

## MINUTES OF THE METRO COUNCIL MEETING

Thursday, November 14, 2002  
Metro Council Chamber

Councilors Present: Carl Hosticka (Presiding Officer), Susan McLain, Rod Park, Bill Atherton, Rex Burkholder

Councilors Absent: Rod Monroe (excused), David Bragdon (excused)

Presiding Officer Hosticka convened the Regular Council Meeting at 2:11 p.m.

### 1. INTRODUCTIONS

There were none.

### 2. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS

There were none.

### 3. MPAC COMMUNICATIONS

Councilor Park said Metropolitan Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC) discussed the Title 4 concept map. They also discussed the Regional Economic Strategy in Task 3 as well as park and vacancy issues. They came to no conclusions.

### 4. CONSENT AGENDA

4.1 Consideration of minutes of the November 7, 2002 Regular Council Meeting.

Motion	Councilor Atherton moved to adopt the meeting minutes of the November 7, 2002, Regular Council meeting.
--------	---

Vote:	Councilors Atherton, Park, Burkholder, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 5 aye, the motion passed.
-------	--

### 5. ORDINANCES – FIRST READING

5.1 **Ordinance No. 02-980**, For the Purpose of Amending Chapter 5.05 of Metro Code to adjust the Fee Schedule for Applications for Non-System Licenses.

Presiding Officer Hosticka announced that this ordinance had been removed from the agenda and would be considered at a later date.

Councilor Burkholder asked that item 7.5 be considered at this time, as potential members of the committee were present. Presiding Officer Hosticka called for objections and found none.

7.5 **Resolution No. 02-3245**, For the Purpose of Making Citizen Appointments to the Transportation Policy Advisory Committee (TPAC) and the Transportation Demand Management Subcommittee (TDM).

Motion	Councilor Burkholder moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3245.
Seconded:	Councilor Atherton seconded the motion

Councilor Burkholder spoke to the Transportation Policy Advisory Committee and the Transportation Demand Management subcommittee and the composite of those committees. He noted that there were several openings. He talked about the application and interview process. He introduced Chris Smith, Bonnie Lile, and Louis Ornelas. He invited these individuals to the testimony table to speak. Mr. Smith said he was looking forward to representing a neighborhood point of view. Ms. Lile said she had moved to the region from Newport. She has been involved in transportation issues in Newport and was looking forward to participating in TPAC. Councilor Burkholder said they had a wide range of individuals on the committee. He urged adoption of these appointments. Presiding Officer Hosticka welcomed the new committee members.

Vote:	Councilors McLain, Atherton, Park, Burkholder and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 5 aye, the motion passed.
-------	--

**6. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING**

6.1 **Ordinance No. 02-964**, For the Purpose of Amending Metro Code Sections 3.01.015, 3.01.025, 3.01.065, and 3.09.050 to Allow Expansion of the Urban Growth Boundary onto Land Outside the District Prior to Annexation on Condition that the Territory be Annexed Prior to Urbanization, and Declaring an Emergency.

Motion	Councilor McLain moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-964.
Seconded:	Councilor Park seconded the motion

Councilor McLain said Exhibit A, page 2 described the changes to the code. They were trying to simplify the code and have correct language for moving land outside the district prior to annexation. She noted this resolution required an intergovernmental agreement (IGA) with the other involved jurisdiction. She clarified the IGA's requirements. Presiding Officer Hosticka said this has been discussed at MPAC last night. They had indications that local jurisdictions would be willing to enter into the IGAs. Councilor McLain said they had been working with the local jurisdictions on the ordinance. Councilor Park commented that they were protecting Metro's ability to fulfill its requirements under state land use law. This allowed Metro to move into those areas which were beyond out current jurisdictional boundary but were priorities area that we were required by state law to take in first. He further clarified what the ordinance did. He was comfortable with how Metro was proceeding and said we would only do this with individual Intergovernmental Agreements.

Presiding Officer Hosticka opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 02-964. No one came forward. Presiding Officer Hosticka closed the public hearing.

Councilor McLain said this allowed us to make sure the state can look at our Urban Growth Boundary amendments this year and see them as filling the responsibilities that we have by legal standards of state law for a twenty year land supply.

