

MINUTES OF THE METRO COUNCIL MEETING

Thursday, December 12, 2002
Metro Council Chamber

Councilors Present: Carl Hosticka (Presiding Officer), Susan McLain, Rod Park, Bill Atherton, David Bragdon, Rod Monroe, Rex Burkholder

Councilors Absent:

Presiding Officer Hosticka convened the Regular Council Meeting at 2:12 p.m.

1. INTRODUCTIONS

There were none.

2. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS

Robert Thomas, 2563 Pimlico Drive, West Linn, OR 97068 presented information that he felt warranted revisiting the need to expand the Urban Growth Boundary. He suggested adopting a 3% growth rate instead of Mr. Dennis Yee's forecast of over 6%. He included a copy of his information for the record. He showed that by using a capture rate of 60% and a dwelling unit of 1.238, there was no need for expansion. He questioned Mr. Yee's figures. Presiding Officer Hosticka summarized Mr. Thomas testimony that there was no need to expand the boundary.

3. 2002 RIVER STEWARDSHIP AWARD

Charles Ciecko, Parks and Greenspaces Director, said he was pleased to present the 2002 River Stewardship Award to Mr. Jim Lichatowich. He explained what the stewardship award was and identified several individuals who had received the award previously (a copy of this information is included in the meeting record). He said Roger Long had crafted the award. Joe Whitworth recognized Jim Lichatowich and spoke to his attributes and contributions to salmon. Mr. Whitworth presented the award to Mr. Lichatowich.

Jim Lichatowich thanked the Council, Mr. Long, Mr. Whitworth, Mr. Ciecko and Mr. Klein for the award. He was honored to receive the award. As a writer he had focused on telling the salmon story.

Presiding Officer Hosticka thanked Mr. Lichatowich for his efforts. Councilor Atherton congratulated him as well. He acknowledged Mr. Long's contributions to the art world. Mr. Long described the evergreen branch water he had provided to the Council. It was a cup of "living water". Councilor McLain also thanked Mr. Lichatowich. Councilor Monroe said this Council was a part of the salmon mafia.

4. EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMMUNICATIONS

There was no communication.

5. ORGANIC WASTE GRANT APPLICATIONS

Lee Barrett, Regional Environmental Management Department, gave an overview of Organic Waste Grant Application. He gave a history of the grant.

Councilor McLain talked about the staff report. It said this was a significant contract. She felt that the Council required a resolution because of the significance of the grant. She felt it was important to look at the criteria. Presiding Officer Hosticka asked Councilor McLain what was her intent in bringing this forward? He suggested that the legal counsel provide information on the process. Dan Cooper, General Counsel, said it was before Council today, if they wished to bring a resolution forward, that was within their purview. Councilor Park said he had not been briefed on this program. Councilor Bragdon asked Mr. Barrett what the harm was if we waited until January to consider a resolution? Mr. Barrett said if they waited until January 2003, he did not think there would be time to purchase the equipment to complete the project. Councilor Bragdon summarized the pros and cons of holding the application until January. Mr. Barrett said he would be happy to brief Councilors individually. He noted that the money had been approved three times before in the budget process. Councilor McLain said the Council had a big interest in this program. She felt the scoring guide was too general, there needed to be specificity. She noted that there was need for more reference to the goals and safety. She was happy to meet with the department. Councilor Bragdon said this was the second time this week that this department had presented something that was being presented as fait accompli. He felt there was a lot of anxiety being expressed on the part of some of his colleagues.

Motion: Councilor Bragdon moved to instruct staff to meet with the Councilors individually and come back with a proposed resolution on January 16, 2003.

Seconded: Councilor Monroe seconded the motion.

Councilor Bragdon said he had made his point in the motion. There was some substantive issues being raised by Councilor McLain that deserve elaboration.

Councilor Monroe said this was too important of a decision not to have the usual process and the usual opportunity for full Council input and discussion.

Councilor McLain said she would be supporting this motion. They had given the staff a deadline so it would not drag out so we could meet our summer schedule. This was another example of where committee work was extremely important.

Councilor Bragdon closed by saying that Scott Moss' memo referenced the Code requirements for SI contracts. You needed a resolution but the department wanted to do this by a ten-day letter. As part of these briefings he suggested that that difference be explained to councilors.

