

### Agenda

MEETING: METRO COUNCIL WORK SESSION

DATE: February 5, 2008

DAY: Tuesday TIME: 2:00 PM

PLACE: Metro Council Chamber

### CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

2:00 PM 1. DISCUSSION OF AGENDA FOR COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING, FEBRUARY 7, 2008/ADMINISTRATIVE/CHIEF

**OPERATING OFFICER COMMUNICATIONS** 

2:15 PM 2. COLUMBIA RIVER CROSSING DISCUSSION Brandman

3:15 PM 3. BREAK

3:20 PM 4. BUDGET WORK SESSION Jordan/Stringer

4:20 PM 5. COUNCIL BRIEFINGS/COMMUNICATION

**ADJOURN** 

# COLUMBIA RIVER CROSSING DISCUSSION

Metro Council Work Session Tuesday, February 5, 2008 Metro Council Chamber

## METRO COUNCIL

## **Work Session Worksheet**

Presentation Date: February 5, 2008 Time: 2:15 pm Length: 1 hour

Presentation Title: Columbia River Crossing – Towards a Locally Preferred Alternative

Department: <u>Transportation</u>

Presenters: Rex Burkholder, Richard Brandman - CRC staff will also be available

## ISSUE & BACKGROUND

The Interstate 5 freeway is a critical transportation link for the nation, the states of Oregon and Washington. At the point that I-5 crosses the Columbia River, there is substantial congestion at peak hours in the morning and afternoon. The CRC project has been working with a 37 person task force and Councilor Rex Burkholder serves as the Metro Council representative.

The CRC project has identified several alternatives and is about to release an Environmental Impact Statement and is looking to determine a locally preferred alternative.

## **OPTIONS AVAILABLE**

Three documents, to be sent separately, will be available:

- 1. a list of Metro Council questions and responses as prepared by the CRC staff;
- 2. a memo from Councilor Burkholder that outlines potential issues and suggested solutions; and
- 3. a draft chart that could be used to structure a summary of the pros and cons of each alternative, including a no build alternative.

The second document highlights possible project issues to which the Metro Council is asked: Are these issues of concern to the Council? If so, are the suggested solutions satisfactory?

For the third document, the draft chart, does this chart include the evaluation elements that the Council would like to see compared?

## <u>IMPLICATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS</u>

Implications and suggestions are included in documents 2 and 3, attached.

### **QUESTION(S) PRESENTED FOR CONSIDERATION**

Please see above

LEGISLATION WOULD BE REQUIRED FOR COUNCIL ACTION \_x\_Yes \_\_No DRAFT IS ATTACHED \_\_\_Yes \_x\_No (not at this time)