

Agenda

MEETING: METRO COUNCIL GREATEST PLACE WORK SESSION

DATE: May 14, 2008 DAY: Wednesday TIME: 1:30 PM

PLACE: Metro Council Chamber

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

Meeting Objectives:

- Status of communications/engagement effort
- Preview Regional Infrastructure Analysis and comparative cost results
- Next steps on Performance Based Growth Management Resolution
- Council policy direction on economic and employment trends work program
- Share event highlights
- 1:30 Review Meeting Objectives/Context Robin McArthur
- 1:35 Overall Communications/Engagement Strategy Status Robin McArthur
 - Consultant contract
 - Linking Regional Transportation Plan, Reserves, Infrastructure, Investment, Performance Based Growth Management

1:50 Events

- Making the Greatest Place Upcoming Special Events (attached)
- Debrief Mayors Institute of City Design
- 2:00 Emerging Results From Regional Infrastructure Analysis Malu Wilkinson/Tod Chase
 - Findings/conclusions (hand-outs at meeting)
 - Prep for May 28th Regional Infrastructure Workshop
 - How findings will be used
- 3:00 Employment and Economic Trends Councilor Park
 - Recent employment trends (past 10 years)
 - Council project proposal and work scope outline (sent under separate cover)
- 4:00 Update on Performance Based Growth Management Councilor Hosticka
 - Review schedule/major milestones (attachment)
 - Review resolution/staff report (attachment)
- 4:30 Adjourn

Making the Greatest Place Upcoming Special Events

Overall purpose: To motivate local communities in the region to think boldly about where and how to grow in the next 50 years

May

• Mayor's Institute on City Design -- May 7-9

Purpose: To bring four mayors in the region (Forest Grove, Gresham, Milwaukie, and Oregon City) together with design experts to find solutions to the most critical urban design challenges facing their cities Audience: Invited mayors

Reserves Steering Committee -- May 14

Purpose: To continue discussion of reserves work program, study areas, and urban reserve factors

Audience: Reserves Steering Committee and interested parties

 Urban Land Institute/Metro Infrastructure event with the International Society of City and Regional Planners (ISOCARP) - May 15

Purpose: To gain insights from planning professionals from different counties and perspectives on infrastructure issues
Audience: Infrastructure Advisory Committee, service providers, local planning staff, city managers, neighborhood/community leaders, and

Infrastructure Workshop (Oregon Convention Center) - May 28

Purpose: To review comparative infrastructure costs, financing gaps and possible solutions

Audience: Elected officials, service providers

• 50-year Range Forecast -- May 30

developers

Purpose: To get peer review of the 50-year population and employment forecast from a diverse group of users and experts that will be used for Reserve and Placemaking efforts

Audience: Reserves Steering Committee and constituent groups, MPAC, JPACT, MTAC, and TPAC

June

Reserves Steering Committee - June 9

Purpose: To continue discussion of reserves work program, study areas, and urban reserve factors

Audience: Reserves Steering Committee and interested parties

(continued on next page)

• Transportation Infrastructure Financing with the Urban Land Institute -- June 25-26 and July 1

Purpose: To discuss transportation financing options and regional solutions with a panel of experts in transportation finance, elected officials and business leaders in the region

Audience: JPACT, MPAC, ULI leadership, regional business leaders

July

• Reserves Steering Committee - July 9

Purpose: To continue discussion of reserves work program, study areas, and urban reserve factors

Audience: Reserves Steering Committee and interested parties

Placemaking Summit -- July 18

Purpose: To focus attention on how to implement the Region 2040 Growth Concept and to increase awareness of innovative tools to achieve 20- and 50-year visions

Audience: Mayors/chairs; city councilors; county commissioners; local planning directors; neighboring communities mayors, city councilors, county commissioners, administrators and planning directors; state agency representatives, TriMet, and Port of Portland

• Design and Development Code Workshop (July 30)

Purpose: To introduce and highlight tools and solutions contained in the Design and Development Code Tool Kit (second installment of Community Investment Tool Kit)