Vote:	Councilors Bragdon, Monroe, Park, Burkholder, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed with Councilor Atherton absent from the vote.
-------	--

6. CONSENT AGENDA

6.1 Consideration of minutes of the December 5, and December 10, 2002 Regular Council Meetings.

Motion	Councilor Burkholder moved to adopt the meeting minutes of the December 5, and December 10, 2002, Regular Council meetings
--------	--

Vote:	Councilors Bragdon, Monroe, Park, Burkholder, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed with Councilor Atherton absent from the vote.
-------	--

7. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING

7.1 Ordinance No. 02-985A, For the Purpose of Amending the Metro Urban Growth Boundary in the Vicinity of the City of Forest Grove by Adding and Deleting an Equivalent Amount of Land.

Motion	Councilor McLain moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-985A.
Seconded:	Councilor Bragdon seconded the motion

Councilor McLain talked about the findings and conditions of the ordinance (a copy of which is found in the meeting packet). She gave reasons to support the ordinance which included improving the transportation system, improving the industrial land that was already lying inside of the boundary to reconfigure it in such a way that we have a better chance of using it more effectively and efficiently. She noted how state, regional and local policies were being followed in the findings portion of the ordinance. Mr. Cooper said the public record was closed last week, there was no requirement for further public testimony on the first three ordinances for this meeting.

Councilor Burkholder asked Councilor McLain what was the designation of the new area? Councilor McLain responded that it would be a residential neighborhood.

Councilor Park said this doesn't fit anywhere in our Metro Code or in State land use laws, but he thought it was the right thing to do. It made sense. He hoped if there was a concern at LCDC that something was written for clarification for future actions.

Councilor Burkholder expressed concerns about the logic behind the proposal even though this was a small amount of land. He noted that Forest Grove was supportive of this ordinance. He said the logic that he heard was that you had some land that you couldn't develop that was zoned industrial because it was a wetland so you were bringing in EFU land that didn't have a road yet but would have a road once this was approved. It seemed as if they were pushing it. He said he would support it so it could be tested by the Commission. He did not encourage similar ordinances in the future.

Councilor Bragdon asked Councilor McLain to elaborate on the City's position.

Councilor McLain said they had agreed to the conditions and felt they could meet the conditions. She appreciated the council's comments. She believed that this met local, regional and state law and explained how it met law. She said the parcels were very important to Forest Grove. They were trying to continue to improve their road system. She said this trade would help Forest Grove use the boundary well. They had to have a transportation system that worked and this ordinance would help that process.

Vote:	Councilors Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park, Burkholder, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
-------	---

7.2 **Ordinance No. 02-986A**, For the Purpose of Amending the Metro Urban Growth Boundary to Add land for a road improvement in the Sherwood Area, East of the Pacific Highway and North of the Tualatin-Sherwood Road.

Motion	Councilor Hosticka moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-986A.
Seconded:	Councilor Bragdon seconded the motion

Councilor Hosticka said this ordinance was brought forward to improve circulation in the Sherwood area between the Tualatin Sherwood Road, Hwy 99 and Roy Rogers Road. He spoke to the findings. They indicated that the logic for bringing this land in was that it improved the livability, efficiency and had minimal negative impact in this area. It also allowed for higher efficiency in land use because of connectivity. He talked about the specific parcels related to the ordinance. He felt this was a good proposal.

Councilor Burkholder noted the map and explained the specific parcels. It was important to note that they were not effecting the resource land.

Councilor Park said the land was being brought into this process because of the allowed uses on EFU that was originally designed for usage of the power station. The land never went through the normal process of conversion from a rural use to an urban use. We were using this rationale as a basis for bringing the land in because it no longer had farming value because it had a power station sitting on it. He hoped that the legislature examined this issue.

Vote:	Councilors Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park, Burkholder, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
-------	---

7.3 **Ordinance No. 02-987A**, For the Purpose of Amending the Metro Urban Growth Boundary to add land in the Bethany area.

