

MEETING: Rate Review Committee

NOTE: DAY and ROOM CHANGE

DAY:

Wednesday

DATE:

January 22, 1997

TIME:

5:30 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

PLACE:

Metro Headquarters, Room 370A

- 1. Forest Grove Transfer Station (A.C. Trucking)
 - Staff information
 - A.C. Trucking information
- 2. Solid Waste Disposal Rate 1997-98

RC:ay

cc: Mike Burton, Executive Officer

Bruce Warner, Director of Regional Environmental Management

Rate Review Committee

Don Morissette, Metro Councilor

Ruth McFarland, Metro Councilor

Jon Kvistad, Chair of the Metro Council

Susan McLain, Metro Councilor

Roosevelt Carter, Budget & Finance Manager

Terry Petersen, Environmental Services Manager

Doug Anderson, Acting Waste Reduction & Planning Services Manager

Jennifer Sims, Finance & Management Information Director

Craig Prosser, Financial Planning Manager

Dennis Strachota, Principal Administrative Services Analyst

Maria Roberts, Principal Administrative Services Analyst

Jeff Stone, Senior Management Analyst

Tim Raphael, Executive Analyst

John Houser, Senior Council Analyst

Lindsay Ray, Council Assistant

Jenniser Schmit, Administrative Secretary

s:\share\rube\rue\rue0116.aga



METRO

MEETING: Rate Review Committee

DAY:

Thursday

DATE:

January 22, 1997

TIME:

5:30 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

PLACE:

Metro Headquarters, Council Annex

- I. Forest Grove Transfer Station (A.C. Trucking)
 - Staff information
 - A.C. Trucking information
- 2. Solid Waste Disposal Rate 1997-98

RC:ay

cc: Mike Burton, Executive Officer

Bruce Warner, Director of Regional Environmental Management

Rate Review Committee

Don Morissette, Metro Councilor

Ruth McFarland, Metro Councilor

Jon Kvistad, Chair of the Metro Council

Susan McLain, Metro Councilor

Roosevelt Carter, Budget & Finance Manager

Terry Petersen, Environmental Services Manager

Doug Anderson, Acting Waste Reduction & Planning Services Manager

Jennifer Sims, Finance & Management Information Director

Craig Prosser, Financial Planning Manager

Dennis Strachota, Principal Administrative Services Analyst

Maria Roberts, Principal Administrative Services Analyst

Jeff Stone, Senior Management Analyst

Tim Raphael, Executive Analyst

John Houser, Senior Council Analyst

Lindsay Ray, Council Assistant

Jennifer Schmit, Administrative Secretary

s:\share\robe\rrc\rrc0116.aga



DATE:

January 22, 1997

TO:

Rate Review Committee

FROM: Koosevelt Carter

SUBJECT: Metro Analysis of AC Trucking Costs

During the Rate Review Committee meeting held January 16, 1997, Bruce Warner, Director of the Regional Environmental Management Department, instructed staff to provide additional data relative to staff's estimates of reasonable costs for specific items. Much of this information was included in the staff report prepared for the Metro Council's action on the resolution authorizing the current rate review proceedings. A copy of that Staff Report is attached as Exhibit A to this Memo.

Operating and Administrative Expenses

A number of issues discussed in the staff report have been resolved. For example, AC Trucking has provided staff with sufficient information to explain the significant increases shown in utilities. AC Trucking has agreed on the issue of penalties and a number of items in the calculation of a rate base.

To assist the committee in evaluating these costs we have attached spreadsheets showing the calculations involved in our reasonableness determinations for data processing, rent, travel and entertainment, and public relations. These spreadsheets are included in Exhibit B.

The staff estimate of reasonable accounting costs was based on previous expenditure levels for AC Trucking and an estimate of 1995 expenses dated 4/7/95. Exhibit C is a spreadsheet showing the reported operating and administrative costs of AC Trucking, which was developed by Metro from financial information provided by the company. Exhibit D are two spreadsheets provided by AC trucking which provide a comparison of the reported expenditures in 1992 through 1994 with a 1993 pro forma prepared by the company and an estimate of 1995 costs based on an adjusted 1994 cost base.

A number of new issues relative to the franchisees' expenses have arisen as a result of rate analyses provided by AC Trucking's rate consultants. These include:

- Equipment Repairs and Parts(Composite of Repair Expenses)
- Equipment Leases
- Legal and Consulting
- Truck Rental
- Building and Other Equipment Repair

Rate Review Committee January 21, 1997 Page 2

AC Trucking predicts dramatic increases in these expense categories over previous years. Metro does not have sufficient information to evaluate whether these are necessary or reasonable expenses. To properly analyze these costs staff would need to know specifically what is proposed and the terms of any leases or rental agreements with related companies to determine the reasonableness of these agreements.

Capital Structure

Metro was forced to estimate the capital structure of AC Trucking from limited data provided by AC Trucking. Metro's calculation of the rate base from the 1995 financial statements is included in the attached staff report. It should be noted that Metro utilized this calculation for 1995 even though the methods the company utilizes to book certain liabilities could call the actual working capital into question. A lead-lag study would be needed to adequately evaluate the working capital.

An estimate of the amount of capital provided by debt was developed based on the interest expense booked. AC Trucking's representatives later confirmed our estimate when they stated that debt to the parent company was listed as "Intercompany Payables." Since the amount of debt exceeded the rate base, all of the rate base was considered debt. Since there are no taxes associated with return on debt, no adjustment was made for taxes.

Metro has been unable to determine how AC Trucking has calculated the capital structure of the firm. We are also unable to verify the tax rates used in their calculations.

