

TRANSPORTATION POLICY ALTERNATES COMMITTEE January 26, 2007

Metro Regional Center

MEMBERS PRESENT AFFILIATION

Scott Bricker Citizen

Jack Burkman Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT)

Greg DiLoreto Citizen Sorin Garber Citizen

Mike McKillip City of Tualatin, representing Cities of Washington County

Dave Nordberg Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)

John Reinhold Citizen Sreya Sarkar Citizen Phil Selinger TriMet

Paul Smith City of Portland

Rian Windsheimer Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT – Region 1)

Ron Weinman Clackamas County

MEMBERS ABSENT AFFILIATION

Frank Angelo Citizen

Brent Curtis Washington County

John Hoefs C-Tran

Nancy Kraushaar City of Oregon City, representing Cities of Clackamas County

Susie Lahsene Port of Portland

Dean Lookingbill SW Washington RTC Ron Papsdorf City of Gresham Karen Schilling Multnomah County

Jonathan Young FHWA

ALTERNATES PRESENT AFFILIATION

Ed Abrahamson Multnomah County
Andy Back Washington County
Danielle Cowan City of Wilsonville
Jonathan David City of Gresham
Linda David SW Washington RTC

Michelle Eraut FHWA

Robin McCaffrey Port of Portland Margaret Middleton City of Beaverton

Ed Pickering C-Tran Lainie Smith ODOT GUESTS PRESENT AFFILIATION

Kenny Asher City of Milwaukie

MJ Coe Sullivan's Gulch Corridor Trail Committee

Lawrence Odell Washington County LUT

Patty McMillan Clackamas County – Safe Communities

Greg Raisman PDOT Mark Lear PDOT

Derek Robbins Forest Grove
Terry Whisler City of Cornelius

STAFF

Andy Cotugno, Richard Brandman, Ted Leybold, Josh Naramore, Amy Rose, Jessica Martin

1. CALL TO ORDER, DECLARATION OF A QUORUM & INTRODUCTIONS

Mr. Richard Brandman called the meeting to order and declared a quorum at 9:33a.m.

2. <u>CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS TO TPAC ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS</u>

There were none.

3. MINUTES OF JANUARY 5, 2007 MEETING

<u>ACTION TAKEN:</u> Mr. Phil Selinger moved, seconded by Mr. Dave Nordberg to approve the January 5, 2007 meeting minutes. The motion <u>passed.</u>

4. INPUT ON FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Mr. Brandman reviewed the future agenda items and added that he would present a Streetcar presentation at the March meeting.

5. <u>INFORMATION / DISCUSSION ITEMS</u>

5.1 Regional Safety Planning

Mr. Mark Lear and Mr. Greg Raisman, both with the City of Portland, appeared before the committee to present information on Regional Safety Planning. Their PowerPoint presentation (included as part of this meeting record) included information on:

- The community and school traffic safety partnership
- Work that still needs to be done
 - Traffic fatalities
 - > Safety concerns
- Good trends
 - > Declining bicycle crash rates/increased ridership
 - > Declining pedestrian crash rates/increased transit ridership
 - > Declining child pedestrian and bicycle crash rates
 - > Declining auto crash injury rates
- Opportunities and responsibilities
 - > Community and school traffic safety partnership
 - > Increased Federal funding
 - > PSU National Transportation Research

- > Pedestrian refuge islands
- > Bike safety projects
- > Subsidized residential purchase projects
- > Strong state traffic safety program
- > Safe routes to school
- > Collaboration from community partners
- Recommendations for Metro
 - > Form a regional traffic safety committee
 - Partner with ODOT/Portland on 82nd Avenue of Roses Operational Safety Strategy
 - Create a regional Safe Routes to School strategy
 - > Increase funding to meet minimum standard for safe crossings for transit
 - Prioritize regional bike network that includes bike boulevards

Mr. Paul Smith noted that the City of Portland would soon hire a consultant to look at the 20 highest crash locations.

Ms. Danielle Cowan commented that an issue in SW Portland is the fact that there are few sidewalks and bicyclists don't like to ride on the roads because of the close proximity to cars. Mr. Lear responded that while sidewalks are important, facilities with higher speeds and volume have more crash incidents.