Motion:	Councilor McLain moved to adopt Ordinance No. 02-987A.
Seconded:	Councilor Monroe seconded the motion

Councilor McLain talked about the general and specific findings as well as conditions (a copy of which is found in the meeting record). She noted the discussion at the December 5, 2002 Council meeting. She said this area would help the Bethany center.

Councilor Park commented on the serviceability of this piece. There were many ways to do this. He was hopeful in the future that they would look at serviceability. He said he would not be supporting this ordinance. Councilor Monroe said they had a lot of testimony from Germantown Road residents about the Abby Creek Corridor being a riparian corridor. He felt this ordinance allowed for protection of the riparian corridor. He was hopeful this would allow for a permanent buffer. It was a logical place to draw the boundary. He supported the ordinance. Councilor Atherton expressed his concern about the excessive 20-year forecast. He felt it was important to create a complete community and suggested that this area be identified as an urban reserve.

Councilor McLain closed by saying that they were trying diligently to make sure they were taking care of environmental concerns. They were also working on agriculture compatibility and

explained this in further detail. In general, this area typified all of the work that they would have in 2003 and 2004. She noted the future requests for more industrial land on the west side. They were trying to use all elements of state law and bring together those factors, goals, and the compatibility issues between urban and agricultural area.

Vote:	Councilors Bragdon, Monroe, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 4 aye/3 nay/0 aye, the motion passed with Councilors Atherton, Burkholder and Park voting no.
-------	---

7.4 Ordinance No. 02-990, For the Purpose of Amending the Metro Urban Growth Boundary to add Land in the Site #48, Tualatin Quarry Area.

Motion:	Councilor Hosticka moved to substitute Ordinance No. 02-990A (note title change - Ordinance No. 02-990A, For the Purpose of Amending the Urban Growth Boundary to Add Land in Study Areas 47 and 48, Tigard Sand and Gravel Site).
Seconded:	Councilor Atherton seconded the motion.

Councilor Hosticka clarified his motion and showed the area on the map. It was a quarry which, had been reclaimed. He noted parcels, which acted as access roads to the quarry area. The main purpose for proposing this ordinance was to meet the needs of large lot industrial areas, which had been identified by the Regional Industrial Land Survey. The area was serviceable, accessible and not likely to be used for agricultural use again.

Councilor Bragdon added that the conditions had been written to protect the large lot industrial portion.

Deputy Presiding Officer McLain opened a public hearing.

Doug Rux, City of Tualatin, 18880 SW Martinazzi, Tualatin, OR 97062 noted a letter he had submitted into the record concerning the deficiency of large lot industrial land within the Portland metropolitan region. Second, he spoke to the two lots which were owned by Tigard Sand and Gravel and were the main access that came in off of Tualatin Sherwood Road via 120th Avenue. There was also a small wetland area that existed on the site. They felt that that area should be brought in as well because it was the main access. It also furthered the transportation goal and explained the connectivity issues. He spoke to two other properties that were owned by Tigard Sand and Gravel which if not included would exclude the ability to develop the north/south connection road between Tualatin Sherwood and Tonquin Road. He spoke to condition #1 which identified a requirement to develop a concept plan in two years. He explained why they would recommend developing the concept in four years instead of two years. Parcel 47 and 49 already have a requirement for a four-year planning horizon. This would make the entire area consistent. MPAC and MTAC had also identified this area as a development horizon of zero to five years. There were also funding and revenue issues. He noted condition #6 needed to be changed to refer to condition #7. He talked about the findings on page 2 paragraph 3, that should be changed to reflect Study Area 48 which was under one ownership.

Councilor Hosticka asked if they amended the ordinance to include the parcels would that materially change the ordinance and require a new set of findings? Mr. Benner responded that it would require additional findings. They didn't know the total acreage. It didn't sound as if it was material enough to require a further delay of another week.

Jackie Maisano, Tonguin Industrial Group, 2129 SE Tibbetts, Portland, OR 97202 said she supported inclusion of Area 48. She felt Mr. Rux's proposal stated clearly why there was a need to include Area 48.

Nicholas Storie, Tonguin Industrial Group, PO Box 12490, Portland, OR 97212 represented the Tonquin Industrial Group. They fully supported the ordinance and inclusion of Area 48.

