MINUTES OF THE METRO COUNCIL MEETING

July 11, 1996

Council Chamber

Councilors Present: Jon Kvistad (Presiding Officer), Patricia McCaig, Rod Monroe, Ed

Washington, Don Morissette, Susan McLain

Councilors Absent: Ruth McFarland.

Presiding Officer Jon Kvistad called the meeting to order at 2:02 p.m.

1. INTRODUCTIONS

None.

2. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS

None.

3. EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMMUNICATIONS

Executive Officer Mike Burton presented a document which consolidated Metro publications and includes a master index by department as well as a chronological listing. This list will allow Metro to have a handle on what we are publishing, charge for publications and what may be redundant.

Councilor McLain thanked Executive Officer Burton for this list, the MCCI has asked for this list for the last three years. Arleda, a MCCI member, should also be recognized for initiating this process. Her persistence in making this list happen should be acknowledged, something that has not been accomplished in the last six years.

Mike Burton presented a Metro tee-shirt to the Council which included the Growth Management 2040 map.

Councilor McLain loved the tee-shirts, there was a suggestion from one of the outlying jurisdictions to do a second and third tee-shirt, one for the west and one for the east. This could be a way to add to our tee-shirt sales at the fair.

Mike Burton submitted his recommendations on the Growth Management Functional Plan. (These written recommendations are attached.) The bill to be filed with the Council has some differences than the MPAC recommendations but slight differences. The functional plan that has been filed, is those sets of recommendations developed by the Policy Advisory Committee. Mr Burton concurred with those recommendations and would urge the Council to give the work done by MPAC careful consideration.

He noted the following: the Functional Plan includes the specific actions for cities and counties, the attachment outlines livability targets for local jurisdictions and a proposal for implementing benchmarks. The recommendation that Mr Burton has filed adds pieces to what MPAC has. First, the recommendations that have been made by various groups about how we determine where we are in this system is very important. There is need to look at these benchmarks, applying them to each jurisdiction to meet the standards of 2040 growth concept for design type and zoning. Those benchmarks include, but are not limited to, the amount of land converted from vacant to other uses, the numbers and types of housing constructed, including the location, density and cost, the number of jobs created, housing and job growth as a result of redevelopment or infill, and the amount of environmentally sensitive land that is protected as well as developed. He recommended that we have a system, on at least a bi-annual basis that allows us to take a look at whether we are meeting these goals. 2040 Business Committee and others have recommended that we have some sort of reality check, these are the benchmarks that will help in doing this reality check. Mr Burton will be discussing this concept with Council as it goes forward.

The questions of urban reserve will also be taken up soon. This is a powerful issue which has been laying on the back burner as we have looked at the functional plan but clearly the growth management plan needs urban reserves to maintain the land supply that we are required to have. However, Mr Burton believes that action must be taken quickly and decisively on the designation of those urban reserves or we will continue to fuel the fires of speculation and uncertainty in the region.

Once the urban reserves have been selected, the third concept that needs to be reviewed is the questions of master planning. While the accepted livability targets by local governments allow some breathing space and the necessity to move the boundary immediately, in their view at some point the region will run out of land. The 2040 Growth Concept is important to remember calling for compact urban form but also puts a limit on density. With those limits and the forecasted population increase, the region must plan ahead for land additions to the master plan for those areas long before the last usable lot is developed. It makes far more sense to identify urban reserves and then require local governments to get the land prepared to become part of their community. Required master planning should have elements of 1) zoning for density at urban levels, 2) public facilities such as water, sewer and schools, 3) transportation elements that include connectivity, transit services, bicycles, pedestrian access, and movement of freight and automobiles, 4) open spaces, 5) governance and capital planning. He advocates that we not add a single acre to the boundary unless it is master planned in accordance with the 2040 growth concept.

The Council is embarking on an historic precedent, Mr Burton looks forward to assisting the Council in anyway he can at this point.

Councilor Monroe added the we hope it will be a precedent and not just an aberration.

4. CONSENT AGENDA

4.1 Consideration of the Minutes for the June 27, 1996 Metro Council Meeting.

Motion: Councilor McCaig moved the adoption of the minutes of the June 27, 1996 Metro Council Meeting.

Second: Councilor McLain seconded the motion.

Discussion: Councilor Morissette corrected the minutes of June 27, 1996 to

read as follows: 7.1 Resolution 96-2316 should read transit oriented

"developments" and 9.0 Councilor Communication under Councilor Morissette should read after talking "with" Larry

Hildebrand.

