

## MINUTES OF THE METRO COUNCIL MEETING

Thursday, August 8, 2002  
Metro Council Chamber

Councilors Present: Susan McLain (Deputy Presiding Officer), Rod Park, Bill Atherton, David Bragdon, Rod Monroe, Rex Burkholder

Councilors Absent: Carl Hosticka (excused)

Presiding Officer Hosticka convened the Regular Council Meeting at 2:04 p.m.

### 1. INTRODUCTIONS

There were none.

### 2. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS

Art Lewellan, LOTI Project, 3205 SE 8<sup>th</sup> #9, Portland, OR presented Councilor Burkholder with some materials and then commented on an Oregonian article on the Urban Growth Boundary and diversity. He supported Councilor Burkholder's position, which included ideas such as infill. He felt that the Damascus parcel represented not much more than urban sprawl. It didn't represent the best we could do. He encouraged development of regional centers. He felt that the 2040 Plan was the leading edge, was groundbreaking. The 2040 Plan was most apt to improve existing neighborhoods. He gave an example of Orenco Station and the Beaverton Round. Many other regional center sites have opportunities greater than Damascus.

### 3. AUDITOR COMMUNICATIONS

- **SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK IS SOUND**

Alexis Dow, Metro Auditor and Jim McMullin, Senior Auditor, gave a power point presentation on Solid Waste Management Framework is Sound (a copy of which is found in the record) report, an evaluation of the solid waste management framework. Mr. McMullin talked about Metro's Regional Environmental Management responsibilities, roles, revenue, management framework, report description, and the contents of the survey.

Councilor Bragdon said this report seemed a good description of the framework. He asked if Mr. McMullin looked at performance measures in how you judged how we were doing?

Mr. McMullin spoke to what the survey was and was not. They had gathered a lot of information on programs and processes to be a reference document. They had not gone to the place of taking a particular program and evaluating the effectiveness of that program and whether the performance measures that had been established were relevant. This had been a background study to provide a foundation for future work. Ms. Dow added her remarks about their audit plan for this year, which was to do a survey, which would serve as a background point for future audits.

Councilor Bragdon suggested that follow up would be warranted, understanding the costs and efficiency of the system rather than just describing what the system was. How does it compare to other metropolitan regions and how were we doing? Were all of the processes and 24 committees

necessary? Were they playing an effective and useful function? He felt this information would be useful.

Ms. Dow said in order to deal with any kind of evaluation, you needed to get your arms around what you were dealing with first. She reminded him that it was Metro's largest program and that that degree of study was essential.

Councilor Park asked what additional subjects were identified for future work? The description of the system was nice but his question was, could we be more efficient? Can we reduce costs to the general public? Ms. Dow explained the primary goals of the survey, to get their arms around the activities, guidance, structure, and to understand what was happening within the department as well as the management structure and what was the guiding legislation. The survey information would help establish upcoming audits. You have to start with a base of understanding before you can select an audit. The report was a very thorough overview. The feedback they had received had been favorable.

Councilor Park clarified this report was not an audit. It was the results of a survey to begin an audit. Mr. McMullin said that was correct and then spoke to the next phases, for example, looking at the waste reduction area.

**4. CONSENT AGENDA**

4.1 Consideration of minutes of the August 1, 2002 Regular Council Meeting.

Motion	Councilor Bragdon moved to adopt the meeting minutes of the August 1, 2002, Regular Council meeting. Councilor Burkholder seconded the motion.
--------	--

Vote:	Councilors Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park, Burkholder, and Deputy Presiding Officer McLain voted aye. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed with Presiding Officer Hosticka absent.
-------	--

**5. ORDINANCES – SECOND READING**

5.1 **Ordinance No. 02-950B**, For the Purpose of Amending the Metro Code Chapter 7.01 to Increase the Credits Available Against the Solid Waste Excise Tax and Making Other Related Changes.

Motion	Councilor Atherton moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-950B.
Seconded:	Councilor Bragdon seconded the motion

Councilor Atherton gave an overview of the ordinance and the results of the changes. This would hopefully boost recovery with higher incentives and clarify what material counts toward recovery. There had been question as to whether this program should continue. The Solid Waste and Recycling Committee and members of the solid waste industry had reviewed this work over the past thirteen months. The Committee had recommended adoption. He noted that this program would be reviewed every two years for its effectiveness.

Councilor Bragdon added that this was a great illustration of an item he would welcome some independent auditing advice on, the efficacy and efficiency of these sorts of programs. Councilor

Park said he would be supporting this ordinance. He talked about the attributes of the program. It moved Metro towards one of its goals, recycling. It allowed the capitalistic system to work. This was another example of good government. Councilor Monroe spoke about the process of this work and why they were increasing the credits.

Deputy Presiding Officer McLain opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 02-950B. No one came forward. Deputy Presiding Officer McLain closed the public hearing.

Councilor Atherton said this was good work and urged an aye vote.

Vote:	Councilors Park, Burkholder, Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe and Deputy Presiding Officer McLain voted aye. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.
-------	--

5.2 **Ordinance No. 02-951B**, For the Purpose of Amending Metro Code Chapter 5.02 to Modify the Regional System Credit Fee Program.

