr. Burton** responded, yes so there were lots of varied options. He continued that there was a Rate Review Committee on the AC Trucking rates as forwarded to him a rate for the transfer operations at Forest Grove. The Committee's recommendation was a rate of \$23.03 per ton which was less by about \$4.80 from the last one. He would expect to have to the Council a rate ordinance by the end of the month based on that. There were indication from AC Trucking that they would contest that rate. If this were the case he would hold his options open for coming back with a different rate proposal.

In Growth Management, the Regional Framework Plan would be ready to begin public comment and discussion in April. There would be a thorough briefing on this soon, with the biggest concern being in the area of transportation aspect. This had the biggest hole because of funding. He noted that this ordinance would have to be adopted by the Council by December 31, 1997.

He also noted that the operation of Bell at the Zoo was successful.

**Mr. Burton** introduced Nancy Goss Duran who was new the Executive Office, her position was as an Executive Analyst.

**Councilor McFarland** noted the companies who contributed to the Bell's successful operation were Hoffman Construction, Kamer Gahlen, the Structural Engineer, Columbia Wire and Iron, and Allied Electric. All four of these companies gave all the expertise and the materials that were necessary to do the operation. They contributed their services free.

**Councilor Naito** thanked the Executive Officer for the proactive effort, helping each other as they moved forward on issues in the future.

**Councilor Morissette** acknowledged Mr. Burton efforts on the budget. He felt that Mr. Burton had done a good job on the budget proposal.

**Mr. Burton** encouraged the Council to have informal times for discussion with him, this would be helpful to all.

## 5. CONSENT AGENDA

5.1 Consideration of the Minutes of March 13, 1997 Metro Council Regular Meeting Minutes.

**Motion:** **Councilor McFarland** moved the adoption of the minutes of March 13, 1996 Metro Council Regular Meeting.

**Seconded:** **Councilor Morissette** seconded the motion.

**Discussion:** None.

**Vote:** The vote was 6 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed of those present.

**6. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING**

6.1 **Ordinance No. 97-682**, An Ordinance Amending the FY 1996-97 budget and appropriations schedule in the Parks and Expo Fund to increase capital outlay for Expo, and Declaring an Emergency.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** assigned Ordinance No. 97-682 to Regional Facilities Committee.

**7. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING**

7.1 **Ordinance No. 97-681**, For the Purpose of Amending Metro Code 5.02; Reducing Disposal Fees Charged at Regional Solid Waste Facilities and Making Certain Form and Style Changes to Stations.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** noted that this motion was already before the Council and was set for public hearings at this meeting and next week's Council meeting.

**Motion:** **Councilor McFarland** moved to amend Ordinance No. 97-681 changing section 10 and 11 to make it compatible, charging a 1% tax on the solid waste public enterprise and setting it to begin July 1, 1997.

**Seconded:** **Councilor McLain** seconded the amendment.

**Discussion:** **Councilor McFarland** said that she would be happy to respond to questions.

**Councilor Washington** said that he wanted some verification and information which he had received.

**Councilor Morissette** verified that this was a 1% excise tax increase on the solid waste and the proposal was to couple that with the tipping fee reduction proposal?

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** said that was correct.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** opened a public hearing at 2:23 p.m. on Ordinance No. 97-681.

**Mike Burton**, Executive Officer, said that when he submitted the FY 1997-98 budget, it was a bear bones budget as indicated in his comments to the Council. They worked on the assumption from indications he had from members of the Council that it was clear that there was an established rate in excise tax of 7.25 with a roll back of 7.5 and he had told his departments to base their budgets on the assumption that this would be the excise available to them.

