METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCIL RESOLUTION

FOR THE PUPOSE OF APPROVING THE FY 1980 UNIFIED WORK)	RESOLUTION NO. 79-49 At The Request of
PROGRAM (UWP))	Rick Gustafson, Executive
	·	Officer

WHEREAS, the Unified Work Program (UWP) describes all federally-funded transportation/air quality planning activities for the Portland/Vancouver metropolitan area to be conducted in Fiscal Year 1980, and

WHEREAS, the UWP indicates federal funding sources for transportation/air quality planning activities carried out by MSD, Clark County Regional Planning Council (RPC), ODOT, Tri-Met, and the local jurisdictions, and

WHEREAS, approval of the UWP is requested to receive federal transportation planning funds, and

WHEREAS, the UWP is consistent with the proposed MSD budget submitted to the Tax Supervisory and Conservation Commission, and

WHEREAS, the UWP has been reviewed and agreed to by the Transportation Policy Advisory Committee (TPAC) and the Joint Policy Advisory Committee (JPACT); now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED, That the MSD Council approves the UWP subject to Clark County RPC approval of the Washington State MPO portion, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the UWP is consistent with the continuing, cooperative and comprehensive planning process and hereby receives affirmative A-95 action, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the MSD

Executive Officer is authorized to apply for, accept, and execute grants and agreements specified in the UWP.

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District (MSD) this 24th day of May, 1979.

Presiding Officer

KT/g1 3588A 0033A 5/24/79

PUBLISHED BY

Metropolitan Service District 527 SW Hall Street Portland, Oregon 97201 (503) 221-1646

Clark County Regional Planning Council PO Box 5000 Vancouver, Washington 98663 (206) 699-2361

STAFF PRINCIPALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS REPORT

C. William Ockert Ted Spence Richard Howsley

Paul Bay

MSD, Transportation Director ODOT, Planning Coordinator Clark County RPC, Executive Director Tri-Met, Planning Director

PROJECT PRODUCTION FOR THIS REPORT

Karen Thackston Ginger Haskins Bill Chidester MSD, Administrative Aide MSD, Word Processing MSD, Word Processing

THE FISCAL YEAR 1980 UNIFIED WORK PROGRAM FOR TRANSPORTATION PLANNING IN THE PORTLAND - VANCOUVER REGION

FINAL DRAFT

MAY 3, 1979

Metropolitan Service District 527 SW Hall Street Portland, Oregon 97201 (503) 221-1646

Clark County Regional Planning Council P.O. Box 5000 Vancouver, Washington 98663 (206) 699-2361

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCIL

DISTRICT 1: Donna Stuhr DISTRICT 7: Betty Schedeen DISTRICT 2: Charles Williamson Caroline Miller DISTRICT 8: DISTRICT 3: Craig Berkman DISTRICT 9: Cindy Banzer DISTRICT 4: Corky Kirkpatrick DISTRICT 10: Gene Peterson Jack Deines DISTRICT 5: DISTRICT 11: Marge Kafoury DISTRICT 6: Jane Rhodes DISTRICT 12: Michael Burton

> Rick Gustafson Executive Officer

CLARK COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

Connie Kearney, Chairman Clark County Commission Carl Rhorer, Vice Chairman City of Washougal Rose Besserman City of Vancouver Carl Mason Clark County Planning Commission Frank Lambert Clark County Public Utility Dist. Mike Langsdorf Vancouver Planning Commission Wally Miller Clark County Conversation Dist. Leon Pagel Clark County Public Sewer Dist. Otto Neth Port of Vancouver Anita Fisher Vancouver School District Tom Toolson City of Camas Everett Eaton City of Battle Ground Steve Kenworthy. City of Ridgefield Don Lotze Town of La Center Richard Alexander Town of Yacolt Paul Grooms Battle Ground School District

> Richard Howsley Executive Director

FY 1980 UNIFIED WORK PROGRAM

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY WORK PROGRAM

The planning activities outlined in this document represent the continuation of a cooperative effort in the Portland-Vancouver metropolitan region, revitalized in December of 1976, to integrate transportation and land use considerations into a consistent systems level analysis. The prime purpose of these activities is to maintain regional transportation plans which:

- are supported by a credible analysis indicating how the plans achieve regional goals;
- are consistent with other functional elements of regional plans;
- . have broad public understanding and support;
- are backed by a consensus of the appropriate decision makers; and
- will be implemented because they are technically sound, fiscally responsible, and represent a regional policy-level consensus.