Motion	Councilor Atherton moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-951B.
Seconded:	Councilor Bragdon seconded the motion

Councilor Atherton said this ordinance was similar to the previous one in that it adjusted the regional system credit fee program. It specified what counted towards recycling credits. It was workable and we would better achieve our goals. He spoke to Councilor Monroe's amendment concerning brick. The Solid Waste and Recycling Committee and the solid waste industry endorsed the ordinance. Councilor Monroe clarified the materials issues and what should be counted towards the credit to reach recycling goals. He said the purpose of this program was to help reach their goals. Councilor Park said this re-linked their credit and fee program back together. Prior action of the Council increased the regional system fee that we charged in order to help offset the cost between the private and public transfer stations so that the programs that Metro was charged to carry out was not subsidized by one user of facilities versus another. This helped re-link those dollars back together on those who were recycling. He noted Councilor McLain's amendment, which provided a good check and balance. Councilor Bragdon said what they were set out to achieve and did achieve was to be able to give the people who were actually performing the work in private industry some certainty about what counted. He was very supportive.

Councilor Atherton urged an aye vote.

Deputy Presiding Officer McLain opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 02-951B. No one came forward. Deputy Presiding Officer McLain closed the public hearing.

Vote:	Councilors Burkholder, Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park and Deputy Presiding Officer McLain voted aye. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.
-------	--

6. **RESOLUTIONS**

Councilor McLain gave an overview of all three resolutions, Resolution Nos. 02-3176, 02-3177A and 02-3218A. She thanked all of those who had given input to these resolutions. She talked about the history of the issues and the three-part Natural Resources Protection Plan for Goal 5.

She provided information about the three year public involvement process and outreach to the public which included newspaper notices, written notice to property owners, 93 coffee talks, a Let's Talk Conference, a utility bill insert notification, and workshops around the region. They had sent out close to \$60,000 in notification. We were currently in the first step of the process, the inventory step. She explained what this step did and did not do. She also reviewed step 2, Economic Social Energy Environmental (ESEE) analysis and step 3, actual program of the Natural Resources Protection Plan for Goal 5.

6.1 **Resolution No. 02-3176**, For the Purpose of Adopting a Draft Map of Regionally Significant Fish Habitat Pursuant to Resolution No. 01-3141C.

Motion	Councilor Monroe moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3176.
Seconded:	Councilor Atherton seconded the motion

Deputy Presiding Officer McLain explained the resolution which was to adopt a regionally significant fish habitat and riparian corridor map. She gave a history of the process to consider adoption of the resolution.

Deputy Presiding Officer McLain opened a public hearing on Resolution No. 02-3176, 02-3177A and 02-3218A.

Charlotte Lehan, Mayor of Wilsonville, 30000 Town Center Loop East, Wilsonville, OR 97070 summarized her testimony (a copy of which is found in the record). City of Wilsonville had finished their Goal 5 and ESEE process. She encouraged the Council to move on to their ESEE analysis, a lot of refine occurred there. She spoke to levels 1 through 9 and suggested giving some recognition of level one as important storm water and urban forestry canopy values. She noted that the Metro mapping program and the City of Wilsonville's mapping program had come out very similar.

Stephan Lashbrook, Community Development Director, City of Lake Oswego, PO Box 369 Lake Oswego, OR 97034 made a suggestion about developed small residential lots that had tree cover. They had discovered that there was at least one set of circumstances that did not fit the numbers and that had to do with developed small residential lots with a great deal of tree cover. In Lake Oswego they considered these to already be protected by their ordinances that protect the trees and would rather not take those developed lots through the ESEE process. They discouraged Metro from doing so region-wide at least for those jurisdictions that have ordinances in place to protect the trees. They thought, in the long run, Metro would do themselves and the region a service if you do not take those through the ESEE process because they would not be served by the end result (a copy of his remarks are included in the record). He felt that they would provoke a lot of unnecessary fear in the communities. He commended Metro for its efforts.

Angela Harris, Oregon Community Protection Coalition, 3945 SE Hawthorne, Portland, OR 97214 read her comments supporting Metro's Goal 5 program (a copy of which is found in the record).

Tom Wolf, Oregon Council Trout Unlimited, 22878 NW Chestnut Street, Hillsboro, OR 97124 talked about the group's membership. They supported adoption of all three resolutions. He encouraged protection of levels 1 through 9 rather than 2 through 9 concerning habitat protection.

Ron Carley, Audubon Society of Portland and Coalition for a Livable Future, 5151 NW Cornell Rd., Portland, OR 97210 gave his testimony in favor of all three resolutions (a copy of which is

found in the record). He urged consideration of sites 1 to 9 as significant. He suggested an amendment where Metro pulled out site 1 and addressed them through an urban forest canopy, storm water, and watershed management strategy.

Dorothy Cofield, Attorney at Law, Cofield Law Office, 4248 Galewood, Lake Oswego, Oregon 07034 said she represented The Hawkins-Kimmels. She had included information in the record at the Natural Resources Committee. They opposed all three resolutions. She said that her clients had received only one notice, a June 10<sup>th</sup> Partners for Natural Places notice. She believed there were mapping errors. She spoke to maps she had submitted to Metro (a copy of which is found in the record) and her concerns about the map correction process.

Jim Labbe, Homeowner for Habitat, 4805 N. Borthwich, Portland OR 97217, said he represented 23 individuals around the region. He supported all three resolutions. It was time we had an inventory (a copy of his email, a map of Hillsboro airport, postcards, and a letter signed by 23 individuals are all included in the record). He spoke about the map that he included in the record concerning the Hillsboro Airport, which covered the headwaters of Dawson Creek. He also noted the postcard concerning the Rock Creek headwaters.

Jere Retzer, Crestwood Headwaters Group 5115 SW Alder, Portland, OR said he lived in a conservation area. He said it had not been a problem to do what he wanted to do on his property. He talked about the attributes of his neighborhood. They believed that their property values would be severely impacted if they didn't have protection. He urged adoption of all three resolutions. He suggested casting a wide net so we didn't lose resources. He thought considering the tree canopy for storm water purposes was a good idea. He suggested walking the walk as well as talking the talk.

