

## MINUTES OF THE METRO COUNCIL MEETING

Thursday, June 27, 2002  
Lake Oswego Council Chamber

Councilors Present: Carl Hosticka (Presiding Officer), Susan McLain, Rod Park, Bill Atherton, David Bragdon, Rod Monroe, Rex Burkholder

Councilors Absent:

Presiding Officer Hosticka convened the Regular Council Meeting at 3:01 p.m.

### 1. INTRODUCTIONS

Presiding Officer Hosticka thanked Lake Oswego for hosting the Metro Council. He said that the Metro Council liked to have meetings out in the region every two to three months. He talked about the Clackamas County and Lake Oswego development tours. It was nice to see the implementation of the concepts they often talked about at Council.

### 2. MAYOR'S WELCOME

Judie Hammerstad, Mayor of Lake Oswego, welcomed the Metro Council. She thought the walking tour gave the Council a good idea of how they were making the City of Lake Oswego a viable town center. In looking at the way the town was developing you got the feeling that they were trying to make this the most livable city in the nation. She introduced Councilors Jack Hoffman and John Turchi. She noted that Councilor Carl Rohde was with the Council at lunch. She looked forward to hosting the Metro Council again.

Presiding Officer Hosticka said this last month Metro was going to the various parts of the region and talking about issues the Council would be dealing with over this year, the main one being the period review and possible expansion of the Urban Growth Boundary. He said Mr. Cotugno would give a brief overview of where we were in periodic review, what was likely to be coming up and what the issues were in this particular geographic area.

### 3. UPDATE ON URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY PERIODIC REVIEW

Andy Cotugno, Planning Director, briefed the Council on the public meetings they had been and would be holding about periodic review. They had large turnouts in the communities they had gone to, Damascus, Oregon City and Hillsboro. He said there was a lot of concern about what urbanization would be doing and interest in taking advantage of urbanization. He talked about the twenty-year land requirement, priority lands constraints, and survey feedback. MPAC had been working hard through a series of subcommittees on overall forecast, jobs, housing, parks, and sub-regional issues. They would bring their recommendations to Council in the fall. The MPAC subcommittee on sub-regional issues had a joint committee with LCDC. Staff's work was in good shape. They had already provided an overall forecast, there would be a high and low variation, which would follow. They had completed housing needs analysis and the jobs land needs analysis. They had almost completed the alternative analysis study. He explained further what was included in that study. They had received one special application for an amendment from the Beaverton School District. They had a series of Functional Plan issues, how did they want to focus their efforts on centers better? Work was coming together to prepared for the Executive Officer's recommendation, which he would be presenting on August 1, 2002.

Councilor Atherton asked about the high and low forecast on population growth. Mr. Cotugno said they had done the middle of the road option. They would now do a high and low around the middle so you can see the effects that different kinds of external economic forces might have on the overall forecast. Councilor Atherton asked if the numbers were still in excess of the national population forecast which was about .9% per annum? Mr. Cotugno responded that the final forecast was not done yet. He expected it to be higher than the national forecast and explained why. Councilor Atherton asked what the explanation was of why we would grow faster than the rest of the nation? Mr. Cotugno responded that the fundamentals of our economy were different than the nation as a whole. The components of our economic were growing faster particularly high tech and trade. In addition we interacted with the migration patterns on the West Coast. We tend to have migration towards us from California and Washington rather than the other direction. Councilor Atherton said aren't those factors based on what happened over the past 20 years and that it didn't necessarily presage what would happen in the next twenty years? Mr. Cotugno said no, it was actually driven off the national forecast.

