BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE)	RESOLUTION NO. 84-442
FY 1984 UNIFIED WORK PROGRAM)	
)	Introduced by the Joint
)	Policy Advisory Committee
)	on Transportation

WHEREAS, The FY 1984 Unified Work Program (UWP) was adopted May 26, 1983 by Resolution No. 83-404; and

WHEREAS, Changes to the UWP must be approved by the Metro Council and the Intermodal Planning Group; and

WHEREAS, The FY 1984 UWP must be revised to accurately reflect task priorities and project schedules; now, therefore, BE IT RESOLVED,

- 1. That the Metro Council hereby approve the amendments to the FY 1984 UWP as shown in Exhibits "A," "B" and "C."
- 2. That staff is authorized to submit this resolution with its exhibits and necessary grant amendments to the Urban Mass Transportation Administration for approval.

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District this $_^{26 ext{th}}$ day of $_^{January}$, 1984.

Corky Kirtigrafrick Presiding Officer

NM/gl 0416C/366 01/12/84

EXHIBIT "A"

PHASE I ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS - SOUTHERN/BI-STATE/BARBUR CORRIDORS

Program Objectives:

- 1. Complete the technical analysis for the Phase I Alternatives Analysis in the Central Portland area, Southern Corridor and Bi-State Corridor.
- 2. Determine whether to proceed with a Phase II Alternatives Analysis; produce a report describing promising alternatives.
- 3. Amend the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) to include any feasible transitway corridors and initiate a Phase II Alternatives Analysis/Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) accordingly.
- 4. Adopt the McLoughlin Boulevard highway and transit improvement program, staging plan and financing strategy.
- 5. Allocate the McLoughlin Boulevard Interstate Transfer Reserve.
- 6. Begin the technical analysis for the Phase I Alternatives Analysis in the Barbur Corridor and for extensions to Hillsboro, Tualatin and between Beaverton and Tigard.

Relation to Previous Work:

The Regional Light Rail Transit (LRT) System Plan Scope of Work (approved in FY 83) serves as an overall guide for the Regional LRT System Plan project phases. This Scope of Work provides a context for following the federal process for a Phase I and Phase II Alternatives Analysis. The first geographic area of analysis, which is comprised of the Southern Corridor, the Bi-State Corridor (I-5 North and I-205 North) and the Central Portland study area, was begun in FY 83. All of the technical work is scheduled for completion prior to FY 85. A supportive consulting engineering effort was also begun in FY 84, in accordance with the Regional LRT System Plan Scope of Work.

The Barbur Corridor/Westside Branches is the second geographic area identified for evaluation in the "Regional LRT System Plan Scope of Work," adopted in FY 83. All work in this area will be built upon the results of the Westside Corridor Project DEIS (March 1982) and Preferred Alternatives Report (January 1983).

Products:

1. McLoughlin Corridor Highway/Transit Staging Plan and Financing Strategy.

- 2. Phase I Alternatives Analysis recommendations and resulting amendments to RTP.
- 3. Phase I Alternatives Analysis "Promising Alternatives" report, documenting analysis.
- 4. Decision on whether to proceed with a Phase II Alternatives Analysis in any of these corridors.
- 5. Allocation of McLoughlin Corridor Interstate Transfer Reserve.

Responsibilities:

Metro is responsible for the overall conduct of the study, coordination of the Oregon decisions, Oregon public involvement, technical analysis associated with travel forecasts, impact analysis and cost-effectiveness evaluation.

Tri-Met is responsible for definition of alternatives including engineering analysis, capital costing and operating costing.

The Regional Planning Council of Clark County (RPC) is responsible for coordinating Washington decisions and development of alternatives within Washington (including highway network coding, transit route design and population/employment forecasts).

Portland will provide input on impact analyses and provide technical supervision of traffic impact analyses in Portland.