Alex Cranson, Property Owner, 5505 Prosperity Park Rd, Tualatin OR 97062, gave a suggestion on amending the map processes to exclude property under forest deferment protection program and/or if they could prove they were providing good stewardship for the land. He felt that by doing this you could prevent a lot of complaining, gain a lot of public support, and create a way for people to remove themselves from this process other than the one means that was left. Currently, the only way to remove their land from Metro's protection was to clear-cut it, have a map correction, and then the land was not longer protected. This seemed to be a rash way of keeping their land out of protection but it would be a reality if other measures were not considered.

Bob Sallinger, Audubon Society of Portland, 5151 NW Cornell Rd., Portland OR 97210 was testifying on behalf of the Audubon Society of Portland. He read his letter into the record (a copy which is included in the record). He did encourage consideration of site 1 as well as 2 through 9.

Joe Poracsky, 1826 SE 22<sup>nd</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97214 testified in favor of all three resolutions. He was a professor of Geography at Portland State University. He talked about his experience with his students when they performed some of the original natural area inventory for Metro in the Greenspaces program. He then had focused his efforts on the urban forest. He viewed the region-wide interconnection of greenspaces as absolutely essential to our quality of life. However, that region-wide system was just a portion of the entire urban forest. He urged adoption of the inventories, the local plan analysis and to include the wildlife sites, which scored one on the inventory for additional consideration. He added his comment about the United We Stand organization which had attacked the scientific integrity of the Metro inventory work. They had cited a report by Fishman Environmental Services. He had reviewed the report and felt that this group had misapplied Fishman's comments. They had selected just the negatives and had taken

them out of context. As the past president of the Oregon Academy of Science he pointed out that scientists do not operate in lock step uniformity in their approach to research questions. As a result, science frequently deals not in blacks and whites but in shades of gray. The polarized and narrow position that the United We Stand group takes in this instance serves to negate any scientific credibility they may be attempting to convey. In his personal and professional opinion the accusation that Metro's inventory was junk science was totally bogus.

Presiding Officer McLain noted for the record a letter from the City of Hillsboro and a memo on the Independent Multidisciplinary Science Team response. There was also a letter to David Bragdon from Andy Cotugno concerning challenges to the science from the City of Hillsboro.

Deputy Presiding Officer McLain closed the public hearing. She asked Mark Turpel, Planning Department – Manager of Long Range Planning, to talk about the public input, requests, the responses and the amendment process.

Mr. Turpel talked about the over 100 proposed changes in the maps by both individuals and jurisdictions. The Council had a summary sheet of the list of changes (a copy which is found in the record). There were some that were still being processed. He noted that two of the resolutions directed staff to continue to make map corrections. He clarified the direction Council had given them in making those changes.

Councilor Burkholder asked about the issue of properties that were under forest deferral. How many acres were in the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB)? Mr. Turpel said those properties that were under a tax deferral for forestry could be both inside and outside the UGB as well as the Metro Jurisdictional Boundary but outside the Metro UGB. They could identify those sites. There was a question about the state regulations and the Metro regulations and how those would be coordinated. They were looking on this issue. Councilor Burkholder said his question was how that might effect the inventory.

Councilor Park asked under the Oregon Forest Deferral Program if they had to comply with the Oregon Forest Practices Act? He asked about agriculture and its impact at a program level. He suggested taking a look at the issue as to whether fully developed single family lots listed in the inventory should be dropped from the ESEE analysis or treated differently in the ESEE analysis.

Councilor Atherton asked, on the issue of developed single and multiple dwelling lots, didn't they all have a score of no greater than 1? Mr. Turpel said there were areas that scored higher than that. Councilor Atherton asked about agriculture, state law now provides that farmers may put intermittent streams in pipes and then cover them. How were these areas scored and had they identified those? Mr. Turpel said they had taken the standard inventory approach for Goal 5 which, was if resource exists like a stream at the surface they showed it that way. If it had been altered since the mapping was done it would be a candidate for a map correction if it had been piped since the time the inventory was done. They showed what existed. He talked about the work with the Tualatin Basin Coordinating Committee. The comments that Washington County staff had made was that they recognized that Senate Bill 1010 very much limited what the county or Metro could do because the state law concerning agricultural areas preempts any other kind of action. They were also looking at the possibility of some mitigation and restoration in those agricultural areas.

Councilor Atherton said his concern was areas that had been farmed or are being farmed adjacent to urban areas and if there was a transition to urban use. Was it appropriate to keep the streams in

the pipes? Mr. Turpel said, if a stream had been piped, they did have stream net and there could be restoration opportunities.

Councilor Bragdon said there were a couple of suggestions made that he would like to see pursued as they go toward ESEE analysis; the single family lots addressed in the Lake Oswego letter and the question designation of forestry use under state regulation. He wanted to learn more about those issues. He thought that these could be looked under the ESEE analysis.

Councilor Park clarified that the Department of Agriculture didn't regulate whether a farmer could put a stream into pipes, it was Division of State Lands. If you were going to move more than 50 cubic yards you had to have a permit.

Deputy Presiding Officer McLain reminded the Council what they were considering today at this time.

Councilor Atherton commented, on "be it resolved" number 3, the Council still reserved the opportunity to minimally or substantially alter the map. This was a continuing process to review the maps.

Vote:	Councilors Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park, Burkholder and Deputy Presiding Officer McLain voted aye. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.
-------	--

6.2 **Resolution No. 02-3177A**, For the Purpose of Establishing Criteria to Define and Identify Regionally Significant Wildlife Habitat and Adopting a Draft Map of Regionally Significant Wildlife Habitat Areas.

