

BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE
METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 89-294A REVISING THE FY 1989-90 BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS SCHEDULE FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXPANDING THE WATER QUALITY STUDY PROGRAM AND ADDING 1.0 FTE ASSOCIATE MANAGEMENT ANALYST IN EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT)	ORDINANCE NO. 89-308 Introduced by Rena Cusma, Executive Officer
---	--

WHEREAS, The Council of the Metropolitan Service District has reviewed and considered the need to modify the FY 1989-90 Budget; and

WHEREAS, The need for a modified budget plan has been justified; and

WHEREAS, Adequate funds exist for identified needs; now, therefore,

THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT HEREBY ORDAINS:

1. That Ordinance No. 89-294A, Exhibit B, FY 1989-90 Budget, and Exhibit C, Schedule of Appropriations, are hereby amended as shown in Exhibits A and B to this Ordinance for the purpose of expanding the Water Quality Study Program and adding an Associate Management Analyst to the Executive Management Department.
2. That the Budget Note included in Exhibit C to this Ordinance be approved as a policy guideline to be carried out by the Administration of the Metropolitan Service District.

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District this

14th day of September, 1989.


Mike Ragsdale, Presiding Officer

ATTEST:


Gwen Ware-Barrett
Clerk of the Council

ann:A:\ORD89308.830

EXHIBIT A
ORDINANCE NO. 89-308
(Revised 8/21/89)

FISCAL YEAR 1989-90		CURRENT BUDGET		REVISION		PROPOSED BUDGET	
ACCOUNT #	DESCRIPTION	FTE	AMOUNT	FTE	AMOUNT	FTE	AMOUNT
GENERAL FUND: Finance & Administration							
Personal Services							
511121	SALARIES-REGULAR EMPLOYEES (full time)						
	Directors	1.00	62,142			1.00	62,142
	Managers (Finan., Const.)	2.00	115,114			2.00	115,114
	Personnel Manager	1.00	48,642			1.00	48,642
	Data Processing Administrator	1.00	45,088			1.00	45,088
	Chief Accountant	1.00	52,454			1.00	52,454
	Sr. Management Analyst	1.50	50,528			1.50	50,528
	Assoc. Management Analyst	4.00	131,754			4.00	131,754
	Support Services Supervisor	0.50	20,182			0.50	20,182
	D.P. Systems Analyst	2.00	75,006			2.00	75,006
	D.P. Operations Analyst	1.00	41,987			1.00	41,987
	Senior Accountant	3.00	98,526			3.00	98,526
511221	WAGES-REGULAR EMPLOYEES (full time)						
	Administrative Secretary	2.75	60,981			2.75	60,981
	Secretary	1.00	17,337			1.00	17,337
	Lead Accounting Clerk	1.00	25,200			1.00	25,200
	Receptionist	1.00	19,237			1.00	19,237
	Reproduction Clerk	1.00	23,519			1.00	23,519
	Payroll Clerk	1.00	19,824			1.00	19,824
	Accounting Clerk 2	2.00	38,474			2.00	38,474
	Building Operations Worker	0.50	9,437			0.50	9,437
511235	WAGES-TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES (part time)						
	Temporary Administrative Support	1.25	25,337			1.25	25,337
511400	OVERTIME		4,246				4,246
512000	FRINGE		294,939				294,939
	Total Personal Services	29.50	1,279,954	0.00	0	29.50	1,279,954
Materials & Services							
521100	Office Supplies		47,365				47,365
521110	Computer Software		1,695				1,695
521240	Graphics/Reprographic Supplies		700				700
521260	Printing Supplies		33,637				33,637
521290	Other Supplies		1,200				1,200
521310	Subscriptions		3,544				3,544
521320	Dues		2,458				2,458
521400	Fuels & Lubricants		5,200				5,200
524110	Accounting & Auditing Services		31,000				31,000
524190	Misc. Professional Services		43,215				43,215
525630	Maintenance & Repairs Services-Vehicles		3,575				3,575
525640	Maintenance & Repairs Services-Equipment		102,860				102,860
525710	Equipment Rental		3,640				3,640
525732	Operating Lease Payments-Vehicles		15,780				15,780
526200	Ads & Legal Notices		18,442				18,442
526310	Printing Services		4,585				4,585
526410	Telephone		36,181				36,181

EXHIBIT A
 ORDINANCE NO. 89-308
 (Revised 8/21/89)