Audience: Local planning commissioners, local government staff, developers, designers, planning and architecture organizations (e.g., American Institute of Architects, Urban Land Institute, American Landscape Architects)

Fall

- Case Study Workshops (dates to be determined)
- Joint MPAC/JPACT workshops on scenario results

Winter 2009

• Placemaking Summit

BEFORE THE METRO COUNCIL

FOR THE PURPOSE OF AFFIRMING A)	RESOLUTION NO. 08-3940
DEFINITION OF A "SUCCESSFUL REGION")	
AND COMMITTING METRO TO WORK WITH)	Introduced by Councilor Carl Hosticka
REGIONAL PARTNERS TO IDENTIFY)	
PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AND TARGETS)	
AND TO DEVELOP A DECISION-MAKING)	
PROCESS TO CREATE SUCCESSFUL)	
COMMUNITIES)	

WHEREAS, the preamble to Metro's Charter states that Metro shall undertake "...as its most important service, planning and policy making to preserve and enhance the quality of life and the environment for ourselves and future generations..."; and

WHEREAS, the concept of "quality of life" is given further clarification in the 2040 Growth Concept, the Regional Framework Plan and Metro Council Goals and Objectives; and

WHEREAS, to preserve and enhance the quality of life for current and future generations, growth management policies should be based upon measurable performance toward the achievement of regional goals and objectives; and

WHEREAS, Title 9 (Performance Measures) of the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan states that the Metro Council shall adopt and periodically revise performance measures to be used in evaluating and adjusting, as necessary, Metro's functional plans, the urban growth boundary (UGB), and other regional plans; and

WHEREAS, the region has an increasing ability to measure its success in realizing its goals, to use performance measures and to understand the likely effects of different policy options; and

WHEREAS, state law currently requires Metro to determine the capacity of the region's UGB every five years, using a precise methodology set forth at ORS 197.296, and to add capacity if the UGB does not have sufficient room to accommodate population and employment growth forecasted for the next 20 years; and

WHEREAS, the current approach to growth management causes the region to apply a level of analytical precision to long-range population and employment forecasts that does not account for the dynamic nature of housing and employment needs and markets; and

WHEREAS, the current approach can lead to UGB land allocations that do not help to create great communities that enhance the quality of life for ourselves and future generations; and

WHEREAS, this cyclical approach has also had the effect of diverting the region's attention and resources from critical, shorter-term efforts to build livable communities within the region's centers and corridors and, instead, has directed scarce resources to a continual analysis of need to add to the region's long-term development capacity by adding land from outside the UGB; and

WHEREAS, despite the passage of approximately 13 years since its adoption, support for the 2040 Growth Concept remains strong among local governments and the general public, and

WHEREAS, the 2040 Growth Concept also holds promise for addressing contemporary and pressing concerns, such as the region's rapid population growth and its contributions to global warming, and for directing investments in infrastructure in a time of limited funds; and

WHEREAS, in order to establish performance measures to inform future growth management decisions, the region should affirmatively state its vision of long-term success in creating a livable region and its constituent communities; and

WHEREAS, a performance-based approach to growth management will be most successful if jurisdictions throughout the region participate in its development and integrate it into their decision making; and

WHEREAS, Metro and its regional partners intend to use a performance-based approach to help determine whether and where to (1) allocate growth to and within the UGB; (2) invest in communities within the UGB; and (3) expand the UGB; now, therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the Metro Council

- 1. Affirms a definition of a successful region and its constituent communities, as set forth in Exhibit A, attached hereto.
- Commits, based on the principles articulated in Exhibit B, to working with all of our regional partners to identify the performance indicators, targets and decision making process necessary to create successful communities.

