600 NORTHEAST GRAND AVENUE | PORTLAND, OREGON 97232 2736 TEL 503 797 1542 | FAX 503 797 1793



METRO

Agenda

MEETING:METRO COUNCIL WORK SESSIONDATE:November 20, 2007DAY:TuesdayTIME:2:00 PMPLACE:Metro Council Chamber

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

| 2:00 PM | 1. | ADMINISTRATIVE/CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER COMMUNICATIONS | |
|---------|----|--|--------|
| 2:15 PM | 2. | METRO PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM PROJECT | Jordan |
| 4:20 PM | 3. | COUNCIL BRIEFINGS/COMMUNICATION | |
| ADJOURN | | | |

METRO COUNCIL

Work Session Worksheet

| Presentation I | Date: | 11/20/2007 | Time: | 2:00 | Length: | 90 min |
|----------------|--------|---------------|-----------|----------|-------------------|--------|
| | | | | | | |
| Presentation 7 | Fitle: | Metro Perform | nance Mea | asuremer | nt System Project | |
| Department: | | All | | | | |
| Presenters: | Mic | hael Jordan | | | | |

ISSUE & BACKGROUND

Michael Jordan has been charged with developing a performance measurement system for Metro. This work session is intended to update Council on the work-to-date and elicit feedback prior to moving forward.

The materials attached provide a status report of the work that has been completed to date on this project. The materials also include the high level outcome measures that have been developed.

OPTIONS AVAILABLE

This work session is designed to provide the Council with background information and to discussed the proposed high level outcome measures for the Council's goals and objectives. Councilors will be asked provide feedback and suggestions for improvement.

IMPLICATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

N/A

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED FOR CONSIDERATION

Has the work-to-date adequately captured Council's expectations for Metro's Performance Measurement System?

LEGISLATION WOULD BE REQUIRED FOR COUNCIL ACTION __Yes _X_No DRAFT IS ATTACHED __X_Yes ___No

Status Report Metro's Performance Measurement System

Year 1 - FY 2007-08

| Completed | Scope project and develop project timeline |
|-------------|--|
| Completed | Develop organizational structure for measurement system |
| Completed | Draft causality/influence maps (tool for identifying new strategies and high level outcomes |
| Completed | Draft High Level Outcomes (measures) for Great Places, Healthy Environment and Vital Economy, and identify associated Metro strategies |
| Completed | Draft internal and external measures for Critical Success Factors |
| November | Council review of draft measures |
| Dec – Jan | External stakeholder review of draft products |
| January | External comments reviewed by Metro Council |
| Dec – Feb | Apply Critical Success Factor measures to specific Metro programs |
| Jan – Feb | Evaluate High Level Outcomes for data availability and cost of data collection |
| February | Finalize High Level Outcomes and Critical Success Factor measures |
| Nov – Dec | Identify strategies to achieve outcomes |
| Dec – May | Link High Level Outcomes to budget decisions regarding changes in strategy |
| Jan – April | Draft Key Performance Indicators for Metro's strategies |
| June | Report High Level Outcomes with Goals & Objectives in budget materials |
| Nov – June | Begin to conform and align High Level Outcomes to meet the performance reporting requirements for Local Government Compliance with Functional Plan, Regional Transportation Plan, Natural Areas Bond, etc. |
| Nov – Mar | Align individual employee goals with Council Goals and Objectives through the Performance Evaluation Program |

Year 2 - FY 2008-09

- Continue to develop Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for Metro
- Complete "Logic Chains" linking programs to KPIs to HLOs
- Finalize and document data sources/collection methods for performance measures
- Finalize the integration of Metro's performance measurement system with 2040 reporting, local government compliance reporting, other reporting requirements
- Recommendations to change Goals & Objectives (if necessary)
- Metro Council adopts Goals, Objectives, HLOs & KPIs

Year 3 – FY 2009-10

- Develop Metro-wide data collection system
- Integrate performance measure KPIs with Quarterly Financial Reports
- Implement any suggested changes, based on possible audit of program

Council Objective 1.1:

Natural areas, parkland and outdoor recreation infrastructure are accessible to all

| Oper | Condit structure ational r ctivenes | esource | | Proximity to Variety of o | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| High Level Outcome Measures | | | | | | | | |
| Num | ber of p | ark acr | es ope | n for public u | se per 1 | ,000 po | pulation | |
| | <u>2000</u> 0 | <u>2001</u> 1 | <u>2002</u> 2 | Sample Data | <u>2005</u> 5 | <u>2006</u> 6 | <u>2007</u> 7 | |

Percent of population within a walkable 1/4 mile of a park or natural area

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | 3% Pic Data 4% | 5% | 6% | 7% |

Percent of population with outdoor recreation needs met within the communities in which they live

| <u>2000</u> | <u>2001</u> | <u>2002</u> | Sample Data | <u>2005</u> | <u>2006</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | | 5% | 6% | 7% |

Percent of citizens who used an outdoor recreation facility who had a quality experience