Councilor McLain said she was interested in how these changes to the Functional Plan relate to performance measures work. She asked how the performance measure work would work into changes in Functional Plan elements. Mr. Cotugno said they were required to have performance measures and to monitor how we were progressing towards some of those targets. They had a performance measures analysis, which was now ready for review and publication. He explained further the information the analysis would provide. Councilor McLain asked if we would be connecting that information with items like the Centers' report. Mr. Cotugno said they developed data around design types. Councilor McLain asked if some of the issues such as office space design type and how well that was working would be fleshed out with some of the performance measures data? Mr. Cotugno said he believed so. Performance measure and the Centers' reports would give them some direction. Councilor McLain asked about the time line. Mr. Cotugno said they were ready to go to print. Presiding Officer Hosticka asked about economic strategy and who would be drafting such a strategy and how would it achieve any official status. Mr. Cotugno responded that they had not reached the point of developing a regional economic strategy. The economic partners had received a grant from the Regional Investment Board to review existing economic strategies that were in place around the region and to find similarities, gaps, conflicts, commonalties in order to assess how complete the picture was. He didn't expect the report to be available in this calendar year time frame. Councilor McLain said whether it was a short or long-term tool that she wanted to make sure it was integrated with other tools that we had. She knew that many communities believed they had an economic plan for their particular industry or sector. How do we make sure that tool was wholly integrated with other elements and strategies in the community. Mr. Cotugno responded that he agreed with her assertion but the efforts were now just getting off the ground. Presiding Officer Hosticka said he thought it was something we were going to have to pay attention to because as we add employment plan to the Urban Growth Boundary we were implicitly pursuing some sort of strategy. Whether that strategy was explicitly articulated and agreed to on a region-wide basis was something they needed to explore.

#### **4. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS**

Bob Thomas, 2563 Pimlico Drive, West Linn, OR 97068 said he was a frequent attendee at Metro Council during the first considerations on how the Urban Growth Boundary would expand. He with many others had objected to the procedure and to the anticipation. It turned out that Metro had not looked sufficiently at exception lands. In combination with that and an appeal from Lake Oswego, West Linn and other jurisdictions, through LCDC and the courts, Metro had been told to take an entirely new look at everything. He had been recently getting re-interested in this issue.

Their city council in West Linn was writing a letter to Metro. Presiding Officer Hosticka acknowledged receipt of the letter. Mr. Thomas continued by saying that one of the main issues that the West Linn Council was elected on was protecting against urbanization of the Stafford Triangle. He encouraged not developing the Stafford Triangle. He suggested that Wilsonville and Tualatin do voter approved annexation. He thought what we got locked into was a mentality of a common way of thinking. The amount of subsidies in the region was frightening. He was concerned that this would effect livability in the region. We don't have to continual growth to have healthy regional economy by subsidizing large corporations. This regional economy had enticed a lot of people from out of state by subsidizing large corporations. These subsidies produced growth that effected the cost of infrastructure. He said until we get voter approved annexation, repeal of the 20 year land supply law and had development pay the full cost of all the infrastructure needs that it creates, we would continue to see this type of growth. He felt West Linn City Council and Metro Councilor Atherton were working hard to achieve helping the ordinary citizen achieve this protection. He urged Council to consider a different approach. He had gotten involved as a citizen activist and felt he represented many citizens.

Councilor Atherton asked Mr. Thomas about a recent report on subsidies? Mr. Thomas said it was more than \$1 billion, it was more than the state's deficit. It was not only regional but state taxpayers that were having to pay for subsidies of growth. This kind of growth has only been made possible by a great amount of subsidies. He suggested looking at where we had come from in the last 15 years, a 36% increase in population and we now have a tri-county population of 1.5 million. He felt we were feeling the strain and that we couldn't keep this up.

**5. MPAC COMMUNICATIONS**

Presiding Officer Hosticka said MPAC met last night. Councilor Park said they had gotten an update on Central City. The bottom line was there was obstructions in terms of making centers happen. There were questions about how much office space you should have in those centers. The latest strategy was concentrating on housing in those downtown areas. The other study was industrial lands, looking at the concern of land, which was zoned, industrial but being used for commercial purposes. In some areas this was a good thing and in other areas it was not. How it balanced out with the transportation system was one of the main concerns. There may be some potential Functional Plan changes to tighten these things up. The jobs subcommittee brought good information as well. Councilor McLain summed up the rest of the meeting.