RC:PE:ay

cc: Mike Burton, Executive Officer

Bruce Warner, Director of Regional Environmental Management

Rate Review Committee

Don Morissette, Metro Councilor

Ruth McFarland, Metro Councilor

Jon Kvistad, Chair of the Metro Council

Susan McLain, Metro Councilor

Roosevelt Carter, Budget & Finance Manager

Terry Petersen, Environmental Services Manager

Doug Anderson, Acting Waste Reduction & Planning Services Manager

Jennifer Sims, Finance & Management Information Director

Craig Prosser, Financial Planning Manager

Dennis Strachota, Principal Administrative Services Analyst

Maria Roberts, Principal Administrative Services Analyst

Jeff Stone, Senior Management Analyst

Tim Raphael, Executive Analyst

John Houser, Senior Council Analyst

Lindsay Ray, Council Assistant

S:\SHARE\EHIN\FGTX\ACMEMO.DOC

EXHIBIT A RESOLUTION NO. 96-2411

STAFF REPORT

IN CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 96-2411 FOR THE PURPOSE OF AUTHORIZING THE COMMENCEMENT OF REVIEW OF THE RATES FOR AMBROSE CALCAGNO, JR., DOING BUSINESS AS A.C. TRUCKING COMPANY, FOR THE OPERATION OF THE FOREST GROVE TRANSFER STATION.

Date: October 18, 1996

Presented by: Roosevelt Carter

David Given
Paul Ehinger

PROPOSED ACTION

Adopt Resolution No. 96-2411

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

The current franchise agreement with A.C. Trucking provides for an annual rate review beginning in 1995. The purpose of a rate review is to determine the reasonableness of direct and indirect expenses associated with providing the services for which A.C. Trucking is franchised and to ensure that the rate recommended does not subsidize a non-franchised portion of this business. A.C. Trucking was formally notified of Metro's intent to conduct a review of their rates on December 21, 1995 and was invited to submit their own proposed rate accompanied by supplemental financial data.

The REM Department staff carefully examined all information submitted by A.C. Trucking along with appropriate tonnage information contained in Metro records. Application of Metro Code provisions and the rate methodology outlined in Executive Order No. 25 (both explained below) results in a combined transfer/transport rate of \$16.74/ton which is a reduction of \$10.60 from the current rate of \$27.34/ton. The Executive Officer recommends a careful review of the staff's analysis by the Rate Review Committee.

The standards applied during the review process are specified in Metro Code provisions §5.01.170 (d) as follows:

- (d) In determination of rates, the rate review committee, executive officer and council shall give due consideration to the following:
 - Operating and non-operating revenues.
 - (2) Direct and indirect operating and non-operating expenses including franchise fees.
 - (3) Non-franchise profits.

- (4) Reasonable return on investment exclusive of any capital investment in the franchise or any sum paid for the value of the franchise or any other intangible value.
- (5) Any other factors deemed relevant by the council.

The methodology used for calculating the rate is contained in Executive Order No. 25, dated February 20, 1986. The annual revenue requirement is calculated for the entity according to the following formula:

The revenue requirement is then divided by the anticipated waste flow (tons per year) in order to calculate the rate. This approach has been used previously to set rates for the Forest Grove Transfer Station and is designed to provide a fair and reasonable rate of return on the capital invested in the business. (A brief history of Metro's rate setting relationship with A.C. Trucking is contained in Attachment #1).

The current tipping fee at Forest Grove includes disposal costs, Metro fees, excise tax and enhancement fees which are treated as pass through expenses. Following past practices, staff has limited its review of A.C. Trucking's rates to the costs of transfer and transportation operations.

Operating and Administrative Expenses

The first phase of this rate analysis entailed a study of the operating and administrative expenses submitted by A.C. Trucking, one of twelve companies owned wholly or in part by Ambrose Calcagno. Many of the costs identified in the financial statements are for services provided by related companies or for costs shared by these same entities. As a result of this "vertical integration," staff had the additional responsibility to determine that fees charged by related companies represented the fair market value of the services provided and that allocation of shared costs within the Ambrose Calcagno & Sons, Inc. organization were reasonable.

Trending analysis and comparisons of costs with other similar facilities were conducted. Staff noted that these two categories (operating and administrative expenses) increased a substantial 33% between 1992 and 1995. After reviewing each individual expense, staff recommends allowable operating and administrative expenses of \$1,067,344 which include reductions of \$46,672 in allowable operating costs and \$346,327 in allowable administrative costs. (A detailed schedule and explanation are contained in Attachment #2.)

Capital Cost Recovery (Depreciation)

The second phase of this rate analysis involved determining reasonable compensation for capital equipment provided by the owners and compensation for other capital investment (capital cost recovery). This calculation includes recognition of reasonable interest expense (return on debt equity), owners net equity, and an adjustment for income taxes.

A.C. Trucking claimed \$208,164 in depreciation for 1995. Staff recommends \$90,061 as an appropriate amount. (Attachment #3 contains a listing and explanation of adjustments made to the 1995 depreciation schedule submitted by A.C. Trucking).

Return on Ratebase

Calculation of the rate base requires examination of the fixed assets of the firm and calculation of the required working capital. Staff recommends a total rate base of \$584,692 comprised of \$240,448 in working capital and an adjusted unrecovered capital investment of \$344,244. A 15% rate was selected to apply to this ratebase. A professional utility rate specialists was engaged to review the methodology applied and the assumptions made in this report. He has concurred that the 15% rate and process applied is reasonable. (Attachment #4 provides an itemized listing of adjustments made and calculation of the return on rate base).

Revenue Requirement

Total revenue requirements of \$1,245,109 is made up of \$1,067,344 in allowable operations and administrative expenses, \$90,061 in allowable depreciation and \$87,704 in return on ratebase.

Rate Calculation

Dividing the total revenue requirements of \$1,245,109 by the 74,392 tons processed by A.C. Trucking in 1995 results in a transfer/transport rate of \$16.74/ton.

