STAFF R	EPORT	1
---------	-------	---

Agenda	Item	No.	
Meeting	n Date	<u> </u>	

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 85-558 FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPROVING THE FY 1986 UNIFIED WORK PROGRAM (UWP)

Date: March 20, 1985 Presented by: Andy Cotugno

PROPOSED ACTION

The first resolution would approve the UWP containing the transportation planning work program for FY 1986 and authorize the submittal of grant applications to the appropriate funding agencies. The second resolution would certify compliance with federal requirements for the transportation planning process.

TPAC has reviewed the UWP and recommends approval of Resolution No. 85-558.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

The FY 1986 UWP describes the transportation/air quality planning activities to be carried out in the Portland/Vancouver metropolitan region during the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1985. Included in the document are federally funded studies to be conducted by Metro, Regional Planning Council of Clark County (RPC), Tri-Met, the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and local jurisdictions.

The Oregon portion of the FY 1986 UWP major emphasis areas includes:

- •RTP Update and Refinement
- Southwest Corridor Study
- Regionwide Transitway Plan--Phase I (I-205, Barbur; adoption of Southern and Bi-State Transit Improvement Plan)
- •Section 9A New funds being used for various elements of Tri-Met planning.
- Westside Corridor-Sunset LRT FEIS and Preliminary Engineering
- ·Banfield Assessment Program

The UWP matches the projects and studies reflected in the proposed Metro budget to be submitted to the Tax Supervisory and Conservation Commission.

A prerequisite for receipt of federal funds for construction is a planning process which meets various requirements. Until 1984, certification was performed by FHWA on a biannual basis. Now, the MPOs have been given responsibility for self-certification. Documentation of compliance is attached to the second resolution.

Approval will mean that grants can be submitted and contracts executed so work can commence on July 1, 1985, in accordance with established Metro priorities.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

The Executive Officer recommends approval of Resolution No. 85-558.

KT/srs 8112B/283 04/02/85

BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE	PURPOSE	OF APPROVING	THE)	RESOLUTION NO. 85-558
FY 1986	UNIFIED	WORK PROGRAM	(UWP))	
)	Introduced by the Joint
)	Policy Advisory Committee or
)	Transportation

WHEREAS, The Unified Work Program (UWP) describes all federally-funded transportation/air quality planning activities for the Portland/Vancouver metropolitan area to be conducted in FY 1986; and

WHEREAS, The FY 1986 UWP indicates federal funding sources for transportation/air quality planning activities carried out by the Metropolitan Service District (Metro), Regional Planning Council of Clark County (RPC), the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), Tri-Met and the local jurisdictions; and

WHEREAS, Approval of the FY 1986 UWP is required to receive federal transportation planning funds; and

WHEREAS, The FY 1986 UWP is consistent with the proposed Metro budget submitted to the Tax Supervisory and Conservation Commission; and

WHEREAS, The FY 1986 UWP has been reviewed and agreed to by the Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee (TPAC), the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) and the RPC; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED,

- 1. That the FY 1986 work program goals are:
 - a. to refine the Transit Development Plan in light of the adopted RTP and Tri-Met's fiscal position; and

- b. to identify the total transportation funding needs and outline alternative funding approaches for the region.
- 2. That the FY 1986 UWP is hereby approved.
- 3. That the FY 1986 UWP is consistent with the continuing, cooperative and comprehensive planning process and is hereby given positive Intergovernmental Project Review action.
- 4. That the Metro Executive Officer is authorized to apply for, accept and execute grants and agreements specified in the UWP.

	ADO	PTED	by	the	Council	of	the	Metropolitan	Service	District
this		day	of .			1985	5.			

Ernie Bonner, Presiding Officer

KT/srs 8112B/283 04/02/85

JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT AND OREGON STATE HIGHWAY ENGINEER

FOR THE PURPOSE OF CERTIFYING THAT) RESOLUTION NO. 85-559 THE PORTLAND METROPOLITAN AREA IS) IN COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL TRANS-) Introduced by the Joint PORTATION PLANNING REQUIREMENTS) Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation
WHEREAS, Substantial federal funding from the Urban Mass
Transportation Administration (UMTA) and Federal Highway Administra-
tion (FHWA) is available to the Portland metropolitan area; and
WHEREAS, FHWA and UMTA require that the planning process
for the use of these funds comply with certain requirements as a
prerequisite for receipt of such funds; and
WHEREAS, Satisfaction of the various requirements is
documented in Attachment "A"; now, therefore,
BE IT RESOLVED,
That the transportation planning process for the Portland
metropolitan area (Oregon portion) is in compliance with federal
requirements as defined in Title 23 Code of Federal Regulations,
Part 450, and Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 613.
ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District
this, 1985.
Ernie Bonner, Presiding Officer
APPROVED by the Oregon Department of Transportation State
Highway Engineer this, 1985.
State Highway Engineer

AC/srs-0888C/373 04/02/85

Metropolitan Service District Self Certification

1. Metropolitan Planning Organization Designation

The Metropolitan Service District (Metro) is the MPO designated by the Governor for the urbanized areas of Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington Counties, Oregon.

Metro is a regional government with 12 directly elected Councilors and an elected Executive Officer. Local elected officials are directly involved in the transportation planning/decision process through the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) (see attached membership). JPACT provides the "forum for cooperative decision-making by principal elected officials of general purpose local governments" as required by USDOT.

2. Agreements

Even though cooperative working agreements between jurisdictions are no longer required, several are still in effect:

- a. A basic memorandum of agreement between Metro and the Intergovernmental Resource Center (Regional Planning Council of Clark County) which delineates areas of responsibility and necessary coordination and defines the terms of allocating Section 8 funds.
- b. An agreement between Tri-Met, Public Transit Division of ODOT and Metro setting policies regarding special needs transportation.
- c. An intergovernmental agreement between Metro, Tri-Met and ODOT which describes the roles and responsibilities of each agency in the 3C planning process.
- d. Yearly agreements are executed between Metro and ODOT defining the terms and use of FHWA planning funds and Metro and Tri-Met for use of UMTA funds.
- e. Bi-State Resolution Metro and Intergovernmental Resource Center (Regional Planning Council of Clark County) jointly adopted a resolution establishing a Bi-State Policy Advisory Committee (attached).

3. Geographic Scope

Transportation planning in the Metro region includes the entire area within the Federal-Aid Urban boundary.

4. Transportation Plan

The Regional Transportation Plan was adopted on July 1, 1982. The document has had one approved housekeeping update (October, 1983) and is scheduled for a major update in FY 86.

The short-range Transit Development Program (TDP), the detailed transit operations plan for the region, was adopted in 1980 and is currently being updated by Tri-Met. Because the update will be based on service cutbacks and deletions that will potentially have a severe impact on local jurisdictions and affect other parts of the transportation system, TPAC and JPACT involvement have been requested. The TDP is a prerequisite for approval of federal transit assistance and continued delay jeopardizes the region's certification.

5. Transportation Improvement Program

The FY 85 TIP was adopted in September, 1984 but is amended continuously throughout the year. A recent amendment included authorization of FY 85 Interstate Transfer funds. An upcoming amendment will deal with Section 9 capital funds.

6. Public Involvement

Metro maintains a continuous public involvement process through citizen members on technical advisory committees, newsletters and press releases. Major transportation projects have citizen involvement focused specifically on the special needs of the project. Large projects such as the Westside Corridor have their own citizen group, which has held over 150 public meetings and included numerous mailings, press releases and public service announcements. In addition, each jurisdiction has its own citizen involvement process.

7. Air Quality

Oregon's State Implementation Plans for ozone and carbon monoxide were both adopted by Metro and DEQ and approved by EPA in 1982.

The Metro area has been in compliance with the ozone standard for the last three years and is projected to be by 1987 for carbon monoxide. The TIPs do not contain new control measures on transportation modes in order to reach attainment; rather, they rely on existing commitments, programs and federal emission controls. Current efforts are focusing on increasing the transit mode split throughout the region and particularly to downtown Portland.

8. Civil Rights

Metro's Title VI submittal for FY 84-85 was submitted to UMTA in October, 1984. No response has been received. Since the FHWA review in June, 1981, Metro has developed full plans for MBE Equal Opportunity and Citizen participation. Updates on all Title VI requirements are submitted to UMTA on a regular basis.

9. Elderly and Handicapped

Efforts are being made to update the Special Needs Transportation Service Plan. A new Special Needs Committee has been formed to guide and advise that study. Appropriate parts of the new Special Needs Plan will become an adopted portion of the RTP.

10. Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program (DBE)

A revised DBE Program was adopted by the Metro Council in December, 1984. Overall agency goals were set for DBE's and WBE's as well as contract goals by type. The annual goal for all Department of Transportation-assisted DBE's is 10 percent and WBE's is 3 percent. The DBE Program is very specific about the RFP, bidding and contract process. So far in FY 85, there have been no contracts executed using Department of Transportation funds. The DBE/WBE goal will most likely not be met this fiscal year because of delays in project work that includes some contractual work where the DBE Program would be utilized.

One major capital purchase planned for this year is for new computer hardware. The RFP will be published in both general and minority publications and sent to all manufacturers we are aware of that meet the specifications.

11. Public/Private Transit Operators

Tri-Met and C-TRAN are the major providers of transit service in the region. Other public and private services are coordinated by these operators.

C-TRAN contracts directly for commuter service with Evergreen Stage Lines. This contract supplements Tri-Met and C-TRAN service between Portland and Vancouver.

Tri-Met contracts for elderly and handicapped service with private entities such as the Broadway/Radio Cab Joint Venture and Special Mobility Services, Inc., and public agencies such as the Community Action Agencies of Clackamas and Multnomah Counties. Tri-Met also coordinates those agencies using federal programs (UMTA's 16(b)(2)) to acquire vehicles. Service providers in this category include Clackamas County Loaves and Fishes, the Jewish Community Center, Special Mobility Services, Inc. and others.

Special airport transit services are also provided in the region (Portland DART and Airporter Services). Involvement with these services is limited to special issues.

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING IN THE PORTLAND-VANCOUVER METROPOLITAN AREA

FISCAL YEAR 1986 UNIFIED WORK PROGRAM

Metropolitan Service District
Tri-County Metropolitan Transportation District
Intergovernmental Resource Center
(formerly Regional Planning Council of Clark County)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OREGON SECTION PA	GE
METRO:	
Regional Transportation Plan: Update and Refinement	1
Regional Transportation Plan: Financing	4
Phase I Alternatives Analysis	5
Southwest Corridor Study	8
Data, Growth Monitoring and Forecasts	9
Travel Model Refinement	1
Banfield Assessment Program	2
Technical Assistance	4
Transportation Improvement Program	5
Coordination and Management	7
ODOT PLANNING ASSISTANCE	9
TRI-MET:	
Efficiency Planning	-1
Automated Customer Contact Report System	-3 -4
Information Systems Planning	-7
Automated Systems Planning	-9
Project Planning	-11
Capital Development Program Planning	-13 -15 -16 -17
Service Planning	-21
EMME-2 Model Application	-23

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

	PAGE
Special Area Planning	TM-26
Special Needs Transportation System Planning	
Program Administration	TM-29
FY 86 Unified Work Program Funding Summary	.31
WASHINGTON SECTION	
Summary of Expenditures and Revenues	W-1

OREGON PORTION

I. A. REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN: UPDATE AND REFINEMENT

The adopted RTP provides the region with a comprehensive policy and investment blueprint for an effective long-range transportation system. As a result of demographic and economic events since the original adoption of the RTP, the basic assumptions of the Plan need to be re-evaluated to ensure the most cost-effective mix of transit, highway and rideshare programs are included.

Program Objectives:

- 1. Adopt the Phase I RTP Update to reflect the following:
 - a. Revised 2005 travel forecasts based upon:
 - Update of 2005 population/employment growth patterns.
 - Update of basic assumptions affecting travel patterns including gas price, parking cost, inflation rates, transit fare, etc.
 - b. Re-evaluation of the RTP rideshare "target" to ensure it is feasible; evaluate the potential of telecommunications to reduce long-range travel demands.
 - c. Evaluation of the performance of the highway and transit systems assuming 1) the adopted RTP is implemented, and 2) only transit and highway improvements with committed funding are implemented.
 - d. Update of RTP costs and current, dedicated transportation revenue sources.

Note: This task is carried over from FY 1985; the majority of work was completed in FY 1985, but adoption will occur in FY 1986.

- 2. Prepare and adopt the Phase II RTP Update to reflect the following:
 - a. Revised highway and transit service policies, as needed.
 - b. Revised highway and transit improvement programs, as needed.
 - c. Updated transportation supportive land use policies.
 - d. Development of print materials to document the "Case for Transportation" to include information on alternative levels of service, cost-efficiency

of different system elements, interrelationship of transit and highway improvement programs and relation to land use plans.

- e. Presentation of highway and transit improvement targets for 10- and 15-year intervals.
- 3. Maintain and refine the RTP as needed to include:
 - a. Process requests for minor amendments to the RTP as needed.
 - b. Review local comprehensive plans for consistency with the RTP with a particular emphasis during periodic plan review and update; review significant transportation issues with TPAC and JPACT; implement a program to obtain consistency during the local jurisdiction's or RTP's next review or update process.
 - c. Define the Minor Arterial and Collector system consistent with local comprehensive plans. Identify inconsistencies, perform technical analysis (as required), and coordinate resolution of interjurisdictional disagreements.
 - d. Provide review and technical analysis as required to evaluate the Tri-Met Five-Year Transportation Development Plan (TDP) for consistency with the adopted RTP.
 - e. Assist Tri-Met as needed in elderly and handicapped service planning; adopt appropriate amendments to the RTP.
 - f. Assist Tri-Met in defining a new direction for the Rideshare program and establishing an appropriate funding source.
- 4. Evaluate the transportation needs of local comprehensive land use plans at "Build Out" (time and funding permitting).

- 1. RTP Phase I Update
- 2. RTP Phase II Update
- 3. Technical Memos Documenting:
 - a. rideshare potential;
 - b. telecommunications;
 - c. alternative transportation service levels;
 - d. RTP staging; and
 - e. Comprehensive Plan Build-Out.

Expenses:

Revenues:

Metro:	Personnel	\$141,136	FY 86 PL/ODOT	\$ 45,478
	M & S	8,750	FY 86 Sec. 8	83,526
TOTAL		\$149,886	Metro Match	10,113
			Tri-Met Match	9,362
			ODOT Match	1,407
			TOTAL	\$149.886

I. B. REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN: FINANCING

Program Objective:

The major open issue in the RTP is financing. The Metropolitan Service District (Metro) staff will work with the Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee (TPAC) to identify priority financing issues and build a regional consensus on solutions.

- 1. Survey of Financing Techniques There will be a series of analyses and reports as defined by TPAC on new rules, guidelines and trends in transportation financing. The report series may cover:
 - Federal Legislation
 - State Legislation
 - Private Sector Financing
- 2. Local Case Studies A technical group will share case studies from the Portland region. This group will produce analyses and recommendations on specific financing strategies.
- 3. Technical Assistance Technical assistance will be provided on a request-basis to provide data, information and regional coordination that are a necessary complement to the financing efforts of the local jurisdictions.
- 4. Regional Consensus Building on RTP Financing Policies/ Mechanisms - As options, policies or financing mechanisms are identified which receive local government support, staff will take all administrative and public involvement steps necessary to amend the RTP.

Expenses:		Revenues:		
Personnel M & S	\$42,500 0	FY 83 (e)(4) OR-23-9001 Metro Match	\$36,125 6,375	
TOTAL	\$42,500	TOTAL	\$42,500	

I. C. PHASE I ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

Program Objectives:

- Adopt the conclusion for Phase I Alternatives Analysis in the Southern and Bi-State Corridors including:

 a) adoption of the McLoughlin Boulevard highway and transit improvement plan and staging program; and
 b) adoption of the Bi-State Transit Improvement Plan.
- 2. Complete and adopt the conclusion for Part 2 a Phase I Alternatives Analysis for the I-205 Corridor between the Portland International Airport and the Clackamas Town Center, defining the cost and feasibility of light rail in this corridor.
- 3. Initiate a "sketch" assessment of the remaining transitway corridors identified in the RTP, for which a Phase I analysis has not yet been completed. These include the following: a) I-5 South/Barbur Blvd.; b) Sunset LRT extension from Beaverton to Tigard; c) S.W. 185th Avenue to Hillsboro; d) Tigard to Tualatin; e) Macadam Avenue; and f) Milwaukie LRT extension from Milwaukie to Lake Oswego. On the Eastside, the feasibility of the following LRT extensions will be evaluated: a) from Milwaukie to Oregon City via McLoughlin; b) Milwaukie to Clackamas Town Center; and c) I-205 from Clackamas Town Center to Oregon City.
- 4. From the sketch assessment, define each corridor as either: a) part of the "Priority LRT Program" for which a full Phase I Alternatives Analysis should be initiated; or b) a secondary corridor, for which further alignment studies should be initiated to allow for designation in local comprehensive plans and right-of-way preservation; or c) a corridor which should be dropped from further consideration for LRT.
- Define the staging strategy for the "Priority LRT Program" to include in the RTP based upon, but not limited to, the following factors: timing of the need for expanded transit capacity, timing of growth, costeffectiveness of the facility and degree to which transit-supportive land uses can be expected.
- 6. More detailed work will follow as required and defined by the "sketch" assessment, including:
 - a. Completion of full "Phase I" work program for corridors recommended to be included in "Priority LRT System" to provide data comparable to Milwaukie, Bi-State and I-205 corridors;

- b. Identification of alignments to be protected for corridors <u>not</u> included in "Priority LRT System" but desired to be retained for further consideration; and
- c. Completion of downtown alignment and operations plan.

Relation to Previous Work:

The Regional LRT System Plan Scope of Work (approved in FY 1983) has served as an overall guide for the Regional LRT studies, under which studies in the Milwaukie and Bi-State corridors have been undertaken. A similar study for the I-205 corridor between the Portland International Airport and Gateway was accelerated and will be conducted primarily in FY 1986. Prior to initiating further full Phase I studies for remaining transitway corridors identified in the RTP, a "sketch" assessment will be performed to limit the full "Phase I" work program assessment to those corridors found to be most promising.

Work on various Westside branches and extensions will build upon the results of the Westside Corridor Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) (March 1982) and Preferred Alternative Report (January 1983).

Work on Eastside corridors will build upon the results of the Milwaukie and Bi-State corridor studies conducted as Part One of the Regional LRT System Plan.

Products:

- 1. Final Southern Corridor Highway/Transit staging plan.
- Phase I Alternatives Analysis and "Sketch" LRT assessment recommendations and resulting amendments to the RTP.
- 3. A "Priority LRT System Plan" and financing alternatives for those corridors proven to be most cost-effective.
- 4. Alignment descriptions for those corridors not part of the priority system, but still considered feasible.

Note: This work element and a portion of the budget will carry over into FY 1987; in particular, approximately 50 percent of the "Sketch" assessment and the tasks thereafter.

Responsibilities:

Metro is responsible for the overall conduct of the study, coordination of the Oregon decisions, Oregon public involvement, technical analysis associated with travel forecasts, impact analysis and cost-effectiveness evaluation.

Tri-Met is responsible for definition of alternatives including engineering analysis, capital costing and operating costing.

The Regional Planning Council of Clark County (RPC) is responsible for coordinating Washington decisions for the Bi-State Study.

Portland and Clackamas County will provide input on impact analyses and provide technical supervision of traffic impact analyses.

