BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING) RESOLUTION NO. 81-224	
THE FY 81 UNIFIED WORK PROGRAM)	
) Introduced by the Joint	
) Policy Advisory Committe	e
	on Transportation	

WHEREAS, The FY 81 Unified Work Program (UWP) was adopted in May 1980 by Ordinance No. 80-151; and

WHEREAS, Changes to the UWP must be approved by the Metro Council and the Intermodal Planning Group; and

WHEREAS, The FY 81 UWP must be revised to accurately reflect revised task priorities and actual funding availability; now therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED,

- 1. That the Metro Council hereby approves the amendments to the FY 81 UWP as shown in Exhibits "A" and "B."
- 2. That staff is directed to submit this Resolution with its exhibits to the Intermodal Planning Group for approval.

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District this 26th day of February, 1981.

Presiding Officer

KT/et 1230B/188

PROPOSED FY 81 UWP AMENDMENT

A. METRO WORK ELEMENTS

		UMTA				EPA		61 a mile 6		s.s.	Net			
Project	e (4)	Sec. 8	Westside	FHWA PL	Air Quality	A.Q. Spec.	Clark Co.	Clark Co Carryove		Circ.	Energy	WDOT	TOTAL	
1.	Reg. Trans. Plan Budget Proposed Change Revised	\$185,000 +92,842 277,842	0 +11,000 11,000		0 +48,500 48,500									\$185,000 +152,342 337,342
2.	TIP	277,042			•									
	Budget Proposed Change Revised	69,000 0 69,000	5,000 0 5,000		5,000 0 5,000						•			79,000 0 79,000
3.	Air Quality Budget Proposed Change					\$71,600 0	\$35,000 0							106,600
	Revised					71,600	35,000							106,600
4.	Functional Class Budget Proposed Change Revised	8,000 - 7,900 100			24,000 -23,000 1,000			,						32,000 -30,900 1,100
5.	Westside Corridor Budget		•	\$480,000										480,000 0
	Proposed Change Revised			0 480,000										480,000
6.	Tech. Assistance Budget Proposed Change		17,000 -11,000		17,000 -14,500			\$25,000 0 25,000	0 +3,983					59,000 -21,517 37,483
7.	Revised Coord. & Management		6,000		2,500			25,000	3,983					
	Budget Proposed Change Revised		35,000 0 35,000		40,000 0 40,000									75,000 0 75,000
8.	Modeling				,									
	Budget Proposed Change Revised		20,000 0 20,000		44,000 0 44,000			11,000 0 11,000						75,000 0 75,000
9.	Counting Program Budget	•			11,000			2,000						13,000
	Proposed Change Revised				11,000			0 2,000						0 13,000
10.	Computer Graphics Budget Proposed Change Revised	67,942 -62,942 5,000												67,942 -62,942 5,000
11.	W.S. Circulation . Budget			:						\$104,000 0				104,000
	Proposed Change Revised									104,000				0 104,000
12.	S.S. Circulation Budget Proposed Change										\$72,000 0			72,000 0
	Revised										72,000			72,000
13.	Energy Budget Proposed Change Revised	23,000 -22,000 1,000	750 0 750		21,000 -11,000 10,00n							56,000 -56,000 0		100,750 -89,000 11,750
14.	Northern Corridor Budget Proposed Change Revised									<u> </u>			17,000 0 17,000	17,000 0 17,000
	METRO TOTAL Budget Proposed Change Revised	\$352,942 0 352,942	0	480,000 0 480,000	162,000 0 162,000	71,600 0 71,600	35,000 0 35,000	38,000 0 38,000	0 +3,983 3,983	104,000 0 104,000	72,000 0 72,000	56,000 -56,000	17,000 0 17,000	1,466,292 -52,017 1,414,275

ACC:1mk 1-21-81

Revised 1-28-81

PROPOSED FY 81 UWP AMENDMENT

È.	TRI-ME	r

		UMTA				
	Project	Section 8 Grant 0030	Section 8 FY 80 Carryover	Section 8 FY 81	e (4)	TOTAL
1.	TDP Systems Support	,				***************************************
	Budget	\$ 98,000				\$ 98,000
	Proposed Change	+ 6,400				+ 6,400
	Revised	104,400				104,400
2.				·		
	Station Development	•				•
	Budget Proposed Change	0				0
	Revised	+65,800 65,800				+65,800 65,800
3.	Service Plan Refinement					
•	Budget		\$ 29,000	\$ 57,000		86,000
	Proposed Change		+ 1,000	Ó		+ 1,000
	Revised		30,000	57,000		87,000
4.	Plan Maintenance					
	Budget		11,000	24,000		35,000
	Proposed Change		0	0	•	0
	Revised	•	11,000	24,000		35,000
5.	-					
	Budget		25,000	30,000		55,000
	Proposed Change Revised		1,000 26,000	30,000		+ 1,000
	Revised		26,000	30,000		56,000
6.	Capital Impr. Program.			22 222		20.000
	Budget Proposed Change			20,000 0		20,000
	Revised			20,000		20,000
7.	TSM/Function Facility					
••	Budget		25,000	20,000	•	45,000
	Proposed Change		+ 4,000	Ó		+ 4,000
	Revised		29,000	20,000	· ·	49,000
8.	Special Transportation		•			
	Budget		10,000	90,000		100,000
	Proposed Change Revised		+ 1,000 11,000	90,000		+ 1,000
			11,000	30,000		202,000
9.	Net Energy Analysis Budget		25,000			25,000
	Proposed Change		23,000			25,000
	Revised		25,000			25,000
10.	Land Use	_				
	Budget Proposed Change	0 + 8,500				. 0 - 0 - 0
	Revised	8,500				+ 8,500 8,500
	·					
	TRI-MET TOTAL Budget	\$ 98,000	\$125,000	\$241,000		\$464,000
	Proposed Change	+80,700	+ 7,000	0 .		+87,700
	Revised	\$178,700	\$132,000	\$241,000		\$551,700
<u>c.</u>	OTHER AGENCIES					
1.	S.S. Circulation				•	
	(Clackamas County)					60 000
	Budget Proposed Change				\$ 60,000 0	60,000 0
	Revised Change	•			60,000	60,000
2	Wootside Circulation					
2.	Westside Circulation (Washington County)					•
	Budget				85,000	85,000
	Proposed Change				0 85,000	0 85,000
	Revised					
	OTHER AGENCIES TOTAL				\$145,000	\$145,000
	Budget Proposed Change				\$145,000 0	\$145,000 0
	Proposed Change Revised				\$145,000	\$145,000
	C * * CM				. = . =	

