

BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE
METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF AUTHORIZING) RESOLUTION NO. 80-161
FEDERAL FUNDS FOR 16 (b) (2))
SPECIAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS) Introduced by the Joint
) Policy Advisory Committee
) On Transportation

WHEREAS, The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) has requested the Council to make recommendations regarding the allocation of Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA) 16 (b) (2) funds in the Metro region; and

WHEREAS, To comply with federal requirements the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) must be amended to include projects recommended for UMTA 16 (b) (2) funds; and

WHEREAS, The CRAG Board of Directors adopted the Interim Special Transportation Plan which established regional policies and criteria for purposes of evaluating UMTA 16 (b) (2) applications; and

WHEREAS, Local providers have submitted two projects for funding authorization involving \$282,240 in Federal 16 (b) (2) funds; and

WHEREAS, The applications described in Attachment B were reviewed and found consistent with federal requirements and regional policies and objectives; now, therefore,


BE IT RESOLVED,

1. That \$282,240 of Federal 16 (b) (2) funds be authorized for the purchase of special transportation vehicles and related equipment for the two projects.

2. That the TIP and its Annual Element be amended to reflect this authorization as set forth in Attachment A.

3. That the Metro Council finds the projects to be in accordance with the region's continuing, cooperative, comprehensive planning process and, hereby, gives affirmative A-95 Review approval.

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District
this 26th day of June, 1980.


Presiding Officer

JG:ss
7934/118

PROJECT INFORMATION FORM - TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

PORTLAND METROPOLITAN AREA

PROJECT DESCRIPTION
 RESPONSIBILITY (AGENCY) Special Mobility Services, Inc.
 LIMITS Washington County LENGTH _____
 DESCRIPTION Project is a centrally dispatched special transportation program serving elderly and handicapped persons in Washington County. Funds will be used to purchase two lift-equipped vans, two lift-equipped mini-bus, and one mobile radio.

PROJECT NAME Washington Co. Special Transportation Program
 ID No _____
 APPLICANT _____

SCHEDULE
 TO ODOT _____
 PE OK'D _____ EIS OK'D _____
 CAT'Y _____ BID LET _____
 HEARING _____ COMPL'T _____

RELATIONSHIP TO ADOPTED TRANSPORTATION PLAN
 LONG RANGE ELEMENT _____ TSM ELEMENT _____

FUNDING PLAN BY FISCAL YEAR

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	TOTAL
TOTAL		102,375				102,375
FEDERAL		81,900				81,900
STATE						
LOCAL		20,475				20,475

APPLICANT'S ESTIMATE OF TOTAL PROJECT COST

PRELIM ENGINEERING \$ _____
 CONSTRUCTION _____
 RIGHT OF WAY _____
 TRAFFIC CONTROL _____
 ILLUMIN, SIGNS, _____
 LANDSCAPING, ETC _____
 STRUCTURES _____
 RAILROAD CROSSINGS _____

Equipment 102,375
 TOTAL \$ 102,375

LOCATION MAP

SOURCE OF FUNDS (%)

FEDERAL

FAUS (PORTLAND) _____
 FAUS (OREGON REGION) _____

UMTA CAPITAL 80 UMTA OPRTG _____
 INTERSTATE _____
 FED AID PRIMARY _____
 INTERSTATE _____
 SUBSTITUTION _____

NON FEDERAL

State _____ Local 20

ATTACHMENT A

PROJECT INFORMATION FORM - TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

PORTLAND METROPOLITAN AREA

PROJECT DESCRIPTION
 RESPONSIBILITY (AGENCY) Special Mobility Services, Inc.
 LIMITS West Multnomah County & North/Northeast Portland
 DESCRIPTION Project is a centrally dispatched special transportation program serving elderly and handicapped persons in West Multnomah County and North/Northeast Portland. Funds are to be used to purchase seven lift-equipped vans and five mobile radios.

Portland/West
PROJECT NAME Multnomah Co. Special Transportation Program
 ID No _____
APPLICANT Special Mobility Services, Inc.

SCHEDULE
 TO ODOT _____
 PE OK'D _____ EIS OK'D _____
 CAT'Y _____ BID LET _____
 HEARING _____ COMPL'T _____

RELATIONSHIP TO ADOPTED TRANSPORTATION PLAN
 LONG RANGE ELEMENT _____ TSM ELEMENT _____

FUNDING PLAN BY FISCAL YEAR

	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	TOTAL
TOTAL		250,425				250,425
FEDERAL		200,340				200,340
STATE						
LOCAL		50,085				50,085

APPLICANT'S ESTIMATE OF TOTAL PROJECT COST

PRELIM ENGINEERING \$ _____
 CONSTRUCTION _____
 RIGHT OF WAY _____
 TRAFFIC CONTROL _____
 ILLUMIN, SIGNS, _____
 LANDSCAPING, ETC _____
 STRUCTURES _____
 RAILROAD CROSSINGS _____

Equipment _____ 250,425
 TOTAL \$ 250,425

LOCATION MAP

SOURCE OF FUNDS (%)

FEDERAL

FAUS (PORTLAND) _____
 FAUS (OREGON REGION) _____

UMTA CAPITAL 80 UMTA OPRTG _____
 INTERSTATE _____
 FED AID PRIMARY _____
 INTERSTATE _____
 SUBSTITUTION _____

NON FEDERAL

State _____ Local 20

ATTACHMENT A

ATTACHMENT B

Project 1

Applicant: Special Mobility Services, Inc.