METRO BUDGET IMPACT

The current franchise agreement provides for a \$4.83/ton supplemental fee for all tons A.C. Trucking sends to the Riverbend Landfill. The franchise agreement calls for removal of this fee following rate review. Should Council conclude the review process with no changes in A.C. Trucking's current rate, Metro revenues would decline an estimated \$370,000 for the twelve month period ending December 1997. Included in this amount is an estimated reduction in Excise Tax revenues of \$25,000.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

The Executive Officer recommends that:

- The Council authorize commencement of a review of the rates for the operation of the Forest. Grove Transfer Station.
- The Rate Review Committee review a combined transfer/transport rate of \$16.74/ton for the Forest Grove Transfer Station.
- The estimated savings of \$808,780 per year be passed on to all Metro solid waste rate-payers in the form of a transfer station rate reduction.
- Concurrent with this new rate, consideration be given to establishment of a Rate Equalization Fee under a separate ordinance. The latter fee would bring A.C. Trucking's tipping fee up to a uniform rate charged at other Metro facilities.

s:\share\bf\dave\for_grov\staffrpt\stafrpt3.doc

ATTACHMENT #1 Chronology of AC Trucking Rate Reviews

Metro granted Franchise No. 4 to Ambrose Calcagno, Jr. on March 22, 1984 to operate a transfer station in Forest Grove. The transfer station was limited to accepting waste from only his own collection vehicles. The term of the franchise was five years and there was no rate established since the transfer station was only handling wastes from related entities. The actual transfer operations began in 1985.

The franchise was amended in 1985 to grant a variance to the vertical integration prohibitions in the Metro Code. Since the station would accept waste from other haulers, a rate was established for transfer and transportation of the waste. A rate of \$14.51 per ton was requested, based on 15% after tax return on equity capital and 17.5% on debt capital. A rate of \$13.98 per ton was granted by the Metro Council using a 15% return of equity capital and a 14.5% return on debt capital. This first rate review utilized the methodology outlined in Executive Order No. 25.

A five year renewal of the franchise was granted in 1988, extending the expiration date to September 22, 1993. The franchisee requested a rate of \$19.14 per ton. A rate of \$19.25 per ton was granted "to simplify accounting procedures." Again, the rate review was conducted utilizing the methodology outlined in Executive Order No. 25. The franchisee requested a rate of return of 14% on equity. No owner's equity existed at this time; the equity portion was made up totally of debt equity. It should be noted that the rates set during the rate review process were maximums and that the franchisee did not normally charge the highest rate allowed by the agreement. The franchisee was granted permission to accept public self haul waste at this time.

In 1991 the franchisee requested and was granted a rate of \$22.75 per ton. The rationale for this adjustment was the assertion that an insufficient rate of return existed under the current rate. The methodology used for the review is unclear from the information in our files. There is no record of any review of the reasonableness of operating or administrative costs.

The franchisee requested an increase in rate to \$26.22 per ton in May of 1992. The initial request indicated that the increase was needed to recover the \$271,000 cost of responding to Metro's request for proposals for a transfer station to serve western Washington County. This was apparently questioned by the rate review committee. A revised request to increase the rate to \$25.50 per ton was received in June. The reasons stated for the adjustment was the expected increase in overall expenses, a reduction in payloads due to PUC requirements and a reduction in the hours of operation of the Riverbend Landfill. The rate of \$25.50 per ton was authorized.

The franchisee requested a renewal of his franchise on September 15, 1993. The franchisee proposal provided substantial increases in revenues for the franchisee. The franchisee also requested that the rates increase with inflation. Another schedule was provided in November.

Metro conducted a review of the costs and hired a consultant to examine some of the information provided. A decision was made to defer rate review for one year and to allow the franchisee to charge a tip fee not to exceed Metro's tip fee pending rate review. The franchise renewal included a provision that Metro could take responsibility for disposal of the waste from the transfer station and that Metro could direct the operator to install a compactor.

On December 21, 1995 Metro staff initiated the rate review process and requested the submission of a proposed rate accompanied by supplemental financial data. On March 7, 1996 AC Trucking provided Metro staff with part of the financial data requested. An additional information request was issued on April 25, 1996 arising from the financial data provided Metro during the previous month. A.C. Trucking did not provide the additional data citing concerns over confidentiality. After repeated attempts to resolve A.C. Trucking's objection to providing the requested financial data, Metro staff proceeded to calculate a transfer/transport rate with the data previously provided. A.C. Trucking staff was notified of the proposed rate and given an opportunity to respond. Subsequent discussions with A.C. Trucking representatives did not change Metro staff's original recommended transfer/transport rate of \$ 16.74/ton.