Motion	Councilor Monroe moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3177A.
Seconded:	Councilor Atherton seconded the motion

Councilor McLain asked Mr. Turpel to speak to the issue of protecting 1 through 9 versus 2 through 9. She clarified what the resolution did; it set up the inventory for wildlife habitat and gave designation on the map as to what they meant to that inventory.

Mr. Turpel described that the wildlife habitat was a map that was scoring areas between 1 point through 9 points, 9 being the highest and one the lowest. One still had some function. There were five criteria that built the map, four of which were ones that were used for the scoring system. The difference between the ones and the twos was about 2000 acres of land. The ones were relatively small parcels between two-acres and twenty-acres and were relatively scattered. The map no longer showed any of those "one scored" parcels. There were about 2000 acres of land that were at the lowest scoring that were not currently shown on the map.

Deputy Presiding Officer McLain reminded the Council what they were considering in the resolution concerning scored criteria and maps for the wildlife habitat inventory. She noted the advisory committees' recommendations were in the resolution.

Friendly

Amendment:

Councilor Atherton offered a friendly amendment, which he said addressed some of the concerns about areas scoring number 1, "whereas, areas with a score of 1 in Exhibit B, while not regionally significant Goal 5 resources as individual sites, are

significant resources sites, and in the aggregate have multiple values that provide important elements of wildlife habitat, storm water protection, urban forestry canopy and livability, and

Councilor Monroe accepted the friendly amendment as the maker of the motion. The seconder of the motion, Councilor Atherton accepted the friendly amendment as well. (A copy of Councilor Atherton's rationale for the friendly amendment is included in the record).

Vote:	Councilors Atherton, Monroe, Burkholder, Bragdon and Deputy Presiding Officer McLain voted aye. The vote was 5 aye with Councilor Park abstaining from the vote, the motion passed.
-------	---

6.3 **Resolution No. 02-3218A**, For the Purpose of Combining Metro's Draft Inventory Maps of Regionally Significant Riparian Corridors and Wildlife Habitat for the Goal 5 ESEE Analysis and Approving Metro's Local Plan Analysis.

Motion	Councilor Monroe moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3218A.
Seconded:	Councilor Atherton seconded the motion

Deputy Presiding Officer McLain covered what was in the resolution. The combined map showed what the riparian and the wildlife map looked like together so you could see how much area was in common. They had not decided if this was the way that they wanted to carry it into ESEE analysis. They were only carrying this forward so they had the total information of the two maps. The second part of the resolution was the local plan analysis. As the coordinating agency, Metro had put in their own regulations that they needed to look for inconsistencies in the local maps. She asked Dan Cooper, General Counsel, to further clarify the resolution. He said her summary of the resolution was very good. He pointed out that the current Functional Plan originally adopted in 1997 which set in motion the Goal 5 program that they were now doing called for the Council to do this determination on inconsistency of local plans after the Council adopted the inventories.

Councilor Burkholder commended the Natural Resources Committee and staff for their work. This was the first step in a three-step process. They were currently in the inventory stage. The question of what we do with those concerns will come at a much later step after there was an independent panel on ESEE analysis. He was comfortable with the stage where they were right now. They had identified areas of concern. The upcoming process would provide balance and help figure out what they did next. What kind of levels of protection do we want to do? He reminded all that that part of the process was a year away. There was a variety of creative ways to achieve those goals.

Vote:	Councilors Monroe, Burkholder, Bragdon, Atherton and Deputy Presiding Officer McLain voted aye. The vote was 5 aye with Councilor Park absent from the vote, the motion passed.
-------	---

6.4 **Resolution No. 02-3209**, For the Purpose of Issuing a Final Order in the Matter of the Imposition of a Civil Penalty against Speyfly, Inc. dba Roofgone and the Revocation of Roofgone's Solid Waste Facility License.

Motion	Councilor Bragdon moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3209.
Seconded:	Councilor Atherton seconded the motion



Councilor Bragdon explained the resolution issued a final order in an imposition of a fine against an operator of a solid waste facility. He talked about the history of the company and the revocation of the license. This resolution would impose a fine of \$375 because of their violation of activities. He closed by urging an aye vote.

Vote:	Councilors Burkholder, Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe and Deputy Presiding Officer McLain voted aye. The vote was 5 aye with Councilor Park absent from the vote, the motion passed.
-------	---

## 7. CONTRACT REVIEW BOARD

7.1 **Resolution No. 02-3217**, For the Purpose of Authorizing Release of RFB #03-1028-REM for the Construction of a Maintenance Building at the St. John's Landfill, and Authorizing the Executive Officer to Execute a Contract with the Lowest Bidder.

Motion	Councilor Monroe moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3217.
Seconded:	Councilor Atherton seconded the motion

Councilor Monroe said this resolution would allow Metro to provide habitable working conditions for our employees that were sited at the St. John's Landfill as Metro continues its efforts at monitoring the closing of the landfill. It would also provide a building where they could safely store expensive heavy equipment. It was important to note that this building site was made possible by a negotiated deal with the City of Portland allowing Metro to lease the land in return for our review of their Killingsworth Fast Disposal (KFD) landfill. He gave the details of the Release For Bid (RFB). He urged support.

Councilor Bragdon noted that they needed to keep in mind that this building will sit on land that was leased by Metro for twenty years as part of the monitoring agreement for Killingsworth Fast Disposal. They learned yesterday that under the terms of that lease that any improvements that Metro made will revert to the City of Portland at end of the twenty years unless there was some re-negotiation. He suggested a note to staff that they look for opportunities to make sure that if improvements were made to the site, some of this could be recoverable at the end of twenty years. Also try and work towards an agreement with the City of Portland that was more advantageous so that the solid waste rate payers investment in that facility was not turned over to someone else.