Expenses: Revenues: Metro: Personnel \$284,454 Metro: 18,250 M & S FY 86 (e) (4) \$156,981 \$302,704 2,000a OR-29-9008 (e) (4) #1 123,890b OR-29-9010 9,917 Tri-Met: Personnel \$ 85,257 Metro Match 78,317 Tri-Met Match 9,916 M & S \$163,574 \$302,704 Tri-Met: OR-29-9008 (e)(4) #1 \$ 18,317 *Portland: Personnel \$ 10,000 OR-29-9010 (e) (4) #2 60,000 *Clack. Co. Personnel \$ 20,000 Sec. 9 (OR-90-2005) 19,244 FY 86 Sec. 9 20,000 22,013 GRAND TOTAL \$496,278 Tri-Met Match Clack. Co. Match 4,000 \$143,574 *Portland: OR-29-9010 8,500 1,500 Portland Match \$ 10,000 *Clackamas County FY 86 Sec. 9 \$ 16,000 Clackamas Co. Match 4,000 GRAND TOTAL \$496,278

^{*}Subject to execution of agreement on scope of work.

I. D. SOUTHWEST CORRIDOR STUDY

The adopted RTP recognized several outstanding transportation issues in the Southwest Corridor. Begun in 1984, this study will identify, evaluate and define the effects of different transportation investments and policies in the Corridor, and, upon adoption by the Policy Committee of officials after public hearings, recommend an improvement strategy for the Corridor for inclusion in affected state, regional (RTP) and local plans.

Program Objectives:

- Complete evaluation and costing of the three alternative strategies beyond improvements to the currently adopted RTP: Bypass Alternative; Modest Improvement Alternative; and Major Existing System Expansion Alternative.
- 2. Prepare, present and distribute report documenting the evaluation, conclusions and recommendations on the alternatives.
- 3. Staff Policy Committee public hearings on the study documentation.
- 4. Develop final report and transit recommendations to affected state and local jurisdictions for inclusion in their plans.
- 5. Amend adopted RTP to include final recommendations of the study.

Products:

- 1. Technical memorandums documenting alternatives and evaluation.
- Recommendation for inclusion in RTP and other pertinent state and local plans.

*Revenues: Expenses: Personnel \$106,606 FY 86 (e) (4) \$ 77,652 Metro Match M & S 3,500 5,852 \$110,106 ODOT Match 5,851 TOTAL Tri-Met Match 2,000 PL/ODOT 18,751 \$110,106 TOTAL

^{*}Considerable FHWA-HPR funds in support of ODOT staff will also be used on this project.

II. A. DATA, GROWTH MONITORING AND FORECASTS

Regional Data Base Maintenance

Objectives:

- 1. <u>Historical and current year data</u>: to update housing, demographic and economic data on an annual basis.
- 2. Short- and long-term forecasts: to carry out the annual five-year forecast and to evaluate (and, if necessary, modify) the long-range (year 2005) forecast.
- 3. Provide socio-economic data and forecasts to local jurisdictions within the following budgets:

Portland	\$14,303
Washington County	8,997
Clackamas County	6,459
Multnomah County	7,151
Port of Portland	1,000
Tri-Met	1,000
	\$38,910

Relation to Previous Work:

A continuation of the annual work required to maintain a satisfactorily updated data base for both transportation and general planning.

Products:

Computer files and hardcopy of the following sets of information:

1. 1985 Updates by Traffic Zones

Persons - by age and sex
Households - by size and income
Dwelling Units - by type
Employees - by place of work by SIC
Land In Use - by zoning
Vacant Land - by plan designation

2. 1990 and 2005 Forecasts by Traffic Zones

Persons - by age and sex
Households - by size and income
Dwelling Units - by type
Employees - by place of work by retail/non-retail
Land In Use
Vacant Land

Expenses:

Revenues:

Personnel M & S TOTAL	\$156,590 11,350 \$167,940	PL/ODOT FY 86 Sec. 8 Metro Match Tri-Met Match Metro Dues	\$ 30,972 25,633 6,208 200 104,927
		TOTAL	\$167,940

II. B. TRAVEL MODEL REFINEMENT

Objectives:

- Maintain an up-to-date travel-forecasting model based on project changes, land use changes and projected transit and highway investments.
- Continue to improve and refine the travel-forecasting models, as appropriate, to enhance the decision-making process served by the model outputs.

Relation to Previous Work:

This is a continuing process to improve travel modeling and forecasting for this region.

- Recalibrated Eastside, Westside and Central Area models for 1985 and 2005 following the results of the O-D Survey carried out as part of the Banfield "Before and After" study.
- 2. Development of technique to produce a.m. peak hour, off-peak hour and 24-hour traffic assignments.
- 3. Development of volume/capacity link output display.
- 4. Add subarea link designations to the network to speed results output.

Expenses:		Revenues:	
Personnel	\$53,916	FY 86 PL/ODOT	\$30,119
M & S	6,500	FY 86 Sec. 8	24,238
TOTAL	\$60,416	Metro Match	6,059
		TOTAL	\$60,416

II. C. BANFIELD ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

Program Objectives:

- 1. Provide the base case for an assessment of the travel behavior impacts of the Banfield LRT, and provide for the improvement of current travel models by coding the results of Tri-Met's 1985 Regional Transportation Survey; and using those results to re-estimate Metro's trip generation, destination choice, and mode choice travel models. This base case survey will allow assessing whether there is an "intrinsic attractiveness" of LRT to increase transit ridership through a post-Banfield travel survey.
- 2. Set the stage for a thorough assessment of Banfield project impacts through compilation of base case data for the pre-Banfield condition, including updated land use and values around Banfield station areas, and compilation of transit and highway statistics describing pre-Banfield conditions.

Relation to Previous Work:

Tri-Met is sponsoring a major Regional Transportation Survey in the spring of 1985. This survey will provide a much larger sample of households than was possible with Metro's 1977 Survey, with which current travel models were estimated. The re-estimation made possible by the survey will build upon ongoing Metro model improvement efforts undertaken since 1977.

Banfield corridor data collection will build upon the results of the Banfield FEIS, and the project which followed. Station area impact assessment will build upon the results of the station area planning program conducted by Tri-Met, Metro, and local jurisdictions. Traffic counts and transit ridership and operating data are ongoing efforts to be specially coordinated for this program.

Proposed Program:

The Banfield Assessment Program involves the following major activities to establish a pre-Banfield project inventory:

- 1. Process the results of the 1985 Regional Transportation Survey for use in describing pre-Banfield travel behavior, and for use in re-estimating Metro's travel-forecasting model.
- 2. Re-estimate Metro's travel models with the survey results and calibrate to actual on-board transit census and traffic counts.

- 3. Coordinate the collection of traffic and transit data for the pre-Banfield condition.
- Update the land use inventory in Banfield station areas. Establish pre-Banfield land and lease value trends.

Products:

 Banfield Corridor Before Trends Report: Document 1985 travel conditions, travel behavior, and other base data to be eventually used to assess the impacts of the LRT project.

A series of post-Banfield impact assessment reports are envisioned for FY 1987 and 1988.

 Revised travel-forecasting models for general application in transportation planning.

Responsibilities:

Metro will provide overall project coordination, code and analyze travel survey results, re-estimate travel-forecasting models, calibrate the models to 1985 conditions, and compile and produce a Banfield "Before" trends report in the beginning of FY 1987.

Tri-Met will collect transit ridership and operations data, and other documentation of the pre-Banfield condition.

ODOT and local agencies will provide traffic counts to document the pre-Banfield highway system conditions.

Metro and local agencies will update land use inventories and provide a base case for land use, fiscal and environmental impact assessment of the Banfield LRT.

Expenses: Revenues:

Personnel M & S TOTAL	\$129,600 <u>34,400</u> \$164,000	FY 86 PL/ODOT FY 86 Sec. 8 Metro Match Tri-Met Match FY 86 (e) (4) Metro Match Tri-Met Match Sec. 9	\$ 18,500 16,060 2,008 2,007 16,256 1,435 1,434 85,040
		Metro Match Tri-Met Match TOTAL	10,630 10,630 \$164,000

II. D. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

Program Objective:

Provide travel analysis and forecasts as needed by local agencies and jurisdictions.

Relation to Previous Work:

This is an ongoing service which has been provided to member jurisdictions on a request basis.

Products:

- 1. Metro assistance for
 - Staff Assistance to obtain data and forecasts and/or evaluate a particular transportation problem
 - Computer Usage
 - Training
- 2. Technical Assistance to the jurisdictions will be based on a budget allocation as follows:

Portland	\$18,489
Multnomah County	9,244
Washington County	11,629
Clackamas County	8,351
Port	9,473
Tri-Met	9,473
ODOT	2,000
	\$68,659

Requests for services must be made by the appropriate TPAC members; suburban jurisdictions should channel their request through the TPAC representative in the cities of that county.

3. Complete an assessment of travel problems in the Cornell/Barnes/Burnside corridor and develop recommended improvements for inclusion in the Washington County and Portland comprehensive plans and, as needed, in the RTP. Proposed Budget: \$15,000.

Expenses: Revenues:

Metro:	Personnel	\$74 , 660	FY 86 PL/ODOT	\$45,395
	M & S	9,000	FY 86 Sec. 8	22,806
TOTAL		\$83,660	Tri-Met Match	5,702
			Metro Dues	9,757
			TOTAL	\$83,660

III. A. TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The TIP is a federally required document setting forth funding for transportation improvements identified in the RTP, including project length, termini, estimated total costs, federal funds to be obligated by program year, identification of recipient and state and local agencies responsible for carrying out the project.

Program Objectives:

- 1. Allocate available federal funding for the program year by establishing project priorities and individual jurisdiction budgets. This will require implementation in early 1986 of a process to allocate all remaining Interstate Transfer funds to projects by the September 30, 1986 federal deadline. Similarly, a process will be developed to allocate Federal-Aid Urban funds in keeping with completion of the downstate transfer of these funds in FY 1986.
- 2. Monitor funding status of applicable federal funds including project authorizations and obligations. Major emphasis will be placed on Interstate Transfer funds (highway and transit), Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA) grants and Federal-Aid Urban funds. Maintain overall status of the above by clear distinction of: UMTA (e)(4) grants broken into Banfield and all other; highway and transit by jurisdiction; and UMTA grants set forth under the UMTA Act.
- 3. Adopt the FY 1986 TIP annual update including the assessment of air quality conformity (October 1985).
- 4. Publish monthly and quarterly TIP updates.
- 5. Provide input at the state and federal level of regional transportation funding needs.
- Provide generalized support to state and local jurisdictions by conducting specialized cost studies.
- 7. Update the Federal-Aid Urban boundary as required.

Relation to Previous Work:

TIP updates and ongoing project priority setting.

- 1. FY 1986 TIP and periodic updates.
- 2. FY 1986 funding priorities.

Expenses:

Metro: Personnel \$115,904 M & S 1,000 TOTAL \$116,904

Revenues:

FY 86 PL/ODOT FY 86 Sec. 8	\$	23,662 25,668
Metro Match		2,139
Tri-Met Match		2,139
ODOT Match		2,139
FY 86 (e) (4)		49,111
Metro Match		2,889
Tri-Met Match		2,889
ODOT		2,889
FY 85 (e) (4)		2,872
Metro Match		169
Tri-Met Match		169
ODOT Match		169
TOTAL	\$.	116,904

III. B. COORDINATION AND MANAGEMENT

Program Objectives:

- Internal management of the Transportation Department toward implementation of the Unified Work Program (UWP).
- Provide support to various Metro committees; coordinate with ODOT, Tri-Met and local jurisdictions.
- 3. Provide documentation to Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and UMTA of departmental activities, including Intergovernmental Project Review, monthly and quarterly progress reports.
- 4. Continue to update Title VI documentation as 1980 Census data becomes available.
- 5. Provide for staff development through performance evaluations and training.
- 6. Define appropriate role for private transit operators in planning and decision-making in response to new federal requirements.

Relation to Previous Work:

This work element is ongoing and carries over each year.

- 1. FY 1987 Unified Work Program.
- 2. Execution and monitoring of various pass-through agreements.
- 3. Required documentation to FHWA and UMTA.
- 4. Monthly progress reports to the TPAC.
- 5. Quarterly progress and financial reports to UMTA and ODOT.
- 6. Minutes, agendas and documentation.
- 7. Management of department staff time, budget and products.
- 8. Interdepartmental coordination.
- 9. Periodic review with FHWA and UMTA on UWP progress.
- 10. Respond to changes in FHWA/UMTA planning requirements.

Expenses:

Revenues:

Metro:	Personnel	\$ 94,560	FY 85 PL/ODOT	\$ 36,601
	M & S	10,000	FY 85 Sec. 8	54,369
TOTAL		\$104,560	Metro Match	9,090
			Tri-Met Match	4,500
			TOTAL	\$104,560

AC/srs 2977C/399-3 03/05/85

ODOT PLANNING ASSISTANCE

Program Objectives:

Major accomplishments for FY 1986 by the Metro/Region Branch include supporting Metro and other agencies in the RTP update. Major assistance emphasis will also be given to the local plan updates and Southwest Corridor Study. Work activities will include:

FY 1985 HPR PROGRAM

- 1. Continued support of the Southwest Subarea analysis.
- 2. Access Management Study support (Beaverton and West Linn).
- 3. Westside Subarea update, including calibrate and review base year "update" traffic (1980-1983). Westside Subarea analysis for forecast traffic needs.
- 4. External traffic count update at approximately 15 stations.
- 5. I-205 Light Rail Corridor Study support.
- 6. Local land use development and traffic impact reviews.
- 7. RTP update including update of the various subarea analyses.
- 8. Transit station and park and ride developmental reviews.
- 9. Small city transportation analysis. City of Portland central city planning support.
- 10. State/City of Portland highway jurisdictional study.
- 11. Policy and technical coordination with regional planning, local agencies, TPAC, the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT), State of Washington regional planning (Regional Resource Center), Washington County Transportation Coordinating Committee (WCTCC), Clackamas County Transportation Committee, East Multnomah Transportation Committee and coordination of administration of programs with Metro.
- 12. Participate in the Regional Banfield Assessment Program.
- 13. Metro will provide staff and computer assistance for travel forecasts; budget = \$10,000 to be used prior to ODOT portion of Technical Assistance budget.

Expenses:

Revenues:

\$178,500

HPR/ODOT

ODOT: Personnel

M & S

\$158,500

10,000 \$168,500

Metro: Personnel

M & S

(Computer)

\$ 4,000

6,000 \$ 10,000

GRAND TOTAL

\$178,500

AC/srs 2977C/399-4 03/28/85

- A. Automated Customer Contact Report System
- B. Labor Management and Productivity Analysis
- C. Transit Performance Analysis
- D. Transit Service Efficiency

Expenditures		Revenues	Revenues	
Tri-Met	\$178,500	FY '86 Sec 9 OR-90-2003 OR-90-2005 Tri-Met Match TOTAL	\$ 90,000 35,200 17,600 35,700 \$178,500	

A. AUTOMATED CUSTOMER CONTACT REPORT SYSTEM

Program Objectives:

- 1. Increase transit service quality control and productivity.
- 2. Improve research data for service planning and scheduling.

Relation to Previous Work:

Manual Customer Contact Report system has been in place for 4 years. Reports have proved effective for quality control for response to customer complaints, commendations, and suggestions. A by-product of the system is an invaluable data base which if automated would be a cost effective resource for service and personnel problem solving and planning.

- 1. Commuter reports by problem category including but not limited to problems by route number, time of day and location.
- Commuter reports equating service or customer problems as they relate to specific transit employee performance by route, time of day and nature of problem.
- 3. Increased productivity in transit service and personnel through automation of the system.
- 4. Improved quality of service to the user of the system as well as improved response time to customers and management staff seeking information from the system.

B. LABOR MANAGEMENT AND PRODUCTIVITY

Program Objectives:

- Improve operator productivity through improved absenteeism monitoring.
 This involves development of a data base of operator time loss data to provide ready access of information to managers for analysis and decision-making.
- 2. Improve operator safety performance through the development of an analytical information reporting system.
- 3. Provide timely and detailed information on operator absences and accident costs for management decision support.

Relation to Previous Work:

Currently, absentee data is reported in the Monthly Performance Report and a separate Monthly Absenteeism report for operators. The next step is to make the raw data available to managers throughout the agency for special departmental analyses by building the data into the corporate data base.

The accident and liability tracking system has been prototyped on a micro and developed into a production data base system on the mainframe computer. Additional work needs to be completed to provide users with the necessary reports, and to train users on how to use the data base for departmental analyses.

- Regular operator absentee and accident/liability reports.
- Data base access to absence and accident data for ad hoc analysis by management.

C. TRANSIT PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

Program Objectives:

- 1. Provide timely and accurate management information reports for the Banfield Light Rail System, compatible with the current reports produced for bus operations.
- 2. Improve utility of the Monthly Performance Report by moving it to the in-house computer with summary data available to management through an SQL database.
- 3. Improve financial forecasting capabilities by linking the Financial Forecasting Model to the Monthly Performance Report. This would allow comparison of expected revenue and ridership to actual, on a monthly basis.
- 4. Improve reliability and accuracy of the Route Performance report by validation of the Automatic Passenger Counters. This will provide a range of error on the counts, allowing management to use the report in decisions regarding service levels.

Relation to Previous Work:

The Monthly Performance Report provides accurate and timely systemwide performance measures for the bus system. Key indicators have been developed and are presented in the report numerically and graphically. The next steps are: 1) To provide the same information for the upcoming light rail systems; 2) to make the data more readily available for ad hoc analysis by development as a data base.

The Route Performance Report provides route level ridership and performance data each sign-up. The report is generated using data from the Automatic Passenger Counters. The evaluation methodology incorporates a ranking procedure based on the farebox recovery ratio. The next steps are: 1) validate the APC to find the range of error associated with various levels of detail; 2) move the system onto the in-house computer to provide better access to the information by management.

- 1. Banfield Light Rail Monthly Performance Reports that compare current results with previous results.
- 2. A data base of performance data available for analysis by management; cost savings from moving the system in-house.

- 3. A "loop" that ties actual ridership and revenue to expected ridership and revenue, allowing for continual modification and refinement of our Financial Forecasting ability in these areas.
- 4. Accuracy and reliability in the Route Performance Report; the data can be used for evaluating service changes; cost savings from moving the system in-house.

I. EFFICIENCY PLANNING

D. TRANSIT SERVICE EFFICIENCY PROGRAM

Program Objectives:

- 1. Reduce the amount of low productivity service and hours and strengthen the system as a whole.
- 2. Develop new Technical Methods and Tools including review of available hardware and software for interactive Schedules Making Tool.
- 3. Apply TSEP standards to existing lines.

Relation to Previous Work: '

Although some of the objectives of this program have been accomplished including establishment of a standardized procedure by which route changes are evaluated and made and development of a system to reduce dead-head costs, because of delays, others are still on-going or in formative stages. No new funding is requested and carryover funding should be expended this year in completion of remaining products.

- 1. Computerized Interactive Schedule Writer.
- 2. Package of service adjustments to improve efficiency of service.