s:\share\bf\dave\for_grov\staffrpt\stafrpt3.doc

		tachment 2			
		ETRO			
A C TRU	JCKIN(G INC. RA	TE REVIEW		
SCHEDULE OF PROP	OSED	TRANSPO	RT & TRAN	ISFE	RATE
FR	SCAL	YEAR 199	5 - 97	·	
		Submitted			Proposed
	19	95 Financials	Adjustments	E	xpense Base
		······································			
	Operat	ing Expens	es		
Trucking Management		17,943	(17,943)	1	······································
Recycling (Hauling Expense)		28,729	(28,729)		··· ··· ··· ·· · · · · · ·
<u> </u>		685,938	0		685,938
Other Operating Expenses		000,000			333,000
Total Operating Expenses	\$ ministr		\$ (46,672)	\$	685,938
Total Operating Expenses Adn		732,610 ative Exper	\$ (46,672) ISES:	\$	
Total Operating Expenses Adn Data Processing		732,610 ative Exper 93,319	\$ (46,672) ISES: (88,819)		4,500
Total Operating Expenses Adn		732,610 ative Exper 93,319 140,880	\$ (46,672) ISES: (88,819) (87,790)		4,500 53,090
Adn Data Processing acility Rental Payments		732,610 ative Exper 93,319 140,880 40,933	\$ (46,672) ISES: (88,819) (87,790) (27,933)		4,500 53,090 13,000
Adn Data Processing acility Rental Payments Accounting		732,610 ative Exper 93,319 140,880 40,933 19,757	\$ (46,672) ISES: (88,819) (87,790) (27,933) (9,757)	3 4 5	4,500 53,090
Adn Data Processing acility Rental Payments Accounting		732,610 ative Exper 93,319 140,880 40,933 19,757 1,302	\$ (46,672) ISES: (88,819) (87,790) (27,933) (9,757) (1,302)	3 4 5	4,500 53,090 13,000 10,000
Adn Data Processing acility Rental Payments Accounting Itilities Penalties		732,610 ative Exper 93,319 140,880 40,933 19,757 1,302 63,697	\$ (46,672) ISES: (88,819) (87,790) (27,933) (9,757) (1,302) (62,197)	3 4 5	4,500 53,090 13,000 10,000 0 1,500
Adn Data Processing acility Rental Payments Accounting Itilities Penalties Public Relations		732,610 ative Exper 93,319 140,880 40,933 19,757 1,302	\$ (46,672) ISES: (88,819) (87,790) (27,933) (9,757) (1,302) (62,197) (11,885)	3 4 5 6 7	4,500 53,090 13,000 10,000 0 1,500
Adn Data Processing acility Rental Payments Accounting Utilities Penalties Contributions		732,610 ative Exper 93,319 140,880 40,933 19,757 1,302 63,697 11,885	\$ (46,672) ISES: (88,819) (87,790) (27,933) (9,757) (1,302) (62,197) (11,885) (56,644)	3 4 5 6 7	4,500 53,090 13,000 10,000 0 1,500 0
Adn Data Processing acility Rental Payments Accounting Itilities Penalties Contributions Travel		732,610 ative Exper 93,319 140,880 40,933 19,757 1,302 63,697 11,885 58,644	\$ (46,672) ISES: (88,819) (87,790) (27,933) (9,757) (1,302) (62,197) (11,885) (56,644) 0	3 4 5 6 7	4,500 53,090 13,000 10,000 0 1,500

ATTACHMENT 2 METRO

A.C. TRUCKING, INC. RATE REVIEW SCHEDULE OF PROPOSED TRANSPORT & TRANSFER RATE FISCAL YEAR 1996-97

EXPLANATION OF FOOTNOTES

1. Trucking Management

An amount of \$ 31,698 and \$ 17,943 is listed as trucking management expense for 1994 and 1995 respectively. This line item has not appeared in prior applications and seems unreasonable in light of the increasing level of truck expense (\$ 197,479 vs. \$ 195,336) in spite of this apparent management cost. A.C. Trucking asserted that this consulting expense provides driver and safety education training for its hauling staff. While safety education is a reasonable costs, Metro staff questions the amount attributed to this function considering that their transfer operations make use of only three rigs. Metro staff have repeatedly requested the financial records of the other Calcagno entities to verify allocations of this expense. A.C. Trucking staff have declined to provide such access. Staff recommends the exclusion of this expense item.

2. Recycling Expense

A recycling expense (later reclassified as hauling expense) of \$ 28,729 appears excessive for the amount of recyclables involved. It is estimated that 700 tons/year of this material is delivered by self-haul customers resulting in an average \$41/ton transport cost. While curbside collection operations may provide substantially more recyclable tonnage, staff does not consider it appropriate to classify such costs as transfer station expenses. These costs relate to non-regulated operations and would more appropriately be included within the individual hauler's fee. Staff recommends that the recycling expense be disallowed.

3. Data Processing Expense

Data processing costs were listed as \$93,319 for 1995. This equates to an average of \$5.50 for every scalehouse transaction or a data processing cost of over \$300 per monthly billing for each commercial hauler using the facility. Estimates were received for the installation and maintenance of comparable weighmaster systems as that existing at A.C. Trucking. An average cost of \$4,500 was obtained. The \$93,319 is inordinately high considering that the related company charging these fees provide data processing services to all the other Calcagno companies, many of which make higher service level demands than A.C. Trucking. Access to intercompany records was requested to verify the allocation of these costs, but A.C. Trucking again declined to provide them. Staff recommends a reduction in allowable costs to the \$4,500 average amount.

4. Rent

Rental charges of \$140,880 was shown for 1995. The land owner is a related company and portions of the Forest Grove Transfer Station site are utilized by other Calcagno entities. The Washington County assessor lists the fair market value of the land and improvements as \$1,014,720. Applying the same allocation of rental expense for A.C. Trucking that was used in 1994 equates to an estimated fair market value of \$442,418. Based upon appraisal reports and discussions with real estate professionals, a rate of return for this type of property would range from 10-15%. Staff has applied a 12% average return to impute a recommended rental expense rate of \$53,090. A.C. Trucking staff replied to this estimate that their published rental charges were based upon fair market value. No documentation was provided to support this assertion. Access to intercompany records was requested to verify the allocation of these rental costs, but A.C. Trucking again declined to provide them. Accordingly, Metro staff recommends that the rental costs should not be greater than the calculated \$53,090 amount.

Accounting

Accounting fees of \$ 40,933 were listed for 1995. During the 1992 renewal process, A.C. Trucking requested only \$ 9,905 for accounting fees. This equates to a 313% increase within a three year period. While there have been significant changes in the Calcagno company structure during this time, placing this administrative costs upon the rate payers appears to be unreasonable. Staff has estimated that a reasonable fee to provide reviewed financial statements for an entity similar in size to A.C. Trucking could be accomplished for \$13,000 a year. Since this item is a shared expense, access to intercompany records was requested to verify the allocation of these costs. A.C. Trucking again declined to provide them. Staff recommends a reduction in allowable costs to the \$13,000 average amount.

6. Utilities

Utility expenses of \$ 19,757 were listed 1995. This is in sharp contrast to the 1992 and 1994 records that listed utility expenses as \$ 8,806 and \$ 9,839. We are not aware of any significant changes in operations or utility rates that would justify a 100% increase. A.C. Trucking staff stated that water usage has increased and that the 1992 allocations may have been in error. Metro staff requested access to intercompany records to verify the allocation of this expense item. A.C. Trucking again declined to provide this data. Staff recommends a reduction in allowable costs to \$10,000.