Vote:	Councilors Park, Burkholder, McLain, Atherton and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 5 aye, the motion passed.
-------	--

6.2 **Ordinance No. 02-968A**, For the Purpose of Amending Ordinance No. 99-809, Which Amended the Urban Growth Boundary to Include Former Urban Reserve Area 55W of Washington County.

Motion	Councilor McLain moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-968A.
Seconded:	Councilor Atherton seconded the motion

Councilor McLain said they were amending Ordinance No. 99-809. She noted a letter from the City of Hillsboro (a copy which can be found in the meeting record). This will allow for urbanization of this area. She talked about the status of the approved conditions placed on the city (attachment C). She said the spirit of the conditions was being met. She supported this ordinance.

Councilor Burkholder asked about the revised version of the staff report and if there were any parts where the City of Hillsboro had claimed it had met the conditions, but Metro disagreed? Ray Valone, Planning Department, said no. There were no disagreements. He further explained that most conditions were met and some would be met in the future. Councilor McLain said Metro had placed conditions on the city before Metro had completed Title 11. They were now under the current conditions and process.

Presiding Officer Hosticka asked how many houses would be to be built in this area? Mr. Valone said they did not have a specific number yet. They were in the middle of the community planning process. Valerie Counts, Hillsboro Planning Department, responded that their planning process indicated they would be meeting the overall density of 10 units per net residential acre. There would be approximately 1300 to 1600 dwelling units planned for the site. Councilor Park commented that this showed flexibility. There had also been a reduction of land based on school sites. Councilor McLain said the City of Hillsboro was in line with the density capacity. Councilor Park said our current planning allowed the flexibility to make our concepts work and our ability to work with local partners. Councilor Atherton asked about conditions and how we enforced these provisions after the UGB amendment has been made? Dan Cooper, General Counsel, responded that we enforced these the same way we enforced all of our Functional Plan requirements. They required notices to Metro from the local governments when they were proposing comprehensive plans and zoning amendments so they could be reviewed to see if they were in compliance with the Functional Plan requirements. If they weren't in compliance then Metro would appeal to Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) and have a determination made. If non-action was the problem rather than action, Metro had the same enforcement mechanisms. Councilor Atherton asked if there was a requirement that may not be in our Functional Plan right now, for example, a rural community who wanted to become a town center who wanted to have control over their own zoning and planning. Could this be included as a condition of the UGB change? Mr. Cooper said if the condition was included then it was enforceable and further explained how this would be enforced. There was an opportunity in adoption. Councilor Atherton asked whom they would appeal this to? Mr. Cooper said they would appeal to LUBA. If there were concerns that things weren't going right ahead of time, obviously, there was an opportunity to communicate this to the local government. In most circumstances these concerns were responded to and there was no need for an appeal.

Presiding Officer Hosticka opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 02-968. No one came forward. Presiding Officer Hosticka closed the public hearing.

Vote:	Councilors Burkholder, McLain, Atherton, Park and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 5 aye, the motion passed.
-------	--

6.3 **Ordinance No. 02-971**, For the Purpose of Amending the FY 2002-03 Budget and Appropriations Schedule Recognizing \$411,051 in Grant Funds from Various State, Federal and Private sources; and Increasing the Regional Parks Fund Operating Expenses by \$411,051.

Motion	Councilor McLain moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-971.
Seconded:	Councilor Park seconded the motion

Councilor McLain said this was an ordinance that put together a partnership to improve the Gotter property. She further explained the partners that were involved. This would allow the Parks Department to do some good restoration work on property that Metro owned.

Presiding Officer Hosticka opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 02-971. No one came forward. Presiding Officer Hosticka closed the public hearing.

Councilor McLain said this covered 90 acres of potential wetland and 20 acres of surrounding riparian habitat. Councilor Park noted that he appreciated the staff work on this ordinance. It was important that the Council review these as a council. Presiding Officer Hosticka said this was a good example of how public and private money were being used to achieve our natural resource objectives of protecting fish and wildlife habitat. Councilor Atherton asked if these funds were Pitman Roberson funds? Presiding Officer Hosticka said no they were not.

