

Agenda

MEETING: METRO COUNCIL WORK SESSION

DATE: September 9, 2008

DAY: Tuesday TIME: 2:00 PM

PLACE: Metro Council Chamber

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

2:00 PM	1.	DISCUSSION OF AGENDA FOR COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING, SEPTEMBER 11, 2008/ADMINISTRATIVE/CHI OPERATING OFFICER COMMUNICATIONS	EF
2:10 PM	2.	AIR RIGHTS OVER PARKING STRUCTURE	Gibb
2:20 PM	3.	ENHANCED WASTE REDUCTION EDUCATION AT OUTDOOR SCHOOLS	Sherburne
3:15 PM	4.	BREAK	
3:20 PM	5.	FOLLOW UP ON WET WASTE ALLOCATION AND DESIGNATED FACILITY AGREEMENTS	Robinson/Brower
4:20 PM	6.	COUNCIL BRIEFINGS/COMMUNICATION	

ADJOURN

ENHANCED WASTE REDUCTION EDUCATION AT OUTDOOR SCHOOLS

Metro Council Work Session Tuesday, September 9, 2008 Metro Council Chamber

METRO COUNCIL Work Session Worksheet

Presentation date: 9/9/08 **Time:** 2 pm **Length:** 45 minutes

Presentation Title: Progress Report: Enhanced Waste Reduction Education at Outdoor School

Department: Solid Waste and Recycling

Presenter: Freda Sherburne

ISSUE & BACKGROUND

On May 1, Metro Council voted to amend the 2008-09 budget to include \$1.4 million in funding for Outdoor School (ODS) programs conducted by local school districts. The major purposes of this project are:

- to complement and expand Metro's education outreach by integrating waste reduction curriculum and practices into Outdoor School programs in the region; and
- to support and expand the opportunity for sixth graders to attend Outdoor School by providing reimbursements to school districts whose sixth grade students attend approved Outdoor School programs.

Progress to date

Metro staff (interdepartmental collaboration between SWR, Parks, Zoo, Finance, Legal) has been doing background research and developing plans for implementing this program consistent with direction provided in the May 27 Operationalizing Memo from Councilor Burkholder.

- Financial and contractual: method and amount of reimbursements to school districts
- Legal: OMA is reviewing legal issues associated with implementation of the program.
- School issues: current participation in ODS (schools and program length) and "gaps" to fill; which schools/districts are outside Metro boundary
- ODS providers: criteria for programs to qualify for reimbursement
- Curriculum: a committee has been formed to review and select curriculum and to develop an assessment plan and materials. The committee includes Metro education staff, sixth grade teachers, and ODS program staff.

Upcoming milestones

- Pilot curriculum at ODS programs fall 2008
- Request for Qualifications draft ready in mid-October

OPTIONS AVAILABLE - NA

IMPLICATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS - NA

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED FOR CONSIDERATION

- 1. Does Council have concerns about direction to date?
- 2. Are there any outstanding issues that staff has not addressed?
- 3. Is it appropriate for the next briefing with Council to be in late October to review proposed RFQ and provider agreement?

LEGISLATION WOULD BE REQUIRED FOR COUNCIL ACTION __Yes _x_No DRAFT IS ATTACHED Yes No

Scope Definition ENHANCED WASTE REDUCTION EDUCATION AT OUTDOOR SCHOOL

Draft 9/2/08

Project purpose & Background:

The purpose of this project is two-fold:

- Complement and expand Metro's waste reduction education outreach by integrating waste reduction curriculum and practices into Outdoor School programs in the Metro region. Metro conservation and education staff will work with Outdoor School staff and the Educational Service Districts to further refine the waste reduction education and activities currently provided as well as enhance the program to add new activities.
- Support and expand the opportunity for Metro area sixth graders to attend Outdoor School by providing reimbursements to school districts whose sixth grade students attend approved Outdoor School programs. Of the approximately 18,000 sixth grade students in the metro region, only 72% attended Outdoor School during the 2007-08 schoolyear. Lack of funding is the primary reason for schools not attending Outdoor School or for attending a 3 or 4-day program (42%) versus a 6-day program (53%).