**6. CONSENT AGENDA**

6.1 Consideration of minutes of the June 20, 2002 Regular Council Meeting.

Motion	Councilor Park moved to adopt the meeting minutes of the June 20, 2002, Regular Council meeting, Councilor Atherton seconded the motion.
--------	--

Vote:	Councilors Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park, Burkholder, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
-------	---

**7. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING**

Motion to Suspend the Rules	Councilor Monroe moved to suspend the rules to allow consideration of Ordinance No. 02-959 relating to appropriation authority for the Zoo for the remainder of the fiscal year.
Seconded:	Councilor Atherton seconded the motion

Councilor Monroe said a suspension of the rules required five votes. He explained why it was necessary to take this action today.

Vote to Suspend the rules:	Councilors Park, Burkholder, McLain, Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
----------------------------	---

7.6 **Ordinance No. 02-959** Amending the FY 2001-02 Budget and Appropriations Schedule by Transferring \$350,000 from Contingency to Transfers in the General Fund and Increasing Operating Expenses in the Zoo Operating Fund, and Declaring an Emergency.

Motion	Councilor Monroe moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-959.
Seconded:	Councilor Atherton seconded the motion

Councilor Monroe said this ordinance was necessary to be approved by unanimous vote today. It increased the Zoo's appropriation authority by \$350,000 to meet the demands of unexpected near-record attendance. By increasing the Zoo's spending authority we allowed it access to the revenues it had already earned from its visitors this year. The ordinance contained the appropriate findings so we could take this action today. It was a sound fiscal move. Presiding Officer Hosticka clarified that the money was there, the Zoo just needed the authority to use it.

Councilor Burkholder asked about this ordinance and last week's action. He summarized last week's action. He wanted to know what happened to the authorization, were they rescinding that authorization? Casey Short, Financial Planning Director, said they were not rescinding last week's ordinance. This was granting additional appropriation authority to the Zoo. Councilor Burkholder asked for a corrected version of Exhibit B for the record.

Councilor McLain said she wanted it to be clearly understood that when we had more business, we had more services to provide. She explained further that this was good news that we had this level of activity happening up at the Zoo. Councilor Monroe closed by saying that this was a safe guard. They might not need the entire amount. This ordinance required a unanimous vote.

Presiding Officer Hosticka opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 02-959. No one came forward. Presiding Officer Hosticka closed the public hearing.

Vote:	Councilors Burkholder, McLain, Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park, and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
-------	--

7.1 **Ordinance No 02-946A**, For the Purpose of Amending the Regional Transportation Plan to Incorporate Post-Acknowledgement Revisions.

Motion	Councilor Monroe moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-946A.
Seconded:	Councilor Bragdon seconded the motion.

Councilor Monroe said this passed out of Community Planning on a unanimous vote. He spoke to the amendments which had been anticipated (a copy of the amendments are noted in the committee and staff reports which may be found in the meeting record). He urged support.

Presiding Officer Hosticka opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 02-946A. No one came forward. Presiding Officer Hosticka closed the public hearing.

Vote:	Councilors McLain, Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park, Burkholder and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
-------	---

7.2 **Ordinance No. 02-942A**, For the Purpose of Adding a New Chapter 2.20 to the Metro Code Creating the Office of Chief Operating Officer.

Motion	Councilor Bragdon moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-942A.
Seconded:	Councilor Monroe seconded the motion

Councilor Bragdon said this was the first in a package of legislation that related to making Metro more efficient, accountable and a more responsive regional government. He talked about the history of the reorganization, the ordinances and resolution. He said the Transition Advisory Task Force made suggestions on the reorganization. He said all three members of the Governmental Affairs Committee supported the ordinances and resolution unanimously. He spoke to the specifics of Ordinance No. 02-942A, which created the post of Chief Operating Officer. He urged approval.