7. Penalties

An amounts of \$1,302 was listed as penalties for 1995. There are no benefits to the rate payer's in refunding such costs to the franchisee. Washington County specifically excludes penalties and fines in their solid waste collection guidelines. Accordingly, staff recommends that this cost be disallowed.

8. Public Relations

A Public relation costs of \$63,697 was published 1995. While reimbursement for such expenses as Yellow Page advertising and brochures are expected, amounts of this magnitude are excessive for a franchised facility. Metro staff requested access to intercompany records to verify the allocation of this shared expense item. A.C. Trucking again declined to provide this data. Staff recommend a reduction in this allowable costs to \$1,500.

9. Contributions

An amount of \$11,885 was listed as contributions for 1995. While corporate owners are free to distribute the profits to whomever they wish, it is not the obligation of the rate payers to fund this discreetly expense. Both the City of Portland and Washington County specifically exclude contributions as non-allowable costs. Accordingly, these amounts are disallowed.

10. Travel

Travel expenses for 1995 were listed as \$ 58,644. This figure is twice the amount for the entire Regional Environmental Management Department. A.C. Trucking staff stated that this expense item includes the costs of employee functions which they consider part of employee benefits. Metro staff requested access to intercompany records to verify the allocation of this expense item. A.C. Trucking again declined to provide this data. Staff recommend a reduction in this allowable costs to \$2,000.

DG:gbc s:\share\bf\dave\for_grov\staffrpt\attach_2.doc

Attachment 3 METRO Calculation of Capital Recovery Per Depreciation Schedule Provided By AC Trucking 1 Period Ended December 31, 1995 Group 3: Fixed Assets - Machinery, Equipment & Furnishings Amount Submitted: 95,996 **Explanation for Adjustment** Item #17 (195)Fully Recovered in 1995 Item #19 (169)Fully Recovered in 1995 Item #24 (1,689)Fully Recovered in 1995 Item #32 Fully Recovered in 1995 (18)Item #40 Stereo System Disallowed (400)Item #41 Fully Depreciated prior to end of 1996 (428)Item #42 Fully Depreciated prior to end of 1996 (3,063)Net Adjusted Capital Recovery - Group 3 90.034 Group 5: No Tax Basis - Assets Item #1 - "'No Tax Basis Equipment" 44.775 Adjustments: (44,775)Ineligible per Metro Code Net Adjusted Capital Recovery - Group 5 \$ Group 6: No Tax Basis - Intangibles Item #1 - Goodwill 66,667 Adjustments: (66,667)Ineligible per Metro Code Net Adjusted Capital Recovery - Group 6 Group 7: Leashold Improvements \$ 27 No Changes Total Adjusted Capital Recovery 90,061 Note Depreciation listed in the financial statements was \$208,164; total depreciation accounted for in their supplemental depreciation schedule was \$207,465. Staff have selected the more definitive schedule for rate setting purposes.

Attachment 4		
METRO		
Calculation of AC Trucking		
Per ACT Financials of 12		
Fixed Asset		
Fixed Assets		
Total Fixed Assets	\$	1,399,916
Accumulated Depreciation		(791,310)
Net Fixed Assets		200.200
Het Fixed Assets	<u> </u>	608,606
Adjustments (Net of Accumulated Depreciation):	: !	
Delete: 1930 Coupe	S_	(15,500) 1
Delete: Truck Stereo System		(2.600) 2
Delete: "No Tax Basis Assets"		(246,262).3
Subtotal Adjusted Fixed Assets		
Subtotal Adjusted Fixed Assets	S	344,244
Other Assets	s	910,920
		210,040
Adjustments:		
Delete: Unsecured loan to an individual	\$	(10,800) 4
Delete: Goodwill		(900,120) 5
Adjusted Other Assets	\$	0 .
Total Adjusted Unrecovered Capital Investme	nt ¢	344 244 00
	111. 3	U-1,E44.UU
Working Capital Calculati	on -	
Current Assets	\$	500,876
Delete: Current amount of disallowed loan		/4 2005 6
Delete: Income Tax Intangible		(1,200) ⁵ (483) ⁷
oomo rax mangibie		(403)
Adjusted Current Assets	\$	499,193
Current 1:4500	· · - · ·	
Current Liabilities	\$	(258,745)
Working Capital	s	240,448
Return on Rate Base Calcul	ation	
Marking C4-1		
Working Capital Total Adjusted Unrecovered Capital Investment	\$	240,448
Rate Base	<u> </u>	344,244 584,692
Return Calculation		X 0.15
Return on Rate Base	: \$	87,704
Adjustment for Income towns (No)		V 4 50 8
Adjustment for Income taxes (None)		X 1.00 B
Return on Rate Base	!	\$87,704
		

Attachment 4 METRO

A.C. TRUCKING, INC. RATE REVIEW CALCULATION OF PROPOSED A.C. TRUCKING RATE BASE FISCAL YEAR 1996-97

EXPLANATION OF FOOTNOTES

1. 1930 Coupe

An amount of \$15,500 is listed as a fixed asset for this item. There is no discernible benefit to the rate payers for this asset. No depreciation is being taken for this item, but the asset value is part of the rate base calculation. Staff recommends that the \$15,500 value for the 1930 Coup be disallowed.

2. Truck Stereo System

An amount of \$2,600 (\$3,000 net of depreciation taken of \$400) is listed as a stereo system for Truck #712. While some type of radio/tape system is reasonable, the amount provided here is excessive. It is recommended that costs be limited to the depreciation already taken.