Vote:	Councilors McLain, Atherton, Park, Burkholder and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 5 aye, the motion passed.
-------	--

6.4 **Ordinance No. 02-979**, For the Purpose of Amending Metro Code Chapter 5.05 to Include the Coffin Butte Landfill on the List of Designated Facilities; and Declaring an Emergency.

Motion	Councilor McLain moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-979.
Seconded:	Councilor Atherton seconded the motion

Councilor McLain said this was from Solid Waste Advisory Committee to put Coffin Butte Landfill on our list of designated facilities. Our system carries some of our work outside our Metro jurisdictional boundaries. This facility was down by Corvallis/Monmouth. This facility was used by some of our haulers. She noted some issues described at the committee. She urged support.

Councilor Burkholder asked about the need for an emergency clause. John Houser, Council Analyst, said there was a companion resolution, which would cause the adoption of the facility license. Legal recommended including the emergency clause to match the ordinance with the resolution.

Presiding Officer Hosticka opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 02-979. No one came forward. Presiding Officer Hosticka closed the public hearing.

Vote:	Councilors Atherton, Park, Burkholder, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 5 aye, the motion passed.
-------	--

6.5 **Ordinance No. 02-981A**, For the Purpose of Amending Ordinance No. 95-625A to Amend the 2040 Growth Concept Map and Ordinance No. 96-647C to Amend the Employment and Industrial Areas Map, November 2002, and Declaring an Emergency.

Motion	Councilor Park moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-981A.
Seconded:	Councilor Burkholder seconded the motion

Councilor Park gave the history of Ordinance No. 95-625A. There were changes that had occurred over a period of time such as the lightrail and town center adjustments. He noted the cities that had requested changes (included in the attachments to the Ordinance). Brenda Bernards, Planning Department, pointed out the three new maps, 7, 8 and 15 and what had been changed to match the concept plans. She noted the large amended maps; Exhibit A and B. Councilor Park asked about exception areas in the rural reserves. Ms. Bernards said you could not see this on the current map. Councilor Park suggested a crosshatch change to the map to help with understanding that these areas had potential levels of urbanizations. Councilor McLain concurred with Councilor Park's comments but she was not sure this was the map that should be the one that was updated.

Presiding Officer Hosticka opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 02-981A. No one came forward. Presiding Officer Hosticka closed the public hearing.

Councilor Park thanked staff for their hard work. Once again, it showed that Metro was responsive to working with their local partners.

Vote:	Councilors Atherton, Park, Burkholder, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 5 aye, the motion passed.
-------	--

6.6 **Ordinance No. 02-982**, For the Purpose of Amending the FY 2002-03 Budget and Appropriations Schedule to recognize \$104,570 in grant funds and government contributions from various state and local sources; transferring \$25,430 from Contingency to Operating Expenses; increasing the Regional Parks Fund Operating Expenses by \$130,000; amending the FY 2002-03 Capital Improvement Plan; and Declaring an Emergency.

Motion	Councilor Burkholder moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-982.
Seconded:	Councilor Park seconded the motion

Councilor Burkholder said this was an ordinance to accept grant funds and governmental contributions to help with emergency maintenance dredging around the Gleason Boat Ramp. The ramp was shared by several agencies. He asked about the changes in the next Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) which might reduce this ongoing maintenance cost that we had. This dredging was necessary to make sure this boat basin continued to function for all three of the agencies. He urged adoption and suggested that Mr. Tucker speak to the work they were planning and what year the work would take place. Jeff Tucker, Park Department, said the CIP included improvements to the Gleason Boat Ramp. They were currently doing land use approval for that facility. Next fiscal year, they would start Phase 1 of those improvements, an upland improvement. He further explained the next phases in upcoming years. The improvements for that facility should take care of some of these problems. Presiding Officer Hosticka asked about the specifics of what was being fixed. Mr. Tucker responded that they would be dredging the whole basin and doing some redesign elements, which should take care of most of the sand catch. Presiding Officer Hosticka asked if environmental permits had been approved for the dredging.

Mr. Tucker said they were expecting them in a week or two but they had all indication that they were going to receive them.

Presiding Officer Hosticka opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 02-982. No one came forward. Presiding Officer Hosticka closed the public hearing.