Councilor McLain asked about page 4, section C direction of Metro staff through the Chief Operating Officer (COO). She wanted the legal counsel to clarify the phrase "shall direct staff". Dan Cooper, General Counsel, responded that this was a statement in the ordinance that the Council's relationship with staff was through the COO. It contemplated that Council would be directing work programs and individual staff activities through the Office of the Chief Operating Officer. It did not mean that the Council's only contact with staff was to talk to the COO. Direction of what Council had been doing through the budgeting process with the Executive Officer would be done through the Chief Operating Officer. Council would make decisions about work programs for individual components of the staff as whole by telling the COO what they wanted done. Councilor McLain said she assumed Council would have a core of staff to do analysis and support type duties. Mr. Cooper said yes, the Transition Advisory Task Force recommended this language. They recognized that Council should have staff to assist individual councilors in carrying out what the Councilors wanted. At the same time, the hire/fire responsibilities would lie with the COO.

Councilor Atherton asked about page of 2, section D the phrase, "the COO served at the pleasure of the Council and was subject to removal by the Council President". Was there a conflict in that phrase? Mr. Cooper said the language was copied verbatim from the Metro Charter. He further clarified that the statement that the COO served at the pleasure of the Council had two levels of meaning. One, it was a statement that in a legal matter, the COO was the least protected classification of public employee possible. It was truly a political appointment, not just at-will but at-the-pleasure-of. This meant that the elected officials had absolute discretion to change that leadership position with a minimum amount of interference from the court. Two, it was also a statement that the role of the COO was to serve the entire Council and not just the Council President. The COO worked for the entire Council and the entire Council directed the activities of the COO through Council action. The rest of the sentence explained the explicit process for

formal termination. The Charter language was written to balance the interests of maintaining stability for the COO from too great of a swing with political changes but at the same time the language made it very clear that it was a balance between the Council President and the full Council. The Council President, as the one regionally elected official, did have a lead role in this, spoke for the Council, but the newly elected Council President did not have the sole discretion to terminate the COO without the formal concurrence of the Council. He noted that you anticipate the relationship between the Council President, the full Council and the COO would function best when all three were following the same general policy directions. If there was a divergence between the majority of the Council and the Council President, the full Council, by its ability to adopt ordinances and control the budget, controlled the most power. The Council President was a very key official under the Charter and his or her concurrence and responsibility was very clear. He said this part of the ordinance provided a formal process for termination of the COO.

Councilor Atherton said it required the initiation by the Council President, Council itself can not initiate the termination. Mr. Cooper responded that was correct and explained further what the Council could do. Councilor Atherton said he appreciated the practical application of this and had had personal experience. Mr. Cooper said it was important to note that there were vehicles available publicly so that public accountability could be assured as well.

Councilor Park said how they dealt with Council staff was his greatest concern. He said he had appreciated the independence of Council staff to be able to voice their opinion without fear of retribution from the Chief Operating Officer. He hoped that this language gave the Council similar protection to assure that the Council was equipped as well as possible to create and discern policy. His concern came from watching other local governments where the Council, as a whole was left defenseless, not being able to generate or have help generating policy development. He wanted to make sure that this would not be the case. He understood that the Council would be able to work with the Chief Operating Officer to ensure their requests were taken care of. Councilor Bragdon responded that the Transition Advisory Task Force and the Governmental Affairs Committee understood that the Council had particular staffing needs. There was a personnel section on the Code that was yet to come. The committee had not yet gotten to that portion of its work. The ultimate assurance though was the difference between today and tomorrow was that currently the COO was the agent of an independent branch of government who did not work directly for the Council but for the Executive Officer. There was a very different relationship now than there will be in the future when the COO will be responsible to the Council as a whole.