Bruce Vincent was also representing the Tonquin Industrial Group, 8225 NE 20th Suite 300, Portland OR. They supported the ordinance and noted the findings. They supported the inclusion of Area 48 primarily because it allowed for the logical extension of urban services.

Roger Metcalf, Tigard Sand and Gravel, 2770 NW Rogers Circle, Troutdale, OR 97060 supported the ordinance and noted three other parcels which should be included. He provided a map of these parcels (a copy of which is found in the meeting record).

Councilor Burkholder asked about the staff report, which indicated that the area was an active quarry. He said testimony indicated it was an inactive quarry. He suggested correcting the record. Mr. Metcalf said it was active now but once this ordinance passed they could fill it in.

Bob Thomas commented on the emergency clause issue. He proposed the council considered his forecast recommendation first and if they agree, decide against this action and inclusion. He spoke to areas in the region which already had large industrial sites.

Russell Davis, 21100 SW 120th, Tualatin, OR 97062 said he was the owner on the northeast corner that was not part of the proposal. He would like to be included because it was not suitable for farming. It had more of a quarry like soil. It was 4.04 acres.

Councilor Burkholder told Mr. Thomas that there was an amended version of the ordinance that excluded the emergency clause.

Dick Benner noted for the record a letter and materials from the City of Tualatin as well as analysis that the Planning Department had done of large parcels.

Mr. Cooper added that one of the witnesses who had testified had turned evidence into the record but didn't state orally that the size of the two parcels that were on the top of the map were a 12.9 acre parcel and a 2.3 acre parcel for total of 15.2 acres. The two parcels that Mr. Rux had referred to in the south, one was 5.6 acres and one was 3.1 acres for a total of 8.7 acres. These parcels were not on the map now but Council had requested they be included.

Deputy Presiding Officer McLain closed the public hearing.

Councilor Atherton asked if any of these lands were designated resource protection lands for geologic uniqueness?

Mr. Benner said it would apply if there were evidence in the record. There was no evidence in the record.

Councilor Atherton said when they toured the area and discussed that subject, these lands were never brought up as that kind of geologic interest. Was that correct? Deputy Presiding Officer McLain said that was correct.

Councilor Park noted for the record that in the third paragraph the assertions being made in terms of the vacant land issue of large size industrial lands not being for sale and therefore can not be considered available to the market. This was not a factor that they could consider, vacant was vacant whether it was on the market or not.

Councilor Hosticka asked about adding the additional parcels and would those additions be considered a material amendment? He said the characteristics of the land were the same. Adding those two parcels would improve the circulation of the land. What would be the effect of creating an island on the property that Mr. Metcalf had mentioned? He explained why it was important to add these parcels. He asked about the legal implications of those changes.

Mr. Cooper addressed the issues of the two parcels to the north and to the south. The fact that they were in common ownership with the rest of the parcels did not make this a material revision to the ordinance that would require under the charter for it to be delayed. If the motion were made, they would have to write some additional findings to explain why. He suggested going into a short recess or continuing with the other agenda items and then returned to this item before the end of the day.

Mr. Benner said there was nothing in the Code that prohibited them from taking land in and creating a resulting island in a legislative urban growth boundary expansion. He was not aware of any state requirement that prevented them from doing that either.

Councilor Hosticka asked if these additional parcels had been studied?

Andy Cotugno, Planning Director, responded yes.

Motion to Amend: Councilor Hosticka moved to amend Ordinance No. 02-990A to include the north and south parcels. He felt that these would improve efficiency of services.

Seconded: Councilor Atherton seconded the amendment.

Councilor Park asked Mr. Benner about the lower section to the south and what that would do to the process.

Mr. Benner responded the City of Tualatin evidence covered those two additional areas. He gave reasons for including those parcels. The testimony showed the aggregate extraction. Part of the evidence showed that the parcels that would be added by Councilor Hosticka's amendment were among those lands that had been effected.

Councilor Park asked if he was comfortable with the findings?

Mr. Benner suggested that he be given five minutes to read through the findings with the new information in mind to see if anything needed to be adjusted. He would then be ready to tell the Council what they did and what the revision might be.

Councilor Park said he thought they would want to have the information prior to voting on the amendments so that they understood the potential effect.