FISCAL YEAR 1989-90		CURRENT BUDGET		REVISION		PROPOSED BUDGET	
ACCOUNT #	DESCRIPTION	FTE	AMOUNT	FTE	AMOUNT	FTE	AMOUNT
526420	Postage		45,580				45,580
526440	Delivery Services		200				200
526500	Travel		17,041				17,041
526700	Temporary Help Services		3,952				3,952
526800	Training, Tuition, Conferences		27,076				27,076
526900	Misc. Other Purchased Services		12,500				12,500
528100	License, Permits, Payments to Other Agencies		7,640				7,640
528200	Election Expense		120,000		(29,471)		90,529
529500	Meetings		1,400				1,400
529800	Miscellaneous		1,700				1,700
531100	Capital Lease Payments-Furniture & Equipment		244,161				244,161
	Total Materials & Services		836,327		(29,471)		806,856
	Capital Outlay						
571500	Purchases-Office Furniture & Equipment		62,575				62,575
	Total Capital Outlay		62,575		0		62,575
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	29.50	2,178,856	0.00	(29,471)	29.50	2,149,385

EXHIBIT A
ORDINANCE NO. 89-308
(Revised 8/21/89)

FISCAL YEAR 1989-90		CURRENT BUDGET		REVISION		PROPOSED BUDGET	
ACCOUNT #	DESCRIPTION	FTE	AMOUNT	FTE	AMOUNT	FTE	AMOUNT
GENERAL FUND:Executive Management							
Personal Services							

511110	ELECTED OFFICIALS						
	Executive Officer	1.00	67,600			1.00	67,600
511121	SALARIES-REGULAR EMPLOYEES (full time)						
	Deputy Executive Officer	1.00	51,893			1.00	51,893
	Sr. Management Analyst	2.00	77,074			2.00	77,074
	Assoc. Management Analyst		0	0.85	29,930	0.85	29,930
	Government Relations Mgr.	1.00	58,240			1.00	58,240
	Sr. Public Info. Specialist	0.50	16,149			0.50	16,149
	Administrative Assistant	1.00	26,803			1.00	26,803
511221	WAGES-REGULAR EMPLOYEES (full time)						
	Administrative Secretary	1.00	21,130			1.00	21,130
511225	WAGES-REGULAR EMPLOYEES (part time)						
	Temporary Administrative Support	0.50	7,741			0.50	7,741
512000	FRINGE		97,990		8,979		106,969
Total Personal Services		8.00	424,620	0.85	38,909	8.85	463,529
Materials & Services							

521100	Office Supplies		1,891		1,430		3,321
521110	Computer Software		290				290
521310	Subscriptions		723		100		823
521320	Dues		8,658		50		8,708
524190	Misc. Professional Services		20,000		6,500		26,500
525640	Maintenance & Repairs Services-Equipment		100				100
525710	Equipment Rental		648				648
525731	Operating Lease Payments-Building		1,050				1,050
526310	Printing Services		150		500		650
526420	Postage		0		500		500
526440	Delivery Services		150				150
526500	Travel		10,780		900		11,680
526800	Training, Tuition, Conferences		6,155				6,155
529500	Meetings		4,960		400		5,360
529800	Miscellaneous		370				370
Total Materials & Services			55,925		10,380		66,305
Capital Outlay							

571500	Purchases-Office Furniture & Equipment		3,974		4,600		8,574
Total Capital Outlay			3,974		4,600		8,574
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		8.00	484,519	0.85	53,889	8.85	538,408

EXHIBIT A
 ORDINANCE NO. 89-308
 (Revised 8/21/89)

FISCAL YEAR 1989-90		CURRENT BUDGET		REVISION		PROPOSED BUDGET	
ACCOUNT #	DESCRIPTION	FTE	AMOUNT	FTE	AMOUNT	FTE	AMOUNT
GENERAL FUND:General Expenses							
Interfund Transfers							
581513	Trans. Indirect Costs to Bldg. Fund		210,760				210,760
581615	Trans. Indirect Costs to Insurance Fund		33,733				33,733
582140	Trans. Resources to Plan. Fund		37,612				37,612
	Total Interfund Transfers		282,105		0		282,105
Contingency and Unappropriated Balance							
599999	Contingency		124,418		(24,418)		100,000
	Total Contingency and Unappropriated Balance		124,418		(24,418)		100,000
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	59.80	4,313,357	0.85	0	60.65	4,313,357