3. No Tax Basis Assets

An item described as "no tax basis assets" of \$246,262 (\$313,424 net of depreciation already taken of \$67,162) was listed in the 1995 depreciation schedule. A.C. Trucking representatives have revealed that this item is an adjustment to the value of assets exchanged during the reorganization of the parent company. The impact of this accounting treatment is to allow an additional depreciation expense for assets whose original cost has already been recovered. Staff recommends that this item be disallowed and removed from the rate base calculation.

4. Unsecured Loan

A note receivable from an individual in the amount of \$12,000 (\$10,800 long term portion plus a current portion of \$1,200) was listed in the 1995 balance sheet. It was further described as an unsecured loan at 1% interest with monthly payments of \$100 plus interest. A financial statement footnote declared that payment on this note is delinquent. In light of the terms and conditions of this note, this item appears to be a personal rather than a business transaction. Accordingly, staff recommends that this item not be included in the rate base.

5. Goodwill

An amount of \$900,120 (\$1,000,000 net of \$99,880 amortization already taken) was listed on the 1995 balance sheet. Goodwill did not appear in the financial statements of A.C. Trucking until the Calcagno companies were restructured in 1994. Goodwill is generally calculated as the premium for the existence of a going concern. Philosophically, the granting of a franchise to this company has furnished this benefit; the rate payers should not have to reimburse the owner for a privilege they themselves granted. Metro code §5.01.170 (d) (4) specifically excludes intangibles from the rate base calculations. Accordingly, this item is excluded from these calculations.

6. Current Amount of Disallowed Loan

The \$1,200 current portion of the note receivable is not included in the rate base. See explanation in note #4 above.

7. Deferred Tax Benefit

An amounts of \$483 is listed as a deferred tax benefit. A footnote disclosure describes this as a current asset arising from timing differences in reporting amortization of goodwill for income tax reporting purposes. As mentioned in Note #5 above, goodwill is excluded from the rate base calculation. Accordingly, this amount is excluded also.

8. Adjustment for Income Tax

1995 reviewed financial statements provided by A.C. Trucking's CPA contained the note that the consolidated entity had no income tax liability for that year. While an income tax expense was listed in A.C. Trucking's financial statements for 1995, a fair allocation of this tax expense cannot be verified without an examination of the parent company's financial records. Staff requested access to inter-company records but A.C. Trucking declined to provide them. Staff recommend that there be no tax adjustment made to the rate base calculation.

EXHIBIT B

SPREADSHEETS FOR OPERATING AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

1S306BC09700 C, 1S306BC09501 C,	WNER1 ALCAGNO AMBROSE ALCAGNO AMBROSE JR	LAND VAL BL	DG VAL	
1S306BC09700 C, 1S306BC09501 C,	ALCAGNO AMBROSE		DG VAI	
1S306BC09501 C				TOTAL VAL
	ALCAGNO AMBROSE IR	U	0	(
1S306CB00500 C	ALCAGIO AMDIOCE UN	\$178,850	\$710,190	\$889,040
	ALCAGNO AMBROSE JR	\$44,230	\$49,930	\$94,160
1S306CB00600 C	ALCAGNO AMBROSE JR AND	\$25,270	\$6,250	\$31,520
Total Transfer Station		\$248,350	\$766,370	\$1,014,720
Transfer Station Rent Use Preliminary Value o				\$53,126
OSCI Temminary Value	01 \$53,635	<u> </u>		
Non Transfer Station P				
Non Transfer Station Pi		\$650	\$0	\$650
Non Transfer Station Pol 1S306BC08800 Co	roperties	\$650 \$42,000	\$0 \$46,170	\$650 \$88,170
Non Transfer Station Pt 1\$306BC08800 Cr 1\$306BC09000 Cr 1\$306BC09100 Cr	roperties ALCAGNO AMBROSE	•	•	, ,
Non Transfer Station Pt 1S306BC08800 Ct 1S306BC09000 Ct 1S306BC09100 Ct	roperties ALCAGNO AMBROSE ALCAGNO AMBROSE	\$42,000	\$46,170	\$88,170 \$32,640 \$980
Non Transfer Station Pt 1S306BC08800 Ct 1S306BC09000 Ct 1S306BC09100 Ct 1S306BC09200 Ct 1S306BC09201 Ct	roperties ALCAGNO AMBROSE ALCAGNO AMBROSE ALCAGNO AMBROSE ALCAGNO AMBROSE ALCAGNO AMBROSE	\$42,000 \$32,640 \$980 \$42,000	\$46,170 \$0 \$0 \$60,870	\$88,170 \$32,640 \$980 \$102,870
Non Transfer Station Pt 1S306BC08800 C/ 1S306BC09000 C/ 1S306BC09100 C/ 1S306BC09200 C/ 1S306BC09201 C/ 1S306BC09300 C/	roperties ALCAGNO AMBROSE	\$42,000 \$32,640 \$980 \$42,000 \$600	\$46,170 \$0 \$0 \$60,870 \$0	\$88,170 \$32,640 \$980 \$102,870 \$600
Non Transfer Station Pt 1S306BC08800 C/ 1S306BC09000 C/ 1S306BC09100 C/ 1S306BC09200 C/ 1S306BC09201 C/ 1S306BC09300 C/ 1S306BC09400 C/	roperties ALCAGNO AMBROSE ALCAGNO AMBROSE ALCAGNO AMBROSE ALCAGNO AMBROSE ALCAGNO AMBROSE	\$42,000 \$32,640 \$980 \$42,000	\$46,170 \$0 \$0 \$60,870	\$88,170

Data Process

AC Trucking Data Processing Expenses 1995 Financials Reasonableness Test

Assume Hardware/Software Only - Administrative Salaries of about \$150,000 should include operation.

Capital cost include initial software.

(Transfer Station Portion of Total Need for general administration & accounting)

Scalehouse

\$6,000 (per WRI & ECR)

Office

\$3,000 (Transfer Portion assume about 25% of total)

Total capital

\$9,000

Amortize Capital Cost over 3 years.

