

BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE  
METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING	)	RESOLUTION NO. 80-159
THE FY 1981 UNIFIED WORK PROGRAM	)	
TO INCLUDE THE DETAILED WORK	)	Introduced by the Joint
PROGRAM FOR THE BI-STATE	)	Policy Advisory Committee
TRANSPORTATION STUDY	)	on Transportation

WHEREAS, The Governors of Oregon and Washington have created a Bi-State Task Force; and,

WHEREAS, The Bi-State Task Force has the responsibility of studying and recommending solutions in the I-5 North Corridor; and

WHEREAS, The Bi-State Task Force has approved a work program for a Bi-State Transportation Study which further details the region's FY 1981 Unified Work Program; and

WHEREAS, The Bi-State Task Force has agreed that Metro should apply for and distribute the federal funds which support the work program; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED,

1. That the Metro Council hereby amends the FY 1981 Unified Work Program to include the detailed work program for the Bi-State Transportation.

2. That the Metro Council finds the work program to be consistent with the continuous, coordinated and comprehensive transportation planning process and, therefore, grants positive A-95 Review action.

3. That the Metro Council hereby authorizes the Executive Officer to take all administrative actions to apply for,

accept and execute necessary grants and agreements.

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District  
this 26<sup>th</sup> day of June, 1980.

  
Presiding Officer

CWO:ss  
8253/118

THIS 26<sup>th</sup> DAY OF June 1980

Cynthia M. Wickman  
 A G E N D A M E M O R A N D U M M A N A G E M E N T S U M M A R Y

TO: Metro Council  
 FROM: Executive Officer  
 SUBJECT: Amending the FY 1981 Unified Work Program to Include the Detailed Work Program for the Bi-State Transportation Study

*Res 80-159*

I. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- A. ACTION REQUESTED: Recommend Council adoption of the attached Resolution amending the Unified Work Program to include a detailed work program for the Bi-State Transportation Study.
- B. POLICY IMPACT: The purpose of the Bi-State Transportation Study is to generate information which would be used by the Bi-State Task Force in formulating policy recommendations concerning transportation solutions in the Northern Corridor. These policy recommendations would be considered by the Metro Council for incorporation in the Regional Transportation Plan and other policy documents. TPAC and JPACT have reviewed and approved this work program.
- C. BUDGET IMPACT: Metro will apply for and distribute a special federal grant to support the work program. The grant will be funded in two parts: \$100,000 of federal funds will be initially awarded. The remaining \$152,600 are to be awarded about October 1, 1980. A portion of the grant funds (\$60,800) are to be used by Metro to support work proposed in the FY 1981 Metro budget.

II. ANALYSIS:

- A. BACKGROUND: The Governors of Oregon and Washington established the Bi-State Task Force to address transportation issues which affect both states. The Task Force was specifically charged with developing policy recommendations for:
- . a multi-modal program for implementing projects to correct the North Corridor transportation problems.
  - . institutional mechanisms for officials of both states to address the corridor transportation problems.
  - . financing of the improvements.

A detailed work program for a study which would support the efforts of the Bi-State Task Force in meeting this charge has been prepared and approved by the Task Force. This work program would supersede the generalized work program included in the approved FY 1981 Unified Work Program.

- B. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: The proposed work program represents the minimum effort needed to allow the Task Force to respond to the charge by the Governors of Oregon and Washington.
- C. CONCLUSION: It is recommended that the detailed work program be amended into the Unified Work Program.

CWO:ss  
8252/118  
6/26/80

APPROVED BY THE METRO COUNCIL

THIS 23<sup>rd</sup> DAY OF May 1980  
 A G E N D A M A N A G E M E N T S U M M A R Y  
Cynthia M. Wilson  
 CLERK OF THE COUNCIL

TO: Metro Council  
 FROM: Executive Officer  
 SUBJECT: Clarifying the Intention of the "208" Waste Treatment Management Component with Regard to the Columbia Region Treatment Plan Element Thereof.

I. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- Res 80-159*
- A. ACTION REQUESTED: Adoption of the attached Resolution which clarifies the intention of the "208" Waste Treatment Management Component concerning the Columbia Region Treatment Plan.
- B. POLICY IMPACT: This is basically a housekeeping exercise, there is no policy impact.
- C. BUDGET IMPACT: None.

II. ANALYSIS:

- A. BACKGROUND: The "208" Waste Treatment Management Component was developed under the assumption there would be an adequate level of funding under the EPA Section 201 Sewerage Works Construction Grant program for implementation.

Cutbacks in the allocation of "201" funds to the state of Oregon over the past two years combined with previous commitments to several large existing projects have resulted in an inadequate amount of grant revenues to fund all the authorized projects on the State priority list. In addition, EPA in response to Presidential budget cuts has frozen all FY 1980 "201" funds until further notice. These actions have delayed the initiation of the detailed engineering study of the regionalization alternatives as recommended in the "208" Waste Treatment Management Component and necessitates the interim expansion of the existing waste treatment plants in order to meet waste discharge effluent standards.

The June 22, 1978 amendment to the "208" Waste Treatment Management Component provided for the interim expansion of the Gresham and Troutdale sewage treatment plants. The interim expansion of these plants was allowed to facilitate the preparation of a regional waste water treatment plan. There was no provision made for the expansion of the Inverness treatment plant at that time.

- B. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: The WRPAC considered amending the "208" plan as requested by the East Multnomah County Sanitary Sewer Consortium. This alternative would require formal notification and public hearings by both Metro and DEQ, approval by the Governor and concurrence by EPA. The process would have taken several months and could possibly delay the detailed engineering study in the event "201" funds became available during the process.
- C. CONCLUSION: Based on Metro and DEQ staff review and the recommendation of the WRPAC it is recommended that the adoption of a resolution clarifying the intention of the "208" Waste Treatment Management Component regarding the Columbia Region Sewerage Plan is the best way to accommodate the request of the East Multnomah County Sanitary Sewerage Consortium.

JL/gl  
7878/92  
5/1/80