EXHIBIT B
 ORDINANCE NO. 89-308
 SCHEDULE OF APPROPRIATIONS FY 1989-90
 (Revised 8/21/89)

	CURRENT APPROPRIATION	REVISION	REVISED APPROPRIATION
GENERAL FUND			
Council			
Personal Services	319,762		319,762
Materials & Services:	149,500		149,500
Capital Outlay:	4,700		4,700
Subtotal	473,962	0	473,962
General Counsel			
Personal Services	253,022		253,022
Materials & Services	23,039		23,039
Capital Outlay:	2,412		2,412
Subtotal	278,473	0	278,473
Executive Management			
Personal Services	424,620	38,909	463,529
Materials & Services:	55,925	10,380	66,305
Capital Outlay:	3,974	4,600	8,574
Subtotal	484,519	53,889	538,408
Finance & Administration			
Personal Services	1,279,954		1,279,954
Materials & Services:	836,327	(29,471)	806,856
Capital Outlay:	62,575		62,575
Subtotal	2,178,856	(29,471)	2,149,385
Public Affairs			
Personal Services	422,207		422,207
Materials & Services:	68,167		68,167
Capital Outlay:	650		650
Subtotal	491,024	0	491,024
General Expense			
Contingency	124,418	(24,418)	100,000
Transfers	282,105		282,105
Subtotal	406,523	(24,418)	382,105
Unappropriated Balance	0	0	0
Total General Fund Requirements	4,313,357	0	4,313,357

ALL OTHER APPROPRIATIONS REMAIN AS PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED

EXHIBIT C

BUDGET NOTE FOR WATER QUALITY STUDY PROGRAM

The Administration should seek other sources of revenue for this program in an effort to fund all or a portion of this request and thus reduce the use of existing General Fund money during this fiscal year.

DEC:aeb

A:\EXH-C.830

FINANCE COMMITTEE REPORT

ORDINANCE NO. 89-308 REVISING THE FY 89-90 BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS SCHEDULE TO EXPAND THE WATER QUALITY STUDY PROGRAM BY ADDING AN ASSOCIATE MANAGEMENT ANALYST POSITION IN THE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT

Date: August 30, 1989

Presented by: Councilor Gardner

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION: At the August 17, 1989 Committee meeting, members present -- Councilors Devlin, Van Bergen, Wyers and myself -- voted unanimously to recommend Council adoption of Ordinance No. 89-308 as amended.

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION/ISSUES: Presiding Officer Ragsdale presented this item to the Committee. He reviewed the history and reasons for establishing the initial water policy position in the FY 89-90 Executive Management Budget. He indicated that as the work program has developed there is a need for additional resources to be applied to the effort. The specific tasks to be accomplished through the use of the additional resources are included in a revised Staff Report presented by Presiding Officer Ragsdale to the Committee (see Attachment 1). They include the following: Agency Coordination; Future Program Funding; Regional Role Definition; Policy Leadership; and Development of a 1991 Legislative Agenda. As indicated in the revised Staff Report, the proposed method of funding the various items of expenditure in this request was through the use of the General Fund Contingency.

Councilor Gardner distributed to the Committee some "Notes" he had prepared regarding Ordinance No. 89-308 (see Attachment 2). The "Notes" included several questions about the request plus proposed amendments to the Ordinance. He indicated that the information presented by Presiding Officer Ragsdale satisfied his questions concerning the appropriate use of General Fund money for this purpose and the need for the additional position and other expenditures for the Water Policy Analysis Program.

Councilor Gardner said his remaining concern was about how the program enhancements should be funded. He proposed amending the Ordinance to fund the \$53,889 in expenditures by using \$24,418 of the General Fund Contingency (leaving the remaining Contingency at \$100,000) and reducing the Elections Expense line item in the Department of Finance and Administration Materials and Services Budget by \$29,471. He indicated his reasons for the amendment are stated in his "Notes" (see Attachment 2). He also included in his proposed amendment a Budget Note to the effect that the Administration should pursue other revenue sources for this program to reduce the use of existing General Fund resources during the remainder of this fiscal year.

Presiding Officer Ragsdale stated that he had discussed the proposed amendment with the Executive Officer and that he and Ms. Cusma both supported the amendment.