Vote: The vote was 6 aye / 0 nay / 0 abstain. Presiding Officer Jon

Kvistad declared the minutes unanimously approved as amended by

all those voting.

5. INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATION

5.1 Presentation by MPAC Recommendations on the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan by Portland City Commissioner Charlie Hales.

Commissioner Charlie Hales stated that some two dozen local governments came before their elected regional government and asked for strong regional planning to be applied in an effective and consistent way over an entire metropolitan region. There are a lot of firsts within the Urban Growth Management Plan. Commissioner Hale noted the high points, this is the first time that; 1) we have attempted a housing allocation like this, this precise and detailed, 2) the region has paid attention to parking and come up with a regional strategy and a set of parameters for what parking ought to look like and work like, 3) regional minimum density, 4) we have paid attention to mode split as the driving rationale behind transportation decisions, and 5) we have attempted a regional affordable housing policy.

Commissioner Hale acknowledged Presiding Officer Kvistad and Councilor McLain for their work on MPAC as the advisory committee. He also thanked the planning staff and legal counsel for giving excellent service and good advise. He thanked the broad and diverse cross section of interests that have dealt with the growth issues, those who have come before MPAC and submitted ideas. MPAC has attempted to incorporation these groups ideas.

Mr Hale touched on some particulars 1) The livable share allocation of housing that is found in Title 1 is designed to avoid expanding the regional urban growth boundary. There is a table of housing allocation which MPAC has unanimously agreed upon that accommodates population growth inside the boundary we have, 2) In Title 2, the notion of a regional parking policy; this is a minimal change in terms of current behavior but it is an important principle that we start looking at how parking effects the use of land in this region and attempt to have some coherent effort to reduce the amount of land that is dedicated to parking lots, 3) In Title 3, flood mitigation, water quality and wildlife habitat do not know boundaries or city limits and must be addressed regionally, 4) In Title 4, a concept that needs immediate attention is the retail in employment and industrial areas and making sure that there is some limit on how much these valuable employment areas get used up for non-employment purposes, 5) In Title 5, there must be a dialogue with neighboring cities, other jurisdictions and the region if we are going to have a livable region. This must be coordinated with what the region is planning, 6) In Title 6, a tool kit for transportation design for local governments to use has been developed to make these concepts and the regional plan work together. These are is not a big change in the nature of the tool kit but there is a big change in the emphasis, the most important performance standard for a transportation standard in the 2040 era and the most important criterion to use for making transportation

funding decisions is mode split; bicycles, pedestrians, Max, or driving automobiles, 7) Title 7, is another tool kit, a moral instruction, that affordable housing is everyone's business, this is something that must be shared and coordinated throughout the metropolitan area, 8) Title 8, enforcement - the message is "we mean it", transportation dollars can be used to ensure compliance. Metro needs to have real regional planning authority, the authority to require changes in local comprehensive plans and the authority to use power inherent in the purse to assure local governments follow through in their responsibilities.

Commissioner Hale referred to Jim Kunstler's book <u>The Geography of Nowhere</u> Oregonians are going to have to find new ways of doing things, of making a living without destroying land, building real towns, eliminating unnecessary car trips and local commutes, and most important thinking about long term consequences instead of mere short term gain. Oregonians are acting intelligently and setting an example in regional land use policy that the rest of the nation would do well to heed. MPAC has attempted to respond to the spirit of that praise in the document brought forward today. The effort that Metro is leading is to make sure that things live differently here, they live better than they do in "the geography of nowhere", that people flee to come here. We value this place, the economy, the environment so much that we are willing to make difficult changes, sometimes painful changes, in order to have a community that is worthy of that name. MPAC thinks that these recommendations gives Metro the ability to follow through on the planning mandate.

Councilor Morissette asked about the growth targets, and, referring to the chart in the back, asked what allocations would be for each jurisdiction for population numbers, jobs and housing?

Commissioner Hale responded that local jurisdictions could go through the exception process if they disagreed with the transportation analysis zones. A local government could come in and justify either a lower or higher allocation. If the region needs to find room for more housing units and other local jurisdictions can't take as much, there are some cities willing to add housing. The document sets up a process by which local governments can prove that they will not be able to accommodate quite as many living environments as were planned. However, Mr Hale does not believe that this will make much of a difference in the bottom line number.