To accomplish these objectives, four types of planning activities are to be carried out by the two Metropolitan Planning Organizations (The Metropolitan Service District in the Oregon portion of the region and the Clark County Regional Planning Council in the Washington portion of the region.):

A. Evaluation of Transportation/Land Use System Alternatives

Involved are technical activities to assess the broad economic, developmental, environmental, and mobility implications of transportation/land use options as they affect more than one community. Included are efforts to estimate both the short-term and long-range future conditions resulting from alternative policies and actions. The findings of these efforts will provide a technical basis upon which recommendations can be made concerning policies, plans, projects and other actions to be implemented in the region. This work will make it possible to respond to federal requirements that transportation alternatives be assessed prior to the allocation of funds to projects.

B. Development of Regional Plans and Improvement Programs

Involved are activities to prepare and adopt regional transportation plans and update transportation improvement programs. Included is the involvement of local governmental staff and elected officials as well as the general

public. A major emphasis will be the integration of proposed transportation-related policies with other policies of the region. In addition to the long range plan and the short-term improvement program, both the Transportation Systems Management Plan, describing actions to be taken to insure the maximum efficiency of the existing transportation system and the air quality State Implementation Plan, are to be updated.

C. Assist Jurisdictions/Interstate Coordination

Included are programs to provide technical assistance to local jurisdictions and implementation agencies. Efforts to coordinate the transportation systems planning through the various committees are to be continued. Also included are efforts to maintain interstate coordination between the two MPO's.

D. Refinement of the Regional Plan

Several special studies are to be undertaken to refine the regional transportation plans. Included are studies to assess alternative short-range bus service proposals, efforts to refine the region's Special Transportation Plan, and conduct of several studies to develop transit projects.

For each planning project, a description of the objectives, status, tasks, and anticipated products is included. Shown below is a summary of the work programs of the two MPO's"

SUMMARY WORK PROGRAM

OREGON MPO:

A. EVALUATION OF TRANSPORTATION/LAND USE ALTERNATIVES

1.	Assess Growth Alternatives	\$45,500
•	Develop growth scenarios. Prepare detailed projections. Develop	
	consensus on growth projections.	404 600
2.	Prepare Simulation Tools	\$94,600
	. Improve travel forecasting tools.	
	Improve traffic assignment techniques	
	to produce traffic estimates on specific	
	highways.	
3.	Assess Plan Alternatives	
	a. Transportation/Land Use	\$256,000
	. Describe alternatives in priority	
•	corridor. Assess alternatives in various regional corridors. Evaluate	
4 4	, various regretation measure	

transportation/land use configurations.

	1	b. Alternative Air Quality Control Measures . Estimate air quality benefits and other aspects of proposed control measures. Conduct parking and circu- lation studies to correct CO	\$156,600
		violations. Westside Alternatives Analysis Assess Systems Impacts	\$410,000
		Refine promising alternatives. Estimate ridership and other impacts of promising alternatives. Refine capital costs. Assess engineering constraints and potential environmental problems. Involve citizens.	~
			\$962,700
В.	DEVEL	OPMENT OF REGIONAL PLANS AND IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	
	1.	Prepare Regional Transportation Plan Prepare and review draft plan. Involve citizens. Conduct interstate review. Prepare staff recommendations and adopt plan.	\$155,200
	2.	Prepare Transportation Systems Management (TSM) Element . Analyze technical findings. Update	\$42,600
*	3.	the TSM Element. Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) . Finalize FY 1980 TIP. Monitor projects and amend TIP. Apply cost overrun procedures Analyze priorities of	\$110,400
	4.	proposed new projects. Air Quality State Implementation Plan (SIP) Review technical findings. Update SIP. Involve citizens.	\$209,000
			\$517,200
С.	ASSIS	ST JURISDICTIONS/COORDINATION AND MANAGEMENT	
	1.	Conduct Technical Assistance Program . Solicit and prioritize requests. Provide technical assistance and data to jurisdictions and implementation agencies.	\$83,200
	2.	Committee Coordination/Management Program Prepare FY 1981 UWP. Manage planning program. Service various committees.	\$75,700
			\$158,900