Councilor Atherton noted in the Portland Parks plans and in discussion with our own Parks staff that the expectation in twenty years was that this land would be turned into some kind of a park facility and that this building would be useful in that continued use.

Councilor Monroe closed by saying that Councilor Bragdon's concerns were real and one would hope that within the next 20 years that some amicable agreement could be reached with the City of Portland. He urged support.

Vote:	Councilors Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Burkholder, and Deputy Presiding Officer McLain voted aye. The vote was 5 aye with Councilor Park absent from the vote, the motion passed.
-------	--

## 8. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATION

Councilor Burkholder said Council would be receiving a 10-day letter regarding a revenue contract that was related to the Hwy 217-corridor study. The reason it was declared a significant contract was that they had received a \$400,000 grant from the Federal Highway Administration under the value-pricing program. They would be contributing half of the monies toward the corridor study. The reason it was designated a significant contract during the budget process was because they had some concerns at the time that it might make it the majority of the contract and therefore make it difficult. They wanted to look into it and see what kind of strings and conditions were attached. This money was to look closely at the issue of congestion pricing or value pricing on this part of the highway. They did have enough money in the budget that allowed them to look at other modes of transit. He said there was concern because they had a deadline because of federal budget year and they needed to have something signed by the end of September. Metro, Oregon Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration all needed to sign it, as they were all three partners to this. There was concern with Council going on recess that if they had to take it through the significant contract process and have a hearing before Council, it would make it difficult to make that deadline in signing for that money.

Councilor Bragdon said on June 27<sup>th</sup> the Council passed a resolution that authorized the Presiding Officer and himself to take the lead on a request for proposal from head hunting firms with regard to the Chief Operating Officer search. He updated the Council, the deadline for proposals was August 1<sup>st</sup>. Ms. Lily Aguilar, Human Resource Director, received seventeen replies. The Presiding Officer and he would review them. Council was welcome to look at them as well. The Governmental Affairs Committee was in the lead on making that recommendation.

Councilor Atherton talked about an email regarding an attack on a Tri-Met bus, which occurred in Lake Oswego. The attack was not true. There was an errant golf ball and someone who was shooting a BB gun at cars.

## **9. ADJOURN**

There being no further business to come before the Metro Council, Deputy Presiding Officer McLain adjourned the meeting at 4:08 p.m.

Prepared by

Chris Billington  
Clerk of the Council

**ATTACHMENTS TO THE PUBLIC RECORD FOR THE MEETING OF AUGUST 8,  
2002**

ITEM #	TOPIC	DOC DATE	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	DOC. NUMBER
6.2	EXHIBIT A TO RESOLUTION NO. 02-3177A	8/6/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL, FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  EXHIBIT A TO RESOLUTION NO. 02-3177A INCLUDING METRO'S RIPARIAN CORRIDOR AND WILDLIFE HABITAT INVENTORIES, MEMO DATED 7/29/02 CONCERNING REVISIONS TO METRO'S JANUARY 2002 TECHNICAL REPORT ON GOAL 5, MEMO DATED 7/23/02 ENTITLED "CITY OF HILLSBORO'S TECHNICAL REVIEW " WILDLIFE PORTION AND METRO'S TECHNICAL REPORT ON GOAL 5 PLUS APPENDICES	080802C-01
6.2	COMMITTEE REPORT	8/1/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL, FROM: MICHAEL MORRISSEY, COUNCIL ANALYST  ON RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A	080802C-02
6.1	COMMITTEE REPORT	6/7/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: MICHAEL MORRISSEY, COUNCIL ANALYST  ON RESOLUTION No. 02-3176	080802C-03
6.2 & 6.3	LETTER	8/2/02	TO: CHRIS BILLINGTON, METRO CLERK OF THE COUNCIL, FROM: DOROTHY COFIELD REPRESENTING HAWKINS-KIMMEL  INCLUDES A RIPARIAN ASSESSMENT OF HAWKINS-KIMMEL PROPERTY ON RIGERT ROAD PREPARED BY RICHARD HAWKINS-KIMMEL, USGS QUADRANGLE MAP - BEAVERTON, OR QUADRANGLE, VICINITY MAP, AND AERIAL MAP OF RIGART ROAD RIPARIAN ASSESSMENT FOR RESOLUTION NOS. 02-3177A AND 02-3218	080802C-04
3	MEMO	8/1/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: JOHN HOUSER, COUNCIL ANALYST  CONCERNING QUESTIONS ON THE REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AUDIT PRESENTED BY ALEXIS DOW, METRO AUDITOR	080802C-05