ADOPTED by the Metro Council this	day of	, 2008	
	David B	ragdon, Council President	
Approved as to form:			
Daniel B. Cooper, Metro Attorney			

Exhibit A To Resolution No. 08-3940

A Definition of a Successful Region

- 1. People live and work in vibrant communities where they can choose to walk for pleasure and to meet their everyday needs.
- 2. Current and future residents benefit from the region's sustained economic competitiveness and prosperity.
- 3. People have safe and reliable transportation choices that enhance their quality of life.
- 4. The region is a leader in minimizing contributions to global warming.
- 5. Current and future generations enjoy clean air, clean water and healthy ecosystems.
- 6. The benefits and burdens of growth and change are distributed equitably.

Exhibit B To Resolution No. 08-3940

Guiding Principles - Performance Based Growth Management

- 1. The new growth management approach should be outcome-oriented, with the outcomes endorsed through regional commitment to a definition of performance or outcome.
- 2. The new approach should be transparent, allowing for explicit weighing of community values and desired outcomes.
- 3. Performance or outcome should be defined in a way that is readily measurable and has clear cause-and-effect linkages with policy choices.
- 4. A combination of measures will be used to assess progress toward meeting the region's goals and will inform decisions about which policy tools are needed to achieve the desired outcomes.
- 5. Measurements should accommodate local aspirations and should support equitable outcomes across the region while also achieving region-wide goals.
- 6. The new approach will link performance measures reporting directly with growth management decisions.
- 7. The new approach should rely on an integrated set of policy and financial tools, including public investments, land supply decisions, local zoning and other strategies.
- 8. Strategies should be aligned at the regional, local, state and federal level to support progress toward achieving the outcomes desired for the region and to effectively leverage private investment.
- 9. Changes to state statute and administrative rules may be needed to fully implement this approach.

STAFF REPORT

IN CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 08-3940, FOR THE PURPOSE OF AFFIRMING A DEFINITION OF A "SUCCESSFUL REGION" AND COMMITING METRO TO WORK WITH REGIONAL PARTNERS TO IDENTIFY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AND TARGETS AND TO DEVELOP A DECISION-MAKING PROCESS TO CREATE SUCCESSFUL COMMUNITIES

Date: May 5, 2008 Prepared by: Ted Reid

BACKGROUND

Contents of the resolution:

Staff has been developing the Performance-Based Growth Management (PBGM) concept in order to allow for a more robust conversation about how different growth management strategies measure up to the region's aspirations. The proposed resolution is a first step towards designing a performance-based growth management system that helps to create the successful communities that the region desires. The resolution has three main purposes:

- 1. <u>Define success</u> The resolution describes the region's desired outcomes with respect to creating successful, livable communities (see Exhibit A to the resolution).
- 2. <u>Establish guiding principles</u> The resolution articulates a set of principles (see Exhibit B to the resolution) that will guide the creation of a performance-based growth management system.
- 3. <u>Commit to collaboration</u> The resolution commits the Metro Council, based on the desired outcomes and guiding principles, to work with regional partners to identify the performance indicators, targets and decision-making process necessary to create successful communities.

Relationship of this resolution's outcome statement to past Metro policy statements

Past policy documents such as the 2040 Growth Concept, Regional Urban Growth Goals, and the Regional Framework plan have informed the writing of the outcome statements found in Exhibit A to the resolution. However, the resolution's list of desired outcomes is different from previous policy statements in that it strives to articulate only the desired outcomes, not the strategies thereto. For instance, past policies have included goal statements such as "adequate land supply" or "compact urban form." While these are important strategies, these statements fail to capture what is most important to the region: outcomes like clean air and water and thriving communities. The resolution also attempts to list only those outcomes that may be most directly influenced by growth management strategies.

The resolution's focus on outcomes, not strategies, also recognizes the fact that no single strategy is likely to accomplish the desired outcomes. Instead, a combination of local and regional policies and private and public investments will be needed. Finally, given its pressing importance, an outcome statement related to global warming has been added despite its absence in past policy statements.

How the resolution's outcome statements could translate into strategies and indicators

The intent is to have these desired outcomes be at the forefront when making growth management decisions. This would be accomplished through the use of performance indicators that correspond to each desired outcome.