ACC:lmk 1-22-81 Rev. 1-28-81

APPROVED BY THE METRO COUNCIL

THIS 26th DAY OF February 19.87 Agenda Item 4.7

Cynthen M Wickman

N D A M ALN AFGREOMICELN T SUMMARY

TO:

Metro Council

Executive Officer FROM:

Amending the FY 81 Unified Work Program SUBJECT:

Res 81-224

I. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- ACTION REQUESTED: Recommend Council adoption of the Α. attached Resolution amending the FY 81 UWP to reflect:
 - Deletion of a previous grant programmed that will not 1. be received.
 - Additional work effort to complete the RTP. 2.
 - Programming of Tri-Met FY 80 carryover funding into 3. FY 81.
- POLICY IMPACT: This action will recognize as the three В. highest priorities in the Transportation Department the Westside Corridor project, the Regional Transportation Plan and Air Quality planning. Other activities programmed for use of grant funding will be delayed to FY 82 including Energy Contingency planning and Computer Graphics. TPAC and JPACT have reviewed and approved this project.
- BUDGET IMPACT: Grants programmed that will not be C. received result in a loss of \$56,000 for Metro.

II. ANALYSIS:

BACKGROUND: Metro adopted the FY 81 UWP in May, 1980 Α. describing the work activities to be funded with federal transportation grants. Included in the UWP was some \$56,000 in funding in energy planning with 80 percent from Windfall Profits Tax which will not be received. Also programmed in the UWP was the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) at \$185,000 to be completed by December, 1980. Other work elements were budgeted under the assumption that staff resources would shift upon completion of the Based upon Council, JPACT and public review of the second draft of the RTP, significant additional work is scheduled for 1981. As such, several work elements must be delayed or eliminated.

Finally, the UWP is also intended to identify carryover funding from previous grants. Tri-Met's portion of the UWP was programmed based upon anticipated carryover and is being modified to reflect actual carryover as of June 30, 1980.

- B. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Alternative program priorities include de-emphasizing the RTP and initiating new work activities or carrying the RTP through to completion.
- C. CONCLUSION: Recommend adoption of the UWP amendment with consideration for delayed work elements for inclusion in the FY 82 UWP.

AC:et 1820B/188 APPROVED BY THE METRO COUNCIL

A G E N D A M ACINENAORGHE CMUNEILN T S U M M A R Y

TO: FROM: Metro Council

SUBJECT:

Executive Officer
Endorsing a Grant Application for the U.S. Department of

Transportation Comprehensive Transportation Systems

Management Assistance Program

I. RECOMMENDATIONS:

A. ACTION REQUESTED: Recommend Council adoption of the attached Resolution endorsing the USDOT Comprehensive Transportation Systems Management Assistance Program grant application and amending the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to include the proposed projects, following commitment of the necessary local match by the sponsoring agencies.

- B. POLICY IMPACT: This action will enable Metro, ODOT, Tri-Met and the cities of Portland and Vancouver to compete for USDOT discretionary funds for implementation of low-capital intensive Transportation System Management projects. This is consistent with the region's transportation policies and goals. Since these are discretionary funds, the proposed projects do not compete for funding with other transportation projects in the region.
- C. BUDGET IMPACT: Funding of the McLoughlin Blvd. Rideshare Program and the Bicycle Marketing and Promotion Program would provide Metro with an additional \$16,000 \$40,000 in revenues. Final budget impact would be determined pending agreement with the City of Portland regarding Metro's role in the Bicycle Marketing and Promotion Program.

II. ANALYSIS:

- A. BACKGROUND: See Attachment "A", February 4, 1981, Memorandum to Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation. JPACT endorsed all projects and a TIP amendment at their meeting on February 12, 1981.
- B. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Additional projects were considered, but were withdrawn for consideration by the sponsoring agencies, including: reduced off-peak transit fares, bus shelters in Clark County, additional signal intertie projects, and freeway T.V. surveillance.
- C. CONCLUSION: Metro staff recommends adoption of the attached Resolution in accord with Committee actions.