

## **Metro Self-Certification**

### **1. Metropolitan Planning Organization Designation**

Metro is the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) designated by the Governor for the urbanized areas of Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington Counties.

Metro is a regional government with six directly elected district councilors and a regionally elected Council President. Local elected officials of general purpose governments are directly involved in the transportation planning/decision process through the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) (see membership roster). JPACT provides the “forum for cooperative decision-making by principal elected officials of general purpose governments” as required by USDOT and takes action on the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) and the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP). The Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC) deals with non-transportation-related matters and with the adoption and amendment to the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). Specific roles and responsibilities of the committees are described on page 2.

### **2. Geographic Scope**

Transportation planning in the Metro region includes the entire area within the Federal-Aid Urban Boundary (FAUB). Metro updated the FAUB and federal functional classification in January 2005 as recommended in Metro's 2004 Federal Review.

### **3. Agreements**

- a. A basic memorandum of agreement between Metro and the Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council (RTC) delineates areas of responsibility and coordination. Executed in March 2006, to be updated in 2009.
- b. An agreement between TriMet and Metro implementing the Safe, Accountable, Flexible and Efficient Transportation Equity Act - A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU), executed August 2004, to be updated in 2007.
- c. An agreement between ODOT and Metro implementing the SAFETEA-LU, executed September 2004, to be updated in 2007.
- d. Yearly agreements are executed between Metro and ODOT defining the terms and use of FHWA planning funds.
- e. Bi-State Coordination Committee Charter – Metro and eleven state and local agencies adopted resolutions approving a Bi-State Coordination Committee Charter in 2004. Some were adopted in late 2003 and the balance in 2004, which triggered the transition from the Bi-State Transportation Committee to the Bi-State Coordination Committee.
- f. An agreement between Metro and the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) describing each agency's responsibilities and roles for air quality planning. Executed August 2004, to be updated in 2007.
- g. Memorandum of Understanding between Metro and Wilsonville outlining roles and responsibilities for implementing SAFETEA-LU was executed June 2005 and will be updated in July 2008.

#### **4. Responsibilities, Cooperation and Coordination**

Metro uses a decision-making structure, which provides state, regional and local governments the opportunity to participate in the transportation and land use decisions of the organization. The two key committees are JPACT and MPAC. These committees receive recommendations from the Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee (TPAC) and the Metro Technical Advisory Committee (MTAC).

##### **JPACT**

This committee is comprised of three Metro Councilors; nine local elected officials including two from Clark County, Washington, and appointed officials from ODOT, TriMet, the Port of Portland and DEQ. All transportation-related actions (including federal MPO actions) are recommended by JPACT to the Metro Council. The Metro Council can approve the recommendations or refer them back to JPACT with a specific concern for reconsideration. Final approval of each item, therefore, requires the concurrence of both bodies. As recommended by Metro's 2004 Federal Review, JPACT has designated a Finance Subcommittee to explore transportation funding and finance issues in detail, and make recommendations to the full committee.

JPACT will be undertaking a bylaw review also recommended in Metro's 2004 Federal Review.

##### **Bi-State Coordination Committee**

Based on a recommendation from the I-5 Transportation & Trade Partnership Strategic Plan, the Bi-State Transportation Committee became the Bi-State Coordination Committee in early 2004. The Bi-State Coordination Committee was chartered through resolutions approved by Metro, Multnomah County, the cities of Portland and Gresham, TriMet, ODOT, the Port of Portland, RTC, Clark County, C-Tran, Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) and the Port of Vancouver. The Committee is charged with reviewing all issues of bi-state significance for transportation and land use. A 2003 Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) states that JPACT and the RTC Board "shall take no action on an issue of bi-state significance without first referring the issue to the Bi-State Coordination Committee for their consideration and recommendation."

##### **MPAC**

This committee was established by the Metro Charter to provide a vehicle for local government involvement in Metro's planning activities. It includes eleven local elected officials, three appointed officials representing special districts, TriMet, a representative of school districts, three citizens, two non-voting Metro Councilors, two Clark County, Washington representatives and a non-voting appointed official from the State of Oregon. Under the Metro Charter, this committee has responsibility for recommending to the Metro Council adoption of or amendment to any element of the Charter-required RTP.