DEC:aeb
A:\FINRPT.830

Agenda Item No. 3
Meeting Date August 17, 1989

REVISED STAFF REPORT

CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE NO. 89-308 AMENDING
ORDINANCE NO. 89-294A REVISING THE FY 1989-90 BUDGET AND
APPROPRIATIONS SCHEDULE FOR THE PURPOSES OF EXPANDING
THE WATER QUALITY STUDY PROGRAM AND ADDING AN ASSOCIATE
MANAGEMENT ANALYST IN EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT

Date: August 17, 1989

Presented by : Rena Cusma
Mike Ragsdale

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Metro and its predecessor, the Columbia Region Association of Governments (CRAG) historically were involved in major water resources policy issues. During the late 1960's through the mid 1970's CRAG compiled and periodically updated guides to the region's water and sewer systems. In 1975, the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in coordination with Governor Straub designated CRAG as the areawide water quality planning agency for the Portland metropolitan region pursuant to section 208 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (P.L. 92-500). CRAG compiled the original "208" Plan which was certified by the EPA in 1979. Metro adopted this plan as a functional plan through Ordinance No. 80-102 and assumed responsibility for the continuing planning process required by section 208 of the Act. Also in 1979 CRAG/Metro sponsored the "Portland-Vancouver Metropolitan Area Water Resources Study" which was prepared by the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. In 1982 Metro prepared and adopted the "Regional Stormwater Management Plan" as a result of the continuing 208 planning process.

Since 1982, however, Metro significantly reduced its involvement in water resources issues due to a lack of resources brought about by Reagan/Bush Administration priorities which did not include full funding of congressionally authorized grant programs for water resources planning. The principal activity sustained by Metro since 1982 has been to annually update the Regional Wastewater Management Plan, that component of the 208 plan identifying needed changes in sewage treatment plant service boundaries and major sewage collection, transmission and treatment facilities, which maintains the region's eligibility for federal sewer construction grants pursuant to section 201 of the Act. Metro has typically budgeted less than 1/4 FTE per year to accomplish the updates.

Over the last few years events have brought the region to a major activity cycle in water resources management issues not unlike the decade of the 1970's. The Northwest Environmental Defense Center's

successful litigation against the Washington County Unified Sewerage Agency (USA), the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) and EPA has led to the establishment of a statewide program to identify "water quality limited" watercourses and water bodies and to identify and impose total maximum daily pollutant loads (TMDLs) necessary to meet adopted water quality standards. The 1987 amendments to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, more commonly known as the Clean Water Act (P.L. 100-4), have placed renewed emphasis on control of nonpoint sources of pollution including mandatory new planning requirements. The new Safe Drinking Water Act standards for potable water supplies will require major improvements in drinking water treatment, storage and distribution within the region. The Planning and Development Department's "Water Quality Issues Report" endorsed by the Metro Council through Resolution No. 89-1121 provides additional background on the array of water resources management requirements the region is confronting and many programs underway to address those requirements.

ANALYSIS

The adopted FY 89-90 budget recognizes the regional significance of water resources issues and established one Senior Management Analyst position in Executive Management to develop a strategy for the District's long-term role in water resources management. In order to develop a long-term strategy, it is necessary to comprehensively understand both the existing management system, and the issues and requirements to which that system must respond. However, additional resources are needed to complete the following tasks:

- o **Agency coordination** - There are at least five federal agencies, and eight state agencies having significant water resources management responsibilities with which we need to coordinate policy development. This is in addition to the 24 cities, three counties and over 50 special districts within the Metro boundary that have direct roles in water resources management.
- o **Program funding** - Most water resources legislation is initiated at the federal level and rulemaking often focusses on single purpose issues such as groundwater protection, stormwater management, toxic discharges, wetlands protection etc., each issue cutting across most or all of the managing agencies authorities. Federal funding allotments and set asides are available for many of these programs and Metro needs sufficient staff to aggressively pursue available grants, both for water resources program development and later implementation.

