

BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE
METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING) RESOLUTION NO. 80-209
THE FY 79 AND FY 80 UNIFIED WORK)
PROGRAM) Introduced by the Joint
) Policy Advisory Committee on
) Transportation

WHEREAS, The FY 79 Unified Work Program (UWP) was adopted in May 1978 by Ordinance No. 78-3 and revised in December 1978; and

WHEREAS, The FY 80 UWP was adopted in May 1979 by Ordinance No. 79-49; and

WHEREAS, Changes to the UWP must be approved by the Metro Council and the Intermodal Planning Group; and

WHEREAS, Both the FY 79 and FY 80 UWP must be revised to accurately reflect task priorities and actual expenditures, now therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED,

1. The Metro Council hereby approves the amendments to the FY 79 and FY 80 UWP as shown in Exhibits "A" and "B."
2. Staff is directed to submit this Resolution with its exhibits to the Intermodal Planning Group for approval.

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District this 18th day of December, 1980.



Presiding Officer

KT/et
1230B/188

PROPOSED FY 79
UWP AMENDMENT

November, 1980

TASKS	SEC. 8 - FY 79 APPROVED BUDGET		PROPOSED CHANGE	PROPOSED BUDGET	FHWA	PROPOSED CHANGE	EPA	PROPOSED CHANGE	LOCAL OVERMATCH	TOTAL
	UMTA	TOTAL								
A. Develop Regional Plans and Programs	\$ 22,500.00	\$ 28,125.00		\$ 22,113.00	\$393,255.00	0	\$ 82,700.00	0	\$36,800.00	\$534,868.00
B. Develop Regional Plans and Improvement Programs	63,200.00	79,000.00	-18,036.00	72,988.00	200,000.00	0	35,100.00	0	1,200.00	309,288.00
C. Assist Member Jurisdictions	42,300.00	52,875.00		46,863.00	57,225.00	0	0	0	0	104,088.00
D. Refine Regional Plan	0	0		0	0		2,200.00	0	1,000.00	3,200.00
PROPOSED WORK ELEMENT AMENDMENT										
Alternative Systems			+18,036.00	18,036.00		0	0	0	0	18,036.00
METRO TOTAL	\$128,000.00	\$160,000.00	0	\$160,000.00	\$650,480.00	0	\$120,000.00	0	\$39,000.00	\$969,480.00
TRI-MET (Contractual)										
D.1.b Sector Plan Development	88,890.00	111,226.00	-3,000.00	108,226.00						
D.1.d Regional Planning Coord.	1,654.00	20,674.00	0	20,674.00						
D.1.e Transit TSM	11,200.00	14,000.00	+3,000.00	17,000.00						
D.2. Special Transportation	8,250.00	6,600.00	0	6,600.00						
	\$109,994.00	\$152,500.00	0	\$152,500.00						
METRO/TRI-MET TOTAL	\$250,000.00	\$312,500.00	0	\$312,500.00						

PROPOSED FY 80
UMP AMENDMENT
November, 1980

METRO:	FHWA		Section 8 Carryover	New Sec. 8	UMTA		Westside Interim	Westside Phase II	Tri-Met TDP	Clark County Trans.	Clark County Air Quality	EPA 175 Carryover	New 175	FAA Carryover	Total
	PL	TOX			Phase I AA	Southern Corridor									
1. Growth Alternatives															
Budget	11,500	17,100		9,900						7,000					45,500
Proposed Change	+ 11,781	- 17,100		+ 8,078						0					+ 2,759
Revised	23,281	0		17,978						7,000					48,259
2. Simulation Tools															
Budget		54,600							10,000	10,000					74,600
Proposed Change		+ 4,346							0	0					+ 4,346
Revised		58,946							10,000	10,000					78,946
3. Phase I															
Budget		0			57,881										57,881
Proposed Change		+ 712			0										+ 712
Revised		712			57,881										58,593
4. Corridor Analysis															
Budget		38,700				11,300									50,000
Proposed Change		+ 15,060				0									+ 15,060
Revised		53,760				11,300									65,060
5. Alternative Systems															
Budget	0	81,500	0	0											81,500
Proposed Change	+ 74,515	- 81,500	+ 18,036	+ 38,152											+ 49,203
Revised	74,515	0	18,036	38,152											130,703
6. Air Quality Controls															
Budget										20,000	18,000	60,000			98,000
Proposed Change										-2,950	-18,000	+ 69,200			+ 48,250
Revised										17,050	0	129,200			146,250
7. Westside AA															
Budget							130,000	106,341							236,341
Proposed Change							0	0							0
Revised							130,000	106,341							236,341
8. RTP															
Budget		129,100													129,100
Proposed Change		- 3,138												+ 2,516	- 622
Revised		125,962												2,516	128,478
9. TSM															
Budget	12,500			10,900											23,400
Proposed Change	- 9,368			- 8,439											- 17,807
Revised	3,132			2,461											5,593
10. TIP															
Budget	50,500			43,800											94,300
Proposed Change	- 14,352			- 15,398											- 29,750
Revised	36,148			28,402											64,550
11. SIP															
Budget											6,000	106,000			112,000
Proposed Change											+ 14,652	- 106,000			- 91,348
Revised											20,652	0			20,652
12. Technical Assistance															
Budget	34,900			20,300						18,000					73,200
Proposed Change	- 33,597			- 19,276						0					- 52,873
Revised	1,303			1,024						18,000					20,327
13. Coord./Management															
Budget	40,600			36,600 ^b											77,200
Proposed Change	- 21,775			- 3,117											- 24,892
Revised	18,825			33,483											52,308
TOTAL															
Budget	150,000	321,000	0	121,500	57,881 ^c	11,300	130,000 ^d	106,341 ^e	10,000 ^f	35,000	20,000 ^g	24,000	166,000	0	1,153,022
Proposed Change	+ 7,204 ^a	- 81,620 ^a	+ 18,036	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 2,950	- 3,348	- 36,800	2,516	- 96,962	
Revised	157,204	239,380	18,036	121,500	57,881	11,300	130,000	106,341	10,000	35,000	17,050	20,652	129,200	2,516	1,056,060
Carryover from FY 80							17,491	607,459		3,717	6,933				