Attachment 1 to this staff report includes, for each of the six outcome statements, illustrative examples of strategies for achieving the outcome as well as draft indicators for measuring the effectiveness of those strategies. Additional stakeholder input will be solicited before finalizing the list of performance indicators.

It is worth noting that, as with the performance indicators mandated by ORS 197.301, there is no performance indicator for adequate growth capacity. This is because the very premise of PBGM is that capacity can (and will) be provided through a variety of strategies. A PBGM system would provide the means of weighing the costs and benefits of those strategies in light of the region's desired outcomes.

The attached list of sample performance indicators includes those that are currently mandated under ORS 197.301 as well as a number of the performance indicators that were generated in Metro's agency-wide performance measurement project. These indicators were supplemented with performance indicators that are being considered for use in the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) as well as those that are being reported in the Title 13 (Nature in Neighborhoods) *State of the Watersheds* report.

Performance indicators would be used retrospectively (to monitor past performance) as well as prospectively (to report the results of scenario modeling) to allow for adaptive management. However, there are likely to be important performance indicators for which modeling is currently not possible (e.g. many of the habitat-related indicators) or for which historic data is not available (e.g. many of the transportation indicators). Given the importance of such indicators, staff recommends that the inability to both measure and model results for a given indicator not preclude its use.

Staff anticipates that many performance indicators will be most informative when mapped, thereby allowing for sub-regional analysis and targeted corrective strategies.

Existing statutes

In some ways, a PBGM system is already described in the Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS 197.301 and 197.302). Those statutes articulate a set of performance measures that are to be reported by Metro every two years and require that corrective actions be taken if additional growth capacity is needed. The proposed PBGM system is an attempt to improve upon what is already required by statute.

Better indicators

One such improvement would be to develop performance indicators that measure the impacts of growth as experienced by the region's residents. In particular, most of the performance indicators currently required under ORS 197.301 (for instance, residential vacancy rates) fail to describe, in any intuitive way, quality of life or cost of living for the region's residents.

Adaptive management

A second improvement would be to more explicitly link the performance indicators with growth management strategies so that such strategies specifically address performance deficiencies. Under our current system, there is no attempt to demonstrate how a particular strategy, such as a UGB expansion, might improve performance.

Adaptive strategies could take many forms, depending on the circumstances. For example, the region's experience has shown that providing land supply alone does not create a community. Improved access to open space, transit, and other urban amenities are some of the most effective means of attracting residents and employers to the region's centers, corridors and employment areas.

Scenario modeling would help establish a cause and effect linkage between a strategy and outcomes. As previously noted, under a PBGM system, performance indicators would be used both retrospectively (as

required by the statute) as well as prospectively in scenario modeling. A variety of strategies, including UGB expansions, could be tested using modeled scenarios. For instance, a scenario that tests limited UGB expansions, upzoning and investments in corridor improvements could be tested against a strategy that provides for more aggressive UGB expansions and investments in transit connections between centers.

These scenarios will be an iterative process that, in coordination with local partners, will provide for the refinement of strategies. When measured against the performance indicators, some scenarios will perform better than others. However, it will be a matter for deliberation at Metro and at the local level which strategies produce the desired results at the right risk or cost level. When the Council does decide that there is a need for additional land supply, a performance-based system would provide a transparent, outcome-based means of arriving at that decision and of choosing amongst urban reserve areas, based on the quality of the concept plan.

Designing the decision-making system

As noted, any policy decisions and public investments that influence urban form could be considered growth management decisions. Many of these actions are taken at the local level while the Metro Council takes others. Given the dispersed nature of these decisions, it is most accurate to describe PBGM as an outcome-oriented decision-making paradigm, rather than as a single, unified system for making all growth management decisions.

This outcome-oriented decision-making paradigm is one that is currently being incorporated into the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). Metro's RTP staff is, in conjunction with external advisors, identifying performance indicators that will be used to monitor and model the performance of the region's transportation network and its effects on land use, thereby informing investment decisions. Staff's intent is that one set of performance indicators be used by the RTP and to guide Metro's other actions and investments.