D.	REFINEMENT OF THE REGIONAL PLAN	
	1. Transit Service Studies	\$315,000
	. Conduct transit operations studies.	
	Prepare five-year services plan.	
	Analyze service proposals. Conduct	
	energy conservation study. Continue	
	planning for special transportation	
+ -	needs.	
	2. Project Development	\$111,000
	Examine joint development policies	
	and potentials. Develop community	100 miles
	transit station plans in Tigard and	
	Beaverton. Evaluate Maintenance	
4,	Information System project.	
		\$426,000
		71207000
		\$2,064,800
WASI	HINGTON MPO:	
, MADI		
Α.	EVALUATION OF TRANSPORTATION/LAND USE ALTERNATIVES	
		410 000
	1. Assess Growth Alternatives	\$10,000
	. Contribute to the development and	•
	evaluation of growth scenarios. Contri-	en 1 Till til state og t
	bute to the development of growth	
•	projections.	\$10,000
	 Prepare Simulation Tools Support the development of simulation 	710,000
•	tools by MSD.	
	3. Assess Plan Alternatives	\$7,500
	Evaluate transportation/land use	
	alternatives. Measure air quality	
•	impacts of plan proposals. Define	
	strategies for conserving energy.	
•	4 Third Bridge Study	\$125,000
	Estimate impacts of an additional	
	crossing of the lower Columbia River.	
	Coordinate review of study.	
		6150 500
		\$152,500
	DESIGNATION OF DESIGNAT DIAMS AND IMPROVEMENT	
В.	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL PLANS AND IMPROVEMENT	
	PROGRAMS	en e
	1. Prepare Regional Transportation Plan	\$15,000
	Prepare draft transportation plan.	
	Review plan draft with committees	
	and cities. Prepare staff recommenda-	
	tion and adopt plan.	
	•	

	2.	Transportation Systems Management (TSM) Element . Analyze technical findings and update the TSM Element.	\$6,000
	3.	Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) . Finalize FY 1980 TIP. Monitor projects. Determine priority for new projects.	\$10,000
	4.	Air Quality State Implementation Plan (SIP) . Support MSD's efforts to evaluate proposed control measures. Review analysis of proposed control measures and update the SIP	\$119,200
			\$150,200
c.	ASSI	ST JURISDICTIONS/COORDINATION AND MANAGEMENT	
	1.	Provide Technical Assistance Support MSD's efforts to provide technical assistance. Coordinate	\$20,000
	2.	technical assistance requests. Coordination and Management	\$10,000
	3.	 Coordinate committees Citizen Involvement Conduct citizen involvement program. 	\$2,500
			\$32,500
D.	REF	INEMENT OF THE REGIONAL PLAN	
	1.	Transit Service Planning . Conduct I-5 Corridor Demonstration Program. Initiate development of a five-year transit service plan. Determine need for public transpor-	\$380,000
	2.	tation in Clark County. Project Development . Identify, select, and engineer transit station locations. Identify, select, and engineer bus maintenance facility.	\$100,000
			\$480,000
GRA	ND TO	TAL	\$815,200

MSD MPO BUDGET

TABLE 1
OREGON PROJECT SUMMARY
By Participant
FY 1980 UWP

٠.		PROJECT			AGENCY DOING W	ORK	·,	TOTAL
			MSD	ODOT	TRI-MET	DEQ	LOCAL	
Α.	EVAL	LUATION OF TRANSPORTATION/LAND USE ALTE	ERNATIVES					
	1.	Assess Growth Alt.	45,500					45,500
	2.	Prepare Simulation Tools	64,600	 30,000	a		*	94,600
	3.	Assess Plan Alt. a. Transp./Land Use			a			
		(1) Phase I Alt. Analysis	20,000			1	20,000	40,000
		(2) Corridor Analysis	50,000	10,000	-		25,000	85,000
		(3) Alt. Systems	81,500	 49,500		gartin a series		131,000
		b. Air Quality Controls	104,000	4,000	1,600	21,000	26,000	156,600
	4.	Westside Alt. Analysis	206,000		166,000	·	38,000	410,000
٠.		Subtotal	\$571,600	 \$93,500	\$167,600	\$21,000	\$109,000	\$962,700

TABLE 1 (Cont.)