Amortized Capital Cost =

\$3,000

Maintenance & upgrades

\$1,500

Total Annual Cost

\$4,500

Public Relations

		Re	asonablen	ess Test (F	evised 12/	96)			
			AC Truck	ing Public	Relations				
1995 Expenditure \$63,697									
Based on '	WUTC polic	y of Yellow	Pages Listin	ng and Broc	hure				
Yellow Pa	 ges Ad (33%	AC Trucki	ng)	\$10,500	\$3,500				
Brochure					\$2,500				
Total AC T	rucking PR	ı	J	J	\$6,000	1	1		
Note: The	e costs are	for expense	es associate	ed with prov	iding useful	[informatio	on to the		
users such	as hours of	operation	and guidanc	e on recycli	ng, yard de	bris handl	ing or etc.		
Per June 7	<u>।</u> 7, 1996 Мел	o from Joh	n Merina of	Merina McC	oy Gerritz	l CPA's, the	total	_	
advertising	and public	education e	expenses fo	r 22 haulers	regulated l	y Washin	gton County		
was \$29,6	65								

Travel

Travel and Entertainment Reasonableness Test AC Trucking 1995 financials \$58,644

WUTC allows two in-state visits to professional conferences each year

Two Trips @ 1,000 miles each (\$0.50/mile)

\$1,000

Per Diem (10 days at \$100)

\$1,000

Total Expenses exclusive of meeting fees

\$2,000

Note: Aggregate expenditures for 22 haulers regulated by Washington County were \$26,418 or about \$1,200 per firm.

EXHIBIT C EXPENSE HISTORY

FOREST GROVE TRANSFER STATION Expense History Per AC Trucking Financial Statements

			38			1 ⊗			1 🕸	 -		
	19	92		1993] 🖁	1994			1995		
*	1		78] இ			1 ∰			
Tons	68,604		18	71.637		18	70.400			74.505	i	
Trips to Landfill	3,181		18	/1,63/			73,182			74,392	i	
Miles	190,884		18								ŀ	
Tons per Trip	21.57											
Órivers hours per trip	1.77		18								ŀ	
Driver Hours	5,635	÷										
OPERATING EXPENSES	Cost	Cost/Ton		Cost	Cost/Ton	-	Cost	Cost/Ton		Cost	Cost/Ton	
			1≋			1 ▒			18		00001011	
Salaries	\$191,624	\$2.79	I®	\$259,938	\$3.63		\$298,910	\$4.08		\$288,704	\$3.88	
Payroll taxes & benefits	\$81,710	\$1.19	8	\$89,627	\$1.25	18	\$111,611	\$1.53		\$109,322	\$1.47	
Truck Rental		\$0.00	I			🐉	\$6,800	\$0.09		\$29,580	\$0.40	
Truck fuel, oil tires	\$5 9,947	\$0.87	*	\$153,800	\$2.15	8	\$56,932	\$0.78		\$58,164	\$0.78	
PUC taxes	\$34,449	\$0.50	Iŝ			8	\$33,466	\$0.46		\$49,765	\$0.67	
Repair & maint, trucks	\$65,661	\$0.96	lä			1 8	\$99,444	\$1.36		\$72,013	\$0.97	
Repair & maint, other	\$37,291	\$0.54	8				\$50,629	\$0.69		\$40,582	\$0.55	
Other	\$1,738	\$0.03	8	\$86,194	\$1.20	8	\$6,606	\$0,09		\$39,600	\$0.53	
Engineering			8				\$14,201	\$0.19		\$26,637	\$0.36	
Trucking Management			8				\$31,698	\$0.43		\$17, 943	\$0.24	
•						} ▓ [,				
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$472,420	\$6.89	800000	\$ 589,559	\$8.23		\$710,297	\$9.71	W. W. W.	\$ 732,310	\$9.84	
Percent Change from Previous Year			8000000	25%	20%	Weeks	20%	18%	劉	3%	1%	
ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES						200000						
Salaries	\$166,621	\$2.43		\$157,326	\$2.20	[\$135,649	\$1.85	20000	\$ 151,798	\$ 2.04	
Payroll taxes & benefits	\$70,991	\$1.03	8	\$54,383	\$0.76		\$46,242	\$0.63	*	\$49,931	\$2.04 \$ 0.67	
Office expenses	\$6,157	\$0.09	8	\$23,665	\$0.78		\$10,399	\$0.03 \$0.14		\$9,300	\$0.67 \$0.13	
Data processing	\$50,947	\$0.74	8	\$25,346	\$0.35	🎬	\$69,297	\$0.95	3	\$93,319	\$1.25	
Professional fees	\$92,206	\$1.34	8	\$161,361	\$2.25	🐉	\$118,479	\$1.62	8	\$151,609	\$2.04	
Insurance	\$25,869	\$0.38	8	\$38,365	\$0.54	🐺	\$45,499	\$0.62	3	\$19,586	\$0.26	
Utilities	\$13,437	\$0.20		\$23,512	\$0.33	🐉	\$33,383	\$0.46	***	\$24,525	\$0.33	
Leases	\$9,028	\$0.13	8			🐉	\$ 0	\$0.00	*	\$235	\$0.00	
Rent	\$145,196	\$2.12	8	\$183,311	\$2.56		\$158,326	\$2.16	8	\$142,109	\$1.91	
Taxes & Licenses	\$11,996	\$0.17		\$10,949	\$0.15		\$12,132	\$0.17	88	\$13,184	\$0.18	
Advertising & Contributions	\$15,138	\$0.22	**	, ,	• • • • • •		\$7,600	\$0.10	8	\$11,885	\$0.16	
T&E	\$5,350	\$0.08				[8 j	\$50,906	\$0.70	8	\$58,644	\$0.79	
Dues & Subscriptions	\$2,937	\$0.04	×.				\$558	\$0.01	8	\$306	\$0.00	
Badt debt	\$11,064	\$0.16	×			33	\$14	\$0.00	8	\$0	\$0.00	
Penalties		\$0.00				2000	\$2,024	\$0.03	300	\$1,302	\$0.02	
Other	\$1,709	\$ 0.02	88888	\$40,025	\$0.56	2222	\$1,318	\$0.02	2000	\$0	\$0.00	
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	\$628,646	\$9,16	300000	\$718,243	\$ 10,03	2000000	\$6 91,826	\$9.45	2000000	\$727,733	\$9.78	
Percent Change from Previous Year			0000000	14%	9%	2000000	-4%	-6%	30000000	. 5%	3%	
Total Expenses	\$1,101,066	\$16.05	300000	\$1,307,802	\$18.26	0000000	\$1,402,123	\$19.16	3000000	\$1,460,043	\$19.63	
Percent Change from Previous Year			3333	19%	14%	80000	7%	5%	88888	4%	2%	
1	1		333	1		8	•		- 28 - 28	l	1	