Vote:	Councilors Burkholder, McLain, Atherton, Park and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 5 aye, the motion passed.
-------	--

**7. RESOLUTIONS**

7.1 **Resolution No. 02-3237A**, For the Purpose of Endorsing the I-5 Transportation and Trade Study Recommendations.

Motion	Councilor Burkholder moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3237A.
Seconded:	Councilor Atherton seconded the motion

Councilor Burkholder gave an overview of the strategic plan recommendations. He spoke to the committee’s work and public involvement. He noted that the committee endorsed an enhancement fund to help with neighborhood transitions.

Andy Cotugno, Planning Director, said the “A” version of the resolution, included amendments from JPACT and TPAC. He explained further the implementation process. He talked about the projects, which were included in the resolution.

Kate Deane, Oregon Department of Transportation, gave a power point presentation on this resolution (a copy of which is included in the record). She talked about the composition of the 26-member task force. They held 7 public input milestones, which involved about 1700 people. She talked about the Task Force’s endorsement of the Regional Transportation Plan. She highlighted the strategic plan components, which included highway, transit, TDM, land use, environmental justice, and rail. She said the Task Force did not address the financial issues but they would have to rely on partners for funding. She noted a rail map (included in the record) improvement. Presiding Officer Hosticka asked about the reconfigurations of the rail bridge. Ms. Deane said they learned a lot from the study. The problem was separating the traffic from one another. They may be able to avoid having another bridge across the river. Mr. Cotugno clarified issues about the highway bridge. Ms. Deane spoke to a third bridge and said they would be studying this issue further.

Presiding Officer Hosticka opened a public hearing on Resolution No. 02-3237A.

Walter Valenta, Bridgeton Neighborhood, 173 NE Bridgeton Rd #6 Portland OR 97211 said he was the citizen representative from Portland on the I-5 Task Force. He emphasized his openness to various ideas. He was happy with the conclusions. He said we had an opportunity to build another freeway but we didn’t, we built a light rail instead. He felt the three-lane facility worked. He said this made a connection to Vancouver. He said there was an esthetic component built into this plan as well. This was a wonderful long-range vision.

Michelle Tworoger, Jantzen Beach Moorage, 1545 N Jantzen, Portland OR 97217 said she represented over 400 residents who strongly opposed the potential destruction at Jantzen Beach moorage and many floating homes on the river. The Jantzen Beach Mall as well as other businesses will be impacted or eliminated. The improvement project has enormous impacts on the

island for the Portland area and ruined the livability for many citizens. Protect the island and do not destroy Jantzen Beach. She encouraged them to take their time to study other options to save a valuable neighborhood. Her group supported the west arterial instead of expanding the existing bridge. Councilor Atherton asked Ms. Tworoger how the west arterial would be paid for? Ms. Tworoger said she assumed it would be paid for the same way as the other I-5 improvement projects.

Patti McCoy Columbia Corridor Association, PO Box 55651, Portland, OR 97238 said she was here to support the plan. She detailed the association's contribution to the region (a copy of her testimony is included in the record). She urged support of the resolution.

Lenny Anderson, Project Manager, Swan Island TMA, 4567 N Channel Ave., Portland, OR 97217 summarized his written testimony (a copy of which is found in the meeting record). He felt this was a good package but made suggestions about the need for further analysis as to where our economy was going.

Ann Gardner, Schnitzer Investments, Northwest Industrial Neighborhood Association, and PBA, 3200 NE Yeon, Portland OR said she was here to support the package. During this time of economic downturn we know that if we wanted to have a livable community and enjoy the benefits, we needed to help business be prosperous. She felt this was an enlightened package.

Steve Satterlee, Milwaukie-Portland Light Rail Coalition, 1023 NE Hancock, Portland, OR 97212 said he was Outreach Director for the Milwaukie Light Rail Coalition and explained what the coalition was formed for. They were dedicated to improving transit areas in the region. They supported the package as a whole particularly transit options in the corridor.

Presiding Officer Hosticka closed the public hearing.

