

BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE
METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF CLARIFYING) RESOLUTION NO. 84-460
PROCEDURES TO BE FOLLOWED IN CON-)
DUCTING COMPREHENSIVE PLAN REVIEWS) Introduced by the Regional
) Development Committee

WHEREAS, ORS 197.190 designates Metro as the planning review, advisory and coordinative body for Multnomah, Clackamas and Washington Counties; and

WHEREAS, ORS 268.380 and 268.390 require Metro to review the comprehensive plans adopted by the cities and counties within the District; and

WHEREAS, There are several types of review situations in which Metro may participate as part of the LCDC's comment and review process; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED,

That the Metro Council adopts the plan review procedures set forth in Attachment "A."

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District
this 26th day of April, 1984.



Presiding Officer

MB/gl
0964C/377
03/28/84

ATTACHMENT "A"

Metro's Comprehensive Plan Review Procedures
for LCDC's Comment Process

PROCEDURAL STEPS

1. Acknowledgment and Post-Acknowledgment (45-day comment period)
 - a. DLCD publishes notices;
 - b. Metro staff reviews plan;
 - c. Metro staff writes report and resolution (must be in final form at least 10 days prior to Metro meeting);
 - d. Report is presented to Regional Development Committee and Recommendation is made to Council;
 - e. Council considers Recommendation and votes on Resolution;
 - f. Metro comments are sent to LCDC and local jurisdiction.

2. Periodic Review, Continuances and Other Reviews (30-day comment period for Periodic Review and 20-day comment period for all other reviews)
 - a. DLCD publishes notice;
 - b. Metro staff reviews plan;
 - c. Metro staff writes report (must be in final form at least 10 days prior to Metro meeting);
 - d. Regional Development Committee considers staff report and recommends that the Executive Officer comment in letter form - copies of the letter will be placed in the Councilors' boxes prior to Regional Development Committee meeting;

OR

If timing of the comment period does not permit going to the Regional Development Committee, the Council will consider the staff report and recommend that the Executive Officer comment in letter form.

- e. Metro comments are sent to LCDC and local jurisdiction.
- f. If Regional Development Committee makes recommendation on comments, the recommendation will be presented to Council as an informational report at its next scheduled meeting.

MB/gl
0964C/377
03/28/84

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 84-460 FOR THE
PURPOSE OF CLARIFYING METRO'S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN
REVIEW PROCEDURES IN KEEPING WITH LCDC'S COMMENT
PROCESS

Date: April 10, 1984

Presented by: Mark Brown

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

The purpose of this Resolution is to clarify Metro's process in reviewing a local jurisdiction's Comprehensive Plan. ORS 197.190, 268.380 and 268.390 gives Metro, as the regional coordinator, the responsibility to review comprehensive plans, and recommend or require cities or counties to make changes to their plans to conform with the District's functional plans.

There are several plan review situations in which Metro might be a participant. The LCDC "comment period" varies depending on the type of review being conducted. The timing in turn affects Metro's staff ability to present material to the Regional Development Committee and/or Council depending on scheduled meeting dates. The following briefly describes the types of plan reviews, and the LCDC comment period associated with each:

1. Acknowledgment and Post-Acknowledgment (45-day comment period)

Initial Acknowledgment Review - pertains to the first review of a local jurisdiction's comprehensive plan.

Post-Acknowledgment - process used by local jurisdiction to amend an acknowledged plan; jurisdiction must notify LCDC 45 days prior to final hearing on an amendment.

2. Periodic Review (30-day comment period)

Review process initiated by LCDC; objections to a local jurisdiction's review order must be submitted to LCDC within 30 days of adoption of the order.

3. Continuances and Other Reviews (20-day comment period)

Continuance Review - second or subsequent review of individual goal compliance issues raised in the initial acknowledgment review.

Segmented Acknowledgment Review - second or subsequent review of geographical areas where goal compliance issues were raised in the initial acknowledgment review.

Periodic Review - review process initiated by LCDC; simplified process pertaining to cities of less than 2,500 people.

This Resolution is presented in the interest of clarifying the Metro plan review process for various situations. The particular procedural steps are detailed in the attachment to this Resolution.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

Executive Officer recommends approval.

COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION AND RECOMMENDATION

On April 9, 1984, the Regional Development Committee considered this Resolution and unanimously recommended approval. In addition, the Committee requested that the Resolution be reviewed by General Counsel prior to the Council's meeting on April 26, 1984.

MB/gl
0964C/377
04/11/84