Project Description: Special Mobility Services request UMTA 16 (b) (2) capital assistance to purchase seven vans and five mobile radios for special transportation services in western Multnomah County and North/Northeast Portland. This provider will be assuming a larger service area (through a contract with Tri-Met) as a result of the discontinuance of Tri-Met's Lift Service. This project would constitute a portion of the region's special effort. This application is coordinated with Tri-Met.

<u>Project Cost:</u>	UMTA 16 (b) (2)	\$200,340
	Local (20%)	<u>50,085</u>
	Total	\$250,425

Project 2

Applicant: Special Mobility Services, Inc.

Project Description: Special Mobility Services requests UMTA 16 (b) (2) capital assistance to purchase two vans, two mini-buses (all lift equipped) and one mobile radio for special transportation services in Washington County. This project would constitute a portion of the region's special effort. This application is coordinated with Tri-Met.

<u>Project Cost:</u>	UMTA 16 (b) (2)	\$81,900
	Local (20%)	<u>20,475</u>
	Total	\$102,375

JG:ss
7934/118

THIS 26th DAY OF June 1980 Agenda Item 7.6Cynthia M. Wickman

CLERK OF THE COUNCIL

A G E N D A M A N A G E M E N T S U M M A R Y

TO: Metro Council
 FROM: Executive Officer
 SUBJECT: Authorizing Federal Funds for 16 (b) (2) Special Transportation Projects

Res-161
80-161I. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- A. ACTION REQUESTED: Recommend Council adoption of the attached Resolution which would authorize \$282,240 of Federal 16 (b) (2) funds to support the purchase of eleven (11) lift equipped vehicles and related equipment to provide special transportation services in the Metro region.
- B. POLICY IMPACT: This action is consistent with the adopted Interim Regional Special Transportation Plan. TPAC and JPACT have reviewed and approved this project.
- C. BUDGET IMPACT: The approved Metro budget includes funds to monitor federal funding commitments.

II. ANALYSIS:

- A. BACKGROUND: Section 16 (b) (2) authorizes the Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA) to make capital grants to private, nonprofit organizations to provide transportation services for elderly and handicapped persons when existing mass transportation services are inaccessible, insufficient or inappropriate. Capital investments, including purchase of conventional and paratransit vehicles and other equipment and the construction or renovation of buildings and related fixed facilities associated with providing local and regional (non-intercity) transportation services to the elderly and handicapped are eligible for 16 (b) (2) funds. Apportioned 16 (b) (2) funds are not available for operating expenses. Transportation Improvement Programs and their annual elements must be amended to include new 16 (b) (2) projects.

In December, 1977, the CRAG Board of Directors adopted the Interim Special Transportation Plan which, in part, established plan objectives, service priorities and implementation strategies to be used in the regional evaluation of candidate 16 (b) (2) applications. The Metro Council makes recommendations regarding the applications to the Oregon Department of Transportation based on these policies. Local providers have submitted two applications for the use of available federal funds. The staff analysis concludes that these projects are consistent with the Interim Special Transportation Plan.

- B. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: In as much as these are non-duplicative services, the alternative would be to provide no special transportation services in these areas. This alternative is not acceptable.
- C. CONCLUSION: Based on Metro staff analysis, it is recommended that the attached Resolution funding the projects be approved.

JG:ss
7932/118
6/26/80

APPROVED BY THE METRO COUNCIL

THIS 22nd DAY OF May 1980
 A G E N D A M A N A G E M E N T S U M M A R Y

Cynthia M. Wickman
 CLERK OF THE COUNCIL

TO: Metro Council
 FROM: Executive Officer
 SUBJECT: Authorizing Federal Interstate Funds For Resurfacing,
 Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) Project on I-84 -
 Sundial Road to Sandy Blvd.

Res 80-161

I. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- A. ACTION REQUESTED: Adopt the attached Resolution which authorizes \$1,012,500 of Federal Aid Interstate funds to repair bridges on I-84 between Sundial Road and the Sandy River.
- B. POLICY IMPACT: This action will amend the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and enable the Oregon Department of Transportation to obligate the funds.
- C. BUDGET IMPACT: The approved Metro budget includes funds to monitor federal funding commitments.

II. ANALYSIS:

- A. BACKGROUND: The Oregon Department of Transportation has requested the TIP be amended to include this project. The objective of this project is to repair and overlay the roadway deck surfaces of six bridges on I-84 between Sundial Road and the Sandy River and to provide new shoulder rails on the two Sandy River bridges.
- B. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: This project is necessary to extend the useful life (10 to 20 years) of the six bridges and their surfaces. It will ensure that the condition of these facilities is maintained at a level which will meet federal guidelines for the interstate system.

By not taking corrective actions in attaining federal guidelines, future interstate funds could be penalized by reduction in their apportionment to Oregon (Title 23, Sec. 119, USC). In addition, further deterioration (unless corrected) can be expected requiring eventual restoration costs many times over those currently needed.

- C. CONCLUSION: Metro staff recommends approval of the attached Resolution.

BP:ss
 7835/118
 5/22/80