

BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE
METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

For the purpose of recommending)
changes to proposed Senate Bill) Resolution No. 79-23
66 that permit MSD to plan and)
implement economic development) At the request of
projects) Rick Gustafson

WHEREAS, The Metropolitan Service District is concerned with economic development processes in the district, and

WHEREAS, The Legislative Committee on Trade and Economic Development is proposing legislation (Senate Bill 66) that gives primary authority to counties of the state of Oregon for economic development planning and implementation, and

WHEREAS, MSD deems it necessary to have sufficient authority to plan and formulate projects to address regional, multi-county economic development issues; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED, That the legislative amendments referred to in the attached "Metropolitan Service District Testimony to the Legislative Committee on Trade and Economic Development" be proposed to the 1979 Oregon Legislature for its consideration.

ADOPTED By the Council of the Metropolitan Service District this 22 day of February, 1979.

Not Adopted

Presiding Officer

RJB:gh
2444A
0033A



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

527 S.W. HALL PORTLAND, OREGON 97201 503/221-1646

RICK GUSTAFSON, Executive Officer

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT TESTIMONY TO THE LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ON TRADE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

The following changes to Senate Bill 66 are intended to provide metropolitan service districts as authorized by ORS 268 with the economic development planning authorities and the same rights to access the proposed "Oregon County Development Revolving Fund" as provided counties by Senate Bill 66. Changes are proposed to ORS 280.310 through 280.390 to allow metropolitan service districts the ability to identify and refer industrial development projects to the State Department of Economic Development for industrial revenue bonding.

Specifically, the Metropolitan Service District proposes adding the words "or metropolitan service districts" in all instances in Senate Bill 66 where the words "county" or "counties" are used in Sections 1, 5, 7, 25 through 34, 39 through 42, and 44.

The word "county" should be struck from the titles "County Development Project" and from "Oregon County Development Revolving Fund" in all instances in Senate Bill 66. This will affect Sections 25 through 31, 33 through 35, 37, and 39 through 45.

Oregon Revised Statutes 271.510 through 271.540 generally titled "Acquisition and Disposition of Industrial Facilities" as referenced in Senate Bill 66 should also be amended to conform to the above change to Senate Bill 66. Specifically, the words "and metropolitan service districts" should be added in all instances where the words "county and city" or "counties and cities" appear. This affects ORS 271.510, 271.520, 271.530, and 271.540.

Oregon Revised Statutes 280.310 through 280.390 generally titled "Economic Development Projects (State)" as referenced in Senate Bill 66 should be amended to allow metropolitan service districts to request the State Department of Economic Development to consider prospective development projects. This will allow metropolitan service districts to take advantage of existing state agencies who have the capability to evaluate, formulate, and administer revenue bonds. Specifically, the words "and metropolitan service district" should be included after the word "county" in the title of ORS 280.330 and in all other instances in ORS 280.330(1) and (2) where the word "county" appears.

RB/byr