He was surprised and pleased that the Council would have some interest to change their views on this and be willing to look at an increase that would be available for other Metro needs. Specifically as he understood it, the Council was proposing to increase the excise tax in solid waste by 1% to sever the funding issue between Expo and Parks and increase funding for parks and openspaces programs. The needs inside of Parks were tremendous both in openspace needs to land bank those properties that Metro had over a period of time, with the current facilities. Oxbow Park, with the requirement for operations funds there as well as capital needs, were great. There were also other needs inside of the agency, additional requests from local governments asking for assistance with implementation of the Functional and Framework Plans. Metro also had

reductions in the Zoo, he had sent the Council some unanticipated revenues from excise tax that Metro had received from over collection in the solid waste during this current year of \$160,000. He would recommend that Metro restore the positions to the Zoo with security being the first line of interest. There were needs that existed and he appreciated the Council taking a look at some of the possibilities. As he understood the proposal it would allow Expo to retain \$325,000 which would be put into a fund at Metro for their needs. The recommendation there would be to pay off the Intel debt that Metro had because of the balloon payment which was coming up. Ultimately, as he understood the proposal, it would allow additional annual funding to the Parks of \$308,000. There may be some differences of opinions on what those moneys were budgeted for because there were a lot of needs. The idea of making that severance and trading the opportunity to deal with the Parks this way was something he was supportive of and he appreciated the willingness of this Council to take a look at this question. He added that there were obviously people who had a stake in this, the solid waste industry, and they would want to be heard. He looked forward to continued discussion with the Council on this matter to see how this could be implemented for the benefit of the public.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** thanked Mr. Burton and closed the public hearing at 2:28 p.m..

**Discussion:** **Councilor Morissette** felt that Mr. Burton had done a good job on the upcoming budget. He said there was no doubt that there were needs out there. This did not mean that the budget was not a good effort to try and hold the line in the tough financial times that Metro found itself in. He found himself in a unique situation by coupling this with the proposal that he and others had worked hard on to bring a tipping fee reduction before the Council in an difficult position of probably not being able to support it. He did not agree with the tax increase, it was clear that the Parks and Greenspaces needed the resources to properly manage what they were doing. He did not believe that solid waste was the way to do this. Having worked very hard both on the AC Trucking tipping fee with staff and other Councilors and on the tipping fee reduction for solid waste, he now found himself in the crazy position of having to say he wholeheartedly supported and hoped he could bring more as Chair of the REM Committee tipping fee reductions, but he could not vote for it because of this tax increase. He was very disappointed that they could not have created this as a stand alone item.

**Councilor Naito** spoke in favor of the amendment to the ordinance. She felt it resolved some of the unmet needs and capital needs for some of the facilities as well as a sense of commitment that, with all due respect to the voters and she did understand the property tax problem faced by many and their response with Measure 47, Oregon was in an economic strong time. This was the time that we took to make an investment in facilities and in the parks and in the things that would make a difference to the livability in the community in the long term. She enthusiastically supported the proposal and looked forward to resolving meeting some of the needs of Parks and Greenspaces.

**Councilor McLain** spoke in favor of this motion. She did sit in on the Rate Review Committee with Councilor Morissette and agreed with Councilor Morissette that the \$5.00 deduction in the solid waste rate was an extremely important part of this review of the solid waste functions in this agency. She would continue to try and support reductions that made sense as it related to recycling in that area. Metro was in a unique situation where Metro had very limited resources and those resources were tied to particular functions. Because of those functions Metro some times was not able to have the flexibility that some of the other local governments had in trying to show efficiencies and be able to continue on with their variety of responsibilities. She thought that this particular amendment to this item helped Metro have the flexibility that they needed to do that same efficient job with those efficiencies in the different areas from facilities like the Zoo

to Expo, because it would now be taken into consideration for capital needs. It was also a situation where Metro was still dealing with the fact that the solid waste excise tax was dealing with a utility that every single person in this region had a need to use and a situation where Metro was trying to diligently make sure it was a fair situation so that everyone was helping pay for those other resources like facilities and parks. It was a situation where Councilors did not take this lightly, she thought it was an important situation to review and this was the time to review it during the budget process. As the Executive pointed out, Metro was giving themselves some opportunity to have more of a chance in the budget process to look at those priorities and see where those needs were today. They wanted to be thorough, fair and make sure there was an opportunity to take care of all of Metro's functions including the facilities, parks, and solid waste and recycling issues. She thanked the Executive, the Presiding Officer and Councilor McFarland for their efforts.