Councilor Morissette indicated that for a long time now he has attempted to figure out where we were going to put 243,000 more housing units in his jurisdictions. It is probably easier for some of the smaller cities to define this, but for larger cities, it is a little more difficult. When related down to a neighborhood, your neighborhood is going to have this many more housing units in it, is MPAC really with this or not? As a builder, he sees all of the time the resistance to accomplishing some of these densities. He wishes to be able to figure out with this document how many units are planned for in a particular neighborhood.

Commissioner Hale responded that on the technical side we need to be honest about one aspect of this plan and not scare people needlessly, as a practical matter. Nearly fully developed high value single family neighborhoods are not going to be redeveloping and not going to account for very many, if any, new units. If you have a neighborhood full of \$200,000 houses, its not going to redevelop in the forseeable future and very few people in this process are counting those neighborhoods as the locus for significant new development. On the political side, his point is that he doesn't think that the City of Portland is all that different philosophically from the other jurisdictions around the table at MPAC but Portland has had more experience with conversations between policy makers and planners on one side and neighborhoods on the other about the willingness to take a share of this growth in order to avoid the bad things that come from urban sprawl.

There are neighborhoods slated for 24 to 30 units to the acre which have supported the community plan and a neighborhood plan that has that effect on their community. For example, a neighborhood President from the Woodstock neighborhood came to City in the course of doing a neighborhood plan and said we have looked around and have seen all of these pressures of growth and change and we believe that we could spend our time as a neighborhood organization trying to fight individual developments or we could try to turn that change to our purposes and try to make some things about our neighborhood better by allowing greater intensity along Woodstock Blvd and that it the choice made and that is why we are in front of the City Council asking to up some of our neighborhood. This does mean that everyone will have to stretch.

Councilor Morissette asked if Mr Hales had a pretty good idea where the 70,000 housing units will be placed and can this be obtained?

Commissioner Hales answered in the affirmative. The 70,000 unit projection is actually conservative assuming that we do not loose heart with our community planning process.

Councilor Morissette reiterated that he should be able then to figure out where the 240,000 housing units are going to be and through that process, generally, maybe not specific to neighborhood, be able to figure out how this is all going to be distributed not just in Portland but throughout the region.

Commissioner Hale responded affirmatively and indicated that these units are allocated by Transportation Analysis Zone and those are pretty small.

Councilor Morissette followed up by asking if MPAC has done the cross section of the density that would be required with it. This TAZ is going to have this many 7,000 sq.ft. lots, this TAZ is going to have this many 2,000 sq. ft. lots.

Commissioner Hale agreed and reiterated that even though these numbers are scary and they look big, it doesn't really change things as much as that fear might lead people to believe. We are not talking about making every neighborhood into Northwest Portland. We are talking about more neighborhoods that have the density of some of the projects that were built by Councilor Morissette or Murrayhill or Mountain Park, rather than half acre lots. We are talking about an average lot size of 6,000 sq.ft. not 2,000 or 3,000 sq. ft. It is not a radical change, its a tune-up in a direction that this region has already taken.

Councilor Morissette indicated that he had been here a year and a half and is still unable to figure out where these housing units are going to go. And if with this report there is a mechanism that he can be able to grab that and analyze that, he would love it. So, can the Growth Management staff break this down by density sizes and units per area generally?

Commissioner Hale affirmed his question and suggested that Councilor Morissette do regionally what has been done in the City and, that is, take a sample of those districts, of those TAZs, and say, show me how you have arrived at the allocation for that sub-area, then, walk through the assumptions that were used. In each case there are judgment calls, but the staff has made those calls well.

Councilor Morissette addressed the Presiding Officer and asked that if he could direct his question to John Fregonese.

Presiding Officer Kvistad responded that this question should be directed to the Growth Management Committee but a follow-up could be done.

Councilor Morissette thanked Commissioner Hale for all of the hard work and the accomplishments made.

Councilor McLain thanked all of MPAC. She is impressed with the amount of professional work and commitment. She reiterated that MPAC believes that this report is realistic and doable, demonstrating the vision that a number of jurisdictions in this particular region have agreed to. It is historic that they are committing themselves to the hard work that Councilor Morissette has started to discuss at this meeting. We are excited to accept this report and to carry it to the Growth Management Committee with the commitment that we will do as much and will try as hard to make sure that we can get to that 2040 Growth Concept and to the implementation to make it a reality.