OREGON PROJECT SUMMARY By Participant FY 1980 UWP

	PROJECT				GENCY DOING W	ORK	·	TOTAL
		•	MSD	ODOT	TRI-MET	DEQ	LOCAL	
							•	•
В.	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL PLANS	AND IMPROVE	MENT PROGRAMS					
					***		•	
	1. Regional Transp. Plan		129,100	20,000	6,100			155,200
	2. TSM Element		23,400	11,000	8,200	•		42,600
	3. TIP		94,300	12,000	4,100	•		110,400
	4. SIP		112,000	1,500	1,500	60,000	34,000	209,000
						*	•	
	Subtotal	· '.	\$358,800	\$44,500	\$19,900	\$60,000	\$34,000	\$517,200
		• • •	• • •		•			
c.	ASSIST. JURISDICTIONS/COORDINA	ATION AND MA	NAGEMENT			. • •	•	
					,			
	1. Provide Tech. Asst.		83,200					83,200
	2. Coord. and Man.	:	75,700					75,700
				•		* * *		*
	Subtotal		\$158,900	0	0	0	. 0	\$158,900

TABLE 1 (Cont.)

OREGON PROJECT SUMMARY By Participant FY 1980 UWP

	PROJECT	AGENCY DOING WORK								
·	PROJECT	MSD	ODOT	TRI-MET	DEQ LOCAL					
D.	REFINEMENT OF THE REGIONAL PLAN									
	1. Transit Service Planning a. Transit Operations b. 5-year Service Plan c. Service Analysis d. Transit Systems Man. e. Transit Energy Education f. Special Transp. Planning			110,000 85,000 43,000 33,000 30,000 14,000		110,000 85,000 43,000 33,000 30,000 14,000				
	2. Project Developmenta. Land Use Impactsb. Community Transit Station Stc. Management Information	udy 		45,000 61,000 5,000		45,000 61,000 <u>5,000</u>				
	Subtotal	0	0	\$426,000		\$426,000				
	GRAND TOTAL	\$1,089,300	\$138,000	\$613,500	\$81,000 \$143,000	\$2,064,800				

a. Tri-Met's participation by request using funds included under Transit Operations Planning.

CWO:gh/3149A/0001A

OREGON PROJECT SUMMARY
By Source of Funds

TABLE 2

		PROJECT	SOURCE OF FUNDS								
	*		Local	Local UMTA		EPA		ODOT/FHWA		Clark Co.	
			Overmatch	Section 8	e(4)	Section 175	PL	TQX	HPR	RPC	
Α.	EVA	LUATION OF TRANSPORTATION/LAN	ID USE ALTER	NATIVES					*		
	1.	Assess Growth Alt.		9,900			11,500	17,100		7,000	45,500
	2.	Prepare Simulation Tools						54,600	30,000	10,000	94,600
	3.	Assess Plan Alt.									
		 a. Transp./Land Use 									
		(1) Phase I Alt. Anal	ysis		40,000						40,000
		(2) Corridor Analysis	3		36,300			38,700	10,000		85,000
		(3) Alt. Systems						81,500	49,500		131,000
		b. Air Quality Controls	16,000	76 Oc 1		115,000		1,600	4,000	20,000	156,600
	4.	Westside Alt. Analysis	<u> </u>	/- II	410,000				1		410,000
		Subtotal	\$16,000	\$9,900	\$486,300	\$115,000	\$11,500	\$193,500	\$93,500	\$37,000	\$962,700

TABLE 2 (Cont.)

OREGON PROJECT SUMMARY By Source of Funds

	PROJECT		SOURCE OF	FUNDS						TOTAL
		Local	UMTA	UMTA	EPA		ODOT/FHW	Α	Clark Co.	
٠.		Overmatch	Section 8	e(4)	Section 175	PL	TQX	HPR	RPC	
В.	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL PLANS	AND PROGRAMS	N.			•	•	•		
	1. Regional Transp. Plan						135,200	20,000		155,200
	2. TSM Element		10,900		. ••	12,500	8,200	11,000		42,600
	3. TIP	•	43,800			50,500	4,100	12,000		110,400
	4. SIP	30,000			176,000		1,500	1,500		209,000
	Subtotal	\$30,000	\$54,700	0	\$176,000	\$63,000	\$149,000	\$44,500	0	\$517,200
C.	ASSIST JURISDICTIONS/COORDINATE	ON AND MANAGE	EMENT							
•	1. Provide Tech. Asst.		30,300	•		34,900	14,000	•	18,000	83,200
	2. Coord. and Man.	· · · · ·	<u>35,100</u>			40,600				75,700
	Subtotal	0	\$65,400	0	0	\$75,500	0	0	\$18,000	\$158,900

TABLE 2 (Cont.)