The Regional Framework Plan was adopted on December 11, 1997 and addresses the following topics:

- Transportation
- Land use (including the Metro Urban Growth Boundary (UGB))
- Open space and parks
- Water supply and watershed management
- Natural hazards
- Coordination with Clark County, Washington
- Management and implementation

In accordance with this requirement, the transportation component of the Regional Framework Plan developed to meet federal transportation planning regulations, the Oregon Transportation Planning Rule and Metro Charter requirements that require a recommendation from both MPAC and JPACT. This ensures integration of transportation with land use and environmental concerns.

## **5. Metropolitan Transportation Planning Products**

### **a. Unified Planning Work Program**

JPACT, the Metro Council and the Southwest Washington RTC adopt the UPWP annually. It fully describes work projects planned for the Transportation Department during the fiscal year and is the basis for grant and funding applications. The UPWP also includes federally funded major projects being planned by member jurisdictions. These projects will be administered by Metro through intergovernmental agreements with ODOT and the sponsoring jurisdiction. As required by Metro's 2004 Federal Review CMS and RTP update tasks were expanded in the UPWP narratives. Also, Metro identified Environmental Justice tasks in the UPWP in Title VI/Environmental Justice and individual program narratives.

### **b. Regional Transportation Plan**

The 2000 RTP was adopted in August 2000, culminating a two-phase, five-year effort to reorient the plan to Metro's 2040 Growth Concept. The updated plan contains a new emphasis on implementing key aspects of the 2040 land use plan with strategic transportation infrastructure improvements and programs. The plan is fully organized around these land use goals, with modal systems for motor vehicles, transit, freight, bicycles and pedestrians geared to serve the long-term needs called for in the 2040 plan.

The 2000 RTP also includes a new level of detail, prescribing a number of new performance measures and system design standards for the 25 cities and 3 counties in the Metro region to enact. These include: new requirements for local street connectivity; modal orientation in street design; 2040-based level-of-service policy for sizing roads; targets for combined alternative modes of travel; and, parking ratios for new developments. The plan contains nearly 900 individual projects totaling \$7.2 billion in system improvements, and a corresponding series of financing scenarios for funding these projects. It also calls for more than a dozen corridor studies to define specific projects for many of the major corridors where more analysis is needed to determine which improvements best respond to expected demand.

JPACT and the Metro Council approved the RTP 2004 Federal Update on December 11, 2003. The 2004 update was limited in scope, and does not attempt to revisit the requirements of the Oregon Transportation Planning Rule. The update included "housekeeping" amendments to reflect fine-tuning of the various modal system maps, as recommended by local cities and counties through transportation plans adopted since the last RTP update in August 2000. The 2004 RTP includes new policy text that establishes two tiers of industrial areas ("regionally significant" and "local") for the purpose of transportation planning and project funding.

The 2004 update also provided an updated set of financially constrained projects. The total revenue base assumed in the 2004 RTP for the road system is approximately \$4.3 billion, with \$2.16 billion for freeways, highways and roads, \$1.67 billion for transit and the balance for planning, bike, pedestrian, transportation demand management, system management and other similar programs. In addition to the financially constrained system, the 2004 Federal Update identifies a larger set of projects and programs for the "Illustrative System," which is nearly double the scale and cost of the financially constrained system. The illustrative system represents the region's objective for implementing the Region 2040 Plan.

Finally, a new map has been added to Chapter 1 of the RTP that identifies the MPO Planning Boundary. This boundary defines the area that the RTP applies to for federal planning purposes. The boundary includes the area inside Metro's jurisdictional boundary, the 2003 UGB and the 2000 census defined urbanized area boundary for the Portland metropolitan region. FHWA and FTA approved the 2004 RTP and the associated air quality conformity determination on March 5, 2004.

Resolution Number 03-3380A adopted the RTP to meet federal requirements for long-range planning. FHWA approved Air Quality conformity determination on March 3, 2004. Metro adopted Resolution 04-1045A to meet state planning goals on July 8, 2004. The document was published with both the July 8 2004 adoption date and the March 5, 2004 federal approval date as required by Metro's 2004 Federal Review.

