

Metro Policy Advisory Committee

MINUTES

April 8, 2009 4 to 6 p.m. Council Chambers

MEMBERS PRESENT AFFILIATION

Tom Brian, Vice Chair Washington Co. Commission

Shane Bemis, Vice Chair City of Gresham, representing the Multnomah Co. 2nd Largest City

Sam Adams City of Portland

Richard Burke Washington Co. Special Districts

Pat Campbell City of Vancouver

Jody Carson City of West Linn, representing Clackamas Co. Other Cities

Nathalie Darcy Washington Co. Citizen

Dennis Doyle City of Beaverton, representing Washington Co. 2nd Largest City

Amanda Fritz City of Portland Carl Hosticka Metro Council

Dick Jones Clackamas Co. Special Districts

Robert Liberty Metro Council

Don McCarthy Multnomah Co. Special Districts

Alice Norris City of Oregon City, representing Clackamas Co. 2nd Largest City

Rod Park Metro Council

Michelle Poyourow
Wilda Parks
Clackamas Co. Citizen
Udy Shiprack
Multnomah Co. Commission
Rick VanBeveren
TriMet Board of Directors

Jerry Willey City of Hillsboro, representing Washington Co. Other Cities

Dilafruz Williams Governing Body of School Districts

MEMBERS EXCUSED AFFILIATION
Ken Allen Port of Portland

Jack Hoffman City of Lake Oswego, representing Clackamas Co. Largest City Richard Kidd City of Forest Grove, representing Washington Co. Other Cities Robert Kindel City of North Plains, representing City in Washington Co. outside UGB

Charlotte Lehan Clackamas Co. Commission

Steve Stuart Clark Co., Washington Commission

Mike Weatherby

City of Fairview, representing Multnomah Co. Other Cities

Richard Whitman Oregon Dept. of Land Conservation & Development

ALTERNATES PRESENT AFFILIATION

Jim Kight City of Troutdale, representing Multnomah County Other Cities Keith Mays City of Sherwood, representing Washington County Other Cities

STAFF

Kelsey Newell, Kayla Mullis, Sherry Oeser, Andy Cotugno, Robin McArthur, Chris Deffebach.

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Tom Brian called the meeting to order at 4:03 p.m.

2. <u>SELF INTRODUCTIONS & COMMUNICATION</u>

All attendees introduced themselves.

3. <u>CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS</u>

There were none.

4. CONSENT AGENDA

Consideration of the MPAC Minutes for March 25, 2009 MTAC Member Nomination

ACTION TAKEN: With all in favor, the consent agenda was approved.

5. COUNCIL UPDATE

Metro council members updated the committee on the following subjects:

- Building university partnerships
- The adoption of the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) later this year
- Metro's award of a 2nd grant to host the institute on urban design
- The construction excise tax and who will be effected if the sunset is lifted

6. MAKING THE GREATEST PLACE

6.1 Framing Choices

Ms. Robin McArthur of Metro briefed the committee on the Making the Greatest Place (MGP) initiative; which will help frame future transportation and land use/urban form investment choices and identify local aspirations in the region. To be effective, choices must require local decisions and regional support.

6.2 Summary of Local Aspirations Outreach to Cities and Counties

Ms. Chris Deffebach of Metro briefed the committee on the Local Aspirations outreach Metro has completed throughout the region. Local Aspirations is about identifying the aspirations of local jurisdictions and exploring ways to implement those aspirations. The range of aspirations include the following commonalities:

- Great potential within existing zoning
- Desire to initiate new planning efforts

- A commitment to growing broad employment areas
- Support for the Region 2040 vision

High Capacity Transit (HCT), transit services, streetscapes and other investments have been identified as necessary components to implement local aspirations. Metro staff will continue to summarize this information and make it available as more information becomes available.

6.3 Sample Overview of Local Aspirations

Amberglen

Mayor Jerry Willey of Hillsboro introduced Mr. Collin Cooper, project manager for the Amberglen project. The city of Hillsboro's aspirations for Amberglen include pursuing an extension of High Capacity Transit (HCT) and creating a regional center. The intent is to create more dwelling units and job. The main barriers in the project include transportation system improvements and identifying a quality amenities package.

The committee discussed the involvement of the private sector and the support of economic incentives as a tool for development.

Oregon City Regional Center

Mayor Alice Norris of Oregon City briefed the committee on Oregon City's aspirations for it's regional center. The city has embraced the regional center concept and is aiding in funding the transformation of the area from a regional waste management area to a vibrant center. The plan for the area aligns with five targets of the Region 2040 Growth Plan. Challenges for implementation include regional waste, transportation, funding, redefining the downtown, connectivity, land fills and air quality. The Oregon City regional center is making significant progress and has community support.