Expenses:		Revenues:	•	
Metro: Personnel	\$157,527	FY 83 (e) (4)		4,528
M & S	62,300	Metro Match		799
	\$219,827	FY 84 (e)(4)		158,100
	$(x,y) \in \mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$	Metro Match		13,950
Tri-Met: Personnel	\$ 93,080	Tri-Met Match		13,950
, M&S	133,000	_	(e)(4)	170,000
	\$226,080	Tri-Met Match (in-kind)		28,500
Portland: Personnel	\$ 10,000	Portland Match		1,500
TOTAL	\$455,907	Section 9A		51,664
		Tri-Met Match		12,916
	•	TOTAL		\$455,907

AC/srb 0416C/366 12/13/83

FY 84 e(4)
Grant Amendment
OR-29-9009

		Approved	<u> </u>	Proposed
1.	Phase I Altern./Analysis So. Corr./Bi-State Corr.	\$ 57,000	- 57,000	0 .
2.	Phase II Altern./Analysis Barbur/Westside	129,000	-129,000	0
3.	Westside Corridor	117,647	0	\$117,647
4.	Southwest Corridor	12,500	0	12,500
5.	Transp. Improvement Prog.	36,813	0	36,813
6.	Phase I Altern./Analysis* So./Bi-State/Barbur	0	+186,000	186,000
		\$352,960	0	\$352,960

^{*}Combining the two separate segments of the Phase I Alternatives Analysis into one task.

Project Financing

UMTA	(85%)		\$300,016
Local	(15%)	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	52,944
			\$352.960

KT:1mk 12-8-83

FY 84 FUNDING SUMMARY

					f • d	eral f	unding		# ** *								Α
						•											
•	PY84	FY84	SEC 8	FY84	5403 650 0				ARRYOV								
• • •	PL/ODOT		DISCTRY		09-09-0012	OR-09-0029	FY80 SEC 8	FY83 e(4)	FY82 e (4)	FY83 e(4)			WESTSIDE		PHWA	TOCAL	
			======		<u>0x-03-0032</u>	OK-09-0029	OR-09-0020	OK-23-9001	OR-29-9007	DISCTRY	OR-19-0005	SEC 105	OR-29-9004	SEC 9A	HPR/ODOT	NATCH	TOTAL
Phase I AA Southern/Bi-State/Barbur							•										
Hetro				158,100			•	4,528		28,500			•	100		33,728	224,856
Tri-Met Portland										133,000		•		51,664		36,387	221,051
McLoughlin - Clackamas County									1.14	0,500						1,500	10,000
Westside Corridor						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			8,091							1,428	9,519
Metro				100,000									35,942				
Tri-Met			•										35,942	35,955		23,990	159,932
Southwest Corridor/Metro	34,000			10,625										33,933		8,989 9,233	44,944 83,290
RTP Refinement/Metro Elderly & Handicapped Plan/Metro	38,100	29,600			15,081	422										11,276	94,479
Elderly & Handicapped Plan/Hetro					22,320											5,580	27,900
Technical Assistance/Metro	29,100													16,000		4,000	20,000
Coordination & Management/Metro		47,600											٠.	· *			29,100
Data Monitoring/Metro		28,080		`	. •											11,900	92,000
Travel Forecast/Metro	20,552	14,000			14,392											7,098	139,417 56,042
Travel Forecast/Tri-Het						4,000	•						2			1,000	5,000
Hodel Refinement Disc/Metro Air Quality Program			24,000		·							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				6,000	30,000
Metro																	
Portland			-								3,000	5,000				0	8,000
Transp. Imp. Program/Hetro		51,288		31,291							10.730			`		0	10,730
Transp. Financing/Metro								81,971				- :				18,344	100,923 96,436
Energy Contingency/Tri-Met						4,000				-					 	1,000	5,000
Transit Development/Tri-Met Computer Purchase/Metro	43.000						16,989								*	4,248	21,237
ODOT Planning Assistance	47,868		<u> </u>			·				·	·			28,224		22,418	98,510
							-	·				· · · · · ·			145,000		145,000
Subtotal	217,020	200,000	24,000	300,016	51,793	8,422	16.989	86,499	8,091	170,000	13,730	5,000	35,942		145,000	44.	
Note: Amounts shown are federal sha except PL and HPR. PL is \$16	4,467				* * .		F.				P Update/Tri- pital Dev. Pl			15,326		3,831	19,157
with match \$32,553 at 85/15 z	atio.							- *			Primi Dev. Pr EP/Tri-Met	THIN IET-MO	τ.	34,189 156,110		8,547 39,028	42,736 195,138
						. •					ansit Perf. J	Analy./Tri	-Het	42,600		10,650	53,250
	•									Lai	bor Mymt. 6 F	rod. Anal	y./Tri-Met	10,160		2,540	12,700
								• .		Mgs	mt. Info. Sys	. Dev./Tr	i-Net	52,640		13,160	65,800
		1 1									IA/Tri-Met			43,896		10,974	54,870
				* *						On	twork Sim. & -Board O-D Su	Analy./Tr	1-Met	16,960 2,240		4,240 560	21,200
				-					F		TIP Analy. &			67,616		16,904	84,520
							1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		•	Tr	ansit Ctr. 6	TSH Dev./	Tri-Met	17,792		4,448	22,240
Rev. 7-25-83			-		.•					Sen	rvice Dev./Pl	anning/Tr	i-Met	41,396		10,349	51,745
12-8-83											nancial Forec			9,640		2,410	12,050
			200			*,					ivate Sector vil Rights/Tr		ri-Met	4,000		1,000	5,000
	- "	4.							274		ogr am Admini s		-1-Max	6,939 4,000		1,735	8,674 5,000
⁷											-,		11-100	4,000		1,000	3,000
E C									•		* .			657,347		450,397	2,390,246
Ö		4.35.0				•			to the second					-	1		
" 💆		100													-		
급				.'													
H							* *	. •									
RESOLUTION						. 5						*		•			
•				1000			-				-			. *		4	
NO	•					-											
. O								1 :									
				A. B. A.											•	*	
84	*										• .						
T									200		-		٠.	< 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1	•		
4							1.	2 -			~						
42			``		•					and the second				•	-		
• •								• • •									