Councilor Washington conveyed to MPAC his thanks for all of the work. A lot of hours, night meetings were spent putting this together. "We now have something we can really use."

Councilor McCaig asked about the last preliminary document before the GM Committee from the Executive which had a percentage included in it as a potential expansion, a 3% to 5% expansion which would have resulted in 4000 to 9000 acre expansion. What factors were different in MPAC's review and proposal that allowed a representation to be made to Council that the boundaries should maintained at its current level? There must be something different.

Commissioner Hale responded that MPAC went back through the housing allocations and each took a measure that assured that there isn't a 4000 acre embedded UGB assumption but that we accommodate projected growth inside today's boundaries.

Councilor McCaig clarified that the initial information received was embedded and MPAC took that number apart and in taking that number apart and reviewing the individual jurisdictions housing allocations, was able to reconstruct the number in a way that would allow us to accomplish the density spoken of by Commissioner Hale.

Commissioner Hale agreed and reiterated that some jurisdictions, not just Portland, said that they could accommodate more than the plan. So if there is a need in the adjustment process to find room for more population there is a choice there of asking or requiring jurisdictions to take more rather than simply expanding the boundaries. He added that if we have pretty close consensus on this, it is about right. There is nothing like having the whole community say to a part of the community, "hey you need to do a little bit more".

Councilor McCaig followed up by asking if MPAC participated in the development of the benchmarks as part of the recommendation that is being forwarded?

Commissioner Hale affirmed that they had a chance to review them.

Councilor McCaig indicated that she had not seen these yet and asked if there was a sense from MPAC about what the role of the Council is in the long term strategy that needs to evolve for managing the boundary. Where and in what context do we have a broader discussion of the long term management and the process that should be put in place for managing the boundary?

Commissioner Hale responded that he is not sure yet. But he would look after the completion to the framework, look at the charter, at how MPAC has worked, and see about

using MPAC to do some monitoring, giving periodic reports from the street level of local government implementation. There is a period review element built into the plan but there is a need for more frequent signals. Council needs to have MPAC shift roles a bit after implementation of the plan and look to MPAC to be more of a resource for implementation and adjustment. How do we all make this work together? The amount of consensus that we have around the MPAC table is a valuable resource to the Council. He encouraged the Council to use MPAC as needed.

Councilor Washington indicated that they are building housing in the Albina area.

Presiding Officer Kvistad noted that he is concerned about rural sprawl, what the Council allows outside of the UGB in terms of small parcel development of farmland. He believes this is where we will need to start focusing, these outlying counties. Senate Bill 100, the planning process, the framework plan elements all support what we are doing inside the boundaries. It is his hope that in the next step, the Council can begin talking about the rural sprawl.

Commissioner Hale acknowledged this danger and noted that the Council has the ability through the allocation and transportation dollars to make sure that we are not building roads to make mansions in exception areas with scarce regional transportation dollars. He would, however, disagree with Kvistad that the battle is over inside the boundary. In his experience, the City of Portland is regarded as this place where it all done and has been doing land use planning for years. There is some bad zoning in the City. "We have a lot of places where you can not walk to a neighborhood store or get to the shopping center from the apartment complex. We are still not done, we still have too much general commercial zoning in the City. There is plenty of work for all of us to do to make sure that the concepts of the 1950s gets addressed neighborhood by neighborhood."

6. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING

6.1 **Ordinance No. 96-647.** For the Purpose of Adopting a Functional Plan for Early Implementation of the 2040 Growth Concept.

Ordinance No. 96-647 was assigned to the Growth Management Committee.

7. RESOLUTIONS

7.1 **Resolution No. 96-2352,** For the Purpose of Approving Current and Future Leases Related to Metro's Open Spaces Property Acquisition.

Motion: Councilor McLain moved the adoption of Resolution No. 96-2352.

Second: Councilor Washington seconded the motion.

Discussion: Councilor McLain indicated that this resolution is to provide retroactive approval of all existing leases and approval of certain future leases that are related to the open space acquisition. Future leases which fall within the parameters which are described in the resolution would be executed without the Council's review and approval. There seem to be three of these leases right now and

oversight

those three existing leases and grants with perspective of approval of future leases because of the wide variety of actual property that we might acquire would include the following criteria; 1) that it must be related to the open spaces acquisition, 2) that it is less than one year term, and 3) that the monthly lease payments are less than \$1000.