Work is underway on the 2008 RTP update. Tasks related to the update are outlined in the 2006-07 UPWP. As required by Metro's 2004 Federal Review the RTP update will address operating and maintenance costs paid by member jurisdictions.

### **c. Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program**

The MTIP was last adopted in Summer 2005 and incorporated into the 2006-09 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The 2005 update includes projects or project phases with prior funding commitments and allocated \$50 million of Surface Transportation Program (STP) and Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality Program (CMAQ). The adopted MTIP features a program approved for three-years of projects and a fourth "out-year." The first year of projects are considered the priority year projects.

Should any of these be delayed, projects of equivalent dollar value may be advanced from the second and third years of the program without processing formal Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) amendments. This flexibility was adopted in response to ISTEA (now SAFETEA-LU) planning requirements. The flexibility reduces the need for multiple amendments throughout the year. As recommended in Metro's 2004 Federal Review, the MTIP webpage was linked to ODOT's STIP page.

Metro is in the process of updating the MTIP in the current fiscal year, with adoption of an updated program scheduled for early FY07/08.

## **6. Planning Factors**

Currently, Metro's planning process addresses the eight SAFETEA-LU planning factors in all projects and policies. Table 1 below describes the relationship of the planning factors to Metro's activities and Table 2 outlines Metro's response to how the factors have been incorporated into the planning process. The SAFETEA-LU planning factors are:

1. Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity and efficiency;
2. Increase the safety and security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
3. Increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
4. Increase the accessibility and mobility options available to people and for freight;
5. Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation and improve quality of life;
6. Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight;
7. Promote efficient management and operations; and
8. Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system.

As noted in Tables 1 and 2, Metro is in the process of updating both the RTP and MTIP, and revising both documents to be compliant with SAFETEA-LU planning requirements. At this time, the RTP policy component has been drafted in "provisional" form, for the purpose of framing the system development component of the update in the coming months. The draft policy component encompasses the SAFETEA-LU planning factors, ensuring that the system development phase is complies with SAFETEA-LU processes, as well. Metro will seek confirmation from the USDOT that this self-certification establishes substantial compliance with SAFETEA-LU for the purpose of processing amendments to the 2004 RTP, amendments to the MTIP and adoption of the updated MTIP during the period from July 1, 2007 and March 2008, after SAFETEA-LU consistency is fully phased-in, but before the updated RTP is adopted.

**Table 1: SAFETEA-LU Planning Factors**

<b>Factor</b>	<b>System Planning (RTP)</b>	<b>Funding Strategy (MTIP)</b>	<b>High Capacity Transit (HCT)</b>
1. Support Economic Vitality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RTP policies linked to land use strategies that promote economic development.</li> <li>• Industrial areas and intermodal facilities identified in policies as “primary” areas of focus for planned improvements.</li> <li>• Comprehensive, multimodal freight improvements that link intermodal facilities to industry are detailed for 20-year plan period.</li> <li>• Highway LOS policy tailored to protect key freight corridors.</li> <li>• RTP recognizes need for freight linkages to destinations beyond the region by all modes.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All projects subject to consistency with RTP policies on economic development and promotion of “primary” land use element of 2040 development such as centers, industrial areas and intermodal facilities.</li> <li>• Special category for freight improvements calls out the unique importance for these projects.</li> <li>• All freight projects subject to funding criteria that promote industrial jobs and businesses in the “traded sector.”</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• HCT plans designed to support continued development of regional centers and central city by increasing transit accessibility to these locations.</li> <li>• HCT improvements in major commute corridors lessen need for major capacity improvements in these locations, allowing for freight improvements in other corridors.</li> </ul>
2. Increase Safety	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The RTP policies call out safety as a primary focus for improvements to the system.</li> <li>• Safety is identified as one of three implementation priorities for all modal systems (along with preservation of the system and implementation of the region’s 2040-growth management strategy).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All projects ranked according to specific safety criteria.</li> <li>• Road modernization and reconstruction projects are scored according to relative accident incidence.</li> <li>• All projects must be consistent with regional street design guidelines that provide safe designs for all modes of travel.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Station area planning for proposed HCT improvements is primarily driven by pedestrian access and safety considerations.</li> </ul>
3. Increase Security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• System security is being incorporated into the RTP as part of the current update, scheduled for completion in early 2008</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transportation security will be factored into the next MTIP update, following completion of the new RTP.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• System security has been a routine element of the HCT program, and does not represent a substantial change to current practice.</li> </ul>