STAFF	REPORT

Agenda Item No. 6.3

Meeting Date January 26, 1984

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO.84-442 FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE FY 1984 UNIFIED WORK PROGRAM

Date: December 22, 1983

Presented by: Andy Cotugno

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Proposed Action

Recommend adoption of the attached resolution amending the Fy 1984 Unified Work Program (UWP) to combine the tasks and budgets of two work elements: 1) Phase I Alternatives Analysis Southern/Bi-State Corridors, and 2) Phase I Alternatives Analysis Barbur Corridor/Westside Branches. This amendment would also shift more of Metro's budget to Materials and Services to fund Data Processing associated with the Bi-State LRT study.

TPAC and JPACT have reviewed this amendment and unanimously recommend approval of the Resolution.

Policy Impact

This action will allow completion of the Southern Corridor/Bi-State studies this year and will delay Barbur/Westside work accordingly. Only start-up activities for the Barbur/Westside study (TAC formation, alternative definition, etc.) will be scheduled for this fiscal year.

Budget Impact

This amendment would consolidate available resources devoted to Phase I Alternatives Analysis/LRT studies within the existing UWP and will not affect other programs. In addition, a discretionary (e) (4) grant of \$65,000 for Barbur LRT engineering consultants is dropped due to the late startup. The amendment is needed to continue funding both Tri-Met and Metro Milwaukie/Bi-State project tasks.

Background

In FY 1983, the Regional LRT System Plan Scope of Work was approved, defining corridors to undergo Phase I Alternatives Analysis and phasing them for study. The Southern Corridor (Milwaukie) and the Bi-State Corridor were set as Study Phase 1, Barbur/Westside extensions as Study Phase 2 to be initiated after

Study Phase 1, and Clackamas County extensions as Study Phase 3. The adopted UWP implements this overall strategy and recognizes that budget adjustments between Study Phases 1 and 2 may be necessary.

Study Phase 1 corridors (Milwaukie/Bi-State) have taken longer than anticipated because:

- Both the Southern Corridor and Bi-State studies bore the burden of developing new travel-forecasting packages which detailed zone structure and networks for the Eastside subarea and the Bi-State subarea. Developing these new systems took longer than anticipated. At the time the UWP was drafted, it was anticipated that Southern Corridor forecasts would be complete by July 1983. Instead they were not completed until the end of September.
- Delays in contracting with the engineering consultant assisting Tri-Met and the traffic consultant assisting in impact assessment have delayed engineering/capital costing and impact assessment phases of the work, stretching out the project schedule.
- Southern Corridor conceptual engineering at Tri-Met has taken longer than anticipated, due to the number of alignments and competing work elements.

Technical work on the Southern Corridor is nearly complete and Bi-State model calibration is also complete. Both traffic and structural engineering contracts are underway.

Delaying the schedule for the Barbur/Westside Branches phase of study will allow the Southwest Corridor Study to develop the travel-forecasting tools to be used and to develop a working relationship with the jurisdictions involved prior to initiating the transitway study.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

The Executive Officer recommends adoption of the attached resolution.

COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION AND RECOMMENDATION

On January 9, 1984, the Regional Development Committee recommended Council adoption of Resolution No. 84-442.

AC/NM/gl 0416C/366 01/12/84