- A. Automated Systems Planning
- B. Financial Forecasting and Planning
- C. Maintenance Management Information Applications

Expenditures		Revenues				
Tri-Met	\$257,050	FY '86 Sec 9 Tri-Met Match TOTAL	\$205,640 51,410 \$257,050			

A. AUTOMATED SYSTEMS PLANNING

Program Objectives:

- Continue the planning of automated systems and operating procedures (including disaster recovery plan) that provide a means to collect and develop the information needed for making management and policy decisions, disseminating information regarding these decisions to affected parties, and implementing the decisions once they are made.
- 2. Evaluate micro-computer systems software and applications software for applicability to our environment. Obtain information from other transit districts, other public sector organizations and UMTA to assist evaluation.
- 3. Develop procedure and guidelines to administer data base and criteria for evaluating data base system software performance.
- 4. Develop plans and specifications for local area networks of micro-computers.

Relation to Previous Work:

These projects address planning needs identified as a result of grant funded work in the area of management information systems.

- 1. Plans and specifications for automated management information systems needs not previously identified.
- 2. Data base administration guidelines, and software performance criteria.
- Data center disaster recovery plan.
- 4. Micro-computer application evaluations.
- Micro-computer application plans, specifications, and programs.
- 6. Plans and specifications for local area network of micro-computers.

B. FINANCIAL FORECASTING AND PLANNING

Program Objectives:

- 1. Continue refinement and develop technical documentation for financial and economic forecasting models.
- 2. Support policy analysis by providing management with financial projections based upon alternative policy decisions.
- 3. Evaluate and begin development of a computerized cash management system to support financial planning and management information.
- 4. Assess agency's alternatives regarding local financing techniques for operating support and for capital project local match requirements.
- 5. Analyze successful transit financing techniques used in other cities.
- 6. Review fare collection practice in terms of reliability and cost effectiveness to minimize loss of fare revenue.

Relation to Previous Work:

Existing financial and economic forecast models were developed with assistance from Grants OR-90-2003 and OR-90-2005. This work continues forecasting refinement, integrates forecasting into the policy decision process, and expands financial planning capabilities.

- Improvements to financial forecasting models and systems including integration with the operation budget and operating statements, enabling accurate and timely variance analysis.
- Financial and economic forecasting reports to be used in budget planning and policy analysis.
- 3. An economic assessment of alternative local transit financing techniques from the perspective of potential revenue generation; implementation, administration, collection and auditing costs; and equity issues.
- 4. Areportdocumenting successful, project specific financing techniques for capital projects and operating subsidies with potential for use by Tri-Met.
- 5. A coordinated system of financial planning information, characterized by a transaction database and direct access to bank records; fully integrated with management reporting system impacting investment decisions.
- 6. Reliable, cost effective fare collection practices.

C. MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT INFORMATION APPLICATIONS

Program Objectives:

- 1. Design and develop a MMIS to track, schedule, and evaluate the light rail right-of-way inspections, preventative maintenance, and maintenance.
- Design and develop a MMIS to track, schedule, and evaluate the repairs, inspection, and maintenance of the District's facilities.

Relation to Previous Work:

This work is a continuation of the previous work performed on the MMIS. The previous work was primarily concerned with the vehicle maintenance and purchasing and stores models. This continuation will allow a totally integrated comprehensive system to be developed.

- 1. An integrated comprehensive R.O.W. module.
- 2. An integrated comprehensive facilities module.

- A. Capital Development Program Planning
- B. Final Packaging Reports/Value Capture
- C. Station Area Planning
- D. Transit Centers and TSM Development
- E. Transit Development Plan
- F. Westside Corridor Project
- G. Regional LRT*

Expenditu	res	Revenues	
Tri-Met	\$1,396,790	FY'86 Sec. 9	\$ 157,28Ø
Metro	49,019	OR-90-2003	60,800
	\$1,445,809	OR-90-X011	546,552
	•	OR-23-9002	395,250
		OR-29-9009	21,250
		Tri-Met	256,108
		Metro	8,569
		TOTAL	\$1,445,809

^{*}Description and budget for this task are included with Metro Section.

A. CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM PLANNING

Program Objectives:

- 1. Prepare a short term and long term capital acquisition program for Tri-Met.
- 2. Provide an annual prioritization of required capital items for grant funding.
- 3. Coordinate the scheduling and funding of Tri-Met's capital program with other jurisdictions.
- 4. Coordinate Tri-Met's projected capital program with the development of the TDP.
- 5. Coordinate Tri-Met's current and projected capital program with the Blue Ribbon Committee process.

Relation to Previous Work:

The development of the capital program is an iterative process that builds on each year's acquisition of required projects. Each year's proposed capital budget refines the previous projects. Due to different agency needs, the projected capital program is often updated and revised. It is crucial, however, to be able to predict the agency's requirements for large capital acquisitions. In addition to this work that must be done on an annual basis, coordination of the capital program with the Blue Ribbon Committee will be required for this fiscal year.

- 1. Refined transit capital improvements program.
- 2. Annual Tri-Met capital budget.
- 3. Input to TIP.
- Input to state and federal capital grant applications.
- 5. Input to the Blue Ribbon Committee staff report.

B. FINAL PACKAGING REPORTS

Program Objectives:

To encourage public/private partnerships consistent with local plans and UMTA policies with the private sector on the Banfield LRT and at major transfer stations by utilizing incidental surface and air rights. To increase transit ridership by implementing key private development and services in close proximity to the transit station. To leverage transit and other public improvements with private investment. To lessen operating costs to transit by maintenance agreements with the private sector as a part of an overall public/private partnership. To improve the quality of the transit environment with public and private amenities. To demonstate value capture techniques and increase local revenue through development of benefit assessment districts with the private sector.

Relationship to Previous Work:

The original EIS for the Banfield LRT contained reference to joint development and value capture in the Land Use Technical Report, which discusses implementation mechanisms including special zoning districts, transit station development districts, joint development/value capture and others. The TSAPP program previously received funding to do a station area analysis. The region obtained an UMTA grant (OR-90-0026) to establish joint development with the Banfield with the initial effort directed to the Gateway transit station area. Multnomah County has established a special planned area for Gateway including the Banfield LRT property. Technical studies in the 1982-83 Unified Work Program (UWP) included a program for "transit station joint development projects." The work scope included "to promote and negotiate transit related development involving developers and private entities... This project will provide the capability to Tri-Met to assist in implementing development projects with local approval which are consistent with conceptual plans evolving from the Banfield transit station... "The conclusion of that program and other work resulted in the successful negotiation of a new \$7.5 million youth and family center at Gateway, which has been approved by Tri-Met, the YMCA and UMTA. Recently Tri-Met and the YMCA have undertaken an Alternative Site Analysis Study to determine ways to leverage the proposed YMCA facility with additional private investment.

Products:

The final packaging reports will determine the optimum footprint for the YMCA and other private development at Gateway and other stations on the LRT for incidental surface and air rights to be developed consistent with local plans and UMTA policies with the private sector. These packaging reports will consist of:

- · Land utilization analysis
- · Alternative schematic site plans of sufficient detail to make offering to developers.
- · Transit modal split analysis of various alternative configurations.
- · Cost analysis of public improvements and cost penalty, if any, of proposed development.
- · Pro forma
- · Lease revenue stream/transit modal split scenarios.
- · Prepared offering document.
- · Prepared implementation plan.

The value capture study will demonstrate current value-capture techniques in relationship to the Banfield LRT.

Final products will include:

- Report documenting benefit assessment negotiations and financial arrangments.
- · Private task force recommendations and endorsement.
- · Implementation program to carry out task force recommendation.

Tri-Met will assist in negotiating joint development and shared use agreements at Gateway and at other stations on the Banfield LRT where market conditions are favorable and at key timed transfer stations and park and ride lots where market conditions are favorable.

C. STATION AREA DEVELOPMENT PLANNING

Program Objectives:

To complete the Gresham Station Area Planning Program to assure that maximum transit compatibility is reinforced as the City revitalizes and redevelops the land uses in its central commercial and residential districts, paying particular attention to the Gresham Central Station Area.

Relationship to Previous Work:

Under UMTA Project No. OR-29-9005, the Transit Station Area Planning Program (TSAPP) preliminary station area planning was undertaken. This work included data collection, market and implementation analysis, and preparation and review of alternative station area master plans. However, due to significant shifts in the economy and practices within the financial community, the previous market and implementation analysis is in need of review. This program will first review and update, as necessary, the market work done in fall of 1980 and then finalize an implementation and marketing strategy. The program will also complete final transportation plans for the Central Station Area, addressing needs identified in the earlier conceptual work. A citizen task force representative of local business and citizen interests will review and comment on all reports and recommendations.

- Identification of short term market changes which have occurred since 1980.
- 2. Refined standards for development.
- 3. Development of a traffic circulation and improvement program.
- 4. Development of a parking management program.
- 5. Preparation of development and implementation strategy.

D. TRANSIT CENTERS AND TSM DEVELOPMENT

Program Objectives:

- 1. Identify locations and conduct preliminary planning and design studies for transit transfer centers, park-and-ride lots, and transit priority and other TSM measures.
- 2. Recommend transit center, park-and-ride lot, transit priority and other TSM projects for inclusion in subsequent fiscal year capital program budgets.

Relation to Previous Work:

Continue planning for and preliminary design for transit centers, for example, in Milwaukie and Oregon City. Continue pursuing transit-related TSM improvements throughout the service area. Continue Tri-Met participation on advisory committees to local area transportation policy and plan development or update programs. Implement a transit priorities planning program jointly with the City of Portland.

- Preliminary designs, supporting documentation, and local approvals for transit center, park-and-ride, transit priority and other TSM projects.
- 2. Transit components of local area transportation policies or plans.
- 3. A transit priorities planning program implemented jointly by Tri-Met and the City of Portland.

E. TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Program Objectives:

 Continue transit development planning process, in the context of Board directive and community consensus, in order to produce a five-year agency plan.

Relation to Previous Work:

This work was begun in 1984 when the Tri-Met Board began consideration of its 1990 Goals and developed a mission statement and revised goals for review by the Blue Ribbon Committee. Staff work conducted under several of the other planning studies provides the information for the Blue Ribbon Committee which will lead to eventual compilation and production of a five-year TDP.

- 1. Five-year operations and capital development plan based upon analysis of strategic alternatives and financing constraints.
- 2. Five-year financing plan to accommodate regional transit service and capital needs.
- 3. Adoption of five-year TDP.
- 4. Input to RTP.
- 5. Final report of the Blue Ribbon Committee.

F. WESTSIDE CORRIDOR PROJECT

Program Objectives:

- 1. Complete PE of a Sunset LRT line between Portland and Washington County; calculate construction costs.
- Prepare an updated operating plan for the Sunset LRT line, indicating which headways, hours and miles, number of vehicles required and operating costs.
- 3. Prepare a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS), according to current UMTA guidelines, detailing the reasons for choice of this alternative and answers to questions raised in the DEIS process.
- 4. Prepare Westside Corridor Project financing package for regional review.
- 5. Continue Westside Corridor Project consensus building process with key public interests.

Relation to Previous Work:

By July 1, 1983, the Westside Corridor Project had completed the (a) alternatives analysis, (b) DEIS, (c) public hearings, (d) selection of preferred alternatives, and (e) the PE/FEIS grant application. The process over the next two to three years is intended to produce material for review by the participating agencies as adopted in August 1983 including:

- a. The Final Environmental Impact Statement.
- b. A Sunset LRT Conceptual Design which addresses the environmental concerns and design suboptions raised during local jurisdiction public hearings.
- c. A detailed funding and phasing plan which includes commitments from appropriate federal and other agencies to provide new funds for the Sunset LRT.
- d. A one-year assessment of actual Banfield LRT operations.
- e. A cost-effectiveness analysis based upon the newly prepared data.

The following work has been accomplished this past year:

- a. An inventory and analysis of funding options for the capital and operating portions of the Westside has been completed.
- b. Preliminary recommendations on a Capital Funding Plan have been prepared including the development of a regional transportation infrastructure bank.

- c. Major assumptions used in the selection of Sunset LRT have been reviewed and updated.
- d. A Westside Corridor Task Force comprised of chief executive officers and government officials convened and recommended proceeding into Preliminary Engineering.
- e. Light Rail operating cost estimates were updated and new transit networks developed for patronage simulation.

- Analysis of Tri-Met's cash-flow position over the next 15 years as it relates to the feasibility of constructing and operating the Westside Corridor Project.
- 2. Engineering drawings at 1" 50' of the Sunset LRT alignment and detailed site plans and designs of stations.
- 3. Cost estimates of right-of-way, track construction, overhead wires, signals, stations, vehicles, and maintenance facilities.
- 4. LRT operating plan including string charts and labor build-up staffing tables.
- 5. FEIS for the chosen alternative.
- 6. Analysis of federal funding opportunities and prospects for Westside Corridor Project.
- 7. Analysis of state funding opportunities and prospects for Westside Corridor Project including state bonding.
- 8. Analysis of tax benefit-leveraged lease back financing opportunities for Westside Corridor Project.
- 9. Analysis of vendor financing opportunities for Westside Corridor Project including export tax credits, turnkey operations, etc.
- 10. Analysis of land donation opportunities for Westside Corridor Project.
- 11. Analysis of special taxation district opportunities for Westside Corridor Project.
- 12. Analysis of LRT operating nonprofit (63-20) corporation opportunities for Westside Corridor Project.
- 13. Continued public involvement.

14. Translation of funding opportunities into specifications for PE.

D.	~~	-		
ĿX	pen	200	:>	۰

Revenues:

Metro	\$ 49,019	OR-90-K011	\$ 546,552
Tri-Met	1,124,190	Tri-Met	131,838
	\$1,173,209	Metro	4,819
		OR-23-9ØØ2	395,250
	•	Tri-Met	69,75Ø
		OR-29-9009	21,250
	•	Metro	3,750
		· TOTAL	,173,209

- A. EMME 2 Model Application
- B. Service Area Research Studies
- C. Service Development Planning, Analysis and Evaluation

Expenditures		Revenues				
Tri-Met	\$316,000	FY'86 Sec. 9	\$235,200			
		OR-90-2005	17,600			
		Tri-Met Match	63,200			
		TOTAL	\$316,000			

A. EMME 2 MODEL APPLICATION

Program Objectives:

- 1. Use EMME 2 as a service evaluation tool.
- 2. Refine forecasting capabilities of model.
- 3. Simulate alternative short-range transit networks.
- 4. Establish EMME 2 as a component of this service evaluation process.

Relation to Previous Work:

The amount of work on this project was less than expected because the Metro-politan Service District (MSD) schedule for model calibration was delayed. The initial MSD calibration should be complete in early CY '85. Hence, some products for FY 85 are now listed for FY '86. The 1985 on-board origin destination survey was loaded into the model. The trip assignment process was executed and calibrated to actual line patronage. The EMME 2 assignment appears to be quite accurate.

- 1. Memoranda evaluating the accuracy of trip generation, trip distribution & mode split models.
- 2. Banfield LRT network simulation result and report.
- 3. Report assessing role of EMME 2 in service evaluation process.

B. SERVICE AREA RESEARCH STUDIES

Program Objectives:

A. Transit Awareness Study

- 1. Evaluate the public's knowledge and awareness of the transit system based on a geographic segmentation.
- 2. Determine what information is needed to ride and understand the system.
- 3. Identify problems riders and non-riders have with service to their respective neighborhoods.

B. Route Analysis Study

- 4. Select low ridership routes for review.
- 5. Identify factors which indicate the potential for increased ridership along routes with low patronage.
- 6. Determine whether service should be changed or eliminated and resources expended on more efficient routes.

Relation to Previous Work:

These two studies relate to existing Tri-Met studies. First, they relate to work already conducted (Transit Attitude Study) which identified attitudes toward the use of transit on a geographic basis. Second, a study is currently being conducted, Market Segmentation and Travel Behavior Study, which examines travel patterns. The information from the proposed Transit Awareness Study will be combined with information from the existing two studies (attitudes, travel behavior, car ownership, etc.) to develop a model to identify transit potential of various geographic areas in Tri-Met's service district. Service plans can then be developed to adjust service levels to geographic areas based on the model.

The proposed Route Analysis Study will examine whether potential exists to increase ridership along specific routes with low ridership or whether the resources should be expended elsewhere. While the other three studies examine service on a macro level this study will complement those studies by providing information on a micro level.

- 1. Collection of information through survey instruments.
- 2. Development of computer data bases for analytical purposes.
- 3. Formal written reports.
- 4. Development of a service model using information from the various studies.
- 5. Review of selected routes with low ridership.

C. SERVICE DEVELOPMENT PLANNING, ANALYSIS & EVALUATION

Program Objectives:

- Develop service designs and conduct implementation process for: downtown (Central City Study Area) suburban southeast, Tanasbourne-Cedar Hills and Banfield LRT area plans.
- 2. Develop and carryout adoption process for FY 87 Annual Service Plan.
- 3. Use information collected as part of the passenger census to re-allocate service. Develop a computerized information system to extract patronage data.
- 4. Analyze service at timed-transfer transit centers and regional shopping centers. Collect passenger counts and shopper surveys as needed.
- 5. Develop a service evaluation process.
- 6. Develop a fully-allocated, peak/base cost model to estimate marginal costs of service changes.

Relation to Previous Work:

The service reduction plans for FY 85 have been developed and implemented. Alternative service plans for Banfield LRT feeder bus service were developed. Suburban Southeast plan was developed. Draft preliminary version of FY 86 Annual Service Plan was written. Work on the Downtown Transit Plan was delayed due to the fact that the City of Portland is updating its Downtown Parking and Circulation Policy. Development of the transit service cost allocation model can be considered an enhancement of the financial forecasting models.

- 1. Recommendations to Board of Directors concerning service changes.
- 2. Analysis of proposal for new or modified service.
- 3. Annual Service Plan
- Patronage information system.
- 5. Timed-transfer reliability report and recommendations to improve service to and passenger facilities at centers.
- 6. Report describing characteristics of regional shopping centers transit riders market.
- 7. Model and computer program for estimating marginal costs of service based on relevant variables.

V. SPECIAL AREA PLANNING

- A. Special Needs Transportation System Planning
- B. Civil Rights Planning

Expenditures		Revenues	Revenues				
Tri-Met	\$122,050	FY'86 Sec. 9 OR-9Ø-2ØØ3 Tri-Met Match TOTAL	\$ 91,64Ø 6,000 24,410 \$122,050				

V. SPECIAL AREA PLANNING

A. SPECIAL NEEDS TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLANNING

Program Objectives:

- 1. Maintain steady level of citizen input and participation into the provision of service for elderly and disabled people.
- 2. Develop options for long range service to the disabled.
- 3. Evaluate SNT volunteer program, comparing it with other volunteer projects and researching alternatives for volunteer involvement.
- 4. Evaluate scheduling procedures used by subcontractors.
- 5. Analyze software needs for SNT and subcontracted dispatch centers' computer networks.
- 6. Analyze software needs for SNT client file and ride reporting system updates.

Relation to Previous Work:

Refinement and continuation of Elderly and Handicapped Planning funded under FY 85 Sec. 9, including work with the citizen advisory committee and long range planning. Builds upon and evaluates the existing SNT volunteer program. Updates previous evaluation of SNT subcontractor scheduling.

Computer equipment was procured with Sec. 9A funds for the SNT Information system. This task will help to augment that system by enabling Tri-Met to determine what software will best fit the system and carry out the SNT program's objectives.

- 1. Functioning citizen advisory committee and subcommittees.
- 2. Plans for future program direction.
- 3. Collection of information through volunteer program study: cost-effectiveness of existing program and list of other options.
- 4. Written report rating subcontractor scheduling and recommending improvements.
- 5. Plans for acquisition of functioning computer networks for Tri-Met's SNT Information System and the subcontracted dispatch centers, including communication between the two.
- 6. SNT client file and ride reporting system integrated into Tri-Met's SNT Information System.