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Sample Data | 5% | 6% | 7% |

| Current Metro Strategies | Corresponding Metro Programs |
|--|---|
| Buy natural area land | Natural Areas Acquisition |
| Technical assistance to local jurisdictions on land acquisition (local share only) | Natural Areas Acquisition |
| Money to local jurisdictions for land purchase | Natural Areas Acquisition |
| Money to local jurisdictions to build infrastructure to provide access to nature | Natural Areas Acquisition |
| Regional trails planning | Regional Trails Planning & Implementation |
| Development of Regional Nature Parks | Parks Design and Construction |
| Operation of Regional Nature Parks | Parks and Natural Areas Management |

Discussion

Council Objective 1.2:

The region's centers and corridors are distinctive, attractive and efficient and while fully developed they are also continually and dynamically re-creating themselves

Necessary Conditions

Complimentary mobility Business services Unique identity Threshold level of housing Market readiness

High Level Outcome Measures

Percentage of communities that have identified key attributes/assets to be preserved

 $\frac{2000}{0\%} \quad \frac{2001}{1\%} \quad \frac{2002}{2\%} \quad \mathbf{S_{35\%}^{2003} ple} \quad \mathbf{\hat{P}_{4\%}^{04} ta} \quad \frac{2005}{5\%} \quad \frac{2006}{6\%} \quad \frac{2007}{7\%}$

Development in Centers/Corridors as a percentage of total development in the region

 $\frac{2000}{0\%}$ $\frac{2001}{1\%}$ $\frac{2002}{2\%}$ Sample Data $\frac{2004}{5\%}$ $\frac{2005}{6\%}$ $\frac{2006}{7\%}$

Percentage of area within Centers/Corridors that have "mixed-use compact urban form" characteristics

|--|--|

"Walkability" for residents to commercial services

 $\frac{2000}{0}$ $\frac{2001}{1}$ $\frac{2002}{2}$ $3a_3^{2003}$ ple D_4^{2004} a $\frac{2005}{5}$ $\frac{2006}{6}$ $\frac{2007}{7}$

Percentage of population within 1/4 walkable mile of a public transit stop

 $\frac{2000}{0}$ $\frac{2001}{1}$ $\frac{2002}{2}$ **Sample Data** $\frac{2005}{5}$ $\frac{2006}{6}$ $\frac{2007}{7}$

| Current Metro Strategies | Corresponding Metro Programs |
|---|--|
| Implement RTP strategies that support centers and corridors | Regional Transportation Plan Metropolitan Improvement Plan |
| Promote centers and corridor goal implementation | Get Centered! Corridor Planning Regional Framework Plan and Functional Plan Update and Compliance |
| Provide development incentives | Transit-oriented Development and Centers Implementation |

Great Places

Manage land supply

New Look at Regional Choices Urban Growth Boundary Administration

Discussion

Council Objective 1.3:

A diversity of artistic, cultural and recreational opportunities is available

Necessary Conditions

| Geographical distribution | Sustained financial support |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Program development & support | Popular support |

High Level Outcome Measures

Level of public and corporate support (cash and in-kind) provided to arts and cultural programs

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| \$0 | \$1 | \$2 | Sample Data | \$5 | \$6 | \$7 |

Percent of population satisfied with quality and variety of art, cultural and recreational opportunities available in the region

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|--------------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Sauppie Data | 5% | 6% | 7% |

Number of people traveling to the region to attend major arts, cultural and recreational events

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | Sample Data | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Average number of cultural amenities within 1 mile radius of houses in the region

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample D_{a}^{2003} | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-----------------------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | Sagipie Data | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Average percentage of days per year arts and cultural venues are not in use

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | Sample Data | 5 | 6 | 7 |

| Current Metro | Strategies |
|---------------|------------|
|---------------|------------|

Provide facility space

Zoo Community and Guest Services Performing Arts, Arts and Culture

Corresponding Metro Programs

Provide cultural amenities

Parks and Natural Areas Management

Discussion

Council Objective 1.4:

Housing is available and affordable in mixed use, walkable neighborhoods close to services

Necessary Conditions

Supportive developers Funding Neighborhood trails & sidewalks Regulations Compact urban form Engaged, supportive public Housing types & choices

High Level Outcome Measures

Ration of high percentage to low percentage cost of housing across the region and in centers

 $\frac{2000}{0}$ $\frac{2001}{1}$ $\frac{2002}{2}$ Sample Data $\frac{2005}{5}$ $\frac{2006}{6}$ $\frac{2007}{7}$

Percentage of median and low income households paying 30% or greater of income on housing

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Sample Data | 5% | 6% | 7% |

Percentage of vacant housing

| <u>2000</u> | <u>2001</u> | <u>2002</u> | Sample Data | <u>2005</u> | <u>2006</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | | 5% | 6% | 7% |
| 070 | 1 /0 | 270 | 570 170 | 570 | 070 | 170 |

Percentage of housing with "walkable" access to commercial services

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | S_{ample}^{2003} Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------------------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Sample Data | 5% | 6% | 7% |

| Current Metro Strategies | Corresponding Metro Programs |
|--|--|
| Provide incentives for affordable housing with transit- oriented developments | Transit-Oriented Development and Centers Implementation |
| Promote higher density, mixed-use developments in centers | Transit-Oriented Development and Centers Implementation |
| Fund an affordable housing revolving fund to ensure housing choices across the region | (specific placement into program to be determined) |

Discussion

Council Objective 2.1:

Natural areas are large enough, have the appropriate balance of species and are interconnected with other natural areas so that normal ecological processes are maintained

Necessary Conditions

| Diverse natural areas exist | Natural areas support native ecosystems |
|------------------------------|---|
| Natural areas are maintained | Natural areas are interconnected |

High Level Outcome Measures

| High Level Outcome Measures | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Number of acres of land enhanced or restore | ed for habitat | | | | | | | | |
| $\frac{2000}{0}$ $\frac{2001}{1}$ $\frac{2002}{2}$ Sample Data $\frac{200}{5}$ | $\frac{05}{5}$ $\frac{2006}{6}$ $\frac{2007}{7}$ | | | | | | | | |
| Number of acres of natural areas permanently protected throughout the region | | | | | | | | | |
| $\frac{2000}{0}$ $\frac{2001}{1}$ $\frac{2002}{2}$ Sample Data $\frac{200}{5}$ | $\frac{05}{5}$ $\frac{2006}{6}$ $\frac{2007}{7}$ | | | | | | | | |
| Number of miles of protected stream frontag | Number of miles of protected stream frontage | | | | | | | | |
| $\frac{2000}{0}$ $\frac{2001}{1}$ $\frac{2002}{2}$ Sample Data $\frac{200}{5}$ | $\frac{05}{5}$ $\frac{2006}{6}$ $\frac{2007}{7}$ | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Current Metro Strategies</u> — <u>Corresponding Metro Programs</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Design and implement restoration projects on Metro Flands | Parks and Natural Areas Management | | | | | | | | |
| Make grants for Nature in Neighborhoods projects | Nature in Neighborhoods | | | | | | | | |
| Manage Metro lands to maximize habitat values | Parks and Natural Areas Management | | | | | | | | |
| | Parks and Natural Areas Management, Nature in Neighborhoods | | | | | | | | |
| Buy natural areas prioritizing high value habitat lands | Natural Areas Acquisition | | | | | | | | |
| Support regional conservation initiatives Z | Zoo Conservation | | | | | | | | |
| | Regional Framework Plan and Functional Plan Update and Compliance | | | | | | | | |
| Provide resources to local jurisdictions to assist with natural area protection | Natural Areas Acquisition | | | | | | | | |
| Provide resources to communities to assist with natural areas protections Nature in Neighborhoods | | | | | | | | | |

Discussion

Council Objective 2.2:

Our communities are inspired to create a better future for wildlife and the environment

Necessary Conditions

Know More Care More Do More

High Level Outcome Measures

Number of volunteer hours provided in support of wildlife and the environment per capita

 $\frac{2002}{2}$ <u>2005</u> 5 2000 2001 2006 2007 S_{ample}^{2003} Data 0 7 6

Total dollars donated to environmental causes in the region

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample D_{ata}^{2003} | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------------------|------|------|------|
| \$0 | \$1 | \$2 | Sample Data | \$5 | \$6 | \$7 |

Total contact hours of conservation and environmental education

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|--------------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | Sayipie Data | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Improved condition of functioning floodplains, riparian corridors and percentage of watersheds with forest canopy

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|---------------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | Sagilple Data | 5 | 6 | 7 |

| Current Metro Strategies | Corresponding Metro Programs |
|---|--|
| Provide volunteer opportunities | Zoo Community and Guest Services, Parks Volunteer services |
| Fund community projects | Nature in Neighborhoods, Natural Area Acquisition, Disposal Services |
| Educate the public (business, developers, facility guests, students and general public) | Waste Reduction Education and Outreach, Conservation Education, Environmental Education and Interpretation, Nature in Neighborhoods |

Discussion

Council Objective 2.3:

The region's waste stream is reduced, recovered and returned to productive use, and the remainder has a minimal impact on the environment

Necessary Conditions

Environmentally sound disposal Waste generation

Participation Increase recovery

High Level Outcome Measures

| Annı | ial was | te genei | ration | per capita | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--------------------|-----------|--|
| | $\frac{2000}{0}$ | <u>2001</u> 1 | <u>2002</u> 2 | Sample Data | $\frac{2005}{5}$ | <u>2006</u> 6 | <u>2007</u> 7 | | |
| Regio | onal rec | covery 1 | rate | | | | | | |
| | <u>2000</u> 0% | <u>2001</u> 1% | <u>2002</u> 2% | $S_{3,m}^{2003}$ ple $D_{4,m}^{2004}$ | <u>2005</u> 5% | <u>2006</u> 6% | <u>2007</u> 7% | | |
| Annu | ial was | te recov | very pe | er capita | | | | | |
| | <u>2000</u> 0% | <u>2001</u> 1% | <u>2002</u> 2% | Sample Data | <u>2005</u> 5% | <u>2006</u> 6% | <u>2007</u> 7% | | |
| Tons | Tons of illegally dumped waste per year | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>2000</u> 0 | <u>2001</u> 1 | <u>2002</u> 2 | Sample Data | <u>2005</u> 5 | <u>2006</u> 6 | <u>2007</u> 7 | | |
| Current Me | etro Sti | rategie | <u>s</u> — | | ► <u>Cor</u> | respor | nding Metro Progra | <u>ms</u> | |
| Reduce mater | ials ente | ring was | te strea | m | Solic | Solid Waste Reduction | | | |
| Provide and p | romote (| pportun | ities to | recycle | | Solid Waste Reduction, Waste Reduction Education and Outreach | | | |
| Return waste | to produ | ctive use | s | | Solic | Solid Waste Reduction | | | |
| Reduce toxici system | ty and ha | azardous | materi | als entering waste | Haza | Hazardous Waste Reduction | | | |
| Clean up illeg | al dump | sites | | | Illeg | Illegal Disposal | | | |
| Regulation of private facilities | | | | Priva | Private Facility Regulation | | | | |
| Provision of disposal services | | | | | Disp | Disposal Services | | | |
| Closure of landfills | | | | | Land | Landfill Stewardship | | | |