This project supports Metro Council goals and objectives, specifically, Goal # 2: The Region's wildlife and people thrive in a healthy urban ecosystem; subgoals: 2.3 Our community is inspired to create a better future for wildlife and the environment and 2.3 The region's waste stream is reduced, recovered and returned to productive use, and the remainder has a minimal impact on the environment. Promoting and expanding environmental education at a regional level is one of the central planks of the Council's Connecting Green initiative, led by the Metro Council with a large alliance of public and private regional partners.

Students and teachers comment on the effectiveness of delivering environmental education in the natural setting of a camp and see Outdoor School programs as very effective mechanisms to show students a direct cause and effect of their actions. Enhancing the waste reduction education these students receive in an on-site, hands-on environment strengthens the lessons being taught, helping to increase behaviors related to sustainability.

Project Goals and Objectives:

- Increase region's recovery rate.
- Increase level of waste reduction awareness and knowledge in sixth graders, high school student leaders, sixth grade teachers and Outdoor School staff members.
- Increase environmental literacy in the region's citizens who will then be able to make informed decisions about our region's environment.
- Ensure all sixth graders in the region have access and opportunity to attend Outdoor School.

Project Work Definition:

- Define goals, policy and criteria to equitably distribute funds for solid waste and recycling curriculum to both regional outdoor schools and school districts
- Create reimbursement plan
- Develop waste reduction education curriculum for delivery at outdoor school programs.
- Identify and coordinate outreach to stakeholders, including developing messaging around the project.
- Develop plans for project assessment and accountability
- Develop/explore ways to incent school districts to send students to Outdoor School

Deliverable(s)/End Product(s):

- Curriculum to be delivered at Outdoor Schools (pilot fall 2008)
- Messaging and communication plan to local governments/haulers about rate increase (September 2008).
- Criteria to be used to determine what Outdoor School programs/organizations qualify to receive Metro funding (August-September 2008).
- Process for school districts to access funds (October 2008).
- Financial tracking system (October 2008).
- System to monitor/track ODS program accountability

- Systems to report to internal stakeholders: steering committee and Metro Council (August 2008).
- Material that describes the program and outlines eligibility and criteria and communicate about the program to stakeholders (October 2008).
- Performance measures to track how effective the curriculum is in delivering the waste reduction education message (October-December 2008.)

Key Milestones:

- Council check-in (September 2008 and Winter 2009)
- WR curriculum is implemented at Outdoor School programs (pilot project: fall 2008)
- Program launch/applications accepted (spring 2009)

Const	traints:	
	_	

A number of school districts are currently not providing any outdoor school programming.

 Key Assumptions: Outdoor School providers are excited and willing to deliver this enhanced curriculum.
Exclusions:
Exclusions.
Bid.
Risks:

Frequently asked questions and issues to address:

• How many hours of WR education for 5 day program vs 3 day program?

- our recommendation was that we're buying 1 "day" of WR education at Outdoor School (ODS). Requiring ODS programs to provide 6.5 hours will incent them to increase the # of days in their programs, ie: move from 3 or 4-day programs to 6-day program.

What is the criteria for Outdoor School providers to be approved?

- our recommendation so far: to be approved, ODS providers will:
- 1. provide documentation of how their curriculum aligns with State curriculum standards and benchmarks;
- 2. provide documentation of how they have included 6.5 hours of WR education into their program.
- 3. be residential and have a minimum of a 3-day program.
- 4. offer reimbursements only for 6th grade students (except when 5th grade students attend in a 5/6 grade class; students will only receive reimbursement once)
- (NWRESD* and MESD* ODS programs are school district programs and therefore are accredited by the State.)

What about districts that have schools outside the Metro boundary?

- We are researching the # of schools that are outside the Metro boundary (but in Multnomah, Washington or Clackamas Co.); whether or not they pay into the regional system fee and what the legal requirements are.

Who will Metro contract with for reimbursing schools?

- Our recommendation is to reimburse approved ODS providers: this will cost Metro less in time and money; ODS programs have records on how many students attend each week; ODS programs will credit the schools/school districts the Metro reimbursement when invoicing schools – clearly showing that the credit comes from Metro.