- o **Regional role definition** - There is a very high level of federal, state and local water resources activity ongoing at this moment. Volumes of information are being produced and numerous committees, commissions, and task forces have been formed to address various issues and formulate programs. Because of Metro's passive role in water resources management over the last several years and the renewed cycle of activity in the region we are in a "catch-up" mode in many respects. Metro needs to be knowledgeable of the issues and programs in order to identify appropriate roles in water resources management. Metro must also be an informed and active participant in many of these committees in order to meaningfully contribute to formulation of a successful strategy for managing water resources important to the region.
- o **Policy leadership** - Nationwide there is renewed interest in addressing water resources management issues from a regional perspective. This is also occurring within our own region. The last two years EPA has urged Metro to significantly expand the scope of our 208 program to address current issues and to generally play a more active role in water quality issues. Similarly, DEQ has expressed its intent to look toward other agencies and entities to implement the statewide nonpoint sources of water pollution control program rather than going it alone. Safe Drinking Water Act standards are forcing a reexamination of the current potable water storage and distribution system within the region.
- o **Development of the 1991 legislative agenda** - The initial steps toward formation of large regional water authorities in Washington and Clackamas counties have been taken. The Water Resources Commission is reexamining water rights laws and reservations. USA, other agencies, counties and cities are formulating technical and legislative programs for addressing TMDLs for the Tualatin River. Portland is doing the same in reference to the Columbia Slough. Throughout the region similar programs are being developed all with an eye to the 1991 legislative session. The outcome of these programs will clearly have implications for many of Metro's programs including growth management, parks and natural areas planning, and solid waste management. Resources need to be allocated now to develop our own agenda as may be necessary to pick up from the policy analysis phase of our water resources management program and transition into the implementation phase.

- o Focus on role of Metro, Metro Council, and Metro Executive - This new position, together with the previously authorized Senior Management Analyst, will focus on policy development. Their role will be unlike previous years' program of 208 Plan review which has been funded through the Planning Fund. Because of the overall policy focus on Metro's future role, General Counsel has indicated it is appropriate to fund these positions within the General Fund.

BUDGET IMPACT

This action would transfer \$53,889 from General Fund Contingency to the Executive Management Department to fund the new Associate Management Analyst Position and related materials & services and capital outlay.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

The Executive Officer recommends adoption of Ordinance No. 89-308.

WTR2RVSD.STA

COUNCILOR GARDNER NOTES

FOR CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE NO. 89-308

1. Ask for explanation of purpose of new position. What work is proposed to be done?
2. Ask is the purpose of the position (expenditure of funds) an appropriate use of General Fund money given the fact that the source of the General Fund revenue is transferred from other District operating funds which have restrictions on their use? (Cooper should be there to answer.)
3. Ask do we need this position to carry out the Water Policy Program identified in the FY 1989-90 budget?

(General Fund Budget footnote is as follows: "A new full-time Senior Management Analyst position is added to the Executive Management Department to analyze regional water policy issues and develop a strategy for the District's long-term role in water quality development and management. This position will be for one year.")

4. Indicate that you understand that \$6,500 of the request is for the purchase of the mosaic from the recent infrared flight of the region. Ask how this proposed expenditure relates to the analysis of regional water policy issues and the development of a strategy for the District's long-term role in water quality areas?
5. If you are satisfied that a sound legal and programmatic justification has been given for the use of General Fund money, then shift to the concern about the specific method of funding the request. State that while you are satisfied there is a need for this request, you are concerned about reducing the General Fund Contingency by over 50% in the second month

of the fiscal year. Therefore, you make the following amendment:

Amend Exhibits A and B of Ordinance No. 89-209 to use only \$24,418 of the contingency (leave the remaining contingency at \$100,000) and take the remaining \$29,471 from the Elections Expense line item in the Department of Finance and Administration Budget. Also add a budget note that the Administration should seek other sources of revenue for this program in an effort to fund all or a portion of this request and thus reduce the use of General Fund money during this fiscal year.

Reasons for Amendment

- A. The contingency is for unanticipated needs and while some of the need for this request is unanticipated some appears to be for an enhancement of an existing budgeted program. To fund this later portion, I think we should look to shift funds from an existing budgeted program to reflect changing Council priorities or find additional sources of outside revenue to fund this endeavor.

- B. The Election Expenses line item is budgeted at \$120,000. Council staff analysis has shown that holding all our elections (candidates and Zoo serial levy) at the May 1990 primary will likely reduce the General Fund's costs by approximately \$35,000, because the Zoo Operating Fund has \$55,000 budgeted for the levy portion of this election. While the Council has not set the election date for the Zoo levy, it likely will set it at the May date because: 1) convenience to the public (larger voter turnout and use of the Voter's Pamphlet); and 2) our past success on State-wide election dates.