**Table 1: SAFETEA-LU Planning Factors**

<b>Factor</b>	<b>System Planning (RTP)</b>	<b>Funding Strategy (MTIP)</b>	<b>High Capacity Transit (HCT)</b>
4. Increase Accessibility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The RTP policies are organized on the principle of providing accessibility to centers and employment areas with a balanced, multi-modal transportation system.</li> <li>• The policies also identify the need for freight mobility in key freight corridors and to provide freight access to industrial areas and intermodal facilities.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Measurable increases in accessibility to priority land use elements of the 2040-growth concept is a criterion for all projects.</li> <li>• The MTIP program places a heavy emphasis on non-auto modes in an effort to improve multi-modal accessibility in the region.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The planned HCT improvements in the region will provide increased accessibility to the most congested corridors and centers.</li> <li>• Planned HCT improvements provide mobility options to persons traditionally underserved by the transportation system.</li> </ul>
5. Protect Environment and Quality of Life	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The RTP is constructed as a transportation strategy for implementing the region's 2040-growth concept. The growth concept is a long-term vision for retaining the region's livability through managed growth.</li> <li>• The RTP system has been "sized" to minimize the impact on the built and natural environment.</li> <li>• The region has developed an environmental street design guidebook to facilitate environmentally sound transportation improvements in sensitive areas, and to coordinate transportation project development with regional strategies to protect endangered species.</li> <li>• The RTP conforms to the Clean Air Act.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The MTIP conforms to the Clean Air Act.</li> <li>• The MTIP focuses on allocating funds for clean air (CMAQ), livability (Transportation Enhancement) and multi- and alternative modes (STIP).</li> <li>• Bridge projects in lieu of culverts have been funded through the MTIP to enhance endangered salmon and steelhead passage.</li> <li>• "Green Street" demonstration projects funded to employ new practices for mitigating the effects of storm water runoff.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Light rail improvements provide emission-free transportation alternatives to the automobile in some of the region's most congested corridors and centers.</li> <li>• HCT transportation alternatives enhance quality of life for residents by providing an alternative to auto travel in congested corridors and centers.</li> </ul>

**Table 1: SAFETEA-LU Planning Factors**

<b>Factor</b>	<b>System Planning (RTP)</b>	<b>Funding Strategy (MTIP)</b>	<b>High Capacity Transit (HCT)</b>
5. Protect Environment and Quality of Life (cont)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Many new transit, bicycle, pedestrian and TDM projects have been added to the plan in recent updates to provide a more balanced multi-modal system that maintains livability.</li> <li>• RTP transit, bicycle, pedestrian and TDM projects planned for the next 20 years will complement the compact urban form envisioned in the 2040 growth concept by promoting an energy-efficient transportation system.</li> <li>• Metro coordinates its system level planning with resource agencies to identify and resolve key issues.</li> </ul>		
6. System Integration/ Connectivity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The RTP includes a functional classification system for all modes that establishes an integrated modal hierarchy.</li> <li>• The RTP policies and Functional Plan* include a street design element that integrates transportation modes in relation to land use for regional facilities.</li> <li>• The RTP policies and Functional Plan include connectivity provisions that will increase local and major street connectivity.</li> <li>• The RTP freight policies and projects address the intermodal connectivity needs at major freight terminals in the region.</li> <li>• The intermodal management system identifies key intermodal</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Projects funded through the MTIP must be consistent with regional street design guidelines.</li> <li>• Freight improvements are evaluated according to potential conflicts with other modes.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Planned HCT improvements are closely integrated with other modes, including pedestrian and bicycle access plans for station areas and park-and-ride and passenger drop-off facilities at major stations.</li> </ul>