5.2 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)

Mr. Andy Cotugno provided the committee with a first draft of the UPWP. The federal review will take place on February 26th from 9a.m.-noon at Metro. He asked that any additions and updates be provided to him as soon as possible. TPAC is expected to take action on the UPWP at their March 30th meeting after the federal review.

5.3 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Plan Final Cut List Briefing

Mr. Ted Leybold appeared before the committee and provided a briefing on the MTIP Final Cut List. He directed the committee's attention to several handouts (included as part of the meeting record) which included a memo, the MTIP staff recommended program narrowing factors rationale, the base program narrowing factors rationale, a calendar of activities, a draft resolution and the draft staff recommended final cut project list. He reminded the committee of the special TPAC meeting on Friday, February 2nd, immediately following the RTP workshop, where TPAC would be asked to take action on a final cut list. Mr. Cotugno noted that there would be a joint Metro Council/JPACT public hearing on February 13th.

Mr. Andy Back inquired about the difference between the base program and staff recommendation. Mr. Cotugno clarified that the base program represents the minimum. Projects recommended above the base program incorporate choices and value judgments (taking into consideration the evaluation criteria). He noted that the Metro staff recommendation is a first attempt at making and or identifying some of these choices (as shown by the gray and dotted boxes in the final cut project list handout).

Mr. Leybold noted that the purpose of this briefing was to have the committee comfortable with the base program so that at their special meeting on February 2nd, the committee could focus their debate mainly on the field of projects above the base program recommendation.

Mr. Leybold summarized the projects in each of the categories and noted which projects were included in the staff recommended and why.

Mr. Scott Bricker inquired about the public participation process and whether or not public comments were considered as part of the evaluation criteria. Mr. Leybold responded that yes, public comments were considered and included as the environmental justice criteria. Mr. Smith asked which project received the most negative comments, to which, Mr. Leybold responded: Boones Ferry. Mr. Bricker suggested it would be helpful to have an explanation, particularly to the public, why projects they have either supported or opposed, did or did not make the final cut list.

Mr. John Reinhold inquired about the individual project scores. Mr. Leybold responded that those could be found in the project description book, which staff would be happy to provide to anyone wishing to receive a copy.

6. ADJOURN

As there was no further business, Mr. Cotugno adjourned the meeting at 11:37a.m.

Respectfully submitted, Jessica Martin, Recording Secretary

ATTACHMENTS TO THE PUBLIC RECORD FOR JANUARY 26, 2007

The following have been included as part of the official public record:

	ITEM	ТОРІС	DOC DATE	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	DOCUMENT No.
*	3.	Minutes	1/5/07	TPAC Meeting Minutes of January 5, 2007	012607t-01
*	5.2	Report	1/19/07	Draft FY2007-08 Unified Planning Work Program	012607t-02
**	5.1	PowerPoint	1/26/07	Transportation Safety Portland presentation by Greg Raisman and Mark Lear (City of Portland)	012607t-03
**	5.1	Information Sheet	12/18/07	Portland Traffic Safety Coordination Council	012607t-04
**	5.1	Information	N/A	City of Portland Traffic Safety Update	012607t-05
**	5.3	Calendar	1/26/07	2007 Calendar of activities for 2008-11 MTIP	012607t-06
**	5.3	Memo	1/25/07	To: TPAC From: Ted Leybold Re: Transportation Priorities 2008-11 – Draft Metro Staff Recommended Final Cut List	012607t-07
**	5.3	Information	1/26/07	Base Program Rationale	012607t-08
**	5.3	Information	N/A	MTIP Staff Recommended Program Rationale	012607t-09
**	5.3	Resolution	N/A	Resolution 07-3773, FOR THE PURPOSE OF ALLOCATING \$64 MILLION OF TRANSPORTATION PRIORITIES FUNDING FOR THE YEARS 2010 AND 2011 PENDING AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY DETERMINATION	012607t-10
**	5.3	List	N/A	Draft Staff Recommended Final Cut Project List	012607t-11

^{*} Included in packet

^{**}Distributed at meeting