V. SPECIAL AREA PLANNING

B. CIVIL RIGHTS PLANNING

Program Objectives:

- 1. Complete a thorough analysis of W/DBE participation in Tri-Met contracts.
- 2. Identify areas of strength in the program which can be capitalized upon and areas of weakness which can be targeted for special efforts to resolve problems.
- 3. Develop a procedure to be used in establishing realistic project specific W/DBE goals.
- 4. Revise and update as necessary Tri-Met's W/DBE policy statement.
- 5. Review and update annual submission of information relative to minorities in the urbanized area as required by UMTA Title VI Circular 1160.1.

Relation to Previous Work:

- 1. The updated Title VI report is a required annual submission.
- 2. Tri-Met submitted its 1984 Title VI report in September, 1984, was conditionally certified and has responded to all UMTA comments.
- 3. The W/DBE policy statement was approved by the Board, but will require periodic updating to reflect current regulations and changing local conditions.

- 1. A program for improving Tri-Met's overall W/DBE level of participation in contracted services.
- 2. An annual update of W/DBE goals.
- 3. An updated annual Title VI report submitted to UMTA.

PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION

Program Objectives:

- 1. Monitor and ensure that Planning's program activities and expenditures conform with the UWP.
- 2. Ensure that appropriate grant file documentation of activities and expenditures is provided for.
- 3. Provide quarterly financial and progress reports for all UWP planning projects to UMTA and Metro.
- 4. Initiate requests for any required budget revisions, grant amendments, and UWP amendments.

Relation to Previous Work:

Grants administration is an ongoing process.

Products:

- Quarterly financial and progress reports.
- 2. Budget revisions, grant amendments, UWP amendments.

Expenses:

Revenues:

Tri-Met	\$5,000	FY '86 Sec. 9	\$4,000
		Tri-Met	1,000
			\$5,000

FY 86 UNIFIED WORK PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY

						• d • r •	Lfund								
									RYOVER						
					FY 85	PY 84		FY 83			PY 84				
	PY 86	FY 86	FY 86	FY 86	(e) (4)	(a) (4)	(e)(4)	(e) (4)	Sec. 9	Sec. 9	(e) (4)	Sec. 9	PHWA	Local	
	PL/ODOT	Sec 8	(e) (4)	Sec. 9	29-9010	29-9009	29-9008	23-9001	90-2003	90-2005	23-9002	90-X011	HPR/ODOT	March	Total
RTP Update and Refinement	45,478	83,526												20,882	149,886
RTP Financing								36,125						6,375	42,500
LRT - Phase I Alter. Analysis															
Metro			156,981		123,890		2,000							19,833	302,704
Tri-Met				20,000	60,000		18,317			19,244				26,013	143,574
Portland					8,500									1,500	10,000
Clackamas County				16,000			<u></u>							4,000	20.000
Southwest Corridor	18,751		77,652											13,703	110,106
Data, Growth Monitoring	30,972	25,633												111,335	167,940
Travel Model Refinements	30,119	24,238												6,059	60,416
Banfield Assessment	18,500	16,060	16,256	85,040										28,144	164,000
Technical Assistance	45,395	22,806												15,459	83,660
TIP	23,662	25,668	49,111		2,872									15,591	116,904
Coordination & Management	36,601	54,369												13,590	104,560
Metro Subtotal	249,478	252,300	300,000	137,040	195,262	0	20,317	36,125	0	19,244	0_	0	0	282,484	1.476.250
ODOT Planning Assistance			-										178.500		178.500
Tr1-Met															
Efficiency Planning				90,000					35,200	17,600				35,700	178,500
Information Systems Planning				205,640										51,410	257,050
Project Planning				157.280		21,250			60.800	*	395.250	546.552		. 264.677	1.445.809
Service Planning				235,200						17,600				63,200	316,000
Special Area Planning				91,640						6,000				24,410	122,050
Program Administration				4,000										1,000	5,000
Tri-Met Subtotal	00	00	0	783.760	0	21,250	0	0	96,000	41,200	395.250	546,552	0	440,397	2,324,409
TOTAL	249,478	252.300	300,000	920,800	195,262	21,250	20,317	36,125	96.000	60.444	395,250	546,552	178,500	722.881	3.979.159

Note: Amounts shown are federal share except PL and HPR.

PL is \$222,210 with match \$27,268 at 89.07/10.93% ratio.

2977C/399-4

WASHINGTON PORTION

(Full detailed scope of work available upon request.)

FY 86 UNIFIED WORK PROGRAM

CLARK COUNTY SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES BY FUNDING SOURCE (\$000's)

		Ваз	se MPO Activii	Special MPO Contracts			Total_	
	WORK ELEMENT		FY 86 UMTA	IRC Match	WSDOT	C-TRAN	Other	(\$000's)
ī.	REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN							
	A. RIP Highway Corridor Costs B. Auto-Transit Interrelationships to Land Use Plans C. South and East Corridor Location Study	9.0 6.0 5.8	8.0	8.0 5.0 6.7				17.0 19.0 12.5
II.	CNOOLING PLAN REFINEMENT AND DATA MANAGEMENT							
	A. Regional Transportation Model Calibration and Forecast, Phase I B. Transit Survey C. Traffic Count Program D. Data Management and Transportation Mapping E. Computer Operations	7.0 3.0 6.0	14.0 5.7	8.0 4.0 11.0 12.3 10.0				15.0 18.0 14.0 24.0
III.	TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM MANAGEMENT							
	A. Coordination and Management B. Transportation Forum, Seminar and MPO Bulletin C. Unified Work Program (UWP) and Transportation	10.0 7.0	10.0 7.0	6.0 7.0				26.0 21.0
	Improvement Program (TIP)	3.0	3.0	2.0				8.0
	SUBTOTAL	56.8	47.7	80.0				184.5
IV.	CONTRACT ACTIVITIES							
	A. Grove Field Airport Master Plan Work Program ¹ B. Rideshare Program ² C. Access Improvements in the North I-5 Corridor ³ D. Transit Development Program, Phase II				3.5 35.0	12.0	100.722 1.0	100.722 4.5 35.0 12.0
	GRAND TOTAL	56.8	47.7	80.0	38.5	12.0	101.722	324.722

¹Funding Source FAA = \$89,531 plus State/Local Match = \$11,191 for Total = \$100,722 \$100,722 = \$28,698 IRC and \$72,024 Consultant

²Funding Source WSDOT Proposed Contract = \$3,500 plus 175 Grant = \$1,000 for Total = \$4,500

³Funding Source WSDOT Proposed Contract = \$35,000

STAFF	REPORT
-------	--------

Agenda	Item	No.	
Meeting	n Deta	_	

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 85-560 FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPROVING THE FY 1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATIONS PLAN FOR THE INTERSTATE TRANSFER PROGRAM AND AMENDING THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM ACCORDINGLY

Date: March 21, 1985 Presented by: Andrew Cotugno

PROPOSED ACTION

Recommend adoption of the attached resolution approving FY 1985 Interstate Transfer "Highway" allocations and amending the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) accordingly. This action will:

- 1. Allocate \$41.3 million in new funds for use in FY 1985 in addition to \$12.6 million carryover funds from FY 1984 to Category I projects, the City of Portland, and the three counties.
- 2. Approve specific transfer of funds between projects.

TPAC has reviewed this plan and recommends approval of Resolution No. 85-560.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

1. The FY 1985 Interstate Transfer "Highway" program authorized by this resolution as reflected in the attached TIP is \$53.9 million. This is based upon the availability of \$12,565,184 of carryover funds as shown on line A below, and the federal appropriation of \$41,328,063 new funding as shown on lines B, C and D below. Of the total \$53.9 million of spending authority, \$24.9 million was previously authorized for FY 84 by Resolution No. 84-443.

	Funding Status	Cumulative Appropriation _Authority	Past Obligations	Balance Available to Spend
A.	As of 12/31/84	\$214,154,405	\$201,589,221	\$12,565,184
В.	New Federal Appropria As of 1/01/85	+23,365,503 \$237,519,908		+23,365,503* \$35,930,687

	Funding Status	Cumulative Appropriation _Authority	Past Obligations	Balance Available to Spend
C. D.	As of 3/15/85 FY 1984 Formula FY 1985 Formula GRAND TOTAL	+ 5,987,520 + 11,975,040 \$255,482,468	\$201,589,221	+ 5,987,520* +11,975,040* \$53,893,247
Mus	st be spent			\$41,328,063

These funds have been recommended for distribution as detailed in the TIP to correspond with project needs established by the jurisdictions as follows:

	<u>Total</u>
Category I Projects Reserves Subtotal	\$16,540,163 3,538,995 \$20,079,158
Portland Projects Reserves Subtotal	\$ 8,766,473 3,750,000 \$12,516,473
Multnomah County Projects Reserves Subtotal	\$ 5,301,491 999,655 \$ 6,301,146
Clackamas County Projects Reserves Subtotal	\$ 6,294,044 1,889,570 \$ 8,183,614
Washington County Projects Reserves Subtotal	\$ 4,157,860 2,654,996 \$ 6,812,856
TOTAL Projects Reserves Subtotal	\$41,060,031 12,833,216 \$53,893,247

Firm projects have been programmed at \$41.1 million with reserves of \$12.8 million. The \$12.6 million of carryover funding need not be spent in FY 1985, and if not used, can be carried over to FY 1986. The \$41.1 million for projects is slightly less than the amount that must be spent to avoid lapsing of funds. As such, it is critical that all jurisdictions ensure project schedules are met.

- 2. This resolution approves several funding transfers that are reflected in the attached TIP:
 - Transfer of \$98,071 from the McLoughlin Corridor
 Reserve to the McLoughlin Boulevard Intersection and
 Signal Improvements project (between Milwaukie and
 Gladstone). Funds needed to cover construction overrun.
 - Transfer of \$818,184 from the Thiessen/Jennings project to the Beavercreek Road extension (Red Soils) project. Status of the Thiessen/Jennings project is uncertain at this time. The Beavercreek Road project had previously been approved for PE only; this will allow funding for construction.
- 3. The TIP reflects adjustments as approved by the East Multnomah County Transportation Committee including transfer of \$877,000 from a reserve to:
 - 257th Avenue Improvement \$500,000
 - 221st/223rd Powell to Fariss \$177,000
 - Stark Street 242nd to 257th \$200,000

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

The Executive Officer recommends approval of Resolution No. 85-560.

BP/srs 3116C/411-4 04/02/85

BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPROVING THE) RESOLUTION NO. 85-560
FY 1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATIONS PLAN)
FOR THE INTERSTATE TRANSFER) Introduced by the
PROGRAM AND AMENDING THE TRANSPOR-) Joint Policy Advisory
TATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM) Committee on Transportation
ACCORDINGLY	<u> </u>

WHEREAS, Through Resolution No. 84-498, the Council of the Metropolitan Service District (Metro) adopted the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and its FY 1985 Annual Element; and

WHEREAS, The TIP must be revised to reflect changing project priorities and funding availability; and

WHEREAS, Interstate Transfer "Highway" funds in the amount of \$12.6 million have been carried over from FY 1984 and \$41.3 million of new funds have been appropriated by Congress for FY 1985; and

WHEREAS, Projects and reserves for the TIP and its FY 1985

Annual Element have been developed by the TIP Subcommittee to meet
the targeted amount of \$53.9 million; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED,

- 1. That the Metro Council approves the FY 85 Interstate
 Transfer program of projects and amounts specified in the attached
 TIP.
- 2. That the funds can be transferred between authorized projects and phases to respond to cost and schedule changes.
- 3. That the Metro Council approves the transfers of \$98,071 from the McLoughlin Corridor Reserve to the McLoughlin

Boulevard Intersection and Signal Improvements project, and \$818,184 from the Thiessen/Jennings project to the Beavercreek Road project.

4. That the Metro Council finds these actions to be in accordance with the Regional Transportation Plan and the 1982 Air Quality State Implementation Plan (Ozone and Carbon Monoxide) and gives Affirmative Intergovernmental Project Review approval.

	ADOPTED	by	the	Council	or	the	Metropolitan	Service	District
this _	day	of _	······································		L985	5.			

Ernie Bonner, Presiding Officer

BP/srs 3116C/411-4 04/02/85 ATTACHMENT "A"

Staff Report No. 92

INTERSTATE TRANSFER PROGRAM PRELIMINARY FY 1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN

March 19, 1985

Metropolitan Service District

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

INTERSTATE TRANSFER PROGRAM FEDERAL OBLIGATIONS FOR QUARTER ENDING 31-DEC-84

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CATEGORY I

PHASEA @DEC304_DAT

19-Mar-83

PHASEA @CEC304 MAR19B.				ľ	7-Nar-83				PA	GE 1
****	FEDERAL	IONS PENDING	BASE	SCFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLHG#
CATEGOR *4*1 8A PE R/U CONST RESRV TUTAL		WAY-HICHWAY FU 0 0 0 0 0 0	#05########## -260,249 -410,000 -489,079 0 -359,328	*279*280****** 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	нининининини О О О О	неннянънкя О О О О О	19,273 19,273	5,192,931 8,927,639 12,444,658 19,273 26,584,501	80900
XX42 MC PE RESRV TUTAL	LOUGHLIN CORRI 406, 579 9 406, 579	DCR-UNION/CRANG 0 0 0	AVE VIADUCT)))	TD SE RIVER RI 0 0 0	DAD484448812; 0 0 0 0	7×291×292×293 0 0 0 0	# 294 ###### 0 0 0 0	4	**** FAP26 406,570 24,674,540 25,081,110	77159
**43 MC PE CONST TUTAL	LOUGHLIN BLVD 114.656 841,473 956,129	INTERSECTION AS 0 0 0	D SIGNAL IMPR 0 51,027 51,027	OVEMENTS##### O O O	48414745018849 0 0 0	нининининини О О О	########## O O O	ККИВИКИВИИБЕ О О	114,656 114,656 892,500 1,007,156	80058
NXM4 PO PE R/U CONST RESRV TOTAL	WELL BLVD R/W 172,027 1,339,429 3,624,490 0 5,135,946	& CONSTRUCTION- 0 0 0 0 0 0	ROSS ISLAND B 0 0 0 0 0 0	RIDGE TO 52ND- 0 0 0 0 0	-SECT 14*4**********************************	**162*332*602 0 0 0 0 0 0	о О О О О О	45,664 45,664	172,027 1,339,429 3,624,490 45,664 5,181,610	80069
###5 PO PE R/U CONST RESRV TOTAL	WELL BLUD R/W 515,641 6,665,055 4,249,793 0 11,430,489	\$ CONST-50TH AN	E TO 1295-SEC 5,738 0 0 0 5,738	TION IIRЧИНИ: 0 0 0 0 0 0	**164*333*334 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	#608# 54 8#### 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 KKKKKKKKK 0 0 0 0 0	4488888888888 0 0 0 0 328, 253 328, 253	521,379 521,379 6,665,055 4,249,793 328,253 11,764,480	76012

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

INTERSTATE TRANSFER PROGRAM FEDERAL OBLIGATIONS FOR QUARTER ENDING 31-DEC-84

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CATEGORY I

PHASEA @DEC304.DAT MAR198.TYT 19-Mar-85

PAGE 2

MAR198.	TXT					•			PA	GE. Z
	FEDERAL	IONS PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
CATEGOR' ***6 SUI CONST TOTAL	Y I (CONTINUED NSET HIGHUAY O 1,422,729 1,422,729	VERLAYS - CONS 0 0	STRUCTIONAXXXX O O	**267*468*** 0 0	4 % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	0 0 0	О О О	ккининины О О	**** FAP27 1,422,729 1,422,729	78086
##47 REC PE TUTAL	CONSTRUCTION C 1,062,585 1,062,585	F YEDN/VAUGHN, 0 0	/NICOLAI/WARDWA 51,298 51,298	Y AND ST HEL 0 0	ENS RD:±####### 0 0	269×471×487×*; 0 0	еккикики () О	**************************************	1,113,883 1,113,883	79038
8 TR: OPRTG RFSRV TUTAL	I-MET RIDESHAR 1,566,013 0 1,566,013	E PROGRANARA O O O O	4844295>3044472 0 0 0 0	*534*535*552 0 0 0	33434444433488 0 253,633 253,633	ИМИНИКИ В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В	18 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	148888888848 0 -52,505 -52,505	4 N/A 1,566,013 201,128 1,767,141	80303
9 IS PE OPRTG TOTAL	MORTH RIDESHA 95,379 69,621 165,000	RE PROGRAM### 0 0 0 0	4444296#536455 0 0 0	RFREKERERE О О О	жинъ къъ ке к к к 0 0 0 0	: КВ. К. К. К. К. К. К. С.	0 0 0 0 0	***********************************	95,379 69,621 165,000	80043
PE TUTAL	STSINE CORRIDO 60,965 60,965	0	WAY PROJECTS-F 0 0	0	यमस्ययम् 559 ×306 ± 0 0	585********* 0 0	ениининания О О	кининининий О О	8888 N/A 60,965 60,965	10013
R/U CONST TOTAL	43,775 1,979,004 2,022,779	0 9 0	4TH*********731* 85,000 230,333 315,333	0 0 0	**************************************	о О О О О О	**************************************	ккининикини 0 0 0	**** FAU9296 128,775 2,209,337 2,338,112	
#412 NU R/U CONST TUTAL	YEON AVE-NU S 2,125,000 0 2,125,000	Q) NW NICOLAI*4* -599, 250 10, 285, 000 9, 685, 750	**	жкивънвънвъ () () ()	(кимичиние О О О О	(########## 0 0 0 0	**** FAP1 1,525,750 10,285,000 11,810,750	79038

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CATEGORY I

PAGE 3

19-Mar-85 PHASEA SCEC304.DAT MAR198-TXT

UMK178*	FEDERAL	IONS PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
CATEGOR 4×13 NW R/W CONST TOTAL	Y I (CONTINUED) ST HELENS RD-H 189,550 1,383,985 1,573,535	W KITTRIDGE ST	TO NU 29TH 6 223,550 187,001 410,551	VE########734# 0 0 0 0	490)*604**** 0 0 0 0	. O O NHNHNHNHNHNH NHNHNHNHNHNH	**************************************	**************************************	**** FAU9296 413,100 1,570,986 1,984,086	79038
14 VA CONST RESRV TOTAL	UCHN ST/WARDWAY 9 9 9 9	/-NW 29TH AVE 1 0 0 0 0	TO NU 24TH AVE 9 9 9	ЗЧЯЧЯЯЯЯ735я49 0 0 0 0	0 1	############# , 275, 000 0 , 275, 000	жикики ки о О О О	########### 0 -217,618 -217,618	** FAU7296 1,275,000 -217,618 1,057,382	79038
R/U CONST TOTAL	ONT-YEON COMMEC 1,753,549 0 1,753,549	0 0 0 5	1 5,950,000 5,950,001	:КРККЪББККББКББ О О О	О О О Кринизичиная С	0 0 0 0 0	некиринания О О О	кинкиникими О О О	**** FAU9300 1,753,550 5,950,000 7,703,550	
##16 REI RECRV TOTAL	GIONAL RESERVEX 0 0	**************************************	i#359#397#432! 0 0	508#511#570#5\ 0 0 0	31 বৰ্ষ বস্পসমূ ৰ্ষস () ()	нянинининия О О		яннянняняя 17, 120, 464 17, 120, 464	17, 120, 464 17, 120, 464 17, 120, 464	00000
4×17 BA OPRTG TUTAL	NFIELD CORRIDOR 53,380 53,380	R RIDESHARE MAR 0 0	KETING PROGRA	((4444444477C4 0 0 0	317444838888 0 0	о О О О	накники рики О О	ярикининики О О	**** FAP68 53,380 53,380	10192
18 BA CONST TUTAL	NFIELD TRAFFIC 193, 29) 193, 29)	MUNITURING PRO 0 0	GRAM######### 0 0 0	771×318×××××× 0 0	р кивинеркия () ()	: и к р и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и	ИККИКИВЬКИ О О	ненененене О О	** FAP68 193,290 193,290	10183
##19 NU PE CONST TOTAL	TRANSPORTATION 142,035 0 142,035	N SYSTEMS MAHAG 0 0 0 0	EMENT PROGRAM 0 70,465 70,465	4444444802449 0 0 0	жекккы кек О О О О	: и к и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и) () () () ()	**************************************	**** MISC 142,035 70,465 212,500	84016

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

INTERSTATE TRANSFER PROGRAM FEDERAL OBLIGATIONS FOR QUARTER ENDING 31-DEC-84

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HICHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CATEGORY I

PHASEA @CEC304.DAT HAP19R TYT 19-Mar-85

PAGE 4

1987.170	FEDERAL	DNS PEMDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
CATEGOR 4x20 SU PE CONST TUTAL	RY I (CONTINUED) UNSET HIGHWAY RA 40,000 0 40,000	MP METERING**	(##X###827#320)* (0 () ()	0 0 0 0 0	143348448488 0 730,000 730,000	нининининининини О О О	**************************************	жининининини О О О	нянын FAP27 40,000 730,000 770,000	00000
4421 OE RF5RV TUTAL	BLIGATIONAL AUTH O O	ORITY RESERVE 0 0	E-CATEGORY I-HI 3,898,323 3,898,323	GHUAY###### 0 0	1883244764841 () ()	ниникинининини О О	няняняннян О О	нчиннянняння —3, 898, 323 —3, 898, 323	А\И жккрк 0 0	00000
TOTAL O	CATEGORY I									
PE R/U CONST OPRTG * REURV TOTAL	8, 083, 038 20, 633, 997 26, 628, 501 1, 689, 014 0 57, 034, 550	0 0	-223, 213 119, 301 .6, 284, 748 0 3, 898, 323 20, 079, 158	0 0 0 0	0 0 730,000 0 253,633 983,633	0 0 0 1,275,000 0 0 1,275,000	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 38,019,748 38,019,748	7,859,825 20,753,298 44,918,249 1,689,014 42,171,704 117,392,089	

OBLIGATION AUTHORITY:

77, 113, 708

In both cases, the totals are the same with offsets in Reserve/Program amounts being \$359,328 for the purposes noted.