6.3	EMAIL	8/7/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL AND MIKE BURTON, FROM: MARIANNE FITZGERALD, MEMBER OF FANNO CREEK WATERSHED  SUPPORTING METRO AND CITY OF PORTLAND'S EFFORTS TO PROTECT AND ENHANCE WILDLIFE AND RIPARIAN AREAS AS THEY RELATE TO RESOLUTION No. 02-3218	080802C-06
6.2	FAX	8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL, FROM: PHIL FORKER  OPPOSES RESOLUTION No. 02-3177	080802C-07
3.0	POWER POINT PRES.	JULY 2002	TO: METRO COUNCIL, FROM: ALEXIS DOW, METRO AUDITOR  POWER POINT PRESENTATION ON SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK IS SOUND	080802C-08
6.4	COMMITTEE REPORT	8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL, FROM: JOHN HOUSER, COUNCIL ANALYST  ON RESOLUTION No. 02-3209	080802C-09
7.1	COMMITTEE REPORT	8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL, FROM: JOHN HOUSER, COUNCIL ANALYST  ON RESOLUTION No. 02-3217	080802C-10
6.1, 6.2, 6.3	LETTER	6/1/0/02	TO: DEAR NEIGHBOR, FROM: CHAIR MCLAIN AND PRESIDING OFFICER HOSTICKA  CONCERNING PROTECTION OF NATURAL ENVIRONMENT, 17535 SW RIGERT ROAD GIS AERIAL PHOTO, TITLE LINE 1 & 2 AERIAL MAP OF SAME SITE, EMAIL DATED 8/7/02 FROM DOROTHY COFIELD TO KEN HELM CONCERNING RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A/GOAL 5 INVENTORY AND EMAIL DATED 8/2/02 TO JUSTIN HOUK FROM DOROTHY COFIELD CONCERNING SITE #10/17535 SW RIGERT RD.  DOCUMENTS WERE SUBMITTED FROM DOROTHY COFIELD TO METRO COUNCIL CONCERNING RESOLUTION NOS. 02-3176, 3177A AND 3218	0808002C-11
6.1, 6.2, 6.3	COPY OF ORAL TESTIMONY	8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL, FROM: CHARLOTTE LEHAN, MAYOR OF WILSONVILLE	080802C-12

			TESTIMONY ON RESOLUTION No. 02-3176, 3177, 3218	
6.1, 6.2, 6.3	LETTER	8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL, FROM: STEPHAN LASHBROOK, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR, CITY OF LAKE OSWEGO  RECOMMENDING AMENDMENTS CONCERNING URBAN TREE CANOPY TO RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A To METRO COUNCIL	080802C-13
6.1, 6.2, 6.3	COPY OF ORAL TESTIMONY	8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL, FROM: ANGELA HARRIS, DIRECTOR OF OREGON COMMUNITY PROTECTION COALITION  SUPPORTING GOAL 5 AND THE RESOLUTIONS ON FISH AND WILDLIFE, RESOLUTION No.	080802C-14
6.1, 6.2, 6.3	INITIAL MAP CHANGES AND STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS	AS OF 8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL, FROM: MARK TURPEL, PLANNING DEPARTMENT  22 PAGES OF GOAL 5 PROPOSED MAP CHANGES AND STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS AS OF 8/8/02	080802C-15
6.3	RESOLUTION No. 02-3218A	8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL, FROM: KEN HELM, METRO COUNSEL  RESOLUTION No. 02-3218A PASSED OUT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ON 8/7/02 AND PROPOSED FOR ADOPTION	080802C-16
6.2	AMENDMENT	8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: COUNCILOR ATHERTON  FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A	080802C-17
6.3	WRITTEN TESTIMONY	8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL, FROM: MARI MARGIL, BOARD MEMBER OF OREGON COMMUNITY PROTECTION COALITION  SUPPORTS ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3218	080802C-18
6.1, 6.2, 6.3	LETTER, ORAL TESTIMONY, MAP, EMAIL AND POSTCARDS	8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: JIM LABBE REPRESENTING 23 INDIVIDUALS; DAVID KING, MELISSA & JIM MEDIEROS, JASON BUCH, MATT CHAMBERS, CELINE FITZMAURICE, LESLIE AND RANDY LABBE, DAVID CIPRIANO & MEREDITH HAMM, KEITH HADLEY, AMY STORK, BRIAN COMB & JUNIPER MURRAY, JOHN AND	080802C-19

			<p>CHRISTINE PERALA GARDINER, PETER BRAY, ELI SPEVAK, TESS JORDAN, SHARON STANTON, BILL SCHRIER, NANCY AND BILL ROSENFELD.</p> <p>DOCUMENTS INCLUDE LETTER FROM JIM LABBE WITH 23 NAMES, OREGONIAN COMMENTARY ON STREAMFLOW DEBATE WRITTEN BY J. LABBE &amp; L. LABBE, HAND WRITTEN REMARKS FOR ORAL TESTIMONY, AERIAL PHOTO OF HILLSBORO AIRPORT AND DAWSON CREEK, POST CARD ON ROCK CREEK HEADWATERS SENT BY <a href="http://www.pdxstreams.org">www.pdxstreams.org</a> SUPPORTS ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3176, 3177A, 3218</p>	
6.1,6.2,6.3	LETTER, ORAL TESTIMONY AND WATERSHED PICTURES AND AERIAL MAPS	8/8/02	<p>TO: METRO COUNCIL, FROM: MIKE HOUCK AND RON CARLEY, COALITION FOR A LIVABLE FUTURE AND AUDUBON SOCIETY OF PORTLAND</p> <p>AERIAL PHOTOS OF CEDAR MILLS CREEK WATERSHED AND AERIAL PHOTO OF SUBDIVISION CLEAR-CUT IN ROCK CREEK WATERSHED, LETTER SUPPORTS ADOPTION OF 02-3176, 3177A AND 3218</p>	080802C-20
6.1	MEMO AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	11/14/01	<p>TO: MIKE BURTON, EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROM: ANDY COTUGNO, METRO PLANNING DIRECTOR</p> <p>CONCERNING METRO'S SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE REVIEW COMPLETED BY THE STATE'S INDEPENDENT MULTI-DISCIPLINARY SCIENCE TEAM (IMTS), LETTER DATED 10/9/01 TO ANDY COTUGNO FROM LOGAN NORRIS, CHAIR OF IMTS TEAM, STATE OF OREGON, AND 11/15/01 INDEPENDENT MULTI-DISPLINARY SCIENCE TEAM COMMENTS TO METRO'S SCIENCE LITERATURE REVIEW AND STAFF RESPONSE, MEMO DATED 12/13/01 TO ANDY COTUGNO FROM COUNCILOR BRAGDON CONCERNING CITY OF HILLSBORO'S 12/5/01 SUBMITTAL CHALLENGING METRO'S PROPOSED FUNCTIONAL CRITERIA FOR IDENTIFYING RIPARIAN CORRIDOR RESOURCES AND MEMO DATED 7/23/02</p>	080802C-21