• How to include schools that do their own programs; home and private schools?

- Our recommendation: private schools/homeschoolers must attend an approved ODS program. Currently, private and homeschoolers register their students in their neighborhood schools temporarily and attend with the neighborhood school classes. (at MESD and NWRESD ODS programs)

· How much of the budget will be distributed this year?

- Our proposal: reimburse for 1 instructional day of ODS per student that attends an approved ODS program. We are researching the program/instructional costs of known ODS programs for the 2008-09 school year.

• Can reimbursements cover infrastructure at camps?

- No. Reimbursements are for education directly to students.

• Who are the known ODS providers?

- MESD Oregon Museum of Science and Industry (OMSI)
- NWRESD Camp Yamhill provides service to Christian schools.

• How is the waste reduction education curriculum being developed?

- A committee of Metro and ODS educators as well as 6th grade teachers is reviewing and selecting curriculum and developing a plan for assessing effectiveness of the curriculum.
- Criteria for the curriculum includes: aligned with state standards and benchmarks for science/social studies, appropriate for the setting and age of students.

*NWRESD – Northwest Regional Education Service District serves schools Washington, Columbia, Clatsop and Tillamook counties

*MESD – Multnomah Education Service District serves schools in Multnomah county.

DRAFT STAKEHOLDERS- 9/2/08

Project team members		Roles
Rex Burkholder	Metro Council	Project Sponsor
Freda Sherburne	Metro Council	Project manager
Steering Committee:		
Jim Desmond	Parks and Greenspaces	
Mike Hogland	SWR	
Margo Norton	Finance	
Vicki Kolberg	SWR	
Kathryn Sofich	Council	
Advisors:		
Dan Cooper	OMA	
Darin Matthews		
Doug Anderson	SWR	
Allison	OMA	
Michelle Bellia	OMA	
Matt Korot	SWR	
Patty Unfred	Public Affairs	Communications plan
Curriculum Sub-committee		
Rex Ettlin	Zoo	Curriculum planning,
Deb Scrivens	Parks	implementation,
Amy Wilson	SWR	assessment
Dan Prince	Multnomah ESD	
John Jackson	Northwest Regional ESD	
Travis Neumeyer	OMSI	
Sixth grade teachers (4)	Various school districts	
Additional Stakeholders		
ODS staff		
Friends of ODS/ESD Foundations		
Other environmental educators		
Other environmental educators		
School district administrations		
Sixth grade teachers and students		
Haulers		
Ratepayers		
Local governments		
Gray Family Foundation		
MPAC??		

FOLLOW-UP ON WET WASTE ALLOCATION AND DESIGNATED FACILITY AGREEMENTS

Metro Council Work Session Tuesday, September 11, 2008 Metro Council Chamber

METRO COUNCIL

Work Session Worksheet

Presentation Date: 9-09-2008 Time: 3:20PM Length: 60 minutes

Presentation Title: New Designated Facility Listings

Department: Solid Waste & Recycling

Presenters: Scott Robinson, Deputy CEO & Roy Brower, Regulatory Affairs Division Manager

ISSUE & BACKGROUND

The purpose today's briefing is:

1. to review the class of facilities and regulatory decisions that Council will soon consider;

- 2. provide background about two new out-of-region facilities seeking to become designated as part of the Metro solid waste system; and,
- 3. to hear directly from the Oregon DEQ staff responsible for regulating these facilities.

Designated Facility Agreements (DFAs) are the instruments between Metro and out-of-district facility that specify which wastes the facility is allowed to accept from the Metro region. The DFA obligates each facility to collect and remit the regional system fee and excise tax on Metro-area waste accepted at the facility. Metro's oversight of these two operations is limited to determining compliance with the terms of the DFA. Metro performs periodic inspections and fiscal review to assure that waste is properly classified, accounted for, and that proper accounting procedures are in place. In addition, Metro also relied on these facilities to assist Metro with investigations of flow control violations *i.e.* Metro area haulers attempting to illegally circumvent payment of fees and taxes.