^{*}The Reserve is greater than that in the Staff Report by \$359,328 with an offsetting difference in the Program. The TIP provides for a future deobligation on the Banfield in order to fix the Reserve at \$3,898,323. The Reserve in the Staff Report does not include the deobligation in order to account for the current rather than the future status.

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CITY OF FORTLAND

PHASEA @EEC304. DAT 19-Mar-85

MAR198	TXT								РН	GE. 3
		IONS PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
	F PORTLAND I COLUMBIA BLVD- 331,500 2,742,935 3,074,435	0.25 HI W OF TE 0 0 0	RMINAL RD TO	U OSWEGO AVEXI O O O	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	4x517xxxxxxxx 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	**************************************	**** FAU9956 331,500 2,742,935 3,074,435	75019
4423 B R/U CONST TUTAL	ASIN AVENUE/GOI 296,310 1,679,623 1,975,933	NG STREET PROJE 0 0 0 0	CT#4#######1{ 0 0 0	3#362********** 0 0 0 0	######################################	никиникиники О О О	МВВВИКИКЕ КИ О О О	**************************************	**** FAU7930 296,310 1,679,623 1,975,933	76088
¥*24 N CONST TOTAL	I INTERSTATE AVE- 88, 403 88, 403	-GREELEY TO RUS 0 0) () ()	4 21*363 *4***44 0 0 0	**************************************	о О О О О О О О О О О О О О О О О О О О	**************************************	кенинининия О О	**** FAU9945 88,403 88,403	76009
4≯25 T	RAFFIC SICHAL P	ROJECTS******	¥23±563#####	:	************	***************************************	u ke ke ke ka ka ka k	***********	*** MISC	00000
26 S CONST TUTAL	ICHAL COMPUTER (55,334 55,334	CONTROL EXPANSI 0 0	ଠା୍ୟୟୟୟୟ ୨ ଫ	5#627#435×#44# 0 0	RKRRKRFFFFRFF () ()	нининининини О О	вкринивикия О О	нянинининия О О	** MISC 55,334 55,334	77026
27 M PE R/U CONST RESRV TUTAL	IACADAM AVE (0843 198,370 72,507 3,910,000 0 4,180,877	PROJECT-ROSS 0 0 0 0 0 0	ISL BRIDGE TO 0 0 0 0 0 0	D SELLWOOD BRIG 0 0 0 0 0 0	DGE प्यवस्थासम्बद्धाः 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7*364*365## 0 0 0 0 0 0	енининенья 0 0 0 0 0 0	жинининини О О О О О О	**** FAU9565 198,370 72,507 3,910,000 0 4,180,877	78063
##28 H PE R/U CONST TOTAL	OCLYWOOD DISTRIC 287,002 197,200 223,957 708,159	0 0 0 2	-NE SAHDY BLV 0 ,403,003 ,403,003	/D-37TH TO 47TH 0 0 0 0	4	546×607××××× 0 0 0 0 0	**************************************	**************************************	**** FAU9326 287,002 197,200 2,626,960 3,111,162	79071

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HICHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CITY OF FORTLAND

PHASEA @DEC304_DAT 19-Mar-85

MAR198.	TXT								Fri	SC. 0
	FEDERAL	DMS PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
	PORTLAND (CONT. FRONT AVE-NU 20 522,074 522,074		ITTRIDGE**** 0 0	ччня27×366яч 0 0) () ()	0 0 0	() () () ()	жинининини О О	**** FAU9300 522,074 522,074	10031
3) SE CONST TUTAL	HOLGATE BLVD-SI 4, 450, 600 4, 450, 600	F 17TH AVE TO S 0 0	E 28TH AVE-B 0 0	RIDGE AND APPI 0 0	ROACHESY##### 0 0	4## 42#329 #### 0 0	КРИНИКЕ КИИ () () ()	канининины 0 0	** FAU9793 4,450,600 4,450,600	76002
*#31 AR PE CONST RESRV TUTAL	TERIAL STREET 31 215,664 5,732,785 0 5,948,449	R PROGRAMARARA O O O O O	***43*628*51 0 0 0 0 0	8×519×520×521: 0 0 0 0 0	0 () () () ()	0 0 0 0 0 0	ККР КРИВРИВИ О О О	**************************************	**** MISC 215,664 5,732,785 0 5,948,449	10050
4432 MCI PE RESRV TUTAL	LDUGHLIN NEIGHB: 19,000 0 19,000	ORHOOD TRAFFIC (0 0 0	CIRCULATION* 0 0 0	0 О О О	2	НИНИНИНИНИНИ О О О	KKEKKKKE KE O O O	488888888888 0 414, 153 414, 153	**** N/A 19,000 414,153 433,153	80081
##33 MC PE TUTAL	LOUGHLIN BLVD(0 37,100 37,100	(79E) PED UNDER O O	PASS - 100 F 0 0	T SO CF HAIGX O O	**************************************	74627****** 0 0	кикиникъви О О	жинининий О О	37,100 37,100 37,100	77127
##34 GR PE CONST TOTAL	AND AVE(OR99E) (22,358 143,18) 167,538	AT MORRISON - 2 0 0 0	LEFT TURN L 0 0	AKES###RE3/A 0 0 0	70>338<44×××43 0 0 0	ии в в и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и	нквинивения О О О	**************************************	**** FAU9809 22,358 145,180 167,538	80084

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CITY OF FORTLAND

PHASEA COEC304_DAT

19-Mar-85

erecso4.Demonstration					[PA	GE 7
	FEUERAL	ONS PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
#435 33RD PE R/U	DRTLAND (COM AT BROADWAY 21,801 144,212 118,964	IMUED) - S8/MB LEFT TU 0 0	JRN REFUGES	48888888888888888888888888888888888888	7×3404635×××× 0 0	**************************************	икикиньини 0 0		1XXXX FAU7823 21,801 144,212 118,964	77123
EONST TUTAL	284. 977	ŏ	ð	ŏ	ő	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	284, 977	
4436 39TH PE R/U COMST TOTAL	AVE - SE GLE 46, 450 41 494, 434 540, 925	NU000 TO CRYS14 0 0 0 0 0	AL SPRINGS BL 0 0 0 0 0	LVD - WIDENIK 0 0 0 0		341 янняняняня	ККИКИ КИРИНИ О О О О	KKKKKKKKKKK O O O	1**** FAU9699 46, 450 41 494, 434 540, 925	77125
4437 39TH PE R/U CONST TUTAL	e STARK -UIC 19,332 38,616 114,239 172,187	EMING/SB LEFT T 0 0 0 0 0	FURN MEDIAM/9 0 0 0 0 0	SIGNAL INTERT: 0 0 0 0	IE/STRIP***** 0 0 0 0 0	***178*342*343 0 0 0 0 0	нянинин О О О О	**************************************	18888 FAU9699 19,332 38,616 114,239 172,187	77124
38 CURB FE TUTAL	EKTENSION PR 13,889 13,889	OGRAFiयमममयस्यम17 0 0 0	79*344**** 0 0 0	» R F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	явенске ресере () ()	нининининини О О	:кининин О О	кининининин О О О	13,889 13,889 13,889	77129
PE CONST TUTAL	2,969 7,259 10,22 8	TICATION PROGRAM 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	янивиськовичи О О О	0 0 0 0 0 0	няканяканя О О О	#ИЖКИКИВИВИКИ 0 0 0	19888 MISC 2,969 7,259 10,228	77119
4449 ACTUA PE CONST TUTAL	ATED SICHALS- 1,153 41,152 42,305	-SH BYBEE @ 23RD 0 0 0 0)/SE TOLMAN (0 0 0 0	MILWAUKIE-@: 0 0 0	L7 [H	**************************************	: К р и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и	никинининир О О О	**** FAU9760 1,153 41,152 42,305	77118

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CITY OF FORTLAND

PHASEA @DEC304.DAT 19-Mar-85

DACE R

	LEUSVA.DA IAR19 B. TXT									PA	GE 8
		C8LIGAT	IONS PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
× P E	ITY OF PO *41 SICHA E ONST UTAL	RTLAND (CON L MODIFICAT: 1,691 13,313 15,904	(INUED) (ION AND REPLACEME 0 0 0 0	ENT PROGRAM - 0 0 0	- 8 LOCATIONS* 0 0 0	1844448418543 0 0 0 0	47 *** *** ** * * * * * * * * * * * * *	**************************************	0 0 0 0 0	1,691 1,691 13,313 15,004	77117
p	×42 MCLOU E UTAL	GHLIN(0R99E) 2,742 2,742	/MILWAUKIE CONHE 0 0 0	CTION###### O O	# 187*34 5**** 0 0	яккиняни выки () () ()	инкинини кки О О	инивиньик О О	0 0 0	FAP26 2,742 2,742	77128
P	*43 SE DI E OTAL	VISION CORR: 51,550 51,550	IDOR-DIVISION/CLI 0 0	INTON/HARRISO 0 0	Мяяянянч189» О О	94924484444 0 0	никиникиники О О	екинские ник () () ()	(*************************************	FAU9800 51,550 51,550	78069
p R C	E /U ONST 1	AVEMJE CORR: 76,592 425,000 ,166,252 ,667,844	IDOR IMPROVEMENT- 0 0 0 0 0	-GLISAN TO HE O O O O	PLGATENNASSANAS O O O O	*191*350*351* 0 0 0 0 0	ининининини О О О О	**************************************	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	**** FAU9699 76,592 425,000 1,166,252 1,667,844	78070
R	#45 CONTI ESRV UTAL	NGEND (-CATE) 0 0 0	GORY II-CITY OF F	ORTLAND***** O O	1 4#*194>352 *4* 0 0	KKKKKKKKKKK () () ()	никиникиники О О	нь кикинь кик О О	1,439,934 1,439,934 1,439,934	1,439,934 1,439,934 1,439,934	00000
P P C	e 7u Onst 6	AVENUE (DR99 267, 944 205, 700 , 521, 829 , 995, 473	PE)-WEIDLER TO CO 0 0 0 0 0	OLUMBIA BLVD 0 0 0 0	#6433535195 0 0 0 0	5×380 1630×549 0 0 0 0	жижимики О О О О О	######################################	ККИКИНИВИКИ 0 0 0 0	13888 FAU9809 267,944 205,700 6,521,829 6,995,473	74001

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CITY OF FORTLAND

PHASEA QDEC304. DAT 19-Mar-85

MAR198.T)		·								
	FEDERAL	PEMDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
CITY OF F 4×47 GOIN PE CONST TOTAL	PORTLAND (CONT NG STREET NUIS 287,720 626,450 914,170	INUED) F MITIGATION 0 0 0	PROJECT*#***** 0 0 0	#198*381*550: 0 0 0	KKKKKFFRRRKE () () ()	**************************************	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1888 FAU9945 287,720 626,450 914,170	78080
49 SW E PE CONST TOTAL	8ROADWAY-SW 4TI 99, 194 374, 465 473, 659	H TO SW 6TH×× 0 0 0	****200*382* 0 280,141 280,141	0 0 0 0 0 0	KRRFRFÞERRÞER! () ()	нининининини О О О О	рененикине 0 0 0	нанинининия 0 0 0 0	18888 MISC 99,194 654,606 753,800	10092
RESRV	TIMSENCY-CITY ())	0	0	0	0	**************************************) () ()	434848484848 2,071,364 2,071,364	2,071,364 2,071,364 2,071,364	00000
##50 NW : PE CONST TOTAL	18 (H/197H AHD (55,920 384,680 440,600	NU 14TH/16TH 0 0 0 0	COUPLETS4##### O O O	!##239#4244*#! 0 0 0 0	**************************************	ининининини О О О	0 0 0 0	: киникиники О О О	18888 FAU9295 55, 920 384, 680 440, 600	78057
##51 BEAV PE R/U CONST RESRV TOTAL	VERTON HILLSDAI 272, 935 522, 410 1, 431, 903 0 2, 227, 248	LE HWY(CR10)- 0 0 0 0 0	CAPITOL HWY TO 0 0 40,946 0 40,946	SCHOLLS FY F 0 0 0 0 0 0	(0**********243* 0 0 500, 000 0 500, 000	425×551***** 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	109, 651	14*** FAU9228 272,935 522,410 1,972,849 109,651 2,877,845	78050
52 FAU RFSRV TUTAL	REPLACEMENT CO	ONTINGENCY-CI 0 0	TY OF PORTLAND 0 0	************************************	149453214###44 0 0	ккерккки О О О О	: ККИРИКЕ ПР О О	1,093,431 1,093,431 1,093,431	1,093,431 1,093,431	00000

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HICHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CITY OF PORTLAND

PHASEA QUEC304. MAR198.1	TXT				19-Mar-85				PA	GE 10
	FECERAL	PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
	PORTLAND (CONT HELENS ROAD RE 197,665) 197,665	INUED) CONSTRUCTION-WE 0 0 0	ST CITY LIMI	TS TO NU KI	TRIDGE AMMMHHH 23,803 0 23,803	**271*495**** 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	250,000 250,000	221,468 220,000 471,468	79067
54 TRA RESRV TOTAL	ANSFORTATION IN 0 0	PROVEMENTS IN N 0 0	IORTHWEST POR 0 0	TLANDARARE 0 0	**278*496x** () ()	**************************************	кининикин О О	4, 185, 724 4, 185, 724 4, 185, 724	4, 185, 724 4, 185, 724 4, 185, 724	79035
xx55 W E PE R/W CONST TOTAL	8URMSIDE ROAD/1 26,972 69,820 487,749 584,541	CHMER DRIVE IN 0 0 0 0 0	ITERSECTION I	MPROVEMENT 49 0 0 0 0 0	44848482823 49 7# 0 0 0 0 0	**************************************	няккинкин О О О О	**************************************	(*** FAU9326 26,972 69,820 487,749 584,541	79058
**56 NO PE TUTAL	RTHWEST PORTLA: 32,139 32,139	ID TRANSPORTATIO 0 0	N STUDY###4# 0 0 0	4##2 85#4 98## 0 0	РВККРРКЪКВВКИ О О	жинанининен О О	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	*к рииниинии о О О	32,130 32,130 32,130	84016
*457 NW PE R/W CONST TUTAL	FRONT AVENUE 5 243,537 120,700 4,179,497 4,543,734	ECONSTRUCTION-N 0 0 0 0	N GLISAN TO	NU 26TH AVE 0 0 0 0 0	######################################	#479#611##### 0 0 0 0 0	акинивини О О О О О	**************************************	243,537 243,537 120,700 4,179,497 4,543,734	80006
*458 MAS PE CONST TOTAL	RIME DRIVE WIDE 233,750 0 233,750	Ō	NES-IS TO RI 225,675 0 225,675	VERGATE##### 0 0 0 0	144#298#554#### 0 4, 470, 575 4, 470, 575	1 # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	жениникини О О	никининики О О О	14 x 4 x FAU9962 459 , 425 4 , 470 , 575 4 , 930 , 000	79056

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HICHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CITY OF FORTLAND

PHASEA

19-Mar-85

QUEC304.D				•	, rigit our				PÁC	SE 11
	OBLIGATI FEGERAL	OHS PEHDING	BASE	SOFT	1985	1987	1988 P	OST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLHG#
CILY OF P ×459 NE P PE TOTAL	ORTLAND (CONT ORTLAND HWY I 190,570 190,570	INUED) MPROVEMENT TO F 0 0	FOUR LANES-NE	60TH AVE TO 0 0	1205#4#####30 0 0	1#393¤¤¤¤¤¤ 0 0	**************************************	O O O	190,570 190,570 190,570	79055
#460 COLU PE CONST TUTAL	MBIA BLVD/COL 12,436 115,762 128,198	UMBIA WAY/N POR O O O	RTLAND RD INT	ERSECTION IMP 0 0 0 0	OS####################################	3×555×××××× 0 0 0 0	о О О О О О	иннининин О О О	FAU9956 12,436 115,762 128,198	79057
PE CONST	ERCIAL ARTERI 28,681 1,011,257 1,039,938	AL STREET LIGHT 0 0 0	CONVERSION-1 0 0 0	CITY WIDEXXXX O O O	#### >3)7#556 4## 0 0 0 0	**************************************	якининина О О О	: кинкинки О О О	28,681 1,011,257 1,039,938	79041
#462 POWE PE TUTAL	LL BUTTE/HT S 29,750 29,750	COTT STUDY AREA 0 0	A-PROJECT DEV 6,922 6,922	ELOPMENT4#4** 0 0	44×308×557××4× 0 0	ницииниции О О	живининини () О		1888 MISC 36,672 36,672	79081
#463 SW T PE R/W CONST TUTAL	ERUILLIGER BL 272,506 0 0 272,506	VD-BARBUR BLVD 0 0 0 0	TO TAYLORS FI 55, 204 0 0 55, 204	0	**309*559**** 0 602.225 551,920 ,154.145	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	О О О О О О	0 0 0 0 0 0	*** FAU9361 327,710 602,225 551,920 1,481,855	80015
4464 82HD PE CONST TUTAL	AVEHUE-SISKI 37,442 221,178 258,62)	YOU TO BROADWAY 0 0 0 0	(##4####\$551#) () () ()	5 61 ********** 0 0 0 0	яяячачянчяная; () () ()	ккикки и к к к к к о О О О О О О О О О О О О О О	жинький киро О О О	КЫККИИИКИИ О О	FAU9713 37,442 221,178 258,620	79049