**Councilor Morissette** said that his comments were not designed around the appropriateness for parks, it was whether solid waste should be the vehicle with which Metro paid for the parks. He was not sure that people understood that when they had garbage hauled out of their house, they were paying for different items. The problem he had was one of the reasons he did not vote for the transfer of the Multnomah County parks was that there was a deep hole there in infrastructure and operating costs. He did not believe it was appropriate for the Washington County and Clackamas County people to support some of the facilities of Multnomah County. As Metro went through the process, Multnomah County sent with it a revenue generator, the Expo, \$325,000 per year. Now what would be done was splitting the revenue generator to help balance or get closer to balancing the parks issue out and they were splitting it off and using it for other things, all valid needs. But as the revenue source was split off to find additional resources, they were asking the garbage payers to pick up the tab on this. He believed this was wrong, it had no bearing on the fact as to whether the parks or MERC needed money. He suggested going to the people indicating that Metro needed money for MERC and asking for their support, not doing a tax that Metro had a vehicle within their means to tax the people without going through the voting process. He felt many would be frustrated and he felt that this vehicle for funding was wrong.

**Councilor Washington** said that the fact of the matter was the Metro had the parks, that belonged to Metro and Metro needed to take care of them particularly when one looked at what was happening with Measure 47. At the state level they were closing down state parks and libraries. He felt that this was action was not irresponsible, it was a small step. There was still a long way to go, when he abstained in the Finance Committee meeting, he did not abstain because he was against this, he wanted to find out some additional details, he got those. He supported this amendment. He felt that at some point, you had to step up to the plate and take care of what Metro had. The option would be to close the parks down or get rid of them. He had not heard of anyone suggesting doing this nor was he in favor of doing this. He felt that this was a very responsible step and he applauded the Council and the Executive for taking that step.

**Councilor Morissette** said that there was \$325,000 currently to maintain these facilities. It was not about closing the parks down, it was about transferring that money to another entity and asking for another resource to help backfill that entity for resources. Under this proposal, they were not talking about opening or closing parks, what they were talking about was shifting the money that Multnomah County sent with it, the \$325,000 and moving it into another category. He felt that this was wrong, that money was sent with it to maintain those facilities and it should stay there to do that properly. This was not an open and close deal, what it was was that Metro was now finding another use for that \$325,000, however legitimate that may be, and backfilling the underfunded portion of this need with solid waste revenue.

**Councilor McFarland** said she disagreed with Councilor Morissette. She did not see how Councilor Morissette justified taking \$325,000 from one of the other facilities to support parks as any different from taking it from a tax on region wide based utility. There was no real justification for taking funds that she was closing. The logic of taking from one of the facilities to support parks did not make one single bit of sense. When they sent this funding over, they told Metro that they needed to find a permanent region wide funding. This represented a lot more region wide evenly distributed subsidy for the parks than taking it from one of Metro's facilities. She also pointed out that while Metro was taking an excise tax from solid waste, they were not changing their \$5.00 reduction fee in the tipping fee. Metro was still reducing the tipping fee, \$5.00. This constituted no additional cost to the rate payers for solid waste. The Portland Solid Waste people indicated that this would be directly transferred, some others may not be. This was not because Metro was cutting into this at all.

**Councilor Morissette** said when you negotiate an agreement and you have a pool of resources, you have some pluses and some minuses, there was a plus in the Expo Center when it transferred over to offset some of the minuses in the parks facilities. That plus and minus still existed. Whether it was appropriate to do the balance sheet or not, this was what the program was when it was transferred to Metro. As Metro was now faced with the situation, Metro was pulling that resources away whether justifiable or not and asking to re-backfill with a different tax on the solid waste process. This had nothing to do with the tipping fee reduction although it did increase the cost of the process as Metro used its solid waste system over and above what Metro currently had been paying at 7.25 as an excise tax fee on the solid waste program. He said that it fell to a situation not whether or not that the parks needed more resources or the Performing Arts Facilities needed more resources, it was just the way that Metro was taxing this which was inappropriate.