Presiding Officer Hosticka asked if staff would address the data issues that Mr. Anderson raised. Mr. Cotugno responded that the commodity forecast was one of the studies utilized to analyze truck movement. Councilor Atherton asked what percentage was represented? Ms. McCoy said it was 7.87% jobs. Columbia Corridor had a higher annual wage than the statewide wage. Councilor Atherton said he was trying to respond to Mr. Anderson issues about economic impact. Mr. Anderson said he couldn't speak on the Columbia Corridor. He was familiar with Swan Island and its businesses. The analysis of our economic engines would be helpful to the Task Force. Councilor Atherton said he was trying to understand if this plan helped maintain our existing economy or future economy. Mr. Cotugno said it was helpful to both and explained further the impact on growth. Councilor Atherton further clarified what he was trying to understand; how constrained the existing system was and was those forecasts of growth realistic. Mr. Anderson said they had a data point about congestion. Currently I-5 operated about 95% efficiency. Councilor Atherton talked about the DRI-WEFA forecast and their prediction that future growth was dependent on a smoothly operating transportation system. Mr. Cotugno said all of the forecasts showed that commodity and freight volumes forecasted were going faster than the rate of population growth. It was particular true in this corridor. Presiding Officer Hosticka rephrased Councilor Atherton's question, did the fact that these improvements were not there now and wouldn't be there for awhile act as a constraint on the possible economic growth of this region?

Kate Deane said that was unclear. They thought it was impacting the economy now. There was a sense of urgency that they heard from the business community that we needed to get on with this. She said Mr. Anderson raised a good point about origin of destination, which could be addressed

in the Environmental Impact Statement. Presiding Officer Hosticka said it was fair to say that transportation system did act as a constraint. Councilor Atherton expressed concern about monies being siphoned off from this project with expansion in the east area of the region. Mr. Cotugno said there was competition for dollars. Any of the dollars that went into implementing this plan out of the state of Washington were not going to shift to Clackamas County and at least half if not more of this cost was a Washington cost. Second, there was no silver bullet but the committee had discussed tolls as a potential source to pay for the highway bridge. The tolls would also not shift to a Sunrise Corridor location. Councilor Atherton said if they were to increase the lanes on I-205 would that increase the demand on the I-5 trade corridor? Mr. Cotugno said I-205 did not draw capacity away from I-5, it was the spill over road.

Councilor McLain commented that this was the beginning. This was the strategic plan. Comments indicated that everything was not whole. There were issues that had been addressed that would need to be looked at in the future. She reminded that transportation and land issues were married. Presiding Officer Hosticka said this was a vision, it provided the framework for what we were likely to do in the future. Each component would be brought back for further discussion and decision. Councilor Park said this study educated many on the importance of the connection between transportation and land use.

Councilor Burkholder closed by saying that the testimony pointed out that this was a step of many steps. We needed to make sure that the choices we make were good ones and timing was an important issue in that decision making process. He spoke to the assets of the projects and the need for financing these projects. This was a great starting point. He urged adoption.

Vote:	Councilors McLain, Atherton, Park, Burkholder and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 5 aye, the motion passed.
-------	--

Councilor Burkholder introduced Elizabeth Wemple, an appointee for TPAC. Ms. Wemple said she was excited about serving and explained why she wished to serve. Councilor Atherton said she spoke to environmental justice, had they ever mapped the noise contours? Ms. Wemple said she did not know.

7.2 **Resolution No. 02-3238**, For the Purpose of Considering a Designated Facility Agreement with Valley Landfills, Inc. for the Coffin Butte Landfill.

Motion	Councilor McLain moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3238.
Seconded:	Councilor Atherton seconded the motion

Councilor McLain said Ordinance No. 02-979 changed the code to support this facility. She explained the resolution, which was the agreement with Valley Landfill Inc. She supported this agreement.

Presiding Officer Hosticka opened a public hearing on Resolution No. 02-3238.

Ray Phelps, Willamette Resources Inc., 12095 SW Ridder Rd, Wilsonville, OR 97070, expressed a concern about continuing to apply credit funds against their payment of user fees and excise taxes. He noted Councilor Atherton had asked staff to work with them. They developed a process that was very workable for Willamette Resources. He was happy with the actions of the Solid Waste department.

Presiding Officer Hosticka closed the public hearing.