			TO ANDY COTUGNO AND PAUL KETCHAM FROM LORI HENNINGS CONCERNING CITY OF HILLSBORO'S TECHNICAL REVIEW (FISHMAN REPORT): WILDLIFE PORTION	
6.1,6.2,6.3	WRITTEN TESTIMONY READ INTO THE RECORD	8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL, FROM: BOB SALLINGER, AUDUBON SOCIETY OF PORTLAND  SUPPORTS ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3176, 3177A, 3218	080802C-22
6.1,6.2,6.3	LETTER AND OVERSIZED EXHIBITS FROM CITY OF HILLSBORO, PLANNING DIRECTOR	8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL, FROM: WINSLOW BROOKS, PLANNING DIRECTOR, CITY OF HILLSBORO  CONCERNING RIPARIAN CORRIDOR MAPS & WILDLIFE HABITAT CRITERIA SAND MAPPING, EXHIBIT A - OVERSIZED MAP OF HILLSBORO GOAL 5 COMPARISON WITH METRO'S WILDLIFE HABITAT AND HABITATS OF CONCERN DATED 7/12/02, EXHIBIT B - TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM ON REVIEW OF METRO GOAL 5 WILDLIFE HABITAT MODEL TO WINK BROOKS AND VALERIE COUNTS CITY OF HILLSBORO PLANNING FROM PAUL FISHMAN DATED 7/29/02 , EXHIBIT C - CITY OF HILLSBORO REVIEW OF METRO'S WILDLIFE HABITAT MAPPING AND HABITATS OF CONCERN MATRIX, EXHIBIT C1-11 OVERSIZED AERIAL MAPS AND AERIAL PHOTOS, EXHIBIT D - OVERSIZED PHOTOGRAPHS OF KEY SITES	080802C-23
6.1,6.2,6.3	FINAL GOAL 5 PROPOSED MAP CHANGES AND STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS AS OF 8/8/02	8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL, FROM: MARK TURPEL, METRO PLANNING DEPARTMENT  GOAL 5 MAP CHANGES AND STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS INCLUDING CITIES OF TROUTDALE, LAKE OSWEGO, GRESHAM, HILLSBORO, CORNELIUS, DURHAM, FOREST GROVE, WOOD VILLAGE, BEAVERTON, SHERWOOD, MILWAUKIE, WEST LINN, TIGARD, KING CITY, WILSONVILLE, FAIRVIEW, TUALATIN, COLUMBIA CORRIDOR ASSOC., PORT OF PORTLAND, CLACKAMAS COUNTY, TUALATIN HILLS PARKS AND RECREATION, AND INDIVIDUALS IN THE REGION. FOR	080802C-24

			RECORD ON RESOLUTION NO. 02-3176, 3177A, 3218	
6.3	BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS	8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: KEN HELM, METRO COUNSEL  BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS FOR LOCAL JURISDICTION COMPREHENSIVE PLANS FOR GOAL 5, RESOLUTION NO. 02-3218A	080802C-25
6.3	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT RIPARIAN CORRIDORS AND WILDLIFE HABITAT EXHIBIT A TO RESOLUTION NO. 02-3218A ADOPTED BY METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-26
6.2	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	8/1/02 DRAFT	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: POTENTIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT, REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT WILDLIFE HABITAT EXHIBIT D TO RESOLUTION NO. 02-3177A ADOPTED BY METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-27
6.1	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	8/8/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT RIPARIAN CORRIDORS BY TOTAL FUNCTION SCORE EXHIBIT A TO RESOLUTION NO. 02-3176 ADOPTED BY METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-28
6.2	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02 DECISION DRAFT	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: SAUVIE ISLAND AND VANCOUVER QUADS (1 OF 10) POTENTIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT BY TOTAL WILDLIFE VALUE SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT D OF RESOLUTION NO. 02-3177A ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-29
6.1	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: SAUVIE ISLAND AND VANCOUVER QUADS (1 OF 10) REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT RIPARIAN CORRIDORS BY TOTAL FUNCTIONAL	080802C-30



			SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT A OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3176 ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	
6.2	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02 DECISION DRAFT	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: GALES CREEK AND FOREST GROVE QUADS (2 OF 10) POTENTIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT BY TOTAL WILDLIFE VALUE SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT D OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-31
6.1	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: GALES CREEK AND FOREST GROVE QUADS (2 OF 10) REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT RIPARIAN CORRIDORS BY TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT A OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3176 ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-32
6.2	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02 DECISION DRAFT	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: HILLSBORO AND LINNTON QUADS (3 OF 10) POTENTIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT BY TOTAL WILDLIFE VALUE SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT D OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-33
6.1	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: HILLSBORO AND LINNTON QUADS (3 OF 10) REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT RIPARIAN CORRIDORS BY TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT A OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3176 ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-34
6.2	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02 DECISION DRAFT	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: PORTLAND AND MT.	080802C-35

			TABOR QUADS (4 OF 10) POTENTIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT BY TOTAL WILDLIFE VALUE SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT D OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	
6.1	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: PORTLAND AND MT. TABOR QUADS (4 OF 10) REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT RIPARIAN CORRIDORS BY TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT A OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3176 ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-36
6.2	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02 DECISION DRAFT	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: CAMAS AND WASHOUGAL QUADS (5 OF 10) POTENTIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT BY TOTAL WILDLIFE VALUE SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT D OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-37
6.1	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: CAMAS AND WASHOUGAL QUADS (5 OF 10) REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT RIPARIAN CORRIDORS BY TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT A OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3176 ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-38
6.2	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02 DECISION DRAFT	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: SCHOLLS AND BEAVERTON QUADS (6 OF 10) POTENTIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT BY TOTAL WILDLIFE VALUE SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT D OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-39
6.1	OVERSIZED	4/17/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING	080802C-40