Downtown Tigard

Mayor Craig Dirksen of Tigard briefed the committee on the aspirations for downtown Tigard. Because Tigard is not bordered by the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) on any side the city must accommodate growth within its existing footprint. The city is aiming to concentrate growth in centers and corridors while still preserving and protecting single family unit neighborhoods. The area known as downtown Tigard is identified as an Urban Renewal District, a tool that will aid in making it the "heart" of Tigard.

To accommodate growth in the future, Tigard will have three downtown areas: Washington Square Regional Center, the "Tigard Triangle" and the previously identified downtown Tigard. Challenges to realizing Tigard's aspirations for these areas include funding and multi-modal transportation connections due to existing transportation facilities directing land use.

Gateway Regional Center

Mayor Sam Adams of Portland briefed the committee on the aspirations for Gateway Regional Center. The area is a regional hub that serves as a gateway to the international air corridor and is designated as a regional center. The City of Portland sees the area as an opportunity for dense housing and employment. Challenges in developing the area to reflect the city's aspirations include establishing a sense of place, parcelization and property ownership patterns, lack of basic facilities, a dysfunctional street grid and lack of developed parks. Future steps to address the

challenges and develop the area include restoring brownfields, revising the master street plan, exploring funding mechanisms and utilizing the area's neighborhood coordinator.

6.4 Preliminary Residential Urban Growth Report

Councilor Carl Hosticka of the Metro Council briefed the committee on the preliminary residential Urban Growth Report (UGR). The report analyzes the region's ability to accommodate residential growth in the future. In the past, 28,000 acres of land have been added to the UGB with 5 percent of the region's housing growth occurring in the added areas. Historical zoning usage is used to forecast the capacity of unused land within the UGB for infill and refill. The type of investments the region wants to make and the kind of communities and transportation it wants to have will guide policy decisions about the UGB.

The committee discussed:

- The Portland metro region capture rate for the growth forecasted in the UGR
 - o Also, where the remaining growth is happening
- Analyzing cost per growth unit depending on time and location
- Cost analysis of UGR and non-UGR expansion
- Cohesiveness of the economic modeling presentation given at the February 25th MPAC meeting
- Hesitation in using refill as the only growth management tool because it is technically possible
- Determining return on investments related to growth
- Expectations for annexations- who will pay for infrastructure costs?

6.5 Proposed Resolution Providing MPAC Policy Direction

Mr. Andy Cotugno of Metro briefed the committee on memorializing the results of the 2008 joint MPAC/JPACT meetings as policy direction for future UGB decisions. Issues discussed included centers and corridors, employment, UGB expansion, transportation and climate change at last fall's joint meetings.

The committee discussed:

- Possibility for more conversations on these issues
- Using results as a starting point instead of final policy direction
- How schools will accommodate growth
- Possibility of results taking different connotations and implications
- Desire to identify results as important so as to not discredit the work that was done
- Providing infrastructure for growth within the current UGB
- Results as an idealistic scenario
- Giving results to Metro council in a formal way
- Thinking about direction in terms of what policy results will be
- Focus discussion on policy issues around upcoming decisions

Chair Brian suggested that Metro staff work through the results of the joint meetings and determine which issues need more discussion and which issues the committee has reached consensus on and propose a process for MPAC leadership to consider.

7. ADJOURN

Chair Brian adjourned the meeting at 6:25 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Kayla Mullis

Recording Secretary

K. L. Mully

ATTACHMENTS TO THE PUBLIC RECORD FOR APRIL 8, 2009

The following have been included as part of the official public record:

ITEM	DOCUMENT TYPE	DOC DATE	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	DOCUMEN T NO.
6.0	Power Point	4/8/09	Local Aspirations power point presentation	040809m-01
6.3	Handout	N/A	Tanasbourne/Amberglen Local Aspirations	040809m-02
6.0	Flyer	N/A	Local Aspirations Fact Sheet	040809m-03
6.4	Power Point	4/8/09	Preliminary Residential Urban Growth Report power point presented by Councilor Carl Hosticka	040809m-04
	Report	Spring 2009	2035 Regional Transportation Plan Update- Investing In a 21 st Century Transportation System	040809m-05
	Report	N/A	Our Place In the World: Global Challenges, Regional Strategies, Homegrown Solutions.	040809m-06
	Save the Date	N/A	May 1, 2009- Building University- Community Partnerships for a Sustainable Regional Economy	040809m-07