Discussion

Council Objective 2.4:

Metro is a model for sustainable business practices

It is recommended that this objective be measured through the Sustainable Business Practices dimension of the Critical Success Factors.

Council Objective 2.5:

Urban land is used efficiently and resource land is protected from urban encroachment

Necessary Conditions

Supportive comprehensive planning/zoning Viable rural economy Federal & state public land mgmt policies State regulations

<u>2002</u> 2

Appropriate infrastructure Incentives Legal protections Consumer preference

 $\frac{2007}{7}$

2006

6

High Level Outcome Measures

Percentage of region's growth that occurs within existing urban areas (refill rate)

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $S_{3\%}^{2003}$ ple $D_{4\%}^{2004}$ | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|---------------------------------------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | | 5% | 6% | 7% |

 S_{ample}^{2003} Data

Number of growth agreements with neighboring cities and counties to protect resource land

2005

5

2000 2001 0

1

| Current Metro Strategies | Corresponding Metro Programs |
|--|---|
| Identify infrastructure needs to support efficient land use | New Look at Regional Choices |
| Implement Metro Framework and Functional Plan requirements | Regional Framework Plan and Functional Plan Update and Compliance |
| Conduct Petroleum site assessments and support redevelopment of brownfields with EPA funds | New Look at Regional Choices |
| Support other jurisdiction efforts in brownfield redevelopment | New Look at Regional Choices |
| Explore alternatives to 20 year need and other criteria that could guide UGB expansion in ways that more directly support efficient land use | New Look at Regional Choices |
| Target transportation funding allocations to support efficient land use | Regional Transportation Plan, Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program, Regional Transportation Plan Finance |

| Launch new Placemaking campaign (replacing Get Centered!) to engage and inspire local actions leading to efficient land use | New Look at Regional Choices, Get Centered! |
|--|--|
| Research and prepare forecasts of housing and employment needs and land use efficiencies | Data Resources Center |
| Assist local jurisdictions in developing plans, strategies and projects that result in efficient land use in centers and corridors | Get Centered!, Corridors Planning, New Look at Regional Choices |
| Assist local jurisdictions in developing plans and strategies and that support efficient land use in emerging communities | Get Centered!, Corridors Planning, New Look at Regional Choices |
| Establish long term urban reserves that allow time for future efficient land use plans to mature | New Look at Regional Choices |
| Establish rural reserves that reinforce efficient urban land use | New Look at Regional Choices |

Discussion

Council Objective 2.6:

Residents' health is enhanced by exceptionally clean air and water

Necessary Conditions

Reduce mobile sourcesReduce non-mobile sourcesReduce non-point source pollutionReduce point source pollutionRestore/improve natural functioning hydrology and ecosystem

High Level Outcome Measures

| Tons o | f carbo | on/ gree | enhouse | e gas en | nissions | release | ed annu | ally |
|--------|---------|----------|---------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|---------|------|
| | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | S_{ample}^{2003} | $\frac{2004}{Data}$ | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |

| | | | Sample I | Data | | | |
|---|---|---|----------|------|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | |

Number of air pollution non-attainment days

2002

2%

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample D_{ata}^{2003} | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------------------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | Sample Data | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Percentage of water bodies that achieve Total Maximum Daily Level water quality targets

 $\frac{2000}{0\%}$ $\frac{2001}{1\%}$

| Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|-------------|------|------|------|
| | 5% | 6% | 7% |

| Current Metro Strategies | Corresponding Metro Programs |
|--|---|
| Implement Regional Transportation Plan strategies | Regional Transportation Plan, Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program, Regional Travel Options, Regional Transportation Plan Finance |
| Reduce use of and toxic materials. Increase proper disposal of toxic materials | Hazardous Waste Reduction, Waste Reduction Education and Outreach |
| Acquire, protect and restore forests, floodplains and riparian areas | Natural Area Acquisition, Parks and Natural Areas Management, Nature in Neighborhoods |
| Promote implementation of functional plan | Regional Framework Plan, Functional Plan Update and Compliance |
| Methane gas collection | Landfill Stewardship |

Discussion

Council Objective 3.1:

Land is available to meet the need for housing and employment

Necessary Conditions

Efficient use of existing land Transportation infrastructure Appropriate zoning All other infrastructure