Councilor Burkholder asked about the cumulative impact on our facilities as we approve these licenses. Councilor Atherton said they would be addressing this issue at the Rate Review Committee. Councilor McLain said she also had a deep interest in Councilor Burkholder's question as well. We were getting fees from the facility. They were just being collected in a different way.

Vote:	Councilors Atherton, Park, Burkholder, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 5 aye, the motion passed.
-------	--

7.3 **Resolution No. 02-3240**, For the Purpose of Adopting the Capital Improvement Plan for Fiscal Years 2003-04 through 2007-08.

Motion	Councilor McLain moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3240.
Seconded:	Councilor Atherton seconded the motion

Councilor McLain said they did a Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) on a regular basis. This was the right thing and long term planning at its best. She noted the Executive Order on the CIP.

Councilor Burkholder said Mr. Burton gave a message to the committee. He summarized that this was the seventh CIP. An important part of the presentation was a discussion on the unfunded projects. Many of our departments didn't have the financial resources for long term maintenance of our facilities. Having this process had helped the agency understand their long-term project needs.

Presiding Officer Hosticka asked about the status of Executive Order following the transition on January 6, 2003. Mr. Cooper said they had been discussing this at Governmental Affairs Committee. It was a policy question as to whether the Chief Operating Officer or the Council would provide such orders in the future. He suggested the Council affirm the current Executive Orders.

Vote:	Councilors Park, Burkholder, McLain, Atherton and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 5 aye, the motion passed.
-------	--

7.4 **Resolution No. 02-3241**, For the Purpose of Authorizing the Executive Officer to Acquire a Conservation Easement and Execute a 25-Year Lease of Open Space Property in the Tualatin River Access Points Target Area.

Motion	Councilor McLain moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3241.
Seconded:	Councilor Atherton seconded the motion

Councilor McLain said this issue helped with better access to the Tualatin River frontage. She showed on the map where this conservation easement would be. Councilor Burkholder asked what was the purpose of both of these actions? Jim Desmond, Regional Parks and Greenspaces, said we had a neighbor to Metro property who had taken care of an acre of this property. He had requested a long-term lease. They had tried to do an exchange. They now had a perpetual easement in exchange for a 25-year lease. This allowed Metro to control access to the river. From a natural resource point of view it was a tremendous net gain for Metro. Councilor Burkholder asked about the lease. Did Metro have any ability to control what happened on the property and when the lease expired what happened? Mr. Desmond said they didn't dictate what the property

owner could do other than not do harm to Metro’s property. Could the land be sold? If he sold the property he has the option to transfer the lease to the new property owner.

Councilor Park asked if it was a separate tax lot. Mr. Desmond said it was one 10-acre tax lot. Councilor Park said he assumed that the normal EFU uses were allowed? Mr. Desmond said that issue had not come up. It was EFU land, which flooded during the winter. This was one of the properties that Ducks Unlimited would be doing wildlife restoration work. Mr. Gotter’s farm was about 10 acres. Councilor McLain closed by saying that staff had out done themselves in looking for creative ideas for enhancing our property.

Vote:	Councilors Burkholder, McLain, Atherton, Park and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 5 aye, the motion passed.
-------	--

**8. CONTRACT REVIEW BOARD**

8.1 **Resolution No. 02-3239**, For the Purpose of Authorizing Release of RFB #03-1032-REM for the Provision of Diesel Fuel and Authorizing the Executive Officer to Execute the Resulting Contract.

Motion	Councilor Atherton moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3239.
Seconded:	Councilor McLain seconded the motion

Councilor Atherton said they were asking for a bid because Metro purchased diesel fuel. By purchasing fuel we saved taxes. This required that we have a contract with a provider. This contract will expire in February of 2003 so the RFB needed to occur now. There was substantial flexibility built into the contract and explained the specifics.

Vote:	Councilors Atherton, Park, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 4 aye with Councilor Burkholder abstaining from the vote, the motion passed.
-------	---

**9. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATION**

Presiding Officer Hosticka announced that at the next Council meeting on November 21<sup>st</sup>, we would have a public hearing on Ordinance No. 02-969. On November 22<sup>nd</sup>, the Council would be having a retreat on transition issues.