EXHIBIT D OPERATING AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

AC TRUCKING

·	1992	1993 PF	1993	1994	1994 AT	1995 EST
ADMIN EXPENSES					100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Salaries & wages	166,62	1 173,286	157,32	6 135,64	9 179,35	1 185,628
H&W	5 60	49,024		32,27	2 52.94	6 57,182
Payroll taxes	25,387	3331	**************	13,97	555553555555555555555555555555555555555	6600
Benefits	70,991		**************		100	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Startup	27,63	888	1	-	-	- 1
Basic 4 Programming	3,23		1	1,761		- 1
Prog allocated	Enc.			67,536	3	-
Equipment usage	525 18,000	26		-	-	-
Data processing	49,452	~	25.24	-		
		33,136	25,34	69,297	54,99	56,923
Rent	145,196	151,439	183,31	1 158,326	450 70	4~~~~
1		131,100		130,320	156,73	162,225
Office supplies	9,357	3 436	1	6,465	3,550	3,680
Postage & permits	2,800		i	3,934		22 i
Office expense	6,157		23,668		2.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1.						
Insurance	25,869	26,981	38,363	45,499	45,490	47,091
A ======						
Accounting Legal	9,905	11,780	1	33,389	12,192	12,619
Consulting	33,127	39,398	51,411	(8)	40,777	
Professional fees	37,831 60,863	44,993	109,950	`	46,567	
rorossional rees	00,000	96,171	161,361	74,568	99,537	90,402
Telephone	4,631	4,830		0.705		
Utilities	8,806	9,185		6,785	4,999	31 1
Telephone & utilities	13,437	14,015	23,512	26,598 33,383	9,506	
1		1 1,010	20,512	33.353	14,506	15,013
Taxes & licenses	11,996	12,512	10,949	12,132	13,387	13,675
į.				12,102		13,073
Leases	2,726	9,299		,	10,297	10,297
						13.23
Miscellaneous	1,709	1,782	1	1,318	1,844	1,909
Penalties	699		- 1	2,022		
Bad debts	11,064	11,540	1	14	12,779	13,055
Advertising Contributions	9,387	15,789	1	43,911	16,342	16,914
Travel & entertainment	5,740		1	7,600		-
Dues & subscriptions	5,350	5,580		50,906	7,219	7,472
Other	2,937 39,623	3,063	40.000	558	3,170	3,281
1	ادمردد	37,754	40,025	106,329	41,354	42,630
Depreciation & amort	153,984	324,653	159,836	206,020	2000.000	2000 0 40
		327,333		200,020	206,020	290,840
TOTAL ADMIN EXPENSES	764.189	980,976	878,079	897,844	898,085	996,735
TOTAL INTERNAL EXPENSES	1,255,050	1,474,901	1,467,638	1,608,141	1,483,665	1,615,472
						.,010,712
INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPS	395,342	##########	457,895	183,848	382,476	290,916
				8		
Miscellaneous income	53,734		53.263	11,802	11,802	12,215
• •				<u> </u>		

AC TRUCKING

	1992	1993 PF	1993	1994	1994 ADJ	1995 EST
OPERATING EXPENSES	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					
Salaries	189,996	197,661		270,786	234,419	246,659
Deferred comp	1,628	1,628		2,894	1,628	1,628
Salaries & wages	191,624	199,289	259,938	273,680	236,047	248,287
				•		,
H&W	52,761	56,718		81,430	69,853	77,068
Payroll taxes	28,949	30,107		30,181	34,332	35,073
Benefits	81,710	86,825	89,627	111,611	104,185	112,141
1						
Contract Labor				25,230		_
·				,		
Outside repairs	19,172	21,706		7,467	25,619	27,037
Inhouse repairs	41,317	46,778		83,179	55,210	58,375
PUC tax	34,449	35,930		33,466	40,973	41,856
Truck rent		-		6,800	-	-
Fuel	41,060	39,424		50,395	50,117	52, 96 9
Oil, grease, tires	2,878	2,763		4,306	3,261	3,448
Tires	16,009	15,371		2,231	- 18.142	19,182
Cushman				<u> </u>	-	
Professional fees				31,698	-	_
Vehicle cleaning	5,172	4,966		4,368	5,861	6,197
Truck expense	160,057	166,939	153,800	223,910	199,183	209,136
						·
Scale/printer expense	1,910	1,977		3,124	2,046	2,118
						-4
Equip parts & repair	13,274	14,093		39,975	15,600	16,501
Equipment leases	6,302		4	579	-	
Shop supplies	10,345	10,983		1,632	12,963	13,706
Recycling	1,039	1,332	1	5,102	1,723	2,229
Engineering	11,343			14,201	-	-
Radio repair				225		_
Bldg & equip repairs	11,762	12,487	1	8,850	13,828	14,620
Computer repair	1,495		1	1,253		
Transport recycling				925	_	- 1
Other	55,560	38,895	86,194	72,742	44,120	47,056
						'
TOTAL OPERATING EXP	490,861	493,925	589,550	710,297	585,580	618,737
		300				