High Level Outcome Measures

| Vaca | Vacancy rates by zoning type | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------|------------|------------|------|
| | <u>2000</u> 0% | <u>2001</u> 1% | <u>2002</u> 2% | S_{ample}^{2003} Data | <u>2005</u> 5% | <u>2006</u> 6% | <u>2007</u> 7% | | | |
| Avera | ige sale | s price | of vac | ant land by typ | e | | | | | |
| | <u>2000</u> \$0 | <u>2001</u> \$1 | <u>2002</u> \$2 | Sample Data | <u>2005</u> \$5 | <u>2006</u> \$6 | <u>2007</u> \$7 | | | |
| Acres | of non | -develo | ped la | nd within the U | J rban (| Growth | Bound | lary by zo | oning type | e |
| | <u>2000</u> 0 | <u>2001</u> 1 | <u>2002</u> 2 | Sample Data | <u>2005</u> 5 | <u>2006</u> 6 | <u>2007</u> 7 | | | |
| <u>Current Me</u> | Current Metro Strategies Corresponding Metro Programs | | | | | | | | | |
| Manage urban land supply to support efficient land use | | | | | | New Look at Regional Choices, Urban Growth Boundary Administration | | | | owth |
| Provide jurisdictions with tools to achieve 2040 | | | | | New | New Look at Regional Choices, Urban Growth | | | | |

Encourage market to accept compact urban form

Evaluate region's infrastructure needs to support infrastructure development to support 2040 growth concept

Provide planning grants to jurisdictions to plan UGB expansion areas

Support the redevelopment of brownfields

Implement Regional Transportation Plan strategies

Develop High Capacity Transit Plan

Boundary Administration

New Look at Regional Choices

New Look at Regional Choices

New Look at Regional Choices

Implementation

Transit Oriented Development and Centers

Regional Transportation Plan, Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program, Regional

Regional Transportation Plan, Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program, Regional Travel Options, Regional Transportation Plan

Vital Economy

| | Travel Options, Regional Transportation Plan Finance |
|---|---|
| Develop and manage program for Transportation Demand Management | Regional Transportation Plan, Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program, Regional Travel Options, Regional Transportation Plan Finance |
| Develop Regional Freight Plan | Regional Transportation Plan, Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program, Regional Travel Options, Regional Transportation Plan Finance |
| Finance development in transportation accessible locations | Transit Oriented Development and Centers Implementation |
| Provide jurisdictions with tools to encourage development in places with good transportation access | Transit Oriented Development and Centers Implementation, Get Centered! |

Discussion

Council Objective 3.2:

The region's economy provides a plentiful supply of family wage jobs

Necessary Conditions

International & domestic markets/ Business synergy Educated Skilled workforce Business leadership

High Level Outcome Measures

Percentage of workers with earnings of 150% or more of poverty-level for a family of four

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|--------------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Saupple Data | 5% | 6% | 7% |

Number of jobs by sector within the Metro boundary

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | Sumple Data | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Percentage of adults with at least a four-year college degree

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Sample Data | 5% | 6% | 7% |

Percentage of labor force who received at least 20 hours of skills training in past year

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Sample Data | 5% | 6% | 7% |

Number of new employers by sector

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | \mathbf{S}_{am}^{2003} ple \mathbf{D}_{a}^{2004} | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|--|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | Sagnpie Data | 5 | 6 | 7 |

| Current Metro Strategies | Corresponding Metro Programs |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Metro provides family wage jobs to regular employees | Various |
| Metro employs temporary and seasonal workers in entry level positions providing work experience | Various |
| K-12 and adult education programs in recycling, natural gardening and environmental education | Solid Waste Education and Outreach |

Discussion

Council Objective 3.3:

Access to jobs, services, centers and industrial areas is efficient

Necessary Conditions

Transportation infrastructure and diversification Comprehensive planning and appropriate zoning

High Level Outcome Measures

Reliability of vehicle travel time by type (commute, freight, leisure, etc.)

 $\frac{2000}{0}$ $\frac{2001}{1}$ $\frac{2002}{2}$ Sample Data $\frac{2005}{5}$ $\frac{2006}{6}$ $\frac{2007}{7}$

Percent of jobs located within 1/2 mile of transit line

| | <u>2000</u> 0% | <u>2001</u> 1% | <u>2002</u> 2% | $S_{3,m}^{2003}$ ple $D_{3,m}^{2004}$ ta | <u>2005</u> 5% | <u>2006</u> 6% | <u>2007</u> 7% |
|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Avera | ge trip | length | | | | | |
| | $\frac{2000}{0}$ | $\frac{2001}{1}$ | $\frac{2002}{2}$ | Sample Data | $\frac{2005}{5}$ | $\frac{2006}{6}$ | $\frac{2007}{7}$ |

Percentage of residents who commute during peak hours by means other than driving alone

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Sample Data | 5% | 6% | 7% |

| Current Metro Strategies | Corresponding Metro Programs |
|--|---|
| Implement Regional Transportation Plan | Regional Transportation Plan, Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program, Regional Travel Options, Regional Transportation Plan Finance |
| Implement Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Plan | Regional Transportation Plan, Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program, Regional Travel Options, Regional Transportation Plan Finance |
| Develop High Capacity Transit Plan | Regional Transportation Plan, Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program, Regional Travel Options, Regional Transportation Plan Finance |
| Develop and manage program for Transportation Demand Management | Regional Transportation Plan, Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program, Regional Travel Options, Regional Transportation Plan Finance |
| Develop Regional Freight Plan | Regional Transportation Plan, Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program, Regional |