Motion: Councilor Hosticka moved to delay consideration of the amendment and ordinance just before Councilor Communication so legal counsel could review the findings.

Seconded: Councilor Bragdon seconded the motion.

Vote: The vote was 7 aye/0 nay/0 abstain. The motion passed.

Councilor Hosticka added that they would be reviewing Mr. Davis' piece of property when they had more time. He announced that they would continue this ordinance after Resolution Nos. 02-3250 and 02-3257 were considered.

8. RESOLUTIONS

8.1 **Resolution No. 02-3250**, For the Purpose of Confirming all Metro Executive Orders in Existence on January 6, 2003, and Authority of the Chief Operating Officer to Adopt and Amend All Metro Executive Orders.

Motion	Councilor Bragdon moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3250.
Seconded:	Councilor Atherton seconded the motion

Councilor Bragdon said that these executive orders had been reviewed. He confirmed that all of these orders still stood even when the Executive Office was abolished on January 6, 2003. The authority for issuing such orders will transition to the Chief Operating Officer. He urged an aye vote.

Vote:	Councilors Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park, Burkholder, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
-------	---

8.2 **Resolution No. 02-3257**, For the Purpose of Accepting the November 5, 2002, General Election Abstract of Votes.

Motion	Councilor Monroe moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3257.
Seconded:	Councilor McLain seconded the motion

Councilor Monroe said they were required by law to accept the results of the November 5, 2002 election with respect to its impact on Metro. He urged support.

Vote:	Councilors Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park, Burkholder, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
-------	---

Motion: Councilor Atherton moved to suspend the rules to consider two additional resolutions.

Seconded: Councilor Monroe seconded the motion

Councilor Atherton explained that one resolution would be to consider Metro's position on amending the 20-year land supply law. The second resolution would ask the legislature to include police, fire, library, and school facilities as those facilities for which local jurisdictions could collect system development charges. Obviously Metro was not in the business of collecting those system development charges but these were important principles to provide the infrastructure to build livable whole communities. He felt it was time to reaffirm our position on this.

Councilor Monroe asked for copies of the proposed resolutions if they approved the suspension of the rules.

Presiding Officer Hosticka said the resolutions were written so that the Metro Council would resolve that there be changes in the law. Actually what Councilor Atherton was saying would be that the Metro Council would be asking the legislature to change the law. Councilor Atherton said that was correct. He had discussed this wording with Mr. Cooper and he suggested leaving the resolutions as they were written. The purpose was to provide these documents to their legislative representatives.

Councilor Monroe said it took five votes to suspend the rules.

Vote: The vote 3 aye/ 4 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion failed with Councilors Bragdon, Park, McLain and Burkholder voting no.

7.4 Ordinance No. 02-990, For the Purpose of Amending the Metro Urban Growth Boundary to add Land in the Site #48, Tualatin Quarry Area (continued).

Councilor Park asked if there would be revisions to the findings or conditions? Mr. Benner said he had made revisions to the findings in anticipation of testimony from the City of Tualatin. He had a revised version. He didn't see a need to make any changes to reflect the proposed change in the map. He reminded them about the testimony they had received on the conditions. In anticipation that the Council would receive that testimony he had prepared a version of the conditions that would reflect the two changes that Mr. Rux testified about.

Motion to amend: Councilor Hosticka moved to amend Ordinance No. 02-990A to add the areas north and south.

Seconded: Councilor Bragdon seconded the motion.

Vote: The vote 7 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed.

Motion to amend: Councilor Hosticka moved to amend the conditions for Ordinance No. 02-990A based upon the testimony from Mr. Rux which would in condition 1, change the words two years to four years, condition 6 would change the reference from condition 6 to condition 7.

Seconded: Councilor Bragdon seconded the motion.

Councilor Park asked if this should be included in Task 3 since they were changing the words from two to four years? Councilor Hosticka said he was curious too.