Councilor Park added that at the November 21<sup>st</sup> Council meeting there would also be first readings on specific land needs issues.

Councilor McLain asked Mr. Cooper about separate ordinances on specific identified land use matters. Mr. Cooper said they must be first read on November 21<sup>st</sup> in order to act on them on December 5<sup>th</sup>. Mr. Cooper further explained that having an ordinance first read would not preclude including that issued in Ordinance No. 02-969.

**10. ADJOURN**

There being no further business to come before the Metro Council, Presiding Officer Hosticka adjourned the meeting at 4:45 p.m.

Metro Council Meeting

11/14/02

Page 11

Prepared by

Chris Billington

Clerk of the Council

**ATTACHMENTS TO THE PUBLIC RECORD FOR THE MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2002**

ITEM #	TOPIC	DOC DATE	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	DOC. NUMBER
4.0	MINUTES	11/7/02	METRO COUNCIL MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 7, 2002 SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL	111402C-01
6.2	ORDINANCE No. 02-968A	11/14/02	ORDINANCE No. 02-968A, FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING No, 99-809, WHICH AMENDED THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY TO INCLUDE FORMER URBAN RESERVE AREA 55W OF WASHINGTON COUNTY	111402C-02
6.5	ORDINANCE No. 02-981A	11/14/02	ORDINANCE No. 02-981A, FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE ORDINANCE No. 95-625A TO AMEND THE 2040 GROWTH CONCEPT MAP AND ORDINANCE No. 96-647C TO AMEND THE EMPLOYMENT AND INDUSTRIAL AREA MAP, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY	111402C-03
6.2	COMMITTEE REPORT	11/13/02	COMMITTEE REPORT ON ORDINANCE No. 02-968A FROM MICHAEL MORRISSEY, COUNCIL ANALYST TO METRO COUNCIL	111402C-04
7.1	COMMITTEE REPORT	11/14/02	COMMITTEE REPORT ON RESOLUTION No. 02-3237A FROM JOHN HOUSER, COUNCIL ANALYST TO METRO COUNCIL	111402C-05
7.2	COMMITTEE REPORT	11/12/02	COMMITTEE REPORT ON RESOLUTION No. 02-3238 FROM JOHN HOUSER, COUNCIL ANALYST TO METRO COUNCIL	111402C-06
7.5	COMMITTEE REPORT	11/14/02	COMMITTEE REPORT ON RESOLUTION No. 02-3245 FROM JOHN HOUSER, COUNCIL ANALYST TO METRO COUNCIL	111402C-07
8.1	COMMITTEE REPORT	11/6/02	COMMITTEE REPORT ON RESOLUTION No. 02-3239 FROM JOHN HOUSER, COUNCIL ANALYST TO METRO COUNCIL	111402C-08
6.3	COMMITTEE REPORT	11/14/02	COMMITTEE REPORT ON ORDINANCE No. 02-971 FROM JOHN HOUSER, COUNCIL ANALYST TO METRO COUNCIL	111402C-09

Metro Council Meeting

11/14/02

Page 13

6.6	COMMITTEE REPORT	11/14/02	COMMITTEE REPORT ON ORDINANCE No. 02-982 FROM JOHN HOUSER, COUNCIL ANALYST TO METRO COUNCIL	111402C-10
6.2	LETTER	11/14/02	LETTER TO METRO COUNCIL FROM TOM HUGHES, CITY OF HILLSBORO CONCERNING ORDINANCE No. 02-968A	111402C-11
7.1	POWER POINT AND I-5 TRANSPORTATION AND TRADE STUDY	11/14/02	POWER POINT PRESENTATION AND ADDITIONAL MATERIALS FROM KATE DEANE, ODOT TO METRO COUNCIL CONCERNING RESOLUTION No. 02-3237A	111402C-12
7.1	LETTER	11/14/02	LETTER FROM COLUMBIA CORRIDOR ASSOCIATION TO METRO COUNCIL ON RESOLUTION NO. 02-3237A	111402C-13
7.1	LETTER	6/18/02	LETTER FROM LENNY ANDERSON, SWAN ISLAND TMA TO: I-5 TASK FORCE CONCERNING RESOLUTION No. 02-3237A	111402C-14