**Councilor McLain** commented that the situation was that the charter and other responsible agencies that helped create the Metro government gave responsibilities to this government and this government's responsibilities were not one fold. They did not just take in solid waste or just planning or just the facilities or just the parks. Metro had four major responsibilities and the Council could debate which was the highest priority, however, she did not think that was what was important. What was important was to know that on Metro's plate right now there were four responsibilities and what this budget process should do was make sure that Metro did an adequate job on all four responsibilities until Metro made a policy to give one of these up. She said what the Council was trying to do was to make sure that all four were adequately funded. At the present time they were not. Metro had to take the only funding sources Metro had and make some sense of it. Now capital needs for Expo were not covered. They would do this with the possibilities that were being presented before Council today with the 1% increase in excise tax. At the present time there was not adequate funding for the parks, planning or the Zoo, there could be the potential funding with this action today. Did the Council want to do a superior job or a substandard job? She supported this action.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** said this was one of the most difficult things he had done since he had served on the Council. He listened to Councilor Morissette, he had given that same speech four years in a row. He looked at the needs that were out there, the Expo needs, facility needs. Metro did make a commitment to find a regional sources of funding for those facilities, he didn't believe that Metro had lived up to that until this point. There had been some tremendous needs in terms of capital maintenance on the parks. With the greenspace's bond measure and the commitment to openspaces considering the growth coming into the region and so many partners on greenspaces and openspaces, greenspaces staff and citizens who voted in supported the bond measure it would not be possible and the future generation that were coming were going to need these facilities to

be there. This was only one small step but very difficult step for him to do because he had never yet voted for Metro budget because of excise taxes. He had never voted for an increase in excise tax his entire tenure at Metro. He felt that this was compelling enough for him to bring this forward and it was important enough to the region and to him as a regional citizen that he wanted to do this. He appreciated Councilor Morissette's opinion on this issue, he shared many of those opinions. He was the only member of the Council to vote against taking over the Multnomah County Parks when the first vote occurred. He did change his vote for this action after he was out voted 12 to 1 to make it unanimous. While this was difficult for the Presiding Officer to do he felt that this was the right thing to do. He thanked the Executive Officer and the members of the Council for their consideration of this amendment.

**Councilor McFarland** noted that this close was for the amendment being attached to Ordinance 97-681. One, it was not going to add to the cost of the consumer at the garbage can because the tipping fee would be reduced \$5.00 whether this action was taken or not. Second, the agreement that Metro had when they brought Expo and the parks under Metro's wing was a temporary one that would end July 1st. If this action was not taken, Metro would have to do something else, there would have to be some action taken. She urged the support of the Council.

**Vote:** The vote was 6 aye/ 1 nay/ 0 abstain. The amendment passed with Councilor Morissette voting nay.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** announced that Ordinance No. 97-681 as amended would move forward for a public hearing and final action at next week's Council meeting.

## 8. RESOLUTIONS

8.1 **Resolution No. 97-2478**, For the Purpose of Identifying Metro's Position on State of Oregon Legislation.

**Councilor Naito** said that this resolution incorporated some additional bills to be monitored and a few of them the Governmental Affairs Committee was recommending that Metro take positions on. She noted that most of the bills they were proposing to monitor and she would be happy to answer any questions Councilors had on specifics of the bill. There was one bill that was recommended to Metro's lobbyist, HB 2643, limiting costs of who may appeal land use decisions. Her understanding of what this did was at the permitting stage, not the planning stage, when a land use decision was made to grant or deny a permit, it would limit those who could appeal that decision to those that were adversely effected. The recommendation that came to the committee was to either oppose or support. This was one where arguments could be made on either side. She recommended that the Council support the bill, on the one hand you want to include as many people as possible in land use planning and in the system. She believed that was appropriately done at the planning stage. This was why she suggested that the Metro support this bill, in light of the fact that, once those planning stages were completed and citizens had been involved when actual permits were given on the ground to the builder, they should be able to go forward.

**Motion:** **Councilor Naito** moved approval of Resolution No. 97-2478 with a monitor of HB 2643.

**Seconded:** **Councilor Washington** seconded the motion.

**Discussion:** **Councilor Naito** reviewed the resolution.



**Mr. Shaw** reviewed HB 2643 applied to any land use decision not just the issuance of a building permit, anyone who came before a local government for a map change, conditional use or some other action that required a decision by a governing body. The effect of the new language that the bill would do would require anyone before they could appeal that decision to LUBA to make a showing that they had some financial or other interest in the outcome of the proceeding rather than just the present rule which simply allowed anyone who appeared to testify to be an appellant and request review of it. The bill did not define what it took to be adversely effected, that would be something that had to be worked out in the process. This was a proposal that had been in front of the legislature many times.

