BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PUR	RPOSE OF AUTHORIZING)) RESOLUTION NO. 81-258
FEDERAL FUN	IDS FOR A 16(b)(2)))
SPECIAL TRA	ANSPORTATION PROJECT)) Introduced by the Joint) Policy Advisory Committee
	,	on Transportation

WHEREAS, The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) has requested the Council to make recommendations regarding the allocation of Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA) 16(b)(2) funds in the Metro region; and

WHEREAS, To comply with federal requirements the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) must be amended to include projects recommended for UMTA 16(b)(2) funds; and

WHEREAS, The adopted Special Transportation Plan established regional policies and criteria for purposes of evaluating UMTA 16(b)(2) applications; and

WHEREAS, North Portland Rotary, Inc. has submitted a project for funding authorization involving \$9,660 in Federal 16(b)(2) funds; and

WHEREAS, The project described in Attachment A was reviewed and found consistent with federal requirements and regional policies and objectives; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED,

- 1. That \$9,660 of Federal 16(b)(2) funds be authorized for the purchase of the special transportation vehicle.
- 2. That the TIP and its Annual Element be amended to reflect this authorization as set forth in the Attachment.

3. That the Metro Council finds the project to be in accordance with the region's continuing, cooperative, comprehensive planning process and, hereby, gives affirmative A-95 Review approval.

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District this 23rd day of July , 1981.

Presiding Officer

BP/srb 2882B/214 07/10/81

PROJECT INFORMATION FORM - TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PORTLAND METROPOLITAN AREA

provide s	BILITY (A N/A ION Pure special t	chase of	one 5-9 ation se	passenge ervices i	LENG r station n North	TH N/A nwagon to Portland.	PROJECT NAME North Portland Rotary Special Transportation ID No N/A APPLICANT North Portland Rotary SCHEDULE TO ODOT PE OK'DEIS OK'D CAT'YBID LET HEARINGCOMPL'T
FUNDING PLA TOTAL FEDERAL	FY 80		FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	TOTAL 12,075 9,660	APPLICANT'S ESTIMATE OF TOTAL PROJECT COST PRELIM ENGINEERING \$
LOCATION MA	AP	2,415				2,415	TRAFFIC CONTROL ILLUMIN, SIGNS, LANDSCAPING, ETC STRUCTURES RAILROAD CROSSINGS 1 Stationwagon 12,075
							SOURCE OF FUNDS (%) FEDERAL FAUS (PORTLAND) FAUS (OREGON REGION) FAUS (WASH REGION)
					•		UMTA CAPITALUMTA OPRTG INTERSTATE FED AID PRIMARY INTERSTATE SUBSTITUTION UMTA 16(b)(2) 80
							NON FEDERAL STATE LOCAL

EXHIBIT "A

AGENDA MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

TO: Metro Council

FROM: Executive Officer

SUBJECT: Authorizing Federal Funds for a 16(b)(2) Special

Transportation Project

I. RECOMMENDATIONS:

A. ACTION REQUESTED: Recommend Council adoption of the attached Resolution which authorizes \$9,660 of Federal 16(b)(2) funds to support the purchase of one (1) 5-9 passenger stationwagon to provide special transportation services in the Metro region.

- B. POLICY IMPACT: This action is consistent with the adopted Regional Special Transportation Plan; this project is in addition to projects authorized elsewhere in the region last month. TPAC and JPACT have reviewed and approved this project.
- C. BUDGET IMPACT: The approved Metro budget includes funds to monitor federal funding commitments.

II. ANALYSIS:

A. BACKGROUND: Section 16(b)(2) authorizes the Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA) to make capital grants to private, nonprofit organizations to provide transportation services for elderly and handicapped persons. Capital investments include purchase of conventional and paratransit vehicles and other equipment associated with providing local and regional (non-intercity) transportation services to the elderly and handicapped. Apportioned 16(b)(2) funds are not available for operating expenses. Transportation Improvement Programs and their Annual Elements must be amended to include new 16(b)(2) projects.

The adopted Special Transportation Plan, in part, established plan objectives, service priorities and implementation strategies to be used in the regional evaluation of candidate 16(b)(2) applications. The Metro Council makes recommendations regarding the applications to the Oregon Department of Transportation based on these policies. North Portland Rotary, Inc. has submitted an application for the use of federal funds. The staff analysis concludes that the project is consistent with the Special Transportation Plan.

W 81.25h

Applicant: North Portland Rotary, Inc.

Project Description: This agency operates three days a week from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. There is no charge for this service, although clients are welcome to contribute. This service is coordinated with Tri-Met's Special Needs Transportation Program. The driver of this car assists clients, runs personal errands and provides nonpriority rides. This agency responds to short-notice ride requests if possible and if the request is not more suitable for an ambulance. This agency does not provide emergency transportation.

This project directly addresses the isolation of this neighborhood by providing escorted rides to services that senior citizens are trying to reach. The service will be provided as stated above. The intent of this grant is to replace the vehicle currently being used, a 1969 Chevy Impala, with a more suitable vehicle that is also cost-effective. The vehicle to be purchased is a stationwagon to provide rides involving more than one passenger and their possessions, i.e., laundry, grocery bags, etc. This vehicle will not be lift equipped, however, because this agency is a member of the Tri-Met Special Needs Transportation Program; therefore, wheelchair passengers have equal access elsewhere to the same services.

Project Cost: UMTA 16(b)(2) \$ 9,660 Local (20%) 2,415 Total \$12,075

- B. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Inasmuch as these are nonduplicative services, the alternative would be to provide no special transportation services in these areas or reclaim the existing vehicle. These alternatives are not acceptable.
- C. CONCLUSION: Based on Metro staff analysis, it is recommended that the attached Resolution funding the project be approved.

BP/srb 2881B/214 07/10/81