Vital Economy

| | Travel Options, Regional Transportation Plan Finance |
|---|---|
| Finance development in transportation accessible locations | Transit-Oriented Development and Centers Implementation |
| Provide jurisdictions with tools to encourage development in places with good transportation access | Transit-Oriented Development and Centers Implementation |

Discussion

Council Objective 3.4:

Stable, affordable sources of energy, combined with energy conservation, position the region for sustained economic growth and stability

Necessary Conditions

Sustainable sources Safe and efficient transmission Users are efficient and adaptable

High Level Outcome Measures

Percent use of alternative energy

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 mlo 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------------------------------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | S_{am}^{2003} ple D_{ata}^{004} | 5% | 6% | 7% |

Energy use per output (e.g. kWh per dollar of domestic production)

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|--------------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | Sayipie Data | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Ranking of Portland metropolitan area among top 50 U.S. cities for energy use

| $\frac{2000}{0}$ $\frac{2001}{1}$ $\frac{2002}{2}$ Sample $\hat{B}_{4}^{004}a$ $\frac{2005}{5}$ $\frac{2006}{6}$ | <u>2000</u> 0 | $\frac{2001}{1}$ | 2 | Sample Data | <u>2005</u> 5 | <u>2006</u> 6 | $\frac{2007}{7}$ |
|--|------------------|------------------|---|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|--|------------------|------------------|---|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|

Average price per gallon of gasoline in Metro area

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| \$0 | \$1 | \$2 | Saupre Data | \$5 | \$6 | \$7 |

| Current Metro Strategies | Corresponding Metro Programs |
|--|------------------------------|
| Increase fuel efficiency of Metro fleet | Property Services |
| Metro facilities purchase green power | Various |
| Metro facilities are being designed/retrofitted to be "sustainable" and test out best practices, be a regional example | Various |

Discussion

Council Objective 3.5:

The region attracts tourists and businesses from throughout the US and the world

Necessary Conditions

Abundance of cultural and recreational activities International and domestic markets/ business synergy Convention and exposition facilities

High Level Outcome Measures

| Number of one of | torreista and array | a a a dellana an ant | man tanniat |
|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Number of annual | tourists and aver | age donars spent | per tourist |
| | | | |

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | Sauple Data | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Number of jobs by sector within the Metro boundary

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|---------------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | Sagiipie Data | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Number of business and leisure travelers through Portland International Airport

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|---------------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | Sagiipie Data | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Regional economic impact of convention and exposition events

| <u>2000</u> | <u>2001</u> | <u>2002</u> | Sample Data | <u>2005</u> | <u>2006</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| \$0 | \$1 | \$2 | | \$5 | \$6 | \$7 |

| Current Metro Strategies | Corresponding Metro Programs |
|--|--|
| Maintain & enhance regional facilities that draw visitors and businesses (Zoo, OCC, Expo Center) | Zoo Community and Guest Services, Conventions, Trade and Consumer Shows |
| Maintain & enhance regional performing arts facilities | Performing Arts, Arts and Culture |
| Expand natural area system | Natural Areas Acquisition |
| Create new public access to trails | Regional Trails Planning and Implementation |
| Connecting Green | Regional Greenspaces System Planning |
| Market convention facilities both nationally and internationally | Conventions, Trade and Consumer Shows |
| Maintain high level customer service at Metro facilities | Various |
| Build headquarters hotel | Convention Headquarters Hotel |
| Regional transportation planning, including freight planning | Regional Transportation Plan |

Vital Economy

Discussion

Council Objective 3.6:

The region's rural economy thrives because of its proximity to the urban areas, not in spite of the urban areas

Necessary Conditions

Access to urban regional markets Rural resource protection Urban residents valuing rural assets

High Level Outcome Measures

Economic value of rural GDP

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| \$0 | \$1 | \$2 | Sample Data | \$5 | \$6 | \$7 |

Ability to transport products to urban area (still need measure to capture this)

| 2000 | <u>2001</u> | 2002 | Sample Data | <u>2005</u> | <u>2006</u> | <u>2007</u> 7 |
|------|-------------|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 5 | 0 | / |

Dollars spent at farmers' markets

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|--------------|------|------|------|
| \$0 | \$1 | \$2 | Sauppie Data | \$5 | \$6 | \$7 |

Percentage consumption of local rural products by the urban region

| $_{0\%}$ $_{1\%}$ $_{2\%}$ Sample Lata $_{5\%}$ $_{6\%}$ 7 | <u>2000</u> 0% | <u>2001</u> 1% | <u>2002</u> 2% | Sample Data | <u>2005</u> 5% | <u>2006</u> 6% | <u>2007</u> 7% |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|

Volume of non-agricultural travel on rural roads

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample D_{a}^{2003} | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-----------------------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Sample Lata | 5% | 6% | 7% |

Acres in agriculture production in 7-county region

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|---------------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | Saginple Data | 5 | 6 | 7 |

| Current Metro Strategies | Corresponding Metro Programs |
|---|---|
| Manage the UGB in a way that preserves agricultural land and maintains rural reserves | New Look at Regional Choices, Urban Growth Boundary Administration |
| Work with counties to identify urban and rural reserves, providing certainty for future rural areas | New Look at Regional Choices, Urban Growth Boundary Administration |
| Regional transportation planning, including freight planning | Regional Transportation Plan |