OREGON PROJECT SUMMARY By Source of Funds

		PROJECT		SOURCE OF	FUNDS_	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			TOTAL
		INOULCI	Local	UMTA		EPA	_	ODOT/FHWA		Clark Co.	
•			Overmatch	Section 8	e(4)	Section 17	5 PL	TQX	HPR	RPC	
D.	REFINEMENT (OF THE REGIONAL PLAN	(continued)						•		
•		: Development and Use Impacts		45,000							45,000
	b. Co	ommunity Transit Station Study		61,000		· ·		٠.			61,000
	•	an. Infor. System		5,000		-					5,000
	St	ıbtotal	0	\$426,000		00	0	0	0	0	\$426,000
	GRAND TOTAL		\$46,000	\$556,000	\$486,30	0 \$291,000	\$150,000	\$342,500	\$138,000	\$55,000 \$	2,064,800

l Includes matching funds

TABLE 2 (Cont.)

OREGON PROJECT SUMMARY By Source of Funds

	PROJECT		SOURCE OF	FUNDS	· .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·			TOTAL
	PRODECT	Local	UMTA		EPA		0	DOT/FHWA	· · ·	Clark Co.	
		Overmatch	Section 8	e(4)	Section	175	PL	TQX	HPR	RPC	•
D.	REFINEMENT OF THE REGIONAL PLAN										•
		• • • • •	•.			. 4				······································	
•	 Transit Service Planning 										110,000
	a. Operations Plng.		110,000							***	-
	b. 5-year Service Plan		85,000							* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	85,000
	c. Service Analysis		43,000						• .		43,000
	d. Transit Systems Man.		33,000				-				33,000
*	e. Transit Energy Reducti	.on	30,000	-							30,000
	2 Special Transportation		14,000	•			٠.				14,000

1 Includes matching funds

CWO:gh/3149A/0001A

WASHINGTON MPO BUDGET

TABLE 1

WASHINGTON PROJECT SUMMARY

By Participant - FY 1980

			·	P	ARTICIPAN	T*	<u> </u>		TOTAL
PROJ	ECT		RPC	MSD	VTS	Tri-Met	DOE	WDOT	•
Α.	EVALUATION OF TRANSPORTATION/LAND	USE ALTERNATIV	ES						
	1) Assess Growth Alt.	•	3,000	7,000 10,000					10,000
	3) Assess Plan Alt.a) Land use		3,500 2,500	. • .					3,500 2,500 1,500
· · ·	b) Air qualityc) Energy4) Third Bridge Study		1,500					120,600	120,000
•	a) Impact Analysisb) Local Review		5,000			. :		120,000	5,000 152,500
	Subtotal		15,500	17,000		· ·		120,000	132,300
В•	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL PLANS AN	ID IMPROVEMENT PR	ROGRAM						
	1) Regional Transp. Plan		15,000 6,000	•					15,000 6,000
	2) T.S.M. Element 3) TIP 4) SIP		10,000	20,000			39,600		10,000
	Subtotal		90,600	20,000			39,600	:	150,200

