



METRO COUNCIL MEETING

Dec. 9, 2010

Metro Council Chambers

Councilors Present: Council President Carlotta Collette and Councilors Rod Park, Kathryn Harrington, Robert Liberty, Rex Burkholder, and Carl Hosticka

Councilors Excused: None

Council President Carlotta Collette convened the regular Council meeting at 5:02 p.m.

1. INTRODUCTIONS

Council welcomed City of Portland Commissioner Amanda Fritz and Washington County Commissioner-elect Greg Malinowski.

2. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS

Carol Chesarek, 13300 NW Germantown Rd., Portland: Ms. Chesarek encouraged the Council to leave the urban reserve areas remanded by the Land Conservation and Development Commission as undesignated; stating that the region would be well above the midpoint of the middle third of the Chief Operating Officer's 50-year forecasted range. (Written testimony included as part of the meeting record.)

Greg Malinowski, 13450 NW Springville Lane, Portland: Commissioner-elect Malinowski emphasized the need for a transparent and open public process for the urban and rural reserves and stated that the public want to be informed and to participate in deliberations. He was in favor of having continued discussions about the reserves in Washington County into the new year.

Linda Peters, 25440 NW Dairy Creek Road, North Plains: Ms. Peters stated that the past year has been full of ups and downs and is happy to end the year on a note of accord regarding the rural reserves process – specifically as it relates to potential solutions for the remanded areas in Washington County.

3. CONSTRUCTION CLASS PRESENTATION

Mr. Britt Tucker of Oregon City High School and his students provided a brief presentation on a series of Wesley Lynn Park enhancement projects. The high school's construction class has received four of Metro's community enhancement grants since 2008. Grant funds have been used to design and build a pergola and covered area for the park; next project on the list is construction of a restroom.

Council discussion included the class mission, student participation and the possibility of replicating the program in other schools across the region.

4. **CONSENT AGENDA**

Motion:	Councilor Rex Burkholder Park moved to adopt the consent agenda: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The regular Council meeting minutes for November 29, 2010• The regular Council meeting minutes for December 2, 2010• The Council public hearing Ordinance No. 10-1244 minutes for December 2, 2010• Resolution No. 10-4218, For the Purpose of Entering Metro Council's Proclamation of the Results of the November 2, 2010 General Election into the Metro Council Records.
---------	--

Vote:	Council President Collette and Councilors Burkholder, Harrington, Park, Liberty and Hosticka voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.
-------	---

5. **ORDINANCES – PUBLIC HEARING READING**

5.1 **Ordinance No. 10-1244**, For the Purpose of Making the Greatest Place and Providing Capacity for Housing and Employment to the Year 2030; Amending the Regional Framework Plan and the Metro Code; and Declaring an Emergency.

Mr. John Williams of Metro provided a brief overview of Ordinance No. 10-1244 which addresses five main components:

- Recommendations for residential capacity;
- Recommendations for employment capacity;
- Recommendations for the Regional Framework Plan;
- Recommendations for the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan; and
- Recommendations for a series of maps including the 2040 Growth Concept, Title 4: *Industrial and Other Employment Areas*, and Title 6: *Centers, Corridors, Station Communities, and Main Streets, Adopted Boundaries*.

Motion:	Councilor Carl Hosticka moved to adopt Ordinance No. 10-1244.
Second:	Councilor Kathryn Harrington seconded the motion.

Councilor Hosticka expanded on Mr. Williams opening comments, stating that the ordinance has been reviewed by many advisory committees including the Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC) who formally recommended the ordinance to Council.