Councilor Monroe clarified that this ordinance said exactly what they wanted it to say. They wanted a balanced but stable government. The stability came from the fact that the Council President was a member of the Council. This prevented either the President or a majority of the six dumping a COO. There had to be cooperation between the two bodies just as there had to be cooperation between the entities in the hiring of the COO. This ordinance reflected exactly what the voters approved. Mr. Cooper added that this was an ordinance. Council was speaking to their intentions. Council got to be the judge of how things were working. The task force recommended Council revisit how things were working. An ordinance could be amended. The continuing power to legislate was something Council never gave up. Councilor Park said that in the Charter rewrite the Council retained the ability to bring a department directly under the Council if it so desired. Would this require an additional ordinance to make that happen? Mr. Cooper said yes. You would have to have a new ordinance to create that scenario. Councilor Park said it did not prevent them from creating a department of the Council. Mr. Cooper responded, yes.

Presiding Officer Hosticka opened a public hearing on Ordinance Nos. 02-942A, 02-953A, 02-954A, 02-955A. No one came forward. Presiding Officer Hosticka closed the public hearing.

Councilor Park asked about page 4, concerning vacancies. He asked about the timeline on having an acting chief operating officer, was there a limit on how long the person could be "acting". He asked what the difference between a temporary and an acting COO was? Mr. Cooper responded that, what the council wanted it to be. He said there was no time limit the way some city charters do. The resolution would specify how long the appointment was for. Councilor Bragdon urged an aye vote.

Vote:	Councilors Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park, Burkholder, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
-------	---

7.3 **Ordinance No. 02-953A**, For the purpose of Amending Chapter 2.08 of the Metro Code to Create the Office of Metro Attorney.

Motion	Councilor Monroe moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-953A.
Seconded:	Councilor Bragdon seconded the motion

Councilor Monroe explained the purpose of this ordinance. He urged support. Councilor Bragdon explained the reporting relationship of the Metro Attorney to the Council. Councilor Park asked about "involving" the Council in the hiring process. He asked for clarification on what "involved" meant. Councilor Monroe said they had discussed this in committee. They felt the words "shall involve" was the most effective word, it would be up to the Council and the Council President how this would be interpreted and implemented. Councilor Bragdon said how it played out in practice was a matter of practicality of the people who were there. The sanction that the Council had was to approve or deny confirmation of the nominee made by the Council President. It was his understanding that if the Council President wanted to have confirmation of his or her nominee, he or she would involve the Council to ensure that the nomination was going to be one that would meet with that approval. He felt that the more involvement, the more prospect and the more comfort the Council would have. Councilor Park explained why he brought this issue up. Councilor Bragdon explained the limitations of Code language. Councilor McLain said she thought the word involved was very explicit, it meant participate. The committee did a great job. Councilor Park asked Mr. Cooper what the legal definition of "involved" was. Mr. Cooper said involve meant whatever the number of votes required to pass the resolution of affirmation. He explained the genesis of this ordinance was the recommendation of the Barbara Roberts process.

Councilor Monroe closed by urging support.

Vote:	Councilors Atherton, Monroe, Park, Burkholder, McLain, Bragdon and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
-------	---

7.4 **Ordinance No. 02-954A**, For the Purpose of Amending Chapter 2.01 of the Metro Code to Reflect the Creation of the Office of Metro Council President.

Motion	Councilor Bragdon moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-954A.
Seconded:	Councilor Burkholder seconded the motion

Councilor Bragdon said this ordinance updated the Code to make it conform to the charter amendment. It took the existing code where ever Presiding Officer was listed and substituted