Councilor Washington added that this resolution was to make sure that we really take care of business and make sure that we don't get ourselves into any hot water with regards to these leases. We are involved in having some property that has to be dealt with on a short term basis and this is just to cover us because of an on the part of the department.

Vote: The vote was 6 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed unanimously.

7.2 **Resolution No. 96-2354**, For the Purpose of Authorizing Change Order No. 2 to the Contract for Safety Railing at Metro South Household Hazardous Waste Facility.

Motion: Councilor McCaig moved the adoption of Resolution No. 96-2354.

Second: Councilor McLain seconded the motion.

Discussion: Councilor McCaig indicated she had several procedural questions after the vote was taken. This is a change order to a contract which authorized a \$6000 expenditure for purchasing a railing for Metro South Household Hazardous Waste Facility, It was delayed being put in because of the flood and as a result did not get put in the fiscal year so the Council needs to approve an extension through August 31st.

Vote: The vote was 6 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed unanimously.

Councilor McCaig wondered why an item of this significance comes to committee and to the full Council. Do we have a standard that any item less than \$10,000 or \$25.000 must come before committee and Council?

Presiding Officer Kvistad answered that there are two or three reasons why this would come before Council. If it was a change order that causes a budget requirement outside of current budget, this would require the Council to take formal action or if it was requested by a councilor to be heard it would come before Council to be approved. Normally, such an item would go on the contracts list and would be automatically forwarded unless a member of the Council had a concern.

The Metro Council was recessed and the Metro Contract Review Board was convened to hear Resolutions Nos. 96-2353, 96-2364, and 96-2365 at 2:52pm.

7.3 **Resolution No. 96-2353,** For the Purpose of Authorizing an Exemption of Metro Code Chapter 2.04.041(c) Competitive Bidding Procedures and Authorizing a Sole Source Purchase with CyroGenetics Technology, Inc. for Two Computerized Controlled-Rate Semen Freezing Units.

Motion: Councilor Monroe moved the adoption of Resolution No. 96-2353.

Second: Councilor Washington seconded the motion.

Discussion: Councilor Monroe indicated that this resolution has to do with the technology in the freezing of bull elephant semen for genetic reproduction. Our Zoo is at the head of this process. There is only one company, Cyrogenetics Technology Inc. that owns all the patents, does all the manufacturing of the system to provide for acquiring bull elephant semen, freezing it and protecting it. He believes it is appropriate to waive the normal bidding procedure.

Councilor McLain noted that she has had 2 or 3 phone calls that wanted to know if this came out of the Regional Facilities Committee or the Growth Management Committee. She assured them that it did indeed come out of the Regional Facilities Committee which listened to Zoo business.

Vote: The vote was 6 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed unanimously.

7.4 **Resolution No. 96-2364,** For the Purpose of Authorizing an Exemption to Competitive Bidding Procedures Pursuant to Metro Code 2.04.041(c) and Authorizing a Source Contract with the Portland Oregon Visitors Association (POVA), for National Marketing Services at the at the Oregon Convention Center for the Metropolitan Exposition Recreation Commission.

Motion: Councilor Washington moved the adoption of Resolution No. 96-

2364.

Second: Councilor Monroe seconded the motion.

business.

Discussion: Councilor Washington indicated that in 1989 there was a national search for someone to market the Convention Center. POVA was awarded the contract. This has been an ongoing contract. Mr Qualman from POVA is available for questions and comments. POVA provides the service for marketing the Center. There was an 11% increase in the contract, a basic increase

Convention in doing

Councilor Morissette asked if there were no other contractors that could bid on a proposal?

Councilor Washington responded that he is sure there are other contractors but no one has come forward. He felt if there was a need to do this that it would have been brought before committee and that has not happened. They are satisfied with the services that have been rendered by the current contract holder.

Councilor Morissette indicated that we have an 11% increase for a facility that has 100% occupancy. Why are we reaching out from more people? Why are we spending 11% more for a maxed out facility. It doesn't make sense to implement more outreach.

Councilor Washington responded that the 11% will allow the contractors to work more strongly together and to reach markets that were previously non-contactable as well as the cost of doing business is increasing.

Roger Qualman, the President of POVA, indicated that the reason there are no other contractors is that there is no one else in town who does this type of business, it is highly specialized. It is not the sort of thing that any PR or advertising firm can do. The facility is full this year but it is not full in future years. This increase allows POVA to work out years in the future, to get out and make sure that the Center is full in the future.