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CITY OF FORTLAND

PHASEA @DEC304.DAT 19-Mar-85

GEEC304 DA									PA	GE 12
		IONS PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1984	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
CITY OF PO *465 SIGNA PE CONST TUTAL	ORTLAND (CONT MUDIFICAT) 1,750 47,461 49,211	TIMUED) TON AT 10 LOCA' 0 0 0	TIONS(LEFT TU 0 0 0	RN)-SE PORTL 0 0 0	_A(4D**4***558* O O O	450нинининин 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	**************************************	1,750 1,750 47,461 49,211	79075
#466 EAST PE CONST TUTAL	BURNSIDE- 90 1 44.238 295,926 340,164	TH TO 94TH**** 0 0 0 0	****599*353*4 0 33, 436 33, 436	**************************************	**************************************	**************************************	КРИНИНЕНРИ О О О	4	1**** FAU9822 44,238 329,362 373,600	10077
4467 NU 23 PE R/U COMST TOTAL	3R0 AVE/BURNS 69, 950 0 0 69, 850	SIDE##4#####626 0 0 0 0 0	64500*#4**** 39,800 i) 0 39,800	**************************************	######################################	**************************************	**************************************	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	197,650 107,650 435,200 1,125,000 1,669,850	10093
4468 MW 21 PE R/W CONST TUTAL	ST/22ND-THUF 112,710 0 0 112,710	RMAN TO FRONT* 0 0 0 0 0	44×44×4630450 0 0 0 0 0	1 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	184884344444488 0 19, 975 0 19, 975	иникиники О О О О	4444444444 0 0 792,000 792,000	**************************************	112,710 112,710 19,975 792,000 924,685	10126
MA69 NW IN PE CONST RESRV TUTAL	ITERSECTION 1 33,000 0 0 33,000	IMPROVEMENTS-2: 0 0 0 0 0	2 LOCATIONS*4 0 0 0 0	********631*50 0 0 0 0)23484848488) 294, 015) 294, 015	**************************************	**************************************	48888888888 0 0 32, 985 32, 985	33,000 294,015 32,985 360,000	10017
70 NU CI PE R/U CONST TUTAL	EKCULATION IN 13,600 0 0 13,600	PROVEMENTS-10 0 0 0 0	INTERSECTION 1,400 0 1,400	\$#######632 0 0 0 0	2×5034××4××××4× 0 8,500 59,800 68,300	************************************	######################################	кименки и и и и о о о о о о о о о о о о о о о	**** MISC 15,000 8,500 59,800 83,300	84015

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

INTERSTATE TRANSFER PROGRAM FEDERAL OBLICATIONS FOR QUARTER ENDING 31-DEC-84

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HICHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CITY OF FORTLAND

PHASEA @DEC304.DAT 19-Mar -85

MAR198.T	TXT									
	FEDERAL	DNS PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLMG#
CITY OF **71 NU PE CONST TUTAL	PORTLAND (CONT EVERETT/GLISAN 0 0 0	INUED) -Nu 18TH TO LES 0 0 0	TOVER ROAD##	******633*504* 0 0 0	**************************************	КККККККККК О О О	8,500 50,700 59,200	нининининини О О	#### FAU9314 8,500 50,700 59,200	10097
#×72 WES	ST FREMONT TSM*	********641*505*	яранныныны	ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ ਸ਼ਸ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਸ਼੶	। यत्रत्रम् दत्रवयवस्य म्	***************************************	***************************************	: KRKKRRKKKK	**** FAU9305	00060
XX73 SIG PE CONST TOTAL	NAL REPLACEMEN 41,576 1,111,784 1,153,360	T-34 LOCATIONS* 0 0 0 0	*********64346: 0 0 0	32 %537%%% #44%% 0 0 0) () () ()	0 0 0 0 0 0	**************************************	0 0 0 0 0	12888 MISC 41,576 1,111,784 1,153,360	10107
74 SIC CONST TUTAL	XHAL REPLACEMEN 386, 083 386, 083	T-16 LOCAFIONS× 0 0	******64545. 0 0	* K H K H F H K K B E O O	() () ()	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	**************************************	386, 083 386, 083	80080
8875 NEW CONST TUTAL	SICNALS-5 LOC 129,310 129,310	ATIONS-PORTLAHD 0 0 0	BLVD ET AL*	*******647*451 0 0	**************************************	: кинининики О О	**************************************	**************************************	129,310 129,310 129,310	78122
××76 COL CONST TOTAL	LUMBIA BLVD (3) 221,698 221,698	HEW TRAFFIC SI	GNALS####### 0) 0	#650#4 52*#### 0 0 0	:########### () ()	0 0 0 0	(Кинкининини О О	**************************************	**** FAU7956 221,698 221,698	78026
##77 NE CONST TUTAL	SANDY 8LVD AT 1 44,724 44,724	59TH AND 70TH-N 0 0	EW SIGNALS** 0 0	чнянянь6524453: 0 0	!####### 0 0	иринининини О О	**************************************	**************************************	FAU9326 44,724 44,724	78120

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CITY OF PORTLAND

PHASEA CDEP304. DAT 19-Mar-83

MAR198.T	XT								· · ·	OL 1-1
•	FEDERAL	PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1984	1987	1988 POS	T 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLHG#
CITY OF 1 ××78 COLU PE CONST	PORTLAND (CON UMBIA BLVD AT 1,410 38,208	FINUED) 47TH-NEW TRAFF1 0 0	C SIGNAL****** O	****653#454# 0 0	**************************************	**************************************	**************************************	RESERVE	**** FAU9956 1,410 38,208	80060
TUTAL	39,618	Ō	Ō	Ö	0	Ō	Ō	0	39,618	
CONST	60, 810 60, 810	SER-NEW TRAFFIC 0 0	9	0	9 9	еинянининининине О О	(инкрикивини () ()	0 0 0	84838 FAU9407 60,810 60,810	
XX80 COL CONST TUTAL	ISEUM AREA TRA 390,000 390,000	AFFIC SIGNALS-SI O O	GNAL IMPROVE/ ') ')	Эккинин етИЗ Т О О	557×354×5 62 ××× 0 0 0	: икиники О О	я ки ки к	**************************************	390,000 390,000 390,000	78119
*481 CIT PE CONST TUTAL	YWIDE SIGNAL (795,474 1,064,300 1,859,774		********6043! 0 388, 300 388, 300	55×356×394×53 0 0 0	350, 000 350, 000	**************************************	еникимири () () ()	0 0 0 0	##### MISC 795, 474 1,802,600 2,598,074	80042
CONST TUTAL	645, 022 645, 022	AL REPLACEMENTS	9	0	0	0	яни вървене в в в О О О	нянняня О О	645,022 645,022	78028
PE CONST TUTAL	110, 272 1, 050, 228 1, 160, 500	AL REPLACEMENTS 0 0 0 0	9 9 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	110,272 1,050,228 1,160,500	84091
84 INTO PE CONST TUTAL	ERSTATE AT TI! 2, 949 28, 933 39, 973	LAMOUK-SIGNAL R 0 0 0 0	EPLACEMENT 4 0 0 0 0	********663*457 0 0 0 0	'4*44*44*4 ') ') ')	**************************************	KRKFRKKKKK O U U	: ккккик 0 0 0 0	**** FAU9361 2,040 28,033 30,073	80005

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HICHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CITY OF FORTLAND

PHASEA @DEC304.DAT

19-Mar-85

MAR198.T)	XT -08LIGAT	T/16/0								
_	FEDERAL	PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988 PO	ST 1988	AUTHORIZED PL	LNG#
	PORTLAND (CON D AVE (6) SIC 6,623 193,148 199,771	FINUED) NAL REPLACEMENT 0 0 0	FS-SANDY TO WA	ASH ING TON 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	###668#540### 0 0 0	нинининининини О О О	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	6,623 193,148 199,771	0061
xx86 COLU PE R/W CONST RESXV TUTAL	MBIA BLVO-DE 118,150 0 0 0 118,150	LAWARE TO CHAU 0 0 0 0 0 0	FAUQUA**********************************	0 0 0 1	##44#4##### 0 0 , 377, 850 0 , 377, 850	O O O O O O O O O	KKKKKKKKPEF 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	**** FAU9956 10 118,150 255,000 1,377,850 0 1,751,000	0131
8/ SE F RESRV TOTAL	FOSIER RD IMP	ROVEMENTS-122N 0 0	O TO JENNE ROS	************************************	?5x5654x4x4x4 ;) ;)	жининининини О О	は	**************************************	(#### FAU7776 1 0 0 0	0144
88 NORT OPRIC TOTAL	THWEST RIDESH 85,000 85,000	ARE#######723: 0 0	45064######### 0 0	: УКИКИКИКИ Б О О	####k () ()	накиникиники О О	KKRRKKKKEEF O O	************************************	85,000 85,000	C090
PE TOTAL	0	NE#######724# 0 0	17,000 17,000	якь и в и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и	ркакаракрекр к () ()	инвинининики О О	нивенинини С О С		17,000 17,000	
X490 SW (PE TUTAL	VERMONT STREE 208, 930 208, 930	F-30TH AVENUE 1 0 0	TO OLESC∯ ®0AE 23,000 23,000	**************************************	13×357×396×63 0 0	494139999999999999999999999999999999999	някакана кврики О О	**************************************	231,930 231,930 231,930	0133

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HICHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CITY OF PORTLAND

PHASEA

19-Mar-85

PHASEA @CEC304.DAT MAR198.TXT	n, -mattmin			19-Mar-85				PA	AGE 16
FECE	BLIGATIONS RAL PENDIN	IG BASE	SOFT	1985	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
CITY OF PORTLAN ##91 MARQUAM RA PE 37, CONST TOTAL 37,	MP SIREET IMPRO 400 0	OVEHENTS-SE WATE 0 0 0 450,000 0 450,000	ER/YAMHILL/TAY 0 0 0 0	(LOR/CLAY#444 0) 207, 050 207, 050	***727*358*** 0 0 0 0	**************************************	жинининини 0 0 0	**** FAU9366 37,400 657,050 694,450	; 10132
#492 82ND AVENU PE 206, R/U CONST TUTAL 206,	422 0 0	CRYSTAL SPRINGS- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-UNITS 1 1 2 2 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1,404,200 1,404,200 586,500 1,990,700	*************** 0 0 525,130 525,130	0 0 0 0 0 0	ининининини 0 0 0 0 0 0	**** FAU9713 206,422 1,404,200 1,111,630 2,722,252	3 7904 9
**93 CITY OF PO RESRV TUTAL	RTLAND REGIONAL 0 0	TRANSIT/HIGHU 0 0 0 0	4Y IMPROVEMENT 0 0 0	F PROJECTS4*4* 0 0	чнян <i>74</i> 9н469н4 О О	70×568××××× 0 0 0	энчининини 948, 648 948, 648	яяяя 0 9 48,648 948,648	00000
#494 NW FRONT A PE 159, R/W COMST RESRV TOTAL 159,	120 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 6,800 0 1,622,450 0 0 1,629,250	ONT COMMECTOR) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	**************************************	07#4*######## 0 0 0 0 0 0	RERRETERRERE O O O	145,790 145,790	**** FAU9300 159,120 6,800 1,622,450 145,790 1,934,160	10140
95 N VANCOUVE PE 270, R/U 21, CONST 2,329, TOTAL 2,621,	300 250 802	NUE TO MARINE (0 0 0 0 0 447,828 0 447,828	ORIVE **********************************	762#398***	RHHREREERE O O O	живень инжиния 0 0 0 0	*#####################################	**** FAU9960 270,300 21,250 2,777,630 3,069,180	i 101 <i>4</i> 9
96 UNALLOCATE RESAV TUTAL	D RESERVE-CITY 0 0	OF PORTLAND** O O O	*** *********** 0 0	няченячячачання () ()	жижижижки ки росоо О О	РЯКККЕ КВВККК О О	************ 162,575 162,575	43434 N/A 162,575 162,575	00000

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CITY OF PORTLAND

PHASEA @DEC304.DAT

19-Mar-85

MAR19	PB.TXT	***								
		PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
CITY ##9/ PE CONST TUTAL		TINUED) L SIGNAL-NE 0 0 0	47TH AVENUE AND 5,588 5,588	OREGONNANANAN O O O O	>801>572×613× 0 0 0	нининининини С О О	жининикини О О	икинининин О О О	**** FAU9837 4,818 40,682 45,500	10207
#498 CONST TOTAL		-CITY BRIDGE 0 0	REPAIR WORK**4 387,875 387,875	яян чя608 я573яч О О	: ниявьвьения: () ()	инининининининини О О О	некиненьнь 0 0	REMERKARIANE O O	4*** FAI84 387,875 387,875	00000
RESRU TUTAL	_ 0	0	3,750,000 3,750,000	0	0	**************************************		4444444444 -3, 750, 000 -3, 750, 000	4 H M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	00000
×100 PE CONST TUTAL		IONS (3) - HORTI 0 0 0 0	H PORTLAND4###4 0 76,500 76,500	яяя840я460ячяя 0 0 0	НИНИВИВЕ К К В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В	иникиний и и и о о о о о о о о о о о о о о о о	**************************************	*************************************	7,100 7,100 76,500 83,600	84001
×101 PE CONST TUTAL		SIGNALS(5) 4x 0 0 0 0	***************** 0 205, 000 205, 000	киквекиврикия О О О	: RRRKRÞÞ ÞRÞKÞ () ()	**************************************	**************************************	REMERSERENTS O O O	#### MISC 18,800 205,000 223,800	84003
×102 PE CONST TUTAL		NTS(22) ***** 0 0 0 0	***842*360*5454 4,507 856,607 861,100	кеккининик 0 0 0	янникине в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в	нянинининин О О О	никининики О О О	**************************************	*** MISC 37,500 856,600 894,100	84002

PENDING OBLICATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CITY OF PORTLAND

PHASEA ececso4.DAT 19-Mar-85

MAR19B.T		IONS								
	FEDERAL	PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
	PORTLAND (CON HOLLADAY LRT 696,900 696,900		S**********847<5 0 0	97янныныныны 0 0	HKPHFFFFFFKK Q O	**************************************	о О О О	о О О О	#### FAU9903 696,900 696,900	00000
*104 SW E PE R/W CONST TUTAL	BERTHA BLVD-S 0 0 0 0 0	W VERMONT TO B 0 0 0 0 0	AREUR BLUD**** 141,950 0 0 141,950	**************************************	558******** 0 9,350 671,350 680,700	**************************************	КИРИКИКЕ КР О О О О		4444 FAU9420 141,950 9,350 671,350 822,650	84078
#105 NE L PE TOTAL	OMBARD/COLUM 212, 925 212, 925	BIA BLVD VIA N O O	E 60TH AVENUEX 50,000 50,000	#######854x5 0 0	69*44******* () ()	К КККККККККК О О	никинин кът О О	• раквиниры О О	4888 FAU9917 262,925 262,925	80011
×106 N RI PE CONST TOTAL	IVERGATE DRIM 31,875 691,070 722,945	E-SLOUGH BRIDG 0 0 0 0	E STREET APPRO 0 441,555 441,555	ACHES####### 0 0 0 0	>856>5764*## 0 0 0 0	ининининининини О О О О	**************************************	НИКВИНИЙИ О О О О	122,625 1,132,625 1,164,500	10246
#107 NE (PE CONST TUTAL	GERTZ/13TH-VA 62,611 0 62,611	FICOUVER WAY TO 0 0 0	MERRITT/FAZIO 0 0 0 0	**************************************	577* 14111443 15, 929 688, 560 704, 489	ининининининини О О О	КРЯНИКИЕ КРИ О О О	**************************************	FAU7961 78,540 688,560 767,100	84051
*109 AIRF PE CONST TOTAL	PORT WAY-1205 397,800 0 397,800	TO 148TH AVE-	UNII I******** 000,000 0 000,000	858#578#### 0 0 0	488488888 21,005 0 21,005	######################################	икънкинкъри 0 0 0	жининикини 0 0 0	718,805 718,805 1,889,600 2,608,405	84022

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HICHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CITY OF PORTLAND

19-Mar-85

PAGE 19

PHASEA @DEC:304.DAT

MAR198	.TXT									-
	OBLIGATI FEDERAL	ONS PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1985	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
CITY DE #109 A COMST TUTAL	F PORTLAND (CONT IRPORT W47-NE 14 0 0	INUED) 91H TO HE 16 0 0	STH-UNIT II××4>	******859*361* 0 0	REKPREKKK () ()	HEREKEKERE O O	1,364,300 1,364,300	KREKKEKEKE O O	1,364,300 1,364,300	84022
*110 A CONST TUTAL	IRPORT WAY-NE 16 0 0	BIH TO 181ST 0 0	VSAMDY-UNIT III 0 0	*168****** 0 0	15794я44444я 0 0 0	нининининин О О О	3, 783, 800 3, 783, 800 3, 783, 800	чининининия О О	3,783,800 3,783,800 3,783,800	84022
TOTAL	CITY OF PORTLAND									
PE R/W CONST OPRTG RESRV TUTAL	6, 308, 609 2, 445, 266 46, 320, 875 85, 909 9 55, 159, 759	0 0 0 0	865, 451 261, 800 7, 639, 222 0 3, 750, 900 12, 516, 473	0 1 0 0	69,737 2,479,459 19,882,620 0 0 13,422,807	0 0 2,414,730 0 0 2,414,730	8,509 0 5,990,800 0 0 5,999,300	0 0 0 0 7, 104, 254 7, 104, 254	7, 243, 297 5, 186, 516 73, 248, 247 85, 000 10, 854, 254 96, 617, 314	

OBLIGATION AUTHORITY:

67,676,223

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HICHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN MULTHUMAH COUNTY