TRI-MET:^h

1. Service Analysis															
Budget				31,000											
Proposed Change				- 3,000											
Revised				28,000											
2. Transit Energy Reduct.															
Budget				25,000											
Proposed Change				0											
Revised				25,000											
3. TSM															
Budget				30,000											
Proposed Change				0											
Revised				30,000											
4. Special Transportation															
Budget				26,770 ⁱ											
Proposed Change				0											
Revised				26,770											
5. 5-Year Plan															
Budget				53,125											
Proposed Change				- 8,000											
Revised				45,125 ^j											
PROPOSED WORK ELEMENT															
Plan Maintenance															
Budget				0											
Proposed Change				+ 11,000											
Revised				11,000											

^aAmount revised by supplemental agreement of 6-17-80.

^bIncludes audit fee (\$1,500).

^cCarryover amount of FY 79 grant for \$70,000.

^dIncludes UWP amendment of January, 1980.

^eFY 80 portion of March, 1980 UWP amendment.

^fLocal contract executed June 3, 1980.

^gClark County/Metro contract executed for reduced amount.

^hIncludes amendments made in August 9, 1979 letter to UMTA but not reflected on UWP budget tables.

ⁱAdded to show proper amount of grant pass through - requires no budget adjustment - inadvertently deleted from UWP budget table.

^j\$1,500 reduced from 5-Year Plan for audit.

A G E N D A M A N A G E M E N T S U M M A R Y

TO: Metro Council
FROM: Executive Officer
SUBJECT: Amending the FY 79 and FY 80 Unified Work Program

Res 80-209

I. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- A. ACTION REQUESTED: Adopt the attached Resolution which authorizes amendment of the FY 79 and FY 80 Unified Work Program (UWP).
- B. POLICY IMPACT: This action is a housekeeping measure to transfer funds within each fiscal year to reflect past changes in priorities and to carry funds over into the next year. Adjustments over five percent of the total budget require federal approval. TPAC and JPACT have reviewed and approved these UWP amendments.
- C. BUDGET IMPACT: The FY 79 budget was not affected by the change. The FY 80 budget was reduced by \$96,962. This reduction was caused by a change in the highway planning funds, and was agreed to by Metro in a supplemental contract with Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT).

II. ANALYSIS:

- A. BACKGROUND: The FY 79 revision adds a new task to allow for use of \$18,036 of Section 8 carryover funds on a FY 80 work element; total budget remained the same. The Tri-Met portion shifted \$3,000 from Sector Plan Development into Transit TSM; total budget remained the same. (See Exhibit A).

Exhibit B describes changes to the FY 80 budget. Federal Highway Planning funds were amended through a supplemental agreement with ODOT, resulting in a cut back by \$81,620 because of a shortfall. UMTA Section 8 funds were reduced from several work elements to reflect actual expenditures and shifted to Alternatives Systems to provide funding for Westside Phase I and McLoughlin Boulevard work.

Tri-Met work reduced Service Analysis by \$3,000 and the Five Year Plan by \$8,000. These funds were shifted into the new task, Plan Maintenance and carried over into FY 81.

The budget for the Clark County Air Quality project was revised to reflect the actual amount of the contract.

All other funds remain unchanged from prior amendments.

- B. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Revise the UWP to allow expenses to be paid by grants or cover expenses with local funds.
- C. CONCLUSION: Metro staff recommends adoption of the attached Resolution to amend the FY 79 and FY 80 UWP for submittal to the Intermodal Planning Group.

KT/et
1229B/188