Following are the specific designated facility "listing" decisions before Council at its September 18 and 25 meetings:

- □ Riverbend Landfill Riverbend is located near McMinnville in Yamhill County and has taken both wet and dry waste from the Metro region for many years through the issuance of non-system licenses (NSLs). Waste Management, the owner of Riverbend, has requested to become a Metro designated facility to receive Metro-area dry waste. Council will decide whether to list it as a designated facility. The landfill is permitted by DEQ and is in full compliance with the federal, state and local regulations that govern it and which allow it to take wet and dry waste. Metro-area businesses historically dispose of about 280,000 tons of solid waste annually mostly coming from the Forest Grove and Pride Transfer Stations.
- □ Tualatin Valley Waste Recovery (TVWR) Material Recovery Facility (MRF) TVWR is colocated at the Hillsboro Landfill in Washington County. Waste Management is building a 68,000 sq ft MRF building and plans to recover from mixed dry waste prior to disposal to meet the new recovery standards established by the Enhanced Dry Waste Recovery Program (EDWRP). The building is scheduled to be open around July 1, 2009. Waste Management has also submitted a variance request for its Hillsboro Landfill that would allow it time to construct its MRF building and begin meeting these requirements.

OPTIONS AVAILABLE

Council could consider listing both Riverbend and TVWR allowing the COO to negotiate an agreement with each facility. Council could choose to not list one or both of these facilities. Staff will be able to discuss the consequences of listing vs. not listing each facility at the Work Session.

IMPLICATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

In staff reports filed with the Council office, staff recommends the listing of both TVWR and Riverbend as designated facilities of the Metro system based on an analysis of the criteria established in Metro Code Section 5.05.030.

Waste Management, by investing in the construction of TVWR, will be adding significant new material recovery capacity to the region. Its addition should increase the recovery of dry waste and increase the life of the landfill by 20-25 years. The investment represents a substantial commitment to increased recovery of dry waste by Waste Management.

Waste Management's Riverbend Landfill near McMinnville has served as a disposal site for Metro generated solid waste for several years without incident. The landfill represents a modern engineered landfill complete with synthetic liner system, leachate collection and treatment system, and an extensive groundwater monitoring system to minimize contamination of the environment. It represents a closer facility than Columbia Ridge thus reducing VMT. Riverbend is also used as an "emergency" landfill when the Columbia Gorge is closed due to inclement weather for Metro transfer stations and the Troutdale Transfer Station.

Oregon DEQ staff responsible for the regulation of these two operations will be in attendance at the Council work session and available to answer questions and explain how these operations are monitored and regulated. Councilors may wish to use this opportunity to raise questions or concerns that they may have with how these facilities are monitored and regulated by the state's environmental agency.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED FOR CONSIDERATION

Does Council need more information prior to considering listing Riverbend or TVWR?

LEGISLATION WOULD BE REQUIRED FOR COUNCIL ACTION _X_Yes __No DRAFT IS ATTACHED ___Yes _X_No

Attachment A Metro Council Work Session September 9, 2008

Solid Waste Regulatory Actions before the Metro Council September to November 2008

This table lists the four classes of regulatory actions coming before the Metro Council in the next three months—(1) franchise renewals (four actions), (2) variances (three actions), (3) designated facility listings (two actions), and (4) non-system license approvals (1XX actions)—together with the affected facilities, the applicants and a brief description of each action.

1.	Transfer Station Franchise Renewa	Incorporating Aug. 12 Council direction.					
	Local Transfer Stations	Applicant					
	1. Pride Recycling	Pride Recycling					
	2. Troutdale Transfer Station	Waste Management					
	3. Willamette Resources, Inc.	Allied Waste					
	Regional Transfer Stations	Applicant					
	4. Forest Grove Transfer Station	Waste Management					
•	Vanion and from Matrix Code	E					
۷.			tion from specific requirement of Metro Code.				
	Variance from EDWRP	Applicant	Description of variance request				
	1. Lakeside Reclamation	Howard Grabhorn	Permission to accept unprocessed waste until June 30 when the landfill closes.				
	2. Tualatin Valley Waste Recovery	Waste Management	Permission to accept unprocessed waste until June 30 or when the MRF becomes operational.				
	Variance from MRF Standards	Applicant	Description of variance request				
	3. Environmentally Conscious Recycling	Vince Gilbert	Variance from the requirement to process all dry waste in a building.				
3.	the System" in M	etro Code; the COO is a	to list facility as a "Designated Facility of the authorized to enter into a "Designated Facility wastes and collection of Metro fees and taxes.				
	Disposal Sites (landfills)	Applicant					
	1. Riverbend Landfill	Waste Management					
	Facilities (material recovery facilities))					
	2. Tualatin Valley Waste Recovery	Waste Management					