PHASEA CEEC304.DAT 19-Mar-85

MAR19B.T										
	FEDERAL	IONS PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
MULTHOMAI ×111 SELI CONST	LWOOD BRIDGE	PROJECT######	:××52×367±401×4	36×522××××××	*********	************	**********	**********	FAU9704 903,436	76031
RESRV TOTAL	903, 436 0 903, 436	0	0 0 0	0	9 9	0	0	0	903, 436 903, 436	
#112 238 PE R/U CONST TUTAL	TH AVE IMPROV 36,216 16,267 275,200 327,683	EHENT-UP RRXNG 0 0 0 0	TO HALSEY ST*	********58*49 0 0 0 0 0	2#44#4#### 0 0 0 0 0	нанинининининини О О О О О	жиникинки О О О О	**************************************	FAU9877 36,216 16,267 275,200 327,683	78009
*113 EAS PE CONST TOTAL	T COUNTY SIGN 14.591 466,842 481,433	AL PROJECTS-SI 0 0 0	'ARK/22ND/HALSE 0 0 0 0	Y/A02ND#44444 0 0 0	*41374298*403 0 0 0	и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и	**************************************	0 0 0 0 0	12888 MISC 14,591 466,842 481,433	80037
*114 2421 PE CONST RESRV TUTAL	ND AVE TSM IM 18,844 522,749 0 541,593	PROVEMENTS-DIV 0 0 0 0	VISION TO GLISA 12,691 4,000 0 16,691	N#######1384 0 0 0 0	299>331 ×379 *4 0 0 0 0	Кинининини О О О О О	ини ани и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и	148888888888 0 0 0 852, 347 832, 347	14### FAU9877 31,535 526,749 852,347 1,410,631	80047
*115 257 PE R/U CONST TOTAL	TH AVE IMPROV 148,027 0 0 148,027	0 0 0	SION-COLUMBIA H 74,750 1,224,000 1,847,653 3,146,403	LY TO STARK S 0 0 0 0 0	T*********139* 0 0 0 0 0	300********** 0 0 0 0	**************************************	1 H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	122,777 1,224,000 1,847,653 3,294,430	80048
*116 SE 7 PE CONST TOTAL	72ND RFCONSIR 17,800 567,115 584,915	UC110N-DUKE TO 0 0 0 0	CLACKAMAS COU 0 0 0	RRERRINI YTM O O O	**165>335##* 0 0 0	жикининики О О О О	о О О О О	**************************************	**** FAU9723 17,800 567,115 584,915	80083

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN MULTHUMAH COUNTY

PHASEA @DEC304.DAT

19-Mar-85

MAR198.	TXT								PAGE 21
	OBLIGAT FEOERAL	IONS PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED PLNG#
	AH COUNTY (CON RNSIDE BRIDGE	TIMUED) RESURFACING AND	JOINTS######		************		********	**********	**** FAU9326 77017
PE CONST	5, 974 284, 518	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,974 284,518
TUTAL	290, 492	0	ij	0	9	0	9	0	290, 492
*118 BR	DADWAY BRIDGE 5,540	RESURFACING-#3×	####### 20 4# 38 4	**************************************	**************************************	**************************************	PERKEREKE O	Kerekereken O	***** FAU9318 77048 5,540
CONST TOTAL	97, 276 92, 816	ŏ	9	0	9	Ŏ	9	0	87, 276 92, 816
TOTAL	727010	•	7	•	•	V	V	v	727010
PE	278,559	LL BLVD TO FART	SS RD-UNITS 1	2 2	05>385>386*40 0	∺ ×************************************	жиники рек О	еки ки ки ки ки ки ки р О	1988 FAU9867 77078 278, 559
R∕¥ CONST	1,190,000 1,907,171	0 0	0	o o	0	o o	0	0	1,190,000 1,907,171
TUTAL	3,375,730	0	0	0	9	0	9	0	3, 375, 730
×120 FA	IRVIEW AVE SIG 3,272	HALIZATION- AT	HALSEY ST AND	AT SANDY BLV	Dxxxxxxxxx212x	**************************************	FREEKERE	KKKKKKKKKK O	**** FAU9867 78008 3,272
CONST TOTAL	40, 346 43, 618	ŏ	ó	ŏ	<u> </u>	Ŏ O	ņ	Ŏ	40, 346 43, 618
			_			-	-	-	
PΕ	53,700	ENING-DIVISION:	ST TO POWELL B	0 	O .	**************************************	**************************************	KKKKKKKKKK O	EXXX FAU9891 78010 53,700
R/W CONST RESRV	72, 250 1, 030, 762	0	9	Ŏ	9	Ŏ	9	0	72,250 1,030,702
TUTAL	1, 156, 652	ŏ	ò	Ö	Ď	ŏ	ŏ	84, 263 84, 263	84,263 1,240,915
×122 22:	1ST AVENUE-POU	FLL THROUGH JOH	NSON CREEK BRI	DGE-(1 1 2):	44×4×4×214×4C	3×4 <u>12</u> ××××××	*********	******* *****	**** FAU7867 78012
PE R/U	283, 000 342, 635	0	124,580 85,465	0	0	0	0	0	407,580 428,100
CONST RESRV	2, 939, 169 9	0 0	233, 951	0	<u>0</u>	Ö 0	Õ o	0 104, <i>7</i> 33	2, 039, 169 340, 684
TUTAL	2.664.804	0	443, 996	0	0	0	9	106,733	3, 215, 533

PENDING OBLICATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN MULTHOMAH COUNTY

PHASEA @DEC304.DAT 19-Mar-85

MAR19B.TX										
-	FEGERAL	IONS PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLHG#
MULTNOMAH *123 CHER PE CONST TOTAL	COUNTY (CON RY PARK RD/2' 47,887 591,239 639,126	TIMUED) 57 TH DRIVE-2429 0 0 0	ND AVE TO TROU	TDALE RD48444 O O O	жяж 216#409## ж 0 0 0	*************************************	**************************************	**************************************	47,887 591,239 639,126	78011
#124 SAMD PE R/U CONST RESKV TUTAL	7 BLVD CORRIS 77,415 41,990 453,163 0 572,568	DCR-99TH AVE TO 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 162MD AVE*** 0 0 0 0 0 0	яняня 244 я426я 0 0 0 0 0 0	427 1693 1818 8 0 0 0 0 0 0	нигинининки О О О О О	9,597 9,597 9,597	чинининини 0 0 0 0 -95 -95	FAU9326 77, 415 41,990 462,760 -95 582,070	780 49
CONST RESKV	RH3IDE-SE 22: 1,634,200 0 1,634,200	3RO TO SE POWF1 0 0 0	LL BLVD-CONSTR 0 0 0	OCTION####################################	* 25 2 *410*431* 0 0 0 0	449xxxxxxxx O O O	киникинки 0 0 0 0	€ H H H H H H H H H P O O O O O O O O O O	1,634,200 1,634,200 0 1,634,200	76034
#126 POWE PE R/W CONST RESRV TOTAL	LL AND 190TH 153, 340 0 0 0 0 153, 340	INTERSECTION 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	IMPROVENENT*** 26, 222 748, 000 0 0 774, 222	0 0 0 0 1	अप्रवादयसम्बद्धम्य 0 0 , 672, 800 0 , 672, 800	######################################	ики и ки к к и и и и и и и и и и и и и	************* 0 0 0 -136,388 -136,388	179,562 179,562 748,000 1,672,800 -136,388 2,463,974	77064
R/W CONST RESRV	SIDE ST-STAR: 225,250 1,757,521 0 1,982,771	(TO 223RD AVE	**************************************	1×533××××××××× 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	########### 0 0 0 0 0	нъгкинявинь 0 0 0 0	48888888888 0 0 0 622,775 622,775	225,250 225,250 1,757,521 638,479 2,621,250	76034

PENDING OBLICATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN MULTHUMAH COUNTY

PHASEA @DEC304.DAT MAR198.TXT 19-Mar-85

PAGE 23

11117(170.	CBLIGA	T10NS								
	FEDERAL	PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
MULTNOM *128 SY PE R/U CONST RESRV TOTAL	AH COUNTY (CO LVAN/SKYLINE 29,750 0 0 0 29,750	NTINUED) IMPROVEMENTS-0 0 0 0 0 0	VICINIFY OF SUN 70,250 0 23,800 9 94,050	SET HIGHWAY 0 0 0 0 0	4848488831832 0 1,243,000 318,000 0 1,561,000	3*399*433*459*54 0 0 0 0 0 0	13×574×4×× 0 0 0 0 0	115,200	100,000 1,243,000 341,800 115,200 1,800,000	10138
*129 08 RESRV TOTAL	LIGATIONAL AU 0 0	THORITY RESERV	VE-MULTNOMAH CO 750,000 750,000	UNTYRRRRRR O O	#83444784#### 0 0	янининининин О О	RKKKKKFKKI Q Q	-750,000 -750,000 -750,000	A\N ***** 0 0	00000
*130 SE PE R/U CONST TOTAL	STARK STREET 20, 400 0 0 20, 400	-242ND AVEHUE 0 0 0 0 0	TO 257TH AVENU 55,090 850 1,004,150 1,069,080	0 0 0 Exxxxxxxxx83	7*324*4 0 •)*414* 0 0 0 0 0	**************************************	жиникикиры () () () () ()	**************************************	**** FAU9810 75,480 850 1,004,150 1,080,480	10206
TOTAL M	IULTNOMAH COUN	TY								
PE R/W CONST RESRV TOTAL	1, 194, 315 1, 888, 392 12, 560, 647 0 15, 643, 354	0 0 0 0	363,573 2,058,315 2,879,603 999,655 6,301,146	0 0 0 0	0 1,243,000 1,990,800 0 3,233,800	0 0 0 0	0 0 9,597 0 9,597	0 0 0 894, 835 894, 835	1,557,888 5,189,707 17,440,647 1,894,490 26,082,731	

OBLIGATION AUTHORITY:

21,944,500

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CLACKAMAS COUNTY

PHASEA **2DEC304.DAT** 19-Mar-85

MAR19B.	TXT								rec	JL 2.7
	FEDERAL	ONS PEMDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLHG#
	AS COUNTY JER BOONES FERR 525,583 497,137 1,022,720	Y RD-MACRONA TO 0 0 0 0	TO SW JEAN*** O O O O	******68*271*3 0 0 0 0	684415388888 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	**************************************	0 0 0 0	525,583 525,583 497,137 1,022,720	80104
*132 821 CONST TUTAL	ND DRIVE-HIGHWA 393,474 393,474	Y 212 TO 1205- 0 0	- CONSTRUCTION O O	(яннянчняя71я4 О О	374я44448 () ()	нянининивия О О	ККВИВВЕРКИ () () ()	екинининики 0 0	1988 FAU9653 393, 474 393, 474	76048
*133 SUR PE R/W CONST TOTAL	NYSIDE ROAD-ST 21,845 148,750 342,912 513,507	EVENS ROAD TO 0 0 0 0 0	122ND UNIT IX 0 0 0 0	18888888877852 0 0 0 0 0	Эннчнынняння О О О О	ККИМИНИКИКИИ О О О	**************************************	0 0 0 0 0 0	1888 FAU9718 21,845 148,750 342,912 513,507	77147
×134 SUI CONST TOTAL	MYSIDE ROAD RE 172,517 172,517	ALIGNMENT-0.25 0 0	S MI WEST OF 1	.42ND (S CURVE 0 0) чя чя чя чя 18 н О О	438ниянняния О О	индинирия О О	**************************************	1×××× FAU9718 172,517 172,517	77149
*13> OSI PE R/U CONST RESRV TUTAL	WEGO CREEK BRID 98,856 37,635 1,836,168 0 1,972,659	Ck (OR43)-BRID(0 0 0 0 0 0	SE REPLACEMENT 0 0 89, 152 0 80, 152	AND NEW BIKE 0 0 0 0	L'AY444888810' 0' 0' 0' 0'	3 *273*371*442 0 0 0 0 0 0	*463*512*52 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8########## 0 0 0 0 6, 434 6, 434	78.856 98.856 37.635 1.916.320 6.434 2.059.245	76085
×136 OSI CONST TUTAL	JEGO HIGHUAY(OR 34, 438 34, 438	43) AT CEDAR (DAKS-LEFT TURN 0 0	I REFUGES४४४४४ 0 0	яня1134529чяч 0 0	нкевения О О	инининкин О О	**************************************	FAU9565 34, 438 34, 438	78118

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CLACKAMAS COUNTY

PHASEA ODEC304.DAT

19-Mar-85

DACE 25

QDEC304.DAT MAR19B.TXT								PA	GE 25
OBLIGATIO	DNS PENDING	BASE	50FT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
CLACKAMAS COUNTY (CONT) *137 HICHWAY 212 IMPROV PE 490,535 R/W 2,874,700 CONST 4,638,476 RESRV 0 TUTAL 8,003,711		EAST TO HIGHLA 0 0 0 0 442,277 442,278	AY 224) ##### 0 0 0 0 0 0	***1244286*289 0) 0 0 0 0	*376*514*530** 0 0 0 0 0 0	KRRKKRRERKE O O O O	4 H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	490,535 2,874,700 4,638,476 442,277 8,445,989	77037
*138 ORECON CITY BYPASS PE 1,093,950 R/U 4,986,100 CONST 15,312,129 RESRV 0 TUTAL 21,392,179	0 0 0 0	9 9 947, 293 947, 293	0 0 0	**125*290*377* 0 0 0 0 0 0 33*296*418*609	0 0 0 0	С О О О О О О О	4888888888 0 0 0 666,524 666,524	1,093,950 1,093,950 4,986,100 15,312,129 1,613,817 23,005,996	76007
#139 STATE STREET CORRI PE 189,805 R/U 0 CONST 116,096 RESRV 0 TUTAL 305,901	0 0 0 0	768, 910 466, 900 1, 234, 910	0 0 0 0	968,729 968,729 968,729	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 -748, 401 -748, 401	189,805 768,910 1,550,825 -748,401 1,761,139	
*149 GLADSTONE/MILWAUK! PE 212,708 R/U 152,623 CONST 1,457,498 TUTAL 1,822,829	0 0 0	17, 479 7, 782 249, 919 275, 189	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	чинининининини О О О О	0 0 0 0 0 0	28 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	230, 187 230, 187 160, 405 1,707, 417 2,098,009	00000
#141 RAILROAD AVENUE/HV PE 124.992 R/U 0 CONST 0 TUTAL 124.992	0 0 0	2ND TO MILWAUK 63,008 31,992 1,000,000 1,095,000	IE C8D-UNIT 1 0 0 0 0 0	[##44###553#3 0 0 899,532 899,532	**************************************	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ккинии и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и	**** FAU9702 188,000 31,992 1,899,532 2,119,524	10037

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

INTERSTATE TRANSFER PROGRAM FEDERAL OBLIGATIONS FOR GUARTER ENDING 31-DEC-84

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CLACKAMAS COUNTY

PHASEA @DEC304.DAT 19-Mar-85

@DEC304. MAR198.T									PA	GE 26
		IONS PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
CLACKAMA	S COUNTY (CON	TINUED) ENTER SIGNALS×		72222222222	****	******	***	*****	HHHH N/A	10038
PE	10,530	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,530	10000
CONST	86, 488	Ŏ	Ď	Ō	ð	Ŏ	Ō	Ŏ	86,488	
RESAV	•	0	ij	Q	9	0	9	-488	_488	
TUTAL	97,018	0	9	0	ð	Q	0	-488	96, 530	
×143 82N	D DRIVE-HWY 2	12 TO CLADSTON	E/1205 INTERC	HANGEXXXXX	8578 2008 88888	*********	*********	***********	**** FAU9653	10051
PE	170,000	0	100,000	0	0	0	9	0	270,000	
CONST	ij	0	ij	0	2,206,145	0	Ō	Ó	2,206,145	
TOTAL	170,000	0	100,000	0	2, 206, 145	0	0	0	2, 476, 145	
*144 THI	ESSEN/JENNING	S CORRIDOR-DATA	FIELD ROAD TO	1205******	#581#3Ç9# ≠## ¥##	***************************************	*********	Herenakenek	KNNN FAU9698	10052
PE	145,520	0	9	0)	0	0	0	145,520	
TOTAL	145, 520	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	145,520	
*145 RAI	LROAD AVENUE/	HARMONY ROAD-8	ZND/SUNNYSIDE	REALIGNMENT	-UNUT TIMU-	#764 #316## ###	*********	H THE FERRENCE FOR	**** FAU9702	10037
PΕ	34, 850	0	15, 150	0	0	0	0	0	50,000	
R/U	533, 800	0	Ů	0	0	0	o	0	533,800	
CONST	0	Q	451,200	0	ý	0	Õ	Q	451,200	
TOTAL	568, 650	0	466, 350	0	o	0	0	0	1,035,000	
	LLOCATED RESE	RVE-CLACKAMAS I	COUNTYNARANNA	*789×458 <u>*</u> 475	3541 <i>44</i> 4444444	**************************************	******	**********	ANN KKKE	00000
RESRV	Ŏ	Q	Ō	0	Q .	0	Ŏ	62,812	62,812	
TUTAL	ŋ	0	ŋ	0	0	0	0	62,812	62,812	
	IGATIONAL AUT	HORITY RESERVE		JNTYRRRRRYTML	835# <i>479</i>	Herenerere	***********	Kanananananan Kanananan	ENNN N/A	00000
RESRV	9	0	500,000	0	. 0	0	0	-500,000	0	
TOTAL	0	0	500,000	0	0	0	0	-500,000	0	

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN CLACKAMAS COUNTY

PHASEA **PDEC304_DAT** MAR19B. TXT

PΕ

CONST

TOTAL

19-Mar-85

PAGE 27

65,000

435,000

500,000

0

--OBLICATIONS---BASE 1987 1988 FEDERAL PENDING SOFT 1986 POST 1988 AUTHORIZED PLNC# CLACKAMAS COUNTY (CONTINUED) *148 SUNNYSIDE ROAD-STEVENS TO 122ND-UNIT II********8838*325*544** 🗱 FAU9718 *77*147 PE 112, 115 0 0 112, 115 Õ R/W 399,950 0 133,850 0 á 0 533,800 CONST 1,318,000 0 0 ð 1,318,000 RESRV 0 Ö 0 0 185,703 185,703 TOTAL 512,965 1,451,850 185,703 2, 149, 618 10236 #149 HUBBARD ROAD EXTENSION TO CLACKAMAS HIGHWAY######839#326##44##### СВТ жинкинининининининин ТВО

*150 HIG	HWAY 43 @ MCKILL:	ICAN/HOOD A	AVENUE WIDENING**	******853 *327	***********	***************************************	************	RPRESERVER	FAU9565 10252
PE	21,670	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21,670

0

O

0

0

o

H.F.	21,6/0	Ü	Q	O	U	V	U	0	21,6/0
R∕¥	•	0	16, 150	0	9	0	0	0	16, 150
CONST	·)	Q	211,395	0	9	0	0	0	211,395
TUTAL	21,670	0	227,54 5	0	ij	0	ŋ	0	249,215

0

0

×151 85	AVERCREEK RD EXT(!	RED SOILS)-	BEAVERCREEK RO	TO WARNER-MILL	Æ4×4×444855	*328#462####	***	*****	** FAU9742 10249
PE	41,352	0	88, 648	0	9	0	0	0	130,000
CONST	ý)	Q	818, 184	0	9	0	9	Ō	818, 184
TUTAL	41,352	0	906,832	0	0	0	0	0	948, 184

TOTAL CLACKAMAS COUNTY

43,775

43,775

0

0

21,225

435,000

456, 225

PE R/U CONST RESRV	2,812,503 9,659,141 24,887,333	0 0 0 0	305,510 958,684 5,029,850 1,889,570	0 0 0	0 0 4. 074, 406 0	0	0 0 0	0 0 0 -327, 416	3,118,013 10,617,825 33,991,589 1,562,154
TOTAL	37, 358, 977	ŏ	8, 183, 614	ŏ	4.074.406	ŏ	Ŏ	-327,416 -327,416	49, 289, 581

OBLIGATION AUTHORITY:

45,542,591

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HICHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN WASHINGTON COUNTY