For this decision-making paradigm to be most effective, it also needs to be relied upon by the region's cities. Consequently, the proposed resolution commits Metro to work with its regional partners to design the PBGM decision-making framework. Staff anticipates that the design of this framework will involve the development of performance targets that are linked with adaptive strategies. Staff intends that this decision-making framework as well as the aforementioned scenario modeling capabilities be regarded by local jurisdictions as a valuable tool for considering future choices.

ANALYSIS/INFORMATION

1. Known Opposition

There is no known opposition to this resolution.

2. Legal Antecedents

ORS 197.296 to 197.303

Urban Growth Management Functional Plan (in particular, Title 9, Performance Measures)

3. Anticipated Effects

If this resolution is adopted, staff will work with Metro's regional partners to create a performance-based growth management system. This work will include the identification of performance indicators, performance targets, and adaptive strategies. Once implemented, a PBGM system would consist of the ongoing provision of technical resources to local jurisdictions for considering the effects of different local strategies. This technical assistance will be of particular importance as 12 of the region's cities enter

Periodic Review of their comprehensive plans over the next several years. Staff does not anticipate that a PBGM system will be any easier to implement than the current growth management system. However, staff believes that a PBGM system can more be more successful in helping local jurisdictions to create great communities that are an asset to the region.

4. Budget Impacts

Development of the Performance-Based Growth Management concept is already included in the budget. Though there will be no new budget impacts as a consequence of adopting this resolution, an ongoing performance-based growth management system would incur new costs, particularly for data collection, management, interpretation, and display.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Staff recommends that Council adopt the resolution.

ATTACHMENT 1 to Staff Report

 ${\bf OUTCOME~1:~People~live~and~work~in~vibrant~communities~where~they~can~choose~to~walk~for~pleasure~and~to~meet~their~everyday~needs}$

Strategies	Draft performance indicators	Indicator mandated by state?
Create a compact	Private investment in centers and corridors as a percent of total	
urban form by encouraging growth	private investment in the region	No
(jobs and housing) in	Residential vacancy rates (renters, owners)	Yes
centers and corridors	Refill rate - industrial, commercial, residential	Yes
	Percent of employment (and employers) and population in the three- county region that is within centers and corridors	No
Encourage efficient	The rate of conversion of vacant land to improved	Yes
use of land in all	The sales price of vacant land	Yes
communities	Average density by design type (center, corridor, industrial/employment area)	No
Encourage mixed uses		
in centers and corridors	Percent of area within centers and corridors with compact urban form characteristics (mixed use index)	No
Provide urban	Neighborhood score (statistical measure of neighborhood desirability	
amenities (parks,	- the portion of property sales price not explained by private	
street car, plazas,	improvements)	No
boulevard treatments,		
bike lanes, sidewalks,	Number and percentage of households within 1/2 mile of public open	
etc)	space (park, plaza, natural area)	Yes

OUTCOME 2: Current and future residents benefit from the region's sustained economic competitiveness and prosperity

Strategies	Draft performance indicators	Indicator mandated by state?
Provide for the efficient and reliable movement of freight and goods.	Total delay and cost of delay on the regional freight network in midday and PM peak	yes (mobility)
markets	Number and percentage of households and jobs within 30 minutes of the (Tier 1) central city, regional centers, and industrial areas for midday and PM peak (visualized using travel time contours)	yes (accessibility)
a qualified work force by preserving and improving the region's	Traded sector jobs in the region as a percent of the U.S. average The level of job creation within individual cities and the urban areas of a county inside the metropolitan service district	No Yes
Protect critical industrial lands from conflicting uses	Percentage of employment (and employers) located in Title 4 (industrial/employment) areas	No

 $\label{eq:outcome} \textbf{OUTCOME 3: People have safe and reliable transportation choices that enhance their quality of life}$