	EXHIBIT		DEPARTMENT OVERSIZED MAP: SCHOLLS AND BEAVERTON QUADS (6 OF 10) REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT RIPARIAN CORRIDORS BY TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT A OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3176 ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	
6.2	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02 DECISION DRAFT	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT OVERSIZED MAP: LAKE OSWEGO & GLADSTONE QUADS (7 OF 10) POTENTIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT BY TOTAL WILDLIFE VALUE SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT D OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-41
6.1	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT OVERSIZED MAP: LAKE OSWEGO & GLADSTONE QUADS (7 OF 10) REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT RIPARIAN CORRIDORS BY TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT A OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3176 ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-42
6.2	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02 DECISION DRAFT	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT OVERSIZED MAP: DAMASCUS & SANDY QUADS (8 OF 10) POTENTIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT BY TOTAL WILDLIFE VALUE SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT D OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-43
6.1	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT OVERSIZED MAP: DAMASCUS & SANDY QUADS (8 OF 10) REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT RIPARIAN CORRIDORS BY TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT A OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3176 ADOPTED	080802C-44

			BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	
6.2	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02 DECISION DRAFT	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: SHERWOOD & CANBY QUADS (9 OF 10) POTENTIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT BY TOTAL WILDLIFE VALUE SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT D OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-45
6.1	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: SHERWOOD & CANBY QUADS (9 OF 10) REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT RIPARIAN CORRIDORS BY TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT A OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3176 ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-46
6.2	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02 DECISION DRAFT	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: CANBY & OREGON CITY QUADS (10 OF 10) POTENTIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT BY TOTAL WILDLIFE VALUE SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT D OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-47
6.1	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/17/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: CANBY & OREGON CITY QUADS (10 OF 10) REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT RIPARIAN CORRIDORS BY TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT A OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3176 ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-48
6.1	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	5/7/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: RIPARIAN FUNCTIONS: STREAMFLOW MODERNIZATION AND WATER STORAGE FUNCTIONAL SCORES BASED ON MATRIX SPECIFIED IN EXHIBIT A, APPENDIX C OF RESOLUTION No. 01-3141C, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO	080802C-49

			EXHIBIT A OF RESOLUTION NO. 02-3176 ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	
6.1	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	5/7/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: RIPARIAN FUNCTION: MICROCLIMATE AND SHADE FUNCTIONAL SCORES BASED ON MATRIX SPECIFIED IN EXHIBIT A APPENDIX A OF RESOLUTION No. 01-3141C, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT A OF RESOLUTION NO. 02-3176 ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-50
6.1	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	5/7/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: RIPARIAN FUNCTION: BANK STABILIZATION, SEDIMENT & POLLUTION CONTROL FUNCTIONAL SCORES BASED ON MATRIX SPECIFIED IN EXHIBIT A, APPENDIX A OF RESOLUTION No. 01-3141C, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT A OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3176 ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-51
6.1	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	5/7/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: RIPARIAN FUNCTION: ORGANIC MATERIAL SOURCES FUNCTIONAL SCORES BASED ON MATRIX SPECIFIED IN EXHIBIT A, APPENDIX A OF RESOLUTION No. 01-3141C, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT A OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3176 ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-52
6.1	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	5/7/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: RIPARIAN FUNCTION: LARGE WOOD & CHANNEL DYNAMICS FUNCTIONAL SCORES BASED ON MATRIX SPECIFIED IN EXHIBIT A, APPENDIX A OF RESOLUTION No. 01-3141C, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT A OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3176 ADOPTED BY THE METRO	080802C-53

			COUNCIL 8/8/02	
6.2	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	5/17/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT]  OVERSIZED MAP: POTENTIAL HABITATS OF CONCERN & SPECIES SIGHTINGS HOC AREAS BASED ON INPUT FROM FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL SOURCES, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT D OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-54
6.2	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/11/02 DRAFT	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: CONNECTIVITY TO OTHER PATCHES SCORE PROPOSED BY MFW WILDLIFE MODEL BASED ON NEAREST NEIGHBOR ANALYSIS LIMITED TO 1/4 MILE FROM EACH PATCH, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT D OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-55
6.2	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/11/02 DRAFT	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: CONNECTIVITY TO WATER PROPOSED MFW WILDLIFE MODEL BASED ON PROPORTION OF TH PATCH THAT IS LESS THAN 300 FEET FROM A WATER SOURCE GRASSLAND PATCHES RECEIVE 1/2 TO VALUE, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT D OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-56
6.2	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/11/02 DRAFT	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: SIZE SCORE PROPOSED BY MFW WILDLIFE MODEL BASED ON TOTAL ACREAGE PER PATCH, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT D OF RESOLUTION No. 02-3177A ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	080802C-57
6.2	OVERSIZED EXHIBIT	4/11/02 DRAFT	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: PLANNING DEPARTMENT  OVERSIZED MAP: INTERIOR AREA	080802C-58

			SOURCE PROPOSED MFW WILDLIFE MODEL BASED ON TOTAL ACREAGE OF 200 FOOT INTERIOR AREA, SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TO EXHIBIT D OF RESOLUTION NO. 02-3177A ADOPTED BY THE METRO COUNCIL 8/8/02	
--	--	--	---	--