Council President and related changes. He urged an aye vote. Presiding Officer Hosticka said he felt there was need to explain the section concerning Council and its organizing resolution. Council could adopt a resolution establishing committees but the Council President would appoint those committees. Councilor Bragdon said the Charter amendment allowed for committees but the Charter did not dictate them. Presiding Officer Hosticka said the ordinance spoke to the function of the council itself as well as the creation of the Council President. Councilor Bragdon said it perpetuated the existing situation for the most part but changed some of the terminology. Presiding Officer Hosticka asked Councilor Bragdon to address subsection F concerning designation of the budget officer. Councilor Bragdon explained that the committee determined that the Budget Officer should be the Council President. The reason for this was that the presentation of the budget was akin to making a motion, an expression of proposed policy, political direction. It was appropriate that an elected official made that presentation formally. They also included recommendation that the actual preparation of the budget document would be the responsibility of the Chief Operating Officer and his or her staff. The COO also needed to involve the Council in that process. Councilor McLain read excerpts from that section. Councilor Bragdon said making the Councilor President the Budget Officer made him or her symbolically responsible for that statement of policy. Presiding Officer Hosticka said he wanted to make sure this was on the record. Councilor Park said Metro by its very action had to be a collaborative body. He felt this was a good statement of intent. Councilor Monroe said he strongly believed where public dollars were involved, there must be public accountability. That meant that the ultimate buck stopped with the elected officials, the people who could be removed from office by the voters if they didn't like the way you were managing public money. The decision that the committee made concerning the Council President being the Budget Officer reflected the concept of accountability. The relationship between Council and Council President developed in these ordinances forced them to work together cooperatively. The Council President would be neutered without the support of the majority Council and the Council would be frustrated without the support of the Council President. Councilor Bragdon closed by saying that the discussion of the Budget Officer was emblematic of what they were trying to achieve. The appropriate role of the Chief Operating Officer was carrying out policy and the appropriate role for the elected leadership was initiating policy.

Vote:	Councilors Monroe, Park, Burkholder, McLain, Bragdon, Atherton and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
-------	---

7.5 **Ordinance No. 02-955A**, For the Purpose of Amending Chapter 2.19 of the Metro Code to Conform to the Charter Amendments Adopted on November 7, 2000.

Motion	Councilor Monroe moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-955A.
Seconded:	Councilor Bragdon seconded the motion

Councilor Monroe spoke to the ordinance, which updated the Code. Councilor Park asked for clarification on which ordinance they were considering.

Vote:	Councilors Park, Burkholder, McLain, Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
-------	---

**8. RESOLUTIONS**



8.1 **Resolution 02-3205**, For the Purpose of Authorizing the Issuance of a Request for Proposals 02-1025-COU for a Personal Services Contract for the Recruitment of a Chief Operating Officer as Set Forth in Metro Code Chapter 2.20.

Motion	Councilor Bragdon moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3205.
Seconded:	Councilor Monroe seconded the motion

Councilor Bragdon said this was authorization for the second step of the search for a Chief Operating Officer. The outside advisory committees as well as the Governmental Affairs Committee supported having a professional recruitment firm recruit the COO candidates. Human Resources staff drafted the request for proposal. This allowed the Council itself to have ownership of that search process because ultimately the Council was the body that needed to be satisfied with the outcome. The Presiding Officer actually had the contracting authority to do this but as the resolution signified they were trying to do this in an inclusive way. Presiding Officer Hosticka said this was an important step, he appreciated taking action on this now. He thought it was important that during the transition we structure it so that the entire Council was taking responsibility for the transition and that those steps be taken regardless of what they anticipated regarding the outcome of the election. Councilor Bragdon thanked all of those who had been involved in this process.

Vote:	Councilors Burkholder, McLain, Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
-------	---

## 9. CONTRACT REVIEW BOARD

9.1 **Resolution No. 02-3202**, For the Purpose of Awarding the Contract (924134) for Soft Drink and Bottled Beverages at the Oregon Zoo to Coca-Cola Bottling Company of Oregon.

