

Agenda

Date: April 12, 1979
Day: Thursday
Time: 7:30 am
Place: Kopper Kitchen

1. VOTING PROCEDURES FOR THE JOINT POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
ON TRANSPORTATION (JPACT)

MAJOR ISSUE--Efforts are currently underway to name elected representatives of local governments to sit on JPACT. These representatives should be present when voting procedures are established.

TPAC CONCERNS--Several TPAC members raised concerns:

1. Why isn't TPAC sufficient to ensure local government involvement?
2. What is the role of elected officials on JPACT?
3. What is the selection process to name elected officials?
4. Couldn't some transportation decisions be delegated to JPACT by the Council (with the right for any Councilor to call up an item for full Council action) of selected transportation decisions to reduce attendance by local staff at MSD meetings?
5. How are TPAC recommendations to be presented at JPACT?
6. Are local staff expected to attend JPACT meetings to explain their projects?

TPAC RECOMMENDATIONS--Recommendations were not made by the full committee.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Delay consideration of voting procedures until local elected officials are named to JPACT.
2. Prepare staff recommendation for next JPACT meeting describing the role of TPAC, the role of elected officials on JPACT, possible delegation of transportation decisions to JPACT, and recommended voting procedures for JPACT.

2. FUTURE MEETING SCHEDULE

MAJOR ISSUE--JPACT members should be named prior to establishing a regular meeting schedule.

TPAC CONCERNS--Several TPAC members felt that the early morning meeting time for JPACT would discourage attendance by local staff and elected officials.

TPAC RECOMMENDATION--Recommendations were not made by the full committee.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

1. Do not establish a regular meeting schedule until JPACT members are named.
2. Establish a meeting time for the May meeting of JPACT.
3. Establish a regular meeting schedule at the May meeting.

3. SCHEDULE FOR ADOPTION OF THE AIR QUALITY PLAN

MAJOR ISSUE--Adequate time is needed for a technical review by TPAC.

TPAC CONCERNS--A number of concerns have previously been made by various TPAC members about the SIP.

TPAC RECOMMENDATION--TPAC has established a subcommittee to review and advise them on the SIP. TPAC intends to approve recommendations at their May 10 meeting.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

- . Agree to schedule included in the packet.

4. CITIZEN APPOINTMENT TO THE TPAC

MAJOR ISSUE--Efforts have not been completed as yet to designate citizen representatives to TPAC.

TPAC CONCERNS--The role of citizens on TPAC was unclear to many TPAC members.

TPAC RECOMMENDATION--Recommendations were not made by the full committee.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

- . Establish a special meeting of the Council Transportation Committee to designate committee members for Council consideration.

5. PROCESS FOR ALLOCATING THE MSD INTERSTATE TRANSFER RESERVE

MAJOR ISSUE--The draft process has been rewritten in response to local jurisdiction comments.

TPAC CONCERNS--Several members expressed a desire to define criteria before problems are prioritized (the revised process includes this progression).

TPAC RECOMMENDATION--TPAC recommended that the proposed process be approved by the MSD council.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

- Recommend the process to the full MSD Council. Schedule consideration of criteria at the May meeting.

6. CITY OF PORTLAND REQUEST TO FUND PE ON THE SOUTH PORTLAND CIRCULATION PROJECT

MAJOR ISSUE--Issues to be considered in the PE study are described in the attached Systems Planning Report.

TPAC CONCERNS--A question was raised about the adequacy of the funds to complete PE.

TPAC RECOMMENDATION--TPAC recommended the proposed resolution be approved.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

- Recommend the Council approve the proposed resolution.

7. COST OVERRUNS ON HWY 212 AND PROGRESS RAMPS

MAJOR ISSUE--Issues are addressed in the Management Summaries.

TPAC CONCERNS--A question was raised about which contingency funds were being used (a number of contingency funds have been established).

TPAC RECOMMENDATION--Recommend the resolutions be approved by the full council.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

- Prepare a staff paper describing the various contingency accounts. Recommend the approval of the resolutions.

8. FY 1980 UNIFIED WORK PROGRAM (UWP)

MAJOR ISSUE--The draft UWP is to be reviewed by TPAC over the coming month. Issues will be addressed prior to TPAC's action in May.

TPAC CONCERNS--TPAC's concerns will be addressed at their May meeting.

TPAC RECOMMENDATION--Recommendations have not been made by TPAC as yet.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

- . Action by the Council Transportation Committee is not requested at this time. Schedule approval of UWP (as revised) for the May meeting.

9. TIP AMENDMENT TO FUND REPOWERING OF 200 BUSES

MAJOR ISSUE--Issues have not been raised.

TPAC CONCERNS--Concerns were not raised by TPAC members

TPAC RECOMMENDATION--Approval of the TIP amendment

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

- . Recommend amendment of the TIP to include funding of project.