Strategies	Draft performance indicators	Indicator mandated by state?
Provide for the		
efficient and reliable		yes
movement of people	Average commute length	(accessibility)
Provide community	Number and percent of homes within 1/2 mile of regional transit	
access to daily	service	No
activities	Number and percent of homes within 1/2 mile of a regional trail	No
Invest our resources		
wisely	User cost per mile (auto and truck)	No
Design a safe		
transportation system	Per capita crashes, serious injuries and fatalities by mode	No

OUTCOME 4: The region is a leader in minimizing contributions to global warming

Draft performance indicators	Indicator mandated by state?
VMT per capita	No
Total VMT	No
Non drive alone mode share for central city and individual regional centers (% by mode)	No
Tons of transportation-related greenhouse gas emissions per year	No
Account DTM comment of the last	No
,	VMT per capita Total VMT Non drive alone mode share for central city and individual regional centers (% by mode)

OUTCOME 5: Current and future generations enjoy clean air, clean water and healthy ecosystems

Strategies	Draft performance indicators	Indicator mandated by state?
Protect / enhance ecological function	The amount of environmentally sensitive land that is protected and the	
ecological function	amount of environmentally sensitive land that is developed (statutory language - needs refinement for use)	Yes
Preserve and improve	Number of acres of Class I and II high value riparian habitat	No
streamside, wetland		
and flood area habitat connectivity	Number of acres of undeveloped floodplain	No
Preserve large areas of	rumber of acres of undeveloped floodplain	110
contiguous habitat and		
avoid fragmentation	Number of acres of Class A and B high value upland habitat	No
Preserve and improve		
special habitats of		
concern (native oak,		
riparian bottomland		
hardwood, wetlands,		
river islands and		
deltas, as well as		
habitats with specific		
key functions)	Number of acres and categorical types of special or at-risk species	No
Minimize conversion		
of rural lands to urban		
uses	Acres consumed in UGB expansion areas	No

OUTCOME 6: The benefits and burdens of growth and change are distributed equitably

Strategies	Draft performance indicators	Indicator mandated by state?
Minimize geographic concentrations of	The density and price ranges of residential development, including both single family and multifamily residential units	Yes
poverty by providing housing and transportation choices	A measure of combined housing/transportation costs (probably an index)	No
in centers and	Percent of residents living in poverty	No
corridors	Number and percent of low-income (define) households within 1/2 mile of high-capacity transit or frequent bus service	No
Ensure clean air for all		
by reducing		
dependence on		Yes (air
automobile	Total pounds of selected air toxins emitted.	quality)
Encourage an efficient		
urban form that		
reduces the costs of		
providing	Average regional, community, local infrastructure costs by census	
infrastructure	tract	No

Performance-Based Growth Management – project timeline

Work Component	Spring 2008	Summer / Fall 2008	Winter / Spring 2009	Summer / Fall 2009	Winter / Spring 2010	Summer / Fall 2010	Winter / Spring 2011	Summer / Fall 2011
Introduce concept	Concept reviewed by MTAC, MPAC, various stakeholders							
Define successful outcomes	Adoption of a resolution that defines successful outcomes & states an intent to create a growth management system guided by those outcomes	Refine a list of performance indicators that gauges progress towards desired outcomes						
Use scenarios to illustrate the likely outcomes of different policy choices.	Test cause & effect with scenarios.	Cause & effect scenarios - report on the effects of different policy choices, including RTP choices	Hybrid scenarios - report on the outcomes of different combinations of local and regional strategies	Preferred scenario – report on the outcomes of a preferred combination of local and regional strategies (scenario reflects local aspirations)				
Document past and future trends and discuss how the region should respond		Report on past trends and future choices in the context of mega- trends such as fuel shortages, demographic changes, and global warming.						
Design a framework for collaborative decision-making		Develop a process for collaborative decision making	Agreement on a new decision making process that links strategies with performance targets					
Implementation (growth management decisions, monitoring, adaptation)				Agreement to implement the local and regional actions that are contemplated in the preferred scenario for creating capacity		Implementation,	monitoring, adaptation	