Discussion

Council Objective 3.7:

The region grows and reinvests in ways that assure a high quality of life for residents of all incomes, races and ethnicities

Necessary Conditions

Diverse economy Housing choices Thriving neighborhoods

High Level Outcome Measures

Percentage of residents who feel they are part of their community

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | S_{am}^{2003} ple D_{at}^{2004} | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------------------------------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Sample Data | 5% | 6% | 7% |

Reported crimes per 1,000 residents

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|--------------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | Sayipie Data | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Percentage of residents who commute during peak hours by means other than driving alone

| 2000 | 2001 |
|------|------|
| 0% | 1% |

| 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| 2% | Sample Data | 5% | 6% | 7% |

Percentage of median and low income households paying 30% or greater of income on housing

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Sample Data | 5% | 6% | 7% |

Ratio of average income of top 20% of families to lowest 20% of families

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample Data | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|--------------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | Sagipie Data | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Current Metro Strategies ------

Corresponding Metro Programs

Many of the strategies in 3.1 & 3.2 apply to 3.7

Fund an affordable housing revolving fund to ensure housing choices across the region

(specific placement into program to be determined)

Discussion

Intent of This Document:

- CSF team identified and defined agency-wide, high-level outcomes within seven recommended performance dimensions. The team proposed measurables under each high-level outcome, applicable at the agency and department level from both internal and external perspectives.
- Program managers will use these dimensions as guidelines to assist in the development of specific programmatic-level performance measures within their programs. (Note: Each dimension may not be applicable to all programs.)

Seven Recommended Performance Dimensions:

- Customer Relations
- Communication
- Learning Organization
- Financial

- Program Value and Efficiency
- Collaboration
- Sustainable Business Practices

Customer Relations: Metro provides services to internal and external customers in a high quality and effective manner.

| Internal Measurables | External Measurables | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Departments identify and survey | Departments identify and survey | | | | |
| primary customers. Percentage of respondents rate | primary customers. Percentage of respondents rate | | | | |
| services as satisfactory or better. | services as satisfactory or better. | | | | |
| Departments address complaints in a | Departments address complaints in a | | | | |
| timely manner. | timely manner. | | | | |

Communication: Metro provides timely, consistent and effective exchange of information that is accessible, clear and provided in multiple forms. Communication is both receptive and responsive.

| Internal Measurables | External Measurables |
|--|---|
| Metro delivers regular and effective | Metro ensures that communication is |
| communication within and across | consistent in content, look and feel, as |
| departments, as appropriate, as | measured by a periodic internal audit. |
| measured by percentage of employees | Metro maintains website content that is |
| who report they feel informed about | current, accurate and accessible, as |
| important issues within their division, | measured by a periodic internal audit, |
| department and Metro. | and customer feedback. |
| Metro ensures that communication is | Metro manages release of information |
| consistent in content, look and feel, as | to the media in order to effectively |
| measured by a periodic internal audit. | guide message, as measured by media |
| Metro ensures that Intramet content is | audit. |
| current, accurate and accessible, as measured by a periodic internal audit, and customer feedback. | Metro proactively and effectively communicates with stakeholders and the general public on regional issues, as measured by customer feedback. |

Learning Organization: Metro provides employees with timely, accessible and ongoing professional development opportunities to ensure workforce is competent, productive and motivated. Innovation, flexibility and risk taking are rewarded. Professional development opportunities are linked to program goals and objectives, and best practices.

| Internal Measurables | External Measurables |
|--|---|
| Percent of Metro employees identify annual training and/or professional development goals through the annual Performance Evaluation Program. | • External stakeholders and partners find that Metro responds and adapts to new information and circumstances, as measured by examples and the |
| • Metro seeks out and adopts best practices to ensure continuous improvement, as measured by project evaluations, and documentation of lessons learned for future use. | opinions of key stakeholders. |
| Note: the team would like to capture/measure how Metro rewards innovation, flexibility and risk-taking. | |

Financial: Metro adheres to the highest standards of fiscal prudence, integrity, transparency and accountability.

| Internal Measurables | External Measurables | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Metro demonstrates fiscal prudence and accountability when it complies with its reserves policies as measured by GF reserves as a percentage of GF operating expenditures Renewal and replacement reserves are established and funded for all capital assets | Metro demonstrates fiscal prudence, integrity, transparency and accountability as measured by Maintaining its GO bond rating (AAA/Aaa) Receiving an unqualified audit opinion (Yes/No) Reducing or Avoiding adjustments proposed by external auditor (# of adjustments) Reducing Management letter comments (# of comments) Avoiding citations of non- compliance by regulator or funding | | | | |
| | comments (# of comments) Avoiding citations of non- | | | | |

Program Value and Efficiency: Metro ensures that programs and services are efficient and cost effective and add value by aligning with Council goals and objectives.

| Internal Measurables | External Measurables | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Metro operates efficiently, as measured by overhead spending in Central services and departments as a percentage of total operational spending Departments and programs measure and report at least one primary unit cost measure (for example, Solid Waste cost per ton; Zoo and Parks operating costs per visitor or user) Metro completes projects on time and within budget. | Metro efficiently manages costs and ensures that changes in costs are related to increased regional requirements as measured over time by Per capita spending (personal services / regional population base) Per capita spending (operational spending / regional population base) Metro's regional property tax requirements | | | | |