Motion	Councilor Burkholder moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3202.
Seconded:	Councilor Bragdon seconded the motion

Councilor Burkholder said the Governmental Affairs Committee had done a review of a proposal to go out to do a major contract with a provider of soft drinks at the Zoo. The goal of the Oregon Zoo was to hopefully snag a major sponsor at the same time. There was some concerns on the Governmental Affairs Committee about what might be the trade-off or what kind of concessions the Zoo might give if they got a major contribution from the company. There were concerns because the Oregon Zoo was the Oregon Zoo, a service provided by the taxpayers of the region. There were concerns about signage. They were very comfortable with what was going on. There was a major donation coming in every year. Coca-Cola would be giving a contribution of \$38,000 per year for the Great Northwest Project. There were no major changes and the committee's concerns were dispelled. Councilor McLain said she had had some concerns about this particular contract, she had had a personal briefing and was comfortable with the contract before Council. She felt that the best part of the contract was that in five years they would reconsider the contract. She talked about her personal experiences with corporate sponsorship. Councilor Bragdon said his number one concern was the experience that the visitor had at the Oregon Zoo. While having a soft drink may be part of the experience, it was not the focus of the experience. People went to learn about the wildlife and natural systems. They didn't go to be bombarded by advertisements. That was the concern and he thought the conditions in the resolution were appropriate, that they preserve the attractive visitor environment at the Zoo. The benefits that they were giving to the

bidder were commensurate with what they were giving to the Zoo. Councilor Park said he was glad they were able to negotiate this and come up with a package that all agreed upon without too much commercialization.

Vote:	Councilors McLain, Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park, Burkholder and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
-------	---

**10. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATION**

Presiding Officer Hosticka said Council had discussed the formation of an independent review panel of national experts to look at the methodology and final product of the ESEE analysis on the fish and wildlife habitat protection program. They had gone out and tried to get support for this from people in the private sector. They now had \$26,500 in commitments from outside organizations. The total budget for this was \$54,000. He had discussed this with the Executive Officer and they both felt this was a sufficient level of support to start to initiate the process. They would continue to seek support for the rest of the budget but if they didn't get additional funds, they would try to find some way to make it happen with Metro's own resources. He asked Council for their support. Council concurred. Councilor Park asked about how they would fund the rest. Councilor McLain added that they had an opportunity to scope the review so even if we could not raise the entire amount, they could determine how much of each stage they did. Councilor McLain invited the Council to participate in the Metro booth at the Washington County and Clackamas County fairs.

**11. ADJOURN**

There being no further business to come before the Metro Council, Presiding Officer Hosticka adjourned the meeting at 4:50 p.m.

Prepared by

Chris Billington  
Clerk of the Council

**ATTACHMENTS TO THE PUBLIC RECORD FOR THE MEETING OF JUNE 27, 2002**

ITEM #	TOPIC	DOC DATE	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	DOC. NUMBER
6.1	MINUTES	6/20/02	METRO COUNCIL MINUTES OF JUNE 20, 2002 SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL	062702C-01
2	OFFSITE MEETING SCHEDULE	6/27/02	JUNE 27, 2002 METRO COUNCIL OFFSITE MEETING IN LAKE OSWEGO, ALL-DAY EVENT	062702C-02
7.1	COMMITTEE REPORT	6/20/02	COMMITTEE REPORT ON ORDINANCE NO. 02-946 FROM MICHAEL MORRISSEY TO THE METRO COUNCIL	062702C-03
7.2-7.5 AND 8.1	COMMITTEE REPORT	6/26/02	COMMITTEE REPORT ON ORDINANCES NO. 02-942A, 02-953A, 02-954A, 02-955A, AND RESOLUTION NO. 02-3205 FROM JOHN HOUSER TO THE METRO COUNCIL	062702C-04
9.1	COMMITTEE REPORT	6/26/02	COMMITTEE REPORT ON RESOLUTION NO. 02-3202 FROM JOHN HOUSER TO METRO COUNCIL	062702C-05
7.6	ORDINANCE	6/27/02	ORDINANCE NO. 02-959, AMENDING THE FY 01-02 BUDGET	062702C-06