**Table 1: SAFETEA-LU Planning Factors**

<b>Factor</b>	<b>System Planning (RTP)</b>	<b>Funding Strategy (MTIP)</b>	<b>High Capacity Transit (HCT)</b>
	links in the region.		
7. Efficient Management & Operations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The RTP policy chapter includes specific system management policies aimed at promoting efficient system management and operation.</li> <li>• Proposed RTP projects include many system management improvements along regional corridors.</li> <li>• The RTP financial analysis includes a comprehensive summary of current and anticipated operations and maintenance costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Projects are scored according to relative cost effectiveness (measured as a factor of total project cost compared to measurable project benefits).</li> <li>• TDM projects are solicited in a special category to promote improvements or programs that reduce SOV pressure on congested corridors.</li> <li>• TSM/ITS projects are funded through the MTIP.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proposed HCT improvements include redesigned feeder bus systems that take advantage of new HCT capacity and reduce the number of redundant transit lines.</li> </ul>
8. System Preservation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proposed RTP projects include major roadway preservation projects.</li> <li>• The RTP financial analysis includes a comprehensive summary of current and anticipated operations and maintenance costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reconstruction projects that provide long-term maintenance are identified as a funding priority.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The RTP financial plan includes the 20-year costs of HCT maintenance and operation for planned HCT systems.</li> </ul>

\* *Functional Plan = Urban Growth Management Functional Plan, an adopted regulation that requires local governments in Metro's jurisdiction to complete certain planning tasks.*

## **7. Public Involvement**

Metro maintains a proactive public involvement process that provides complete information, timely public notice, and full public access to key decisions. Metro supports early and continuing involvement of the public in developing its policies, plans and programs. Public Involvement Plans are designed to both support the technical scope and objectives of Metro studies and programs while simultaneously providing for innovative, effective and inclusive opportunities for engagement. Every effort is made to employ broad and diverse methods, tools and activities to reach potentially impacted communities and other neighborhoods and to encourage the participation of low-income and minority citizens and organizations.

All Metro UPWP studies and projects that have a public involvement component require a Public Involvement Plan (PIP) that meets or exceeds adopted public involvement procedures. Included in individualized PIPs are strategies and methods to best involve a diverse citizenry. Some of these may include special public opinion survey mechanisms, translation of materials for non-English speaking members of the community, citizen working committees or advisory committee structures, special task forces, web instruments and a broad array of public information materials. Hearings, workshops, open houses, charrettes and other activities are also held as needed.

The MTIP relies on early program kick-off notification, inviting input on the development of criteria, project solicitation, project ranking and the recommended program. Workshops, informal and formal opportunities for input as well as a 45-day+ comment period are repetitive aspects of the MTIP process. By assessing census information, block analysis is conducted on areas surrounding each project being considered for funding to ensure that environmental justice principles are met and to identify where additional outreach might be beneficial.

TPAC includes six citizen positions that are geographically and interest area diverse and filled through an open, advertised application and interview process. TPAC makes recommendations to JPACT and the Metro Council. Metro Council adopted Metro's Transportation Public Involvement Policy on June 10, 2004 by Resolution Number 04-3450.

Title VI – In July 2006, Metro completed and submitted its Title VI Plan to the FTA and FHWA. This plan is now being implemented through updates to Metro's RTP and MTIP, and through corridor planning activities in the region.

Environmental Justice – The intent of environmental justice (EJ) practices is to ensure that the needs of minority and disadvantaged populations are considered and that the relative benefits/impacts of individual projects on local communities are thoroughly assessed and vetted. Metro continues to expand and explore environmental justice efforts that provide early access to and consideration of planning and project development activities. Metro's EJ program is organized to communicate and seek input on project proposals and to carry those efforts into the analysis, community review and decision-making processes. In addition, Metro recently established an agency diversity action team. The team is responsible for identifying opportunities to collaboratively develop and implement sustainable diversity initiatives across and throughout the agency. Metro's diversity efforts are most evident in three areas: Contracts and Purchasing, Community Outreach, and Recruitment and Retention.

## **8. Disadvantaged Business Enterprise**

A revised Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) program was adopted by the Metro Council in June 1997 (Ordinance No. 97-692A); 49CFR 26 allows recipients to use the DBE goal of another recipient in the same market.

Metro's DBE program was reviewed and submitted to FTA in August 1999 and is awaiting formal approval. Metro currently piggybacks on ODOT's DBE program.