Jeff Blosser also indicated to Councilor Morissette that POVA has had no increases in the last two years, the budget has been held flat. There has been an 3-4% cost increase in terms of doing business.

Councilor Morissette reiterated that the 11% increase has to do with the fact that there has been no increase over the past several years and with a 3-4% increase annually, this is not an extreme amount of increase. These moneys are not so much to do with a lot of additional outreach but that the budget has been held flat for the last couple of years.

Jeff Blosser affirmed that there are some additional approaches that POVA will be looking at. "You can't stay stagnant in this business, you must adjust to the market. Some of these needs have been addressed in that budget too."

Councilor Morissette asked about POVA's contract as we spend \$5 million for a three year contract that we asked to make sure that no one else is interested in putting something like this together especially since the last request was made in 1989.

Joe D'Alessandro, Executive Director of POVA, responded that most cities that are in the business of attracting convention business only have one entity that does the marketing and it is normally the Visitors Bureau. It is well recognized within the industry that they are the representative for the City to attract major convention business here, represent the hotel community, the hospitality community and also the City in those endeavors. Attempting to find another contractor and get them up to speed to develop those kinds of contacts is virtually impossible in a three contract. To turn the whole thing over to a brand new group we would start ground zero to get ourselves back up to the speed that has already been

year below proven on

Councilor Morissette asked about the contract being for \$1,386,000,000 and the services add up to \$1,394,066,000.

the track record that POVA has.

Joe D'Alessandro responded by saying that they normally like to see the entire contract, if POVA expends over that figure to do the entire program then this is a cost that they bear.

Councilor Morissette added that he thought the working relationship we have with POVA is excellent.

Mr D'Alessandro closed by saying the POVA looks forward to continuing a positive relationship and making sure mutual goals are met.

Vote: The vote was 6 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed unanimously.

7.5 **Resolution No. 96-2365,** For the Purpose of Authorizing an Exemption to Competitive Bidding Procedures Pursuant to Metro Code 2.04.041(c) and Authorizing a Sole Source Contract with the Oregon Convention and Visitor Services Network (OCVSN), For Ethnic Minority Marketing Services at the Oregon Convention Center for the Metropolitan Exposition Recreation Commission.

Motion: Councilor Washington moved the adoption of Resolution No. 96-

2365.

Second: Councilor McLain seconded the motion.

Discussion: Councilor Washington indicated that the women and minority contract approved two years ago is merging with POVA. This contract will be in conjunction with the contract that POVA has to maximize the work being done in the area of women and minority marketing. There are no problems and the committee feels it will benefit this region and this Council.

Councilor Morissette asked what the contract amount was previously.

Jeff Blosser responded that the last years contract was \$216,000.

Councilor Morissette confirmed that this contract went from \$216,000 to \$225,000 and next year it would go up to \$250,000 and then stays flat.

Mr Blosser affirmed Councilor Morissette's question.

Mr Jay introduced himself.

Mr Blosser added that he felt this was a very important step for POVA and OCVSN. This is the type of effort needed in Portland. This kind of merger will help both staffs.

Vote: The vote was 6 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed unanimously.

The Contract Review Board was adjourned, and the Metro Council Meeting was reconvened at 3:07pm.

- 8. EXECUTIVE SESSION HELD PURSUANT TO ORS 192.660(1)(e). DELIBERATIONS WITH PERSONS DESIGNATED TO NEGOTIATE REAL PROPERTY TRANSACTIONS.
 - 8.1 Resolution No. 96-2357, For the Purpose of Approving A Refinement Plan for the Multnomah Channel Target Area as Outlined in the Open Space Implementation Work Plan.
 - 8.2 Resolution No. 96-2358, For the Purpose of Approving A Refinement Plan for the Sandy River Gorge Target Area as Outlined in the Open Space Implementation Work Plan.
 - 8.3 Resolution No. 96-2359, For the Purpose of Approving a Refinement Plan for the Beaver Creek Canyon Greenway Target Area as Outlined in the Open Space Implementation Work Plan.

Presiding Officer Kvistad opened an Executive Session pursuant to ORS 109-660(1)(e) at 3:10 pm.

Present: Charlies Ciecko, Chris Rigby, Barbara Edwardson, Amy Kirschbaum, Cable Access person, Jim Desmond.