Mr. Rux responded that when this went through MTAC and MPAC, the City identified a horizon of approximately three-years. MTAC and MPAC modified that and put it in a 0 to 5-year horizon. The City had problems with the two year because they didn't have two full years given the way their funding cycles work to work with property owners and have general fund revenues. They were down to a year and a half. Their experience showed when they dealt with an area that was half the size with twice as many property owners, it took them five years to plan. In study areas 47 and 49, they had already accepted a condition to do that planning work in four years. These together could work under the four-year horizon. In Task 3 there might be an opportunity to roll

the other properties (referenced by Mr. Davis' testimony) into a concept planning process so they actually get to the provision of infrastructure that they had laid out in the materials they had submitted since September 2002. This was the reason they had for the four years.

Councilor Park commented that because this was still zoned EFU, he wondered if there was a lack of consistency in bringing this in. If they had talked with the Commission this might be one of those areas that would be begging an inconsistency. He asked Mr. Benner if this gave him a concern with the two additional years being added to the original two years?

Mr. Benner said no it did not. He said the city or county could do the planning faster than four years if they chose to but with respect to the findings it did not give him concern.

Councilor Hosticka said they were still working under the 20-year rule. They were bringing places in because they had a need for large lot industrial areas. It seemed that the planning could be done quicker but this did not materially injure the purposes for which they were bringing this in.

Vote to amend:	Councilors Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park, Burkholder, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed as amended.
----------------	--

Councilor Hosticka closed by saying that this helped meet the need for large lot industrial sites.

Vote on the Main Motion:	Councilors Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park, Burkholder, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed as amended.
--------------------------	--

Councilor Park thanked all of those who were involved in the Urban Growth Boundary process including Planning, Executive, Legal and Council staff. He talked about Task 3 and the future of planning in the region. He thanked the Council for their willingness to go through this process. He felt they had done a good job.

Presiding Officer Hosticka called unanimous agreement to consider two resolutions. There was no objection.

8.3 **Resolution No. 02-3258**, For the Purpose of Expressing Gratitude for the Service of Mike Burton to the Metro Region.

Motion	Councilor Bragdon moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3258.
Seconded:	Councilor Monroe seconded the motion

Councilor Bragdon summarized that this resolution was the Council recognition of the service that Mike Burton had provided as the Executive Officer. He was the first Presiding Officer of the Council and the last Executive Officer of the agency. He spoke to Mr. Burton's accomplishments as Executive Officer. Mr. Burton left this agency a better place than the way he found it. Councilor Bragdon recommended adoption of this resolution.

Councilor McLain said Mr. Burton was doing a good job of learning to live with what the Charter had developed as a role for Executive Officer. The role had challenges, because of the way it was

structured. He was a proactive and helped produce products that we could all be proud of. She personally thanked Mr. Burton.

Councilor Monroe said Councilor McLain and he were here when Mr. Burton took office and now when he was leaving office. Metro was a better place because of Mr. Burton. He needed to be applauded for his efforts.

Councilor Park said Mr. Burton had encouraged him to run for office. He had enjoyed working with Mr. Burton.

Presiding Officer Hosticka said he was the Executive Officer to end all Executive Officers.

Vote:	Councilors Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park, Burkholder, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
-------	---

8.4 **Resolution No. 02-3259**, For the Purpose of Expressing Gratitude for the Service of Bill Atherton to the Metro Region.

Motion	Councilor McLain moved to adopt Resolution No. 02-3259.
Seconded:	Councilor Monroe seconded the motion

Councilor McLain reviewed Councilor Atherton’s contributions to Metro in the areas of solid waste, separation of communities, community identity, local control, system development charges, renewal and replacement policies, repealing the twenty-year land supply, campaign reforms and ethics, and carrying capacity. She thanked him for his service.

Councilor Monroe spoke to Councilor Atherton’s service to Metro and to the region.

Councilor Bragdon thanked Councilor Atherton for his ability to do two things at once which was rare to find in one person. Councilor Atherton was very passionate and cared very deeply about the issues yet he was also invariably good-natured. He felt those were tremendous qualities for someone in office and for someone in life.

Councilor Park echoed Councilor Bragdon's comments. He wished him well.

Councilor Atherton thanked all of the Councilors for their comments. He had been in service for a long time. His grandparents had taught him to make himself honest, then there was at least one rogue off the street. He felt clear communication, clear understanding and honest dialogue would bring good results. There were ways you could have an impact if you think into the future.