5.1.1 *Councilor Proposed Amendments*

Councilor Liberty overviewed the 3 principles identified by the MPAC Housing Planning subcommittee: (1) plans should describe the different housing types for the area that are intended for the area; (2) plans should describe house they would address housing needs in the prospective UGB expansion area, in perspective governing city, and the region; and (3) Plans should address the types of housing that are likely to be built in the 20-year planning period and described additional strategies to encourage the development of needed housing types that would otherwise not be built. MPAC had extensive discussion on this topic and while the committee could not agree on exact language for the recommendations, it did endorse the principles. Councilor Liberty's amendment proposed revised language in response to concerns raised by the Cities of Hillsboro

and Beaverton. The proposed amendment, although in a different form, remained true to the principles identified by the subcommittee.

Motion:	<p>Councilor Robert Liberty moved to amend Ordinance No. 10-1244, Exhibit J, <i>Title 11</i> to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Amend 3.07.1110, <i>Planning for Areas Designated Urban Reserve</i>, Section B. 1(c) to read: “A range of housing needed of different types, tenure and costs <u>addressing the housing needs</u> in the prospective UGB expansion area, the prospective governing city, the county and the region, <u>if data on regional housing needs are available, including ownership and rental housing; single family and multi-family housing; and a mix of public, nonprofit and private market housing—with an option for households with incomes at or below 80, 50 and 30 percent of median family incomes for the region in order to create economically and socially vital and complete neighborhoods and cities and avoiding the concentration of poverty and the isolation of families and people of modest means”</u>• Amend 3.07.1110, <i>Planning for Areas Designated Urban Reserve</i>, Section B.2 (a) to read: “A range of housing needed of different types, tenure and costs <u>addressing the housing needs</u> in the prospective UGB expansion area, the prospective governing city <u>the county</u> and the region, <u>if data are on regional housing needs available including ownership and rental housing; single family and multi-family housing; and a mix of public, nonprofit and private market housing with an option for households with incomes at or below 80, 50 and 30 percent of median family incomes for the region in order to create economically and socially vital and complete neighborhoods and cities and avoiding the concentration of poverty and the isolation of families and people of modest means”</u>• Amend 3.07.1110, <i>Planning for Areas Designated Urban Reserve</i>, Section C.4 to read: “If the area subject to the concept plan calls for designation of land for residential use, <u>the concept plan will describe the goals for meeting the housing needs for the concept planning area, the governing city, the county and the region if data are available. include strategies, such as partnerships and incentives, that increase the likelihood that needed housing types described in subsection B of this section will be market feasible or provided by non-market housing developers within the 20-year UGB planning period. As part of this statement of objectives, the concept plan shall identify the general number, cost and type of market and nonmarket-provided housing and the range of incomes of the families and individuals who will live in that housing. The concept plan shall also identify preliminary strategies, including fee waivers, subsidies, zoning incentives and private and nonprofit partnerships, that will support the likelihood of achieving the outcomes described in subsection B of this section”</u>
---------	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add to 3.07.1120, <i>Planning for Areas Designated Urban Reserve</i>, Section C.4 to read: <p>"If the comprehensive plan authorizes housing in any part of the area;</p> <p><u>a. p</u>rovision for a range of housing needed in the prospective UGB expansion area, the prospective governing city, and the region, - including ownership and rental housing; single-family and multi-family housing; and a mix of public, nonprofit and private market housing <u>- needed in the prospective UGB expansion area, the governing city, the county and the region if data are available; and</u></p> <p><u>b. with an option for households with incomes at or below 80, 50, and 30 percent of median family income s for the region and</u> implementing strategies that increase the likelihood that needed housing types <u>- which may include housing options for households with incomes at or below 80, 50 and 30 percent of median family incomes -</u> will be market-feasible or provided by non-market housing developers within the 20-year UGB planning period;</p> <p><u>This subsection is intended to encourage local governments to consider a range of policies and incentives that could facilitate development of a broader range of housing types and affordability than might otherwise occur. The comprehensive plan may include such provisions and requirements as the city or county deems necessary to ensure the provision of needed housing types and to implement the strategies indentified in the plan.</u></p>
Second:	Councilor Rod Park seconded the motion.

5.1.2 Public Hearing