PHASEA

19-Mar-85

MAR198.		TOUG								
	FEDERAL	PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
WASHING *152 SW CONST RESRV TUTAL	TON COUNTY 65TH/NYBERG R 382,344 0 382,344	D-15 TO SAGERT	RD-UNIT #1-CO 0 0 0	NSTRUCTION XXX O O O	4#44#¥83#439# 0 0 0	инкининини 0 О О	нинининин О О О	39,862 39,862	1×××× FAU7556 382, 344 39, 862 422, 206	77020
*153 SW R/W CONST RESRV TUTAL	NYBERG ROAD-S 329,293 1,555,499 0 1,884,792	W 89TH AVE TO 1 0 0 0 0	15-UNIT #2××× 0 22,744 0 22,744	4### >84 #369#5: 0 0 0 0 0	24яячинчая () () () () ()	ниннинининини О О О О	**************************************	0 0 0 2,210 2,210 2,210	329,293 1,578,243 2,210 1,909,745	77139
CONST RESRV TOTAL	RNELL RD @ MUR 104, 683 0 104, 683	0	ROVE/SICHALIZE 0 0 0	0 0	накинка в в к OP O O O	инининининии О О О	ERREREERE O O O	* 4 H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	104,683 3,834 108,517	
CONST RESRV TUTAL	758, 608 0 758, 608	- HALL TO DAX*	0 0 0	0 0 0	() () () ()	######################################	о О О О	100,742 100,742 100,742	758,608 100,742 859,350	
*156 NW PE CONST RESRV TOTAL	183TH-WALKER 17,085 1,418,944 0 1,436,029	ROAD TO SUNSET	HIGHWAY-PHASE 0 7,244 0 7,244	0 0 0 0 0 0	*273*274*370*! 0 0 0 0	525********** 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	66,727 66,727	14*** FAU9043 17,085 1,426,188 66,727 1,510,000	77076
#157 ALI PE R/W CONST RESRV TOTAL	LEN BLVD RECON 94,911 1,428,425 1,767,999 0 3,291,335	STRUCTION-MURRA 0 0 0 0 0 0	AY BLVD TO HUY 0 0 0 0 0	217 *************** 0 0 0 0 0 0	3×275 ±600×416 0 0 0 0 0 0	инининининини О О О О О О	(кркирикий 0 0 0 0 0	4444444444 0 0 0 0 -7, 946 -7, 946	**** FAU7088 94,911 1,428,425 1,767,999 -7,946 3,283,389	80085

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN WASHINGTON COUNTY

PHASEA

19-Mar-85

@DEC304 MAR198.				-					PAGE 29
IMAL7De	FEDERAL	IONS PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED PLNG#
4158 SL		NTINUED) IGHWAY 217 TO SI	# 84TH-PHASE		276>526*##	***********	******	*********	**** FAU9326 77070
PE R/U CONST	62, 186 255, 909 894, 199	0	9	0	0	. 0	0	0	62, 186 255, 000 894, 199
RESRV TOTAL	0 1,211,385	0	0	0	0	0	0	116, 217 116, 217	116,217 1,327,602
*159 SU CONST	JENKINS/158TH 1,772,398	-MURRAY BLVD TO	SUNSET HIGHW	' AY ######497; O	127745274### O	REKEKKEKE O	PRESERVES O	:КИНКККККИНЫ О	**** FAU9030 77046 1,772,398
TOTAL	1,772,398	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	1,772,398
PΕ	HOLLS HWY (OR21:	O) @ ALLEN - SIO	o O NALS/VIDENIN	G	**************************************	ииникиники О	KKKKKKKKKK O	Б Б Б Б Б Б Б Б Б Б Б Б Б Б Б Б Б Б Б	**** FAU9234 78125 4, 100
CONST	110, 438 114, 538	0	9	0	0	0	ŷ	0	110, 438 114, 538
CONST	295, 276	OFF-RAMP TO SCHO	OLLS FERRY RD	(GR210) ##44## 0	1×109+372×444 0	никининия О	: киннин ими О	KKKKKKKKKK O	**** FAU9234 80087 295,276
RESRV TOTAL	295, 276	0	0	0	0	0	0	-403 -403	-403 294, 873
×162 HA	NLL BLVD(AT HWY) 111,674	217)-LEFT TURN I	REFUGE FOR S8	ON RAMPANAAA	** < 110 * 373 * 44	О В в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в	*######### O	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	**** FAU9091 78042 111,674
TUTAL	111,674	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ö	ŏ	ě	ŏ	ŏ	111,674
ÞΕ	447, 100	SUMSET HIGHWAY :	INTERCHANGE**	######121#287 0	375×513×612 م	инаны в сининия О	- KKKKKERKE - O	: Р ККККККККВ О	14××× FAP79 79076 447,100
R ∕W CONST RESRV	3, 485, 000 6, 173, 284 0		9 9 82 5, 1 79	0 0 0	9 9	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 504, 446	3, 485, 000 6, 173, 284 2, 329, 616
TOTAL	10, 103, 384	0 1.	825, 170	0	i)	0	9	504, 446	12, 435, 000

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN WASHINGTON COUNTY

PHASEA ODECROALDAT

19-Mar-85

PHASEA QDEC304				. 1	Y-Nar-85				PA	GE 30
MAR19B.		IONS PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1985	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
		,	سوات استفدائها المسافحات فسافه المناسبات	ا المانية الم	ستا سيا سه جما سه سيا سه سيا صحاحها حداستا بين در کار در در					
164 CO	ITON COUNTY (CO IRNELL ROAD REC	INTIMUED) CONSTRUCTION—E M	AIN TO ELAM Y	OUNG PARKWAY	x44441132 42 9	5×601×××××××	HHHHHHHHH	*********	**** FAU9022	80038
PΕ	153,000	0	2	O	0	0	0	o o	153,000	
R/U CONST	238,000 2,319,010	0	ð	Ö	0	Ö	0	0	238,000 2,319,010	
RESRV	ij	Ŏ	ő	ŏ	ğ	ğ	ŏ	-10,010	-10,010	
TUTAL	2,710,919	0	ŋ	Q	0	0	0	-10,010	2,700,000	
*165 BE PE	AVERTON HILLSD 6,083	ALE HUY SIGNAL :	INTERTIE-LOMB O	ARD TO SW 915	L VE4xxxxxx	######################################	KRRKKKKK O	ікихиницикий О	#### FAU7228 6,083	10007
CONST	65, 297	ŏ	ð	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	, ŏ	65, 297	
TOTAL	71,380	0	•)	0	0	0	9	0	71,380	
	A. A#TLI IIA. I FW	HEST MANAGES A	4 AMTH 1 ATSETS						54855	7/007
bΕ ¥199 ιπ	187, 255	HIGHWAY(OR8) @ :	1831H PIKEFIA	YCR 10288888 0	ਖ਼ਖ਼ਲ਼ਫ਼ਸ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ ਜ਼ਖ਼ਜ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼	RRFRRRRRRRRRR O	**************************************	: O	**** FAP32 187,255	76027
R/W	1, 157, 700	Ö	(00.575	Õ	ğ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Õ	1,157,700	
CONST RESRV	o O	Ö	628,575	Ö	ò	Ö	Ö	0	628, 575 0	
TOTAL	1, 344, 955	Ö	628, 575	Õ	ō	Ŏ	ō	· Ŏ	1,973,530	
		: INTCHG-PE & CD	NSTRUCTION-#2	***********	88×389× <u>4</u> 65×4×	**************************************	*****	**********	HANN FAP79	80079
PE R∕W	221, 188 233, 750	Ö	ò	Ö	Ö	ŏ	Ö	Ö	221, 188 233, 750	
CONST	1,043,344	Ŏ	Ž	Õ	õ	ğ	Õ	Ŏ	1,043,344	
TOTAL	1,498,282	U	v	O	0	O	9	. 0	1,498,282	
w4 (O DA	OTCTO : NV 11/07									
bΕ *1≎Ω ħθ	91,674	19 74) -BUL L MTN RI O	D IO N ILGAKO O	0 141CHG-150 1	() Квисинисти лип	**************************************	**************************************	irrarararar O	91,674	79063
CONST	889, 294	Ŏ	õ	Ŏ	ģ	ğ	ŏ	ŏ	889, 294	
RESRV TUTAL	980, 968	υ 0	Q	0	9	0	0	71,713 71,713	71,713 1,052,681	
		-	-	-	-	•	~	, 1, , 10		

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN WASHINGTON COUNTY

PHASEA PER TYT 19-Mar-85

MAR19B.TXT		ATIONS								
	FECERAL	PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1985	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
WASHINGTON *169 CANYO PE CONST RESRV TOTAL			TSM-WALKER RD 1	O MURRAY BLVD	**************************************	жининининининининининининининининининин	**************************************	79,054 79,054	**** FAP32 36,950 580,810 79,054 696,814	78054
	NGTON RD	CORRIDOR (CR208		.VD INTERSECTI	0N×××××××××235×6	36×595×××××	*******	********	*** FAUTO64	78057
PE CONST RESRV TUTAL	0 0	0	8,500 116,500 0 125,000	0	0 0 0	0	0	0 1,140 1,140	8,500 116,500 1,140 126,140	
		CORRIDOR (GR208) TSM-185TH AVE	TO LOMBARD A	VE444×4× <u>4</u> 4236×6	37*421****	*****	*******		78057
PE CONST TOTAL	103, 199 139, 299 242, 489	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	103,190 139,290 242,480	
		IDOR TSM-TV_HW	Y TO SCHOLLS FE	RRY RD######	×237×303×422××	***********	Freekrarher		4888 FAU9091	78055
PE R/U CONST RFSRV	47,780 7,762 157,589	0 0 0 0	0 0 9 0	0 0 0	9 9 9	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 15,806	47, 780 7, 762 157, 589 15, 806	
TUTAL	213, 131	Ō	Ď	Ö	Ō	Ō	Ö	15,806	228, 937	
₹173 CEDAR PE	HILLS BL	VD/UALKER RD I	NTERSECTION IMP	ROVEMENTARARA	44×23844234446×	KKKKKKKK O	**************************************	***************************************	11107477	78136
CONST RESRV	81, 461	ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ	o o	0	8,624 81,461	
TOTAL	90, 985	ŏ	0	ŏ	ò	Ö	Ö	20, 539 20, 539	20,539 110,624	

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN WASHINGTON COUNTY

PHASEA COEC304-DAT

19-Mar-85

DACE 32

MAR19B.	LDAT TXT								PA	Æ 32
	FECERAL	ONS PENDING	8ASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
WASHING *174 BE CONST RESRV TOTAL	TON COUNTY (CON AVERTON TUALATI 248, 113) 248, 113	TINUED) N HIGHUAY-FA O O O	HNO CREEK BRID	GE WIDENING* 0 0 0	********249*39 0 0 0	714430×531××××× 0 0 0	кинининь икі () () () ()	2,637 2,637	1888 FAU9091 248,113 2,637 250,750	78056
#175 AL CONST RESRV TUTAL	LEN BLVD INTERC 6,081,528 0 6,081,528	Hange - Const 0 0 0	RUCTION****** 0 0 0 0	#264#392#467 0 0 0	еякиририри О О О	**************************************	кинкиники 0 0 0	**************************************	6,081,528 0 6,081,528	80086
*176 CO PE R/U CONST TOTAL	RNELL ROAD PHAG 205, 912 0 0 205, 912	E II-ECL TO C	ORNELIUS PASS 202,588 379,009 0 572,588	0	585*310*4**** 0 0 1,571,500 1,571,500	**************************************	никинини () () () () ()	: и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и	4*** FAU9022 408,500 370,000 1,571,500 2,350,000	10060
×177 MU PE R/U CONST TOTAL	RRAY BLVD-JENKI 150,000 116,450 0 266,450	0 0 0	NSET HIGHWAY** 150,000 1,883,550 0 2,033,550	0 0 0	188838484888 0 0 3,201,530 3,201,530	**************************************	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	*** FAU9067 300,000 2,000,000 3,201,530 5,501,530	10059
*178 NU PE R/U CONST TOTAL	185TH-ROCK CRE 225, 590 0 0 225, 590	EK BLUD TO TV 0 0 0 0	HICHUAY***** 500, 660 0 0 500, 660	0	3, 000, 000 3, 000, 000 3, 000, 000 3, 000, 000	************* 0 0 5, 288, 658 5, 288, 658	ereneretere 0 0 0 0 0	18 P R R R R R R R R R C O O O O O O O O O O	*** FAU9043 726,250 3,000,000 5,288,658 9,014,908	10128
*179 TV R/W CONST TUTAL	HICHWAY-21ST T	O OAK«****** O O O	828*321****** 0 0 0 0	О О О Р. к и и и и и и и и и и и и и и		############ 0 1,300,000 1,300,000	вивининие О О О	0 0 0 0	500,000 1,300,000 1,800,000	79085

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN WASHINGTON COUNTY

PHASEA QUEC304. DAT MAR198.TXT

19-Mar-85

PAGE 33

	OBLIGATIONS- FEDERAL PE	ENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
WASHINGTON *180 SCHOLL PE CONST TOTAL	COUNTY (CONTINU S FERRY ROAD/HA O O O	JED) O O O	VARD_INTERSECT 55,000 0 55,000	8 KKKKKKKNDI 0 0 0 0	29×542×4×××× 0 345,000 345,000	никинининини О О О	о о о о о о о о о о о о о о о о о о о	инининиии О О О	**** FAU9234 55,000 345,000 400,000	00000
PE CONST	OULEVARD-ALLEN 127,500 0 127,500	TO GREEN	/AY*********83°) 212, 50°) 0 212, 50°)	#322######## 0 0 0 0	#444444444 000 860,000 860,000	**************************************	########### O O O	**************************************	940,000 340,000 860,000 1,200,000	10237
*182 OBLIGA RESMV TOTAL	TIPOHTUA ARNOIT O O	reserve 0 0	E-Washing Fon C 829,826 829,826	PPRRKKYT/NUO O O	#836#4©)#∢### 0 0 0	няняняняняняня О О	*************************************	********** -829, 826 -829, 826	A'N KKRK O O	00000
PE 2. R/W 7. CONST 26. RESRV	NGTON COUNTY 190, 128 251, 389 951, 982 0 392, 590	0 0 0 0	1, 129, 248 2, 253, 550 775, 062 2, 654, 996 6, 812, 856	0 0 0 0	0 3,500,000 5,978,030 0 9,478,030	0 0 6,588,658 0 6,588,658	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 176, 742 176, 742	3, 319, 376 13, 004, 930 40, 292, 832 2, 831, 738 59, 448, 876	

OBLIGATION AUTHORITY:

43, 205, 446

PENCING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD EMDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN FUNDS TO BE ALLOCATED

PHASEA QUEC304.DAT 19-Mar-85

· ·	FECERAL	ONS PEHDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988 (POST 1988 (AUTHORIZED	PLNG#
	BE ALLOCATED S TO BE REALL 0	OCATED#######1 0 0	000#432##### 0 0	KRRKPHREFREE	С () () () () ()	**************************************	**************************************	**************************************	*** N/A 3 3	00000
TOTAL FUN	IDS TO BE ALLO	CATED								
resrv Total	0	0	ò	0	0	0	0	3	3	
O8LIGATIO	N AUTHORITY:			0					•	

PENDING OBLIGATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 31-DEC-84

PRELIMINARY FY1985 HIGHWAY ALLOCATION PLAN REPORT TOTAL

PHASEA @CEC304.DAT MAR198.TXT 19-Mar-85

PAGE 35

	OBLIGAT	IONS								
	FEDERAL	PENDING	BASE	SOFT	1986	1987	1988	POST 1988	AUTHORIZED	PLHC#
	ه البيوي إنسان السائد الدائد الدائد المدائد المدائد الدائد	-				-	بدائمه كدائب أمدائب لهدائب المدائب المدائب البدائب	مدت الدائد الدائد الدائد المدائد المدائد المدائد		
ÞΕ	20, 588, 593	0	2,440,569	0	60 <i>,737</i>	0	8,500	0	23, 098, 39 9	
R/U	41,878,176	Ò	5, 651, 650	Ō	7,222,450	Ŏ	Ö	Ō	54,752,276	
CONST	137, 348, 438	0	32, 608, 485	0	23, 655, 856	10, 278, 388	6,000,397	0	209, 891, 563	
OPRTG	1,774,014	0	0	0	0	0	ŋ	0	1,774,014	
* RESRV	0	Q	13, 192, 544	0	253, 633	0	0	45,868,166	59, 314, 343	
TUTAL	201,589,221	0	53,893,247	0	31, 192, 676	10, 278, 388	6,008,897	45,868,166	349, 830, 595	

OBLIGATION AUTHURITY:

255, 482, 468

In both cases, the totals are the same with offsets in Reserve/Program amounts being \$359,328 for the purposes noted.

^{*}The Reserve is greater than that in the Staff Report by \$359,328 with an offsetting difference in the Program. The TIP provides for a future deobligation on the Banfield in order to fix the Reserve at \$13,192,544. The Reserve in the Staff Report does not include the deobligation in order to account for the current rather than the future status.

STAFF REPORT

Agenda	Trem	NO.	
Meeting	Date	<u> </u>	

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 85-561 FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM TO INCLUDE A FREMONT BRIDGE DEBRIS CONTROL FENCING PROJECT

Date: April 1, 1985 Presented by: Andrew Cotugno

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Proposed Action

This action will amend the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to include a new project to control debris falling from the Fremont Bridge:

I-405-Fremont Bridge Debris Control Fencing - 4R

Federal-Aid Interstate 4R Funds

 Preliminary Engineering
 \$ 76,000

 Construction
 844,000

 Match
 80,000

 \$1,000,000

TPAC has reviewed this project and recommends approval of Resolution No. 85-561.

Background and Analysis

Various amounts and types of debris are being lost from vehicles and trucks crossing the Fremont Bridge. Some of this debris, not contained by the railings, falls to streets and property below the bridge. The results of this falling debris have caused and can cause severe and costly property damage.

To correct this condition, the project provides for installation of a debris control fence similar to that on the Marquam Bridge. Installation will be limited to the easterly approaches and certain I-5/I-405 ramps.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

The Executive Officer recommends approval of Resolution No. 85-561.

AC/BP/srs 3221C/411-2 04/02/85

BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

)

FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE)
TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM)
TO INCLUDE A FREMONT BRIDGE DEBRIS)
CONTROL FENCING PROJECT)

RESOLUTION NO. 85-561

Introduced by the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation

WHEREAS, Through Resolution No. 84-508, the Council of the Metropolitan Service District (Metro) adopted the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and its FY 1985 Annual Element; and

WHEREAS, The Oregon Department of Transportation has requested that a new project utilizing Federal-Aid Interstate 4R funds be added to the TIP; and

WHEREAS, This project will provide for installation of a debris control fence on the I-405 Fremont Bridge; and

WHEREAS, It is necessary that projects utilizing the noted funds be included in the TIP in order to receive federal funds; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED,

1. That Federal-Aid Interstate 4R funds be authorized for an I-405 Fremont Bridge debris control fencing project.

Federal \$ 920,000

Match 80,000

\$1,000,000

2. That the TIP and its Annual Element be amended to reflect this authorization.

	3. That the Metro Counc	il finds the project in accordance		
with the	e Regional Transportation I	Plan and gives Affirmative		
Intergovernmental Project Review approval.				
	ADOPTED by the Council of	the Metropolitan Service District		
this	, day of, 198	35.		
		Ernie Bonner, Presiding Officer		
AC/BP/si 3221C/43 04/02/85	11-2			

COMMITTEE MEETING TITLE TPACT

COMMITTEE MEETING TITLE JPHOT DATE 4-11-85 NAME **AFFILIATION**