Presiding Officer Kvistad closed the Executive Session pursuant to ORS 109-660(1)(e) at 3:30 pm.

Councilor McLain announced that she had additional postcards made up for any of the Councilors who wish to deliver them to particular interested parties, this postcard is a reminder of the Public Hearing on Ordinance 96-647.

Motion: Councilor Washington moved the adoption of Resolution No. 96-

2357.

Second: Councilor Monroe seconded the motion.

Discussion: Councilor Morissette indicate he would be abstaining from this resolution as he believed this property was close at hand to his.

Vote: The vote was 5 aye/ 0 nay/ 1 abstain. The motion passed with five ayes and one abstention, Councilor Morissette abstained.

Motion: Councilor McLain moved the adoption of Resolution No. 96-2358.

Second: Councilor Washington seconded the motion.

Vote: The vote was 6 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed

unanimously.

Motion: Councilor Morissette moved the adoption of Resolution No. 96-

2359.

Second: Councilor Washington seconded the motion.

Vote: The vote was 6 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed

unanimously.

Motion: Councilor McCaig moved approval of purchase of the Foley property in the Tryon Creek area under the unique circumstances that were outlined by staff during the Executive Session.

Seconded: Councilor Monroe seconded the motion.

Discussion: Councilor Morissette indicated he would be abstaining on this motion as he owned something relatively close to this site.

Vote: The vote was 5 aye/ 0 nay/ 1 abstain. The motion passed with five ayes and one abstention. Councilor Morissette abstained.

Councilor McLain announced there would be a resolution coming forward for the Rock Creek Refinement area. She added that there was apparently a process glitch in the refinement process and she will be bringing forward an amendment. The Regional Facilities Chair, Councilor Washington, had indicated he will make sure that it comes before his committee as soon as possible. She hopes to have it before Council before the Council break in August.

Councilor Washington asked if there was an urgency to get this before committee and the Council.

Councilor McLain indicated that we needed to be proactive on this resolution or we could lose several partners. She encourage acting upon this resolution as soon as possible.

Michael Morrissey indicated that this resolution could be ready for committee the first week in August and then to Council on August 15th.

9. Request for Council approval of the Water Supply Comments.

Discussion: Councilor McLain indicated that the Council has already received a staff report dated July 9, 1996 on this item. There was a recommendation with three Councilors voting in favor of this letter with several changes and additions suggested by Councilor McCaig. Councilor McLain has reviewed this letter and would be in favor of this letter going forward to the regional group working on this particular supply plan.

Rosemary Furfey, Senior Planner in the Growth Management Services Department, brought the July 9, 1996 Water Supply letter forward. The Management Committee of the Regional Water Supply Study is anxious to move forward with the Water Supply Plan that will go out again for public review and comment to ensure that this agency is secure that they have addressed issues that were raised last fall in a communication to them. This letter highlights comments to the management team expanding a variety of issues with regard to public involvement, for example, a recommendation for a citizen advisory committee, a variety of pubic involvement activities that would be carried out by the individual agencies participating in the consortium as well as the interest Metro has expressed in adding water conservation to our hotline which has been very successful at the regional and national levels. They will be meeting with Columbia Water Conservation Coalition to suggest this addition.

Motion: Councilor McLain moved to send the letter to the Supply group.

Seconded: Councilor McCaig seconded the motion.

Vote: The vote was 5 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed

unanimously.

10. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATIONS

Presiding Officer Kvistad announced that the August 15th Council meeting was still on the calendar. If Council accomplishes the work needed prior to the August

15th meeting, at the August 8th meeting, the meeting on the 15th may be canceled. It will remain on the agenda until we have a better idea of what needs to be accomplished. We should know within two to three weeks and will try to move everything to the meeting on the 8th of August.

Councilor Morissette indicated he would not be at the Council meeting on August 15th.

Councilor McLain asked the August 15th Council meeting be held as an emergency item date for items that must be taken care of before September. She also reminded the Council and those in attendance that a public hearing will be held on July 16th for Ordinance 96-647 in front of the Growth Management committee. She encouraged attendance at the pubic hearing.

Councilor Washington indicated he would be available for the August 15th Council meeting if held.

10. ADJOURN

With no further business to come before Metro Council this afternoon, the meeting was adjourned by Presiding Officer Jon Kvistad at 3:47pm.

Prepared by

Chris Billington Clerk of the Council