TABLE 1
WASHINGTON PROJECT SUMMARY
By Participant - FY 1980

			PARTICIPAN	T		<u>.</u>	TOTA
ROJECT	RPC	MSD	VTS	Tri-Met	DOE	WDOT	
		•		•			
			•			•	• •
. ASSIST JURISDICTION/COORDINATION AND MANAGE	EMENT		•				
A33131 UURISDICTION, COC. CI			•				
1) Provide Tech. Assist	2,000	18,000					20,000
2) Coord. and Man.	10,000						10,000
3) Citizen Involvement	2,500				· · · 	. -	2,500
							22 500
Subtotal	14,500	18,000		*		v .	32,500
			•				
				•			
REFINEMENT OF THE REGIONAL PLAN		•					
		•	•				• *
1) Transit Service Planning							
a) I-5 Demo Grant	•	-					5,00
1. Market Research			5,000			•	15,00
2. Promote Exist. Service			15,000				185,00
3. Design Service Improv.	•		180,000				10,00
4. Develop Preferential Treatment			10,000		•		5,00
5. Eval. of Service	•		5,000		•		25,00
6. Fare Reduction			25,000				37,50
7. Priority Access			37,500		•		12,50
8. Promotion			12,500				50,00
b) Short Range Plan			50,000	• • • • •			35,00
c) Public Transit Feasibility	35,000					•	33,00
2) Project Development				•	•		75,00
a) Transit Station Study			75,000			•	25,00
b) Maintenance Facility Feasibility			25,000				23,00
	•			5,000			480,00
Subtotal	35,000		440,000	3,000		•	400,00
			•				
	•		440.000	E 000	39,600	120,000	815,20
GRAND TOTAL	155,600	55,000	440,000	5,000	321000	**************************************	

^{*} includes matching funds

TABLE 2
WASHINGTON PROJECT SUMMARY

By Source of Funds

				a o u p	CE OF FUNDS	_	1.0		Contract	TOTAL
PROJ	ECT	LOCAL			UMTA Sect. 175	EPA	PL	FHWA HPR	OTHER	
		2001	Sect. 8 Basic Suppl. Grant Grant	I-5 Demo Grant	Basic Suppl	Grant	•			
A •	EVALUATION OF TRANSPORTA	and the second second	ALTERNATIVES				3,500	3,500		10,000 10,000
:	 Assess Growth Att. Prepare Simul Tools Assess Plan Alt. 	2,500 2,500	4,000				500	2,000		3,500.: 2,500
•	a) Land Use b) Air Quality	1,099 1,000 1,000				•	500	1,500	120,000(b)	1,500
·.	c) Energy4) Third Bridge St.a) Impact Analysis			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					5,000(1)	5,000
•	b) Local Review	8,000	8,000				4,500	7,000	125,000	152,500
	Subtotal							• , •		
в.	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONA	L PLANS AND	IMPROVEMENT PROGR	AM			5,000			15,000 6,000
	 Regional Trans. Pl TSM Element 	1,500	6,000 2,000 4,000		70.600	39,600	2,500 3,500		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10,000
	3) TIP 4) SIP	2,500			79,600 79,600		11,000	500		150,200
	Subtotal	7,500	12,000							

WASHINGTON PROJECT SUMMARY

By Source of Funds

		•								TOTAL
ROJECT	LOCAL	·. 	<u> </u>		OF FUNDS	EPA Sect. 105	PL	FHWA HPR	OTHER	
	рскь	Sect. Basic Grant	8 Suppl. Grant	Demo	Basic Suppl.	Grant				
		AND MANAGEM	ENT					•		20.000
. ASSIST JURISDICTI	ONS/COORDINATION	MILD THE						7,500		20,000
1) Provide Tech.	Assist 5,000	7,500				••	7,500 2,000			2,500
 Coord. and Ma Citizen Invol 					· 		9,500	7,500		32,500
S	ubtotal 8,000	7,500	•							
D. REFINEMENT OF TH	E REGIONAL PLAN			·					. %	
	ice Planning			5,000				•	•	5,000 15,000
1. Mark	et Research	ce		15,000 185,000	•					185,000 10,000
- 5	ign Ser. Improv. elop Preferential	? Imbreme.		10,000		•				5,000 25,000
5. Eva	l. of Service			25,000			•			37,500 12,500
7. Pri	e Reduction ority Access	:	-	37,000 12,500					•	50,000
8. Pro b) Short R	motion lange Plan 10,000	(a) _{10,000}	30,000		•				35,000 ^{(c}	
c) Public	Transit reas		60,000							75,000 25,000
a) Transit	Sta. St. 15,000 Fac. Feas. 5,000	0(a) 0(a)	20,000						35,000(0) 480,00
	Subtotal 30,00	0 10,00		00 295,00	·	39,60 <u>0</u>	25,000	15,000	160,000	815,200
GF	AND TOTAL 53,50	0 37,500	110,00	<u>0 295,000</u>	79,600	75175				

a) Vancouver Transit Authority

b) Washington State Legislature Omnibus Study Bill

Advanced Financial Support Payments - Public Transportation Feasibility Study