Vote:	Councilors Bragdon, Atherton, Monroe, Park, Burkholder, McLain and Presiding Officer Hosticka voted aye. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
-------	---

Presiding Officer Hosticka presented a signed resolution to Councilor Atherton as well as a framed nameplate.

9. **COUNCILOR COMMUNICATION**

Metro Council Meeting

12/12/02

Page 12

There were none.

10. ADJOURN

There being no further business to come before the Metro Council, Presiding Officer Hosticka adjourned the meeting at 4:33 p.m.

Prepared by

Chris Billington
Clerk of the Council

**ATTACHMENTS TO THE PUBLIC RECORD FOR THE MEETING OF DECEMBER
 12, 2002**

ITEM #	TOPIC	DOC DATE	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	DOC. NUMBER
6.1	MINUTES	12/5/02	METRO COUNCIL MINUTES OF DECEMBER 5, 2002 SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL	121202C-01
6.1	MINUTES	12/10/02	METRO COUNCIL MINUTES OF DECEMBER 10,2002	121202C-02
7.1	ORDINANCE NO. 02-985A	12/11/02	ORDINANCE NO. 02-985A, FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE METRO URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY IN THE VICINITY OF THE CITY OF FOREST GROVE BY ADDING AND DELETING AN EQUIVALENT AMOUNT OF LAND	121202C-03
7.2	ORDINANCE NO. 02-986A	12/4/02	ORDINANCE NO. 02-986A, FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE METRO URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY TO ADD LAND FOR A ROAD IMPROVEMENT IN THE SHERWOOD AREA, EAST OF PACIFIC HWY AND NORTH OF THE TUALATIN-SHERWOOD ROAD	121202c-04
7.3	ORDINANCE NO. 02-987A	12/4/02	ORDINANCE NO. 02-987A, FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY TO ADD LAND IN THE BETHANY AREA	121202C-05
N/A	RES NO. 02-3260	12/11/02	RESOLUTION NO. 02-3260, FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDMENT TO ORS 223.297 ET SEQ SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT CHARGES TO INCLUDE FACILITIES FOR POLICE, FIRE, LIBRARIES AND SCHOOLS	121202-C-06
N/A	RES NO 02-3261	12/11/02	RESOLUTION NO 02-3261, FOR THE PURPOSE OF URGING REPEAL OF ORS 197.296 AND 1997 OREGON LAWS CH. 763	121202C-07
N/A	RES NO 02-3258	12/12/02	RESOLUTION NO. 02-3258, FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXPRESSING GRATITUDE FOR THE SERVICE OF MIKE BURTON TO THE METRO REGION	121202C-08
N/A	RES NO 02-3259	12/12/02	RESOLUTION NO. 02-3259, FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXPRESSING GRATITUDE FOR THE SERVICE OF	121202C-09

			BILL ATHERTON TO THE METRO REGION	
7.4	LETTER	12/12/02	LETTER TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: DOUGLAS RUX, COMMUNITY PLANNING DIRECTOR, CITY OF TUALATIN RE: ORDINANCE NO. 02-990A	121202C-10
7.4	MAP	12/12/02	TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: ROGER METCALF RE: MAP OF AREAS 47 AND 48	121202C-11
7.4	LETTER	12/11/02	LETTER TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: DOUGLAS RUX, COMMUNITY PLANNING DIRECTOR, CITY OF TUALATIN RE: ORDINANCE NO. 02-990A	121202C-12
7.4	LETTER	12/10/02	LETTER TO: CARL HOSTICKA, METRO COUNCILOR FROM: EARL ITEL RE: AREA 48	121202C-13
2.0	LETTER	12/12/02	LETTER TO: METRO COUNCIL FROM: ROBERT THOMAS RE: NO NEED TO EXPAND UGB	121202C-14
7.4	ORDINANCE NO. 02-990A	12/12/02	ORDINANCE NO. 02-990A, FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY TO ADD LAND IN STUDY AREAS 47 AND 48, TIGARD SAND AND GRAVEL	121202C-15
3.0	LETTER AND AWARD RECIPIENTS	10/2/02	LETTER TO JIM LICHATOWICH FROM CHARLES CIECKO RE: 2002 RIVER STEWARDSHIP AWARD	121202C-16