

 **Metro** | *Agenda*

MEETING: METRO COUNCIL WORK SESSION  
DATE: February 9, 2010  
DAY: Tuesday  
TIME: 2:00 PM  
PLACE: Metro Council Chamber

**CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL**

- |                |           |  |                  |
|----------------|-----------|--|------------------|
| <b>2:00 PM</b> | <b>1.</b> | <b>DISCUSSION OF AGENDA FOR COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING, [February 11, 2010]/ADMINISTRATIVE/CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER COMMUNICATIONS</b> |                  |
| <b>2:15 PM</b> | <b>2.</b> | <b>2<sup>nd</sup> QUARTER MANAGEMENT REPORT &amp; QUARTERLY FINANCIAL REPORT</b>   | Jordan/Norton    |
| <b>3:00 PM</b> | <b>3.</b> | <b>RESERVES DISCUSSION: REPORT BACK</b>  | Harrington/Staff |
| <b>3:30 PM</b> | <b>4.</b> | <b>MGP WORK PROGRAM: TIMELINE AND KEY MILESTONES</b>   | McArthur/Staff   |
| <b>4:20 PM</b> | <b>5.</b> | <b>COUNCIL BRIEFINGS/COMMUNICATION</b>   |                  |

**ADJOURN**



Agenda Item Number 2.0

**2<sup>nd</sup> QUARTER MANAGEMENT  
REPORT & QUARTERLY  
FINANCIAL REPORT**

PRESENTED BY MICHAEL JORDAN  
AND MARGO NORTON

Metro Council Work Session  
Tuesday, February 9, 2010  
Metro Council Chamber



Agenda Item Number 3.0

**RESERVES DISCUSSION: REPORT BACK**

COUNCILOR HARRINGTON & STAFF

Metro Council Work Session  
Tuesday, February 9, 2010  
Metro Council Chamber



Agenda Item Number 4.0

**MGP WORK PROGRAM:  
TIMELINE AND KEY  
MILESTONES**

PRESENTED BY ROBIN MCARTHUR & STAFF

Metro Council Work Session  
Tuesday, February 9, 2010  
Metro Council Chamber





# METRO COUNCIL

## Work Session Worksheet

Presentation Date: 2/9/10 Time: 3:30 Length: 60 minutes

Presentation Title: MGP Work Program, timeline and key milestones

Service, Office, or Center:

Planning and Development

Presenters (include phone number/extension and alternative contact information):

Robin McArthur x1714; Malu Wilkinson x1680; Sherry Oeser x1721

### ISSUE & BACKGROUND

An element of the integrated investment strategy that the Council will be considering this year is to determine the best approach for addressing the region's 20-year population and employment forecast growth while supporting the six outcomes. At the January 19, 2010 work session the Metro Council heard a presentation on the work program for the integrated investment strategy.

The urban growth report (UGR), endorsed by MPAC and accepted by the Metro Council in December 2009, identified the capacity of the region's urban growth boundary (UGB) to accommodate the next 20 years of expected population and employment growth. The 2009 UGR was intended foster the development of an outcomes-based approach to growth management decision-making. The UGR identified a gap between the forecast demand and the amount of zoned capacity that is likely to be developed in the next 20 years for residential and large-lot industrial parcels that support the traded-sector.

The region can fill the identified capacity gap through actions that promote more efficient use of zoned capacity inside the current UGB, or by expanding the UGB, or a combination of both. Throughout 2010, Metro will be working with local governments individually and through MTAC and MPAC to identify and adopt local and regional actions that will achieve greater efficiencies within the existing UGB and minimize the need for UGB expansion at the end of the year.

At the February 9, 2010 work session the Metro Council will have an opportunity to review the key products and timing of milestones that form the draft Capacity Ordinance to be released in summer 2010.

### OPTIONS AVAILABLE

The state requires that Metro identify at least 50% (up to 100%) of the capacity needed to fill the identified gap by the end of 2010, and the remainder (which must be outside the current UGB) by 2011.

### IMPLICATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

### QUESTION(S) PRESENTED FOR CONSIDERATION

- Staff has identified check in points for Council in the attached work program summary. Is the Council generally comfortable with this approach?

**LEGISLATION WOULD BE REQUIRED FOR COUNCIL ACTION  Yes  No**  
**DRAFT IS ATTACHED  Yes  No**

## 2010: Addressing the region’s 20-year residential and employment growth

### Work program summary: February 2, 2010

The urban growth report (UGR), endorsed by MPAC and accepted by the Metro Council in December 2009, identified the capacity of the region’s urban growth boundary (UGB) to accommodate the next 20 years of expected population and employment growth. The 2009 UGR was intended foster the development of an outcomes-based approach to growth management decision-making.