If the Council chooses to use a special election date and General Fund election costs turn out to be greater than the remaining \$90,000, then the Council can review the situation

much later in the fiscal year and either restore funds to the Finance & Administration budget from contingency or possibly from under-expended portions of the General Fund Department budgets.

- c. This approach is preferable to me because: 1) it preserves a good portion of the contingency, thus giving the Council greater flexibility to meet changing conditions, and 2) it also signals that we want to preserve the contingency for carry-over in the next fiscal year so we can reduce the transfers to the General Fund. This has been an important effort of the Council during the past two budgets (to get the General Fund cost increases under control) and we should not forget that effort.

C:\ANN\RES-NOTE.817

STAFF REPORT

CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE NO. 89-308 AMENDING
ORDINANCE NO. 89-294A REVISING THE FY 1989-90 BUDGET AND
APPROPRIATIONS SCHEDULE FOR THE PURPOSES OF EXPANDING
THE WATER QUALITY STUDY PROGRAM AND ADDING AN ASSOCIATE
MANAGEMENT ANALYST IN EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT

Date: August 3, 1989

Presented by: Rena Cusma
Mike Ragsdale

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The Areawide Water Quality Management or "208" Plan is required by the federal Clean Water Act as Amended in 1987 (P.L. 100-4). It was originally adopted as a functional plan by the Metro Council through Ordinance No. 80-102.

An ongoing requirement of the Act is that the 208 Plan be maintained as an accurate statement of the region's water quality management problems and the short- and long-term solutions to those problems. To accomplish this Metro has annually updated the Plan since adoption. The Council approved the 1988 update on December 22, 1988 through ordinance 88-275. The update was certified as meeting the requirements of section 208 of the Clean Water Act by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency on May 16, 1989 and Metro was redesignated as the areawide water quality planning agency for the Portland metropolitan region.

In certifying the 1988 update and redesignating Metro as the areawide water quality planning agency, EPA requested that Metro address the establishment of "Total Maximum Daily Loads" of pollutants (TMDLs) for the region's "water quality limited" stream segments, specifically the Tualatin River and Columbia Slough, and urged a proactive Metro involvement in the TMDL issue through formulation of projects that could be funded under section 205(j) or section 604 allotments of the Act. EPA also stated it would like to see Metro formulate a cohesive regional stormwater management plan. Further, EPA noted that the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality and local governments were examining water quality in the mainstem Willamette River and that any new pollutant loading or treatment requirements should be addressed in future updates to the Plan.

ANALYSIS

The adopted FY 1989-90 budget funds a Senior Management Analyst position that was filled on August 1, 1989. Primary responsibilities of that position are to identify policy areas for long term Metro involvement in water resources issues and to identify appropriate institutional arrangements and intergovernmental relationships for

developing and administering water policy. In contrast, the proposed Associate Management Analyst position would concentrate on carrying out Metro's existing responsibilities as the designated areawide water quality planning agency for the region. The scope of the areawide water quality plan would be broadened to respond to EPA requests.

Both the existing and proposed positions are to be funded through the Office of the Executive Officer but report to the Regional Planning Supervisor in the Planning and Development Department on a day to day basis.

BUDGET IMPACT

This action would transfer \$53,889 from General Fund Contingency to the Executive Management Department to fund the new Associate Management Position and related materials & services and capital outlay.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

The Executive Officer recommends adoption of Ordinance No. 89-308.



METRO

2000 S.W. First Avenue
Portland, OR 97201-5398
503/221-1646

Memorandum

Date: September 15, 1989

To: Rena Cusma, Executive Officer

From: Gwen Ware-Barrett, Clerk of the Council

Regarding: TRANSMITTAL OF ORDINANCE NOS. 89-306, 89-307,
AND 89-308, FOR CONSIDERATION OF VETO

Attached for your consideration are true copies of Ordinance Nos. 89-306, 89-307, and 89-308, adopted by the Council on September 14, 1989.

If you wish to veto any of these ordinances, I must receive a signed and dated written veto message from you no later than 5:00 p.m., Thursday, September 21, 1989. The veto message, if submitted, will become part of the permanent record. If no veto message is received by the time stated above, these ordinances will be considered finally adopted.

I, Unette Harley, received this memo and true copies of Ordinance Nos. 89-306, 89-307, and 89-308 from the Council Clerk on September 15, 1989.

Dated: 9/15/89

gpwb:lr
mem.ord