Collaboration: Metro adds value as a positive partner and collaborator, both externally and internally.

| Internal Measurables | External Measurables | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| New projects/programs tap into or create cross-departmental teams, as measured by percentage. (E.g., studios, facilitation, etc.) Existing projects/programs tap into or create cross-departmental teams, as measured by percentage. (E.g., studios, facilitation, etc.) | Partnerships meet mutually defined benchmarks. initial convening agreed upon outcomes verbal and/or written agreements resource allocation implementation evaluation | | | | |
| | Jurisdictional leaders find Metro programs to be beneficial to their jurisdiction. | | | | |
| Note: Team suggests defining what projects/programs should be measured. | Note: Team suggested that partnerships are qualified. | | | | |

Sustainable Business Practices: Metro incorporates sustainable business practices into its operations, programs and contracts that balance environmental preservation, social equity and economic responsibility.

| Internal Measurables | External Measurables | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Purchasing, contracting, hiring, | Metro makes decisions in consideration | | | | |
| programmatic and operational | of sustainable business practices. Metro is seen as a leader in promoting | | | | |
| decisions are made in consideration of | and implementing sustainable business | | | | |
| sustainable business practices. | practices in the region. | | | | |

Program: Natural Areas Acquisition

This program includes the acquisition of regionally significant natural areas for the protection of riparian and upland habitat and water quality, local share components of \$44 million for both acquisition and capital improvements, and a \$15 million capital grants program.

Key Performance Indicators

Number of acres protected

 $\frac{2000}{0} \quad \frac{2001}{1} \quad \frac{2002}{2} \mathbf{Sample}_{3}^{2} \mathbf{Data}_{4}^{2005} \quad \frac{2006}{5} \quad \frac{2006}{6} \quad \frac{2007}{7}$

Miles of stream frontage protected

| 2000 | 2001 | <u>2002</u> | Sample | - 20 /4tz | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|-------------|----------------|------------------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 ² | 4 ¹ | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Feet of regional trail corridor acquired

 $\frac{2000}{0}$ $\frac{2001}{1}$ $\frac{2002}{2}$ Sangele $\frac{2003}{4}$ data $\frac{2005}{5}$ $\frac{2006}{6}$ $\frac{2007}{7}$

Percentage of target areas where specific "Tier 1 Refinement Plan" goals have been met

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $S_{4}^{2003} = \frac{2005}{5\%} = \frac{2005}{5\%} = \frac{2005}{5\%}$ | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|---|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Say pre $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{5\%}$ | 6% | 7% |

Critical Success Factors

Customer Relations

Percentage of property sellers who were "satisfied" or "extremely satisfied" with their interactions with Metro staff

| 2000 | 2001 | <u>2002</u> | Sample | e D Ata | <u>2005</u> | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|-------------|--------|----------------|-------------|------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Communications

Percentage of property purchases reported by local media

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample | 3004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|--------|------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Saupre | 4% | 5% | 6% | 7% |

Learning Organization

Percentage of program employees who attended professional development training in the past 12 months

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample | 2 004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|---------|------------------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Saimple | | 5% | 6% | 7% |

Financial

Percentage of Metro land acquisition transactions that included donations or non-Metro financial contributions

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sampl | | a 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|--------|--------|-----------------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Saimpi | C 19ai | a _{5%} | 6% | 7% |

Receipt of an unqualified audit opinion

| 2000 | 2001 | <u>2002</u> | Sampl | e 2004 te | <u>2005</u> | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|-------------|-------|-----------|-------------|------|------|
| n/a | n/a | n/a 🗖 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Yes |

Program Value & Efficiency

Percentage total program costs spend on administration

| 2000 | 2001 | $\frac{2002}{2\%}$ Sa | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|-----------------------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | _{2%} 38 | umpie | Pala | 5% | 6% | 7% |

Percentage of expenses on new natural area parks that exceed budget projections

 $\frac{2000}{0\%} \quad \frac{2001}{1\%} \quad \frac{2002}{2\%} Sa^{2003}_{5\%} le \frac{2003}{4\%} ta \frac{2005}{5\%} \quad \frac{2006}{6\%} \quad \frac{2007}{7\%}$

Collaboration

Number of land acquisition transactions that include local government, corporate and/or non-profit partners

| 2000 | 2001 | <u>2002</u> | Sampl | e Pata | a <u>2005</u> | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|-------------|-------|--------|---------------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | 3% | 4% | 5% | 6% | 7% |

Sustainable Business Practices

Percentage of grant dollars awarded to projects that meet the "Bonus Selection Criteria" of being located in low-income or "undernatured" neighborhoods

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Samp | $a^{2} + 2004$ | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|-------|----------------|-----------------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Sainh | | a _{5%} | 6% | 7% |

Percentage of grant dollars awarded to projects that meet the "Bonus Selection Criteria" of using sustainable construction techniques and materials

| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Sample | 2004to | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------|------|------|--------|--------|------|------|------|
| 0% | 1% | 2% | Saupre | 4%ala | 5% | 6% | 7% |