The UGR identified a gap between the forecast demand and the amount of zoned capacity that is likely to be developed in the next 20 years, as shown in the table below. The COO recommended that the region plan somewhere within the middle third of the forecast population and employment ranges, also shown in the chart.

Type of capacity	Capacity gap range in UGR		Middle third capacity gap range	
	Low	High	Low	High
Residential (dwelling units)	27,400	104,900	44,100	62,100
General industrial (acres)	0	0	0	0
Non-industrial (acres)	0	1,168	0	104
Large-lot industrial (acres)	200	1,500	200*	1,500*

\*This is the range recommended by MPAC and included in the UGR.

In 2010 the region is tasked with identifying a set of policies and investments that address the 20-year gap and support the region’s ability to meet forecast growth while moving towards the six outcomes that define a successful region, as endorsed by MPAC and the Metro Council in 2008:

- People live and work in vibrant communities where they can choose to walk for pleasure and to meet their everyday needs.
- Current and future residents benefit from the region’s sustained economic competitiveness and prosperity.
- People have safe and reliable transportation choices that enhance their quality of life.
- The region is a leader in minimizing contributions to global warming.
- Current and future generations enjoy clean air, clean water and healthy ecosystems.
- The benefits and burdens of growth and change are distributed equitably.

The region can fill the identified capacity gap through actions that promote more efficient use of zoned capacity inside the current UGB, or by expanding the UGB, or a combination of both. Throughout 2010, Metro will be working with local governments individually and through MTAC and MPAC to identify and adopt local and regional actions that will achieve greater efficiencies within the existing UGB and minimize the need for UGB expansion at the end of the year.

### **How this work is integrated with other elements of the Making the Greatest Place effort:**

- Urban and Rural Reserves
  - The completion and acknowledgement of urban reserves are critical to allow analysis of potential UGB expansion areas that don’t follow the current state land hierarchy.

- Local Aspirations
  - Community aspirations submitted in 2009, and local actions in 2010 to implement those aspirations, will influence the capacity of the existing UGB and inform local and regional growth management decisions in 2010 and beyond.
- Regional Transportation Plan (including High Capacity Transit Plan)
  - Establishing alternative mobility standards can potentially impact capacity utilization.
  - Future RTP updates will depend on new land use form as described by this project.
- Regional Investment Strategy
  - The development of a Regional Investment Strategy can support implementation of local and regional growth management decisions and local aspirations.

**The general timeline of activities for the year is as follows:**

**January and February**

- *Council check in:* Discuss timeline and key milestones.
- Work with local governments and MTAC to assess new capacity of the existing UGB based on recent local and regional actions (such as RTP adoption) not reflected in the UGR.
- Work with MTAC to develop inputs for MetroScope scenario model runs that test assumptions about the effects of adopted and anticipated local and regional actions on the capacity of the UGB.

**March and April**

- *Council check in:* Identify potential capacity inside UGB based on new local and regional actions, approach for analyzing potential expansion areas.
- Conduct MetroScope scenario runs, testing the inputs developed by MTAC.
- Begin identifying and assessing potential UGB expansion areas in proposed urban reserves.
- Work with a consultant to assess the impact of local actions on the market’s reaction to zoned capacity.

**May and June**

- *Council check in:* Discuss proposed changes to Regional Framework Plan and the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan; discuss “tradeoff analysis” outlining how the capacity gap can be filled by local actions, regional policy changes and UGB expansions, based on results of MetroScope scenario runs and other factors.
- Discuss the results of the MetroScope scenario runs with MTAC.
- Introduce and discuss, with MTAC and MPAC, proposed changes to the Regional Framework Plan and the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan and their anticipated effects on the capacity of the existing UGB.
- Discuss “tradeoff analysis” with MPAC.

**July**

- *Council check in:* Discuss options for filling the capacity gap and other elements of Capacity Ordinance.
- Release a proposal from the Metro COO outlining recommended regional policy actions, which will include draft of a capacity ordinance and proposed changes to the Regional Framework Plan and Urban Growth

Management Functional Plan. The COO proposal may include recommendations on targeted UGB expansions in specific areas.

**August through October**

- Conduct public hearings and other outreach on the Metro COO recommendation.

**November**

- Receive recommendations to the Metro Council from MPAC on proposed capacity ordinance and changes to the Regional Framework Plan and Urban Growth Management Functional Plan.

**December**

- Adopt capacity ordinance and other related policy changes, including a possible UGB expansion.
- Submit the package of policy actions that describes how the region will address the 20-year forecast growth to the Land Conservation and Development Commission for review and acknowledgement.