**Councilor McLain** asked if it only applied to singular citizens, not groups?

**Mr. Shaw** responded that it applied to anybody, to any persons. If it were a group they would have to show what their interest was.

**Councilor Morissette** said there was a lot of good stuff in the legislation but he had some concerns over some of the takings so he probably would not support the package at this point. He believed HB 2643 was one of those good things. He noted an article in the paper (a copy of this may be found in the Permanent Record of these minutes in the Council Office) talking about some of the challenges that Metro had in accomplishing the very densities that Metro had in their plan. He did not think that this proposal stopped people that were involved in land use processes from having an honest opinion and value in the process. He felt that having some kind of standing limitation was reasonable especially if densities were going to dramatically increase.

**Vote:** The vote was 4 aye/ 2 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed with Councilor Morissette and Presiding Officer Kvistad voting nay.

**Councilor Morissette** said he would like his no vote registered at any lobby efforts that were put forward for Metro on legislation that he disagreed with.

**Councilor Naito** asked that Councilor Morissette list the bills the he would vote no on. She would not want his no vote to reflect on every single bill that was included in the package. She would be happy to notify the lobbyist on bills that Councilor Morissette did not support.

**Councilor Morissette** said that he would do this.

## **9. Executive Session Held Pursuant to ORS 192.660(1)(e). Deliberations with Persons Designated to Negotiate Real Property Transactions.**

9.1 **Resolution No. 97-2476**, For the Purpose of Authorizing the Executive Officer to Purchase Property in the Multnomah Channel Target Area.

**Motion:** **Councilor Washington** moved approval of Resolution No. 97-2476.

**Seconded:** **Councilor McFarland** seconded the motion.

**Discussion:** **Councilor Washington**

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** opened a public hearing at 3:04.

**Russ Pinto**, Nature Conservancy, 821 NE 14th Ave., Portland, OR 97231 reaffirmed his support of the acquisition of that target area. This target area was remarkable because of its size. Access and flooding have led it to being undeveloped. It had connectivity with wildlife. This parcel was key, at the lowest of flood stage, water drained through the property. The development of this property could cause problems down the road. He support the purchase for openspaces.

**Susan Beilke**, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, 17330 SE Evelyn St, Clackamas, OR 97015 also supported the purchase, reiterating Mr. Pinto's remarks.

**Vote:** The vote was 6 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed unanimously of those present.

## 10. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATIONS

**Councilor Naito** said that she and Councilor Washington were bringing forward a resolution on transportation.

(A portion of the tape was bad, Councilors McLain, Naito and Washington's remarks were unintelligible.)

**Councilor McFarland** talked about Bell's operation and said they had planned for many contingencies, none occurred, they took the time, had a very cooperative patient, and within 15 minutes of the operation, the elephant had walked on her own.

**Councilor Morissette** handed out an article from the Oregonian South. (A copy of this may be found in the Permanent Record of the Council minutes in the Council Office). He also noted for Councilor Naito which state bills he would not support.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** asked Mr. Stone to give an update on the Smithsonian Exhibit.

**Jeff Stone**, Assistant to the Presiding Officer, encouraged the Council's attendance of the April 3rd event. He thanked Councilor Washington for his assistance. The gala was on April 2nd. He had asked for additional shuttle service from the west side. He would be going over the opening day gala and agenda. Site tours of the exhibit were available for any Councilor who was interested.

**Councilor Washington** complemented Jeff Stone for the good effort that he has done on the Smithsonian event.

**Councilor Naito** thanked Jeff as well.

## 11. ADJOURN

There being no further business to come before the Metro Council, Presiding Officer Kvistad adjourned the meeting at 3:23 p.m.

Prepared by,

Chris Billington  
Clerk of the Council

DOCUMENT NUMBER  
032097c-01

DOCUMENT DATE  
032097

DOCUMENT TITLE  
memo SB 947

TO/FROM  
Burton, Kvistad from D.  
Cooper

032796c-02  
032797c-03  
032797c-04

Ord. No. 97-681A Amendment  
Ord No. 97-681  
news item- Kruse Way

Mike Burton  
Don Morissette