Regulatory Actions Page 1 of 2

To landfills not owned by Waste Management	Applicant	To Disposal Site				
1. American (hauler)	Waste Connections	Clark County transfer stations				
2. Arrow (hauler)	Waste Connections	Clark County transfer stations				
3. Crown Point (hauler)	Crown Point	Wasco County Landfill				
4. Willamette Resources, Inc.	Allied Waste	Coffin Butte Landfill				
To landfills owned by Waste Management						
5. B&J Garbage (hauler)	KB Recycling	Riverbend Landfill				
6. Forest Grove Transfer Station	Waste Management	Riverbend Landfill				
7. Gray & Co. (food processor)	Gray & Co.	Riverbend Landfill				
8. Newberg Garbage (hauler)	Newberg Garbage	Riverbend Landfill				
9. Pride Recycling	Pride	Riverbend Landfill				
10. Troutdale Transfer Station	Waste Management	Columbia Ridge Landfill*				
11. West Linn Disposal (hauler)	KB Recycling	Riverbend Landfill				
12. Willamette Resources, Inc.	Allied Waste	Riverbend Landfill				
Other						
13. Epson (printer manufacturer)	Epson	Marion County Burner				
14. Willamette Resources, Inc.	Allied Waste	Marion County Burner				

^{*} The NSL for Troutdale Transfer Station NSL to Columbia Ridge Landfill also allows the licensee to deliver waste to Riverbend Landfill as needed on an emergency basis.

Regulatory Actions Page 2 of 2

Appendix B

Appendix B											
Council Regulatory Decision Calendar 2008											
	Facility Name	11-Sep	18-Sep	25-Sep	2-Oct	9-Oct	16-Oct	23-Oct	30-Oct	6-Nov	13-No
In-Region Facilities											
Local Transfer Station Franchises	WRI, Troutdale & Pride		1st Read*	2nd Read*							
Regional Transfer Station Franchise	Forest Grove TS		1st Read*	2nd Read*							
MRF Variance Request (MRF Standards)	ECR										\rightarrow
Out-of-Region Facilities											
New Designated Facility (DF) Listing for MRF	Tualatin Valley Waste Recover (TVWR)		1st Read*	2nd Read*							
New DF Listing for Landfill	Riverbend Landfill (WM)		1st Read*	2nd Read*							
DF Variance Request for Landfill (EDWRP)	Hillsboro Landfill (WM), Lakeside Landfill						Res.*				
Non-System Licenses (NSLs) (10% - Non-WM Landfills)	American & Arrow to Clark County TS, WRI to Coffin Butte LF & Crown Point to Wasco County LF									Res.*	
NSLs (Waste Management Landfills)	WRI, TTS, Pride, FGTS, West Linn, B&J Garbage, Newberg, Gray & Co.									Res.*	
NSLs (Energy Recovery - Marion County)	WRI to Covanta; Epson to Covanta									Res.*	

^{*}Public Hearing

WRI = Willamette Resources, Inc. (Allied)

TVWR = Tualatin Valley Waste Recovery (Waste Management)

TTS = Troutdale Transfer Station (Waste Management)

Pride = Pride Recycling Company (Independent)

FGTS = Forest Grove Transfer Station (Waste Management)

WM = Waste Management

ECR = East County Recycling/Environmentally Conscious Recycling (Independent)

TS = Transfer Station

DF = Designated Facility are listed by the Metro Council in Chapter 5.05.

DFA = Designated Facility Agreement are developed by the COO once a facility has been listed by the Metro Council.

NSL = Non-System License are issued to haulers located in the Metro region to dispose at waste outside the region.

MRF = Material Recovery Facility

EDWRP = Enhanced Dry Waste Recovery Program

Res = Resolution