**9. Americans with Disabilities Act**

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Joint Complementary Paratransit Plan was adopted by the TriMet Board in December 1991 and was certified as compatible with the RTP by Metro Council in January 1992. The plan was phased in over five years and TriMet has been in compliance since January 1997. Metro approved the 1997 plan as in conformance with the RTP. FTA audited and approved the plan in summer 1999.

**10. Lobbying**

Annually Metro certifies compliance with 49 CFR 20 through the FTA TEAM system.

**Table 2: Metro's Response to New SAFTEEA-LU Provisions**

<b>SAFTETEA-LU Provision for all MPO's</b>	<b>Metro Response</b>
<i>Consult/Coordinate with planning officials responsible for planned growth, economic development, environmental protection, airport operations, and freight movement</i>	<p>Metro's transportation planning and land-use planning functions are within the same department and coordinate internally.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Metro consults MPAC on land-use activities.</li> <li>• Metro is a member of Regional Partners for Economic Development and endorsed the Consolidated Economic Development Strategy (CEDS).</li> <li>• Metro has implemented a fish and wildlife habit protection program through regulations, property acquisition, education and incentives.</li> <li>• Metro has a standing committee to coordinate with public agencies with environmental protection responsibility.</li> <li>• The Port of Portland manages the airport and is represented on both TPAC and JPACT.</li> <li>• Metro is developing a freight master plan and is forming a freight advisory committee</li> </ul>
<i>Promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development</i>	Metro transportation and land-use planning is subject to approval by the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development.
<i>Give safety and security due emphasis as separate planning factors</i>	Metro will address security and safety as individual factors in the current update to the RTP schedule for completion in 2008. Additionally, Metro staffs the Regional Emergency Management Group (REMG). The group brings together local emergency managers to plan responses to security concerns and natural hazards.
<i>Discuss in the transportation plan potential environmental mitigation activities to be developed in consultation with Federal, State, and tribal wildlife, land management, and regulatory agencies</i>	Metro is scheduled to consult in September 2007 with environmental stakeholders through the Oregon Collaborative Environmental and Transportation Agreement for Streamlining (CETAS) process as part of the current update to RTP.
<i>Consult with State and local agencies responsible for land use management, natural resources, environmental protection, conservation, and historic preservation in development of the transportation plan</i>	The CETAS consultation will address this SAFETEA-Lu requirement.

**Table 2: Metro's Response to New SAFETEA-LU Provisions**

<b>SAFETEA-LU Provision for all MPO's</b>	<b>Metro Response</b>
<i>Include operation and management strategies to address congestion, safety, and mobility in the transportation plan</i>	Metro has established a Regional Transportation Options Committee as a subcommittee of TPAC to address demand management. The TransPort Committee is a subcommittee of TPAC to address ITS and operations.
<i>Develop a participation plan in consultation with interested parties that provides reasonable opportunities for all parties to comment on transportation plan</i>	Metro has public involvement policy for regional transportation planning and funding activities to support and encourage board-based public participation in development and review of Metro's transportation plans. The Transportation Planning Public Involvement Policy was last updated in June 2004.
<i>Employ visualization techniques to describe plan and make information available (including transportation plans) to the public in electronically accessible format such as on the Web.</i>	On a regular basis, Metro employs visualization techniques. Examples include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RTP document is available on Metro's website</li> <li>• RTP flyers</li> <li>• MTIP document is available on Metro's website</li> <li>• GIS maps to illustrate planning activities</li> <li>• Video simulation of light rail on the Portland Mall and 1-205 Corridor</li> </ul>
<i>Update the plan at least every 4 years in non-attainment and maintenance areas, 5 years in attainment areas</i>	Initial RTP update completed by will be completed by March 2008.
<i>Update the TIP at least every 4 years, include 4 years of projects and strategies in the TIP</i>	Initiated MTIP and STIP update for August 2007
<i>SAFETEA-LU includes a new requirement for a "locally developed, coordinated public transit/human services transportation plan" to be eligible for formula funding under three FTA grant programs (5310,5316,5317) It is not clear yet who will be responsible for these plans.</i>	Metro participates on the Special Transportation Fund Advisory Committee and Regional Transportation Coordinating Council of the Elderly and Disabled Transportation Plan. A coordinated human services and public transportation plan is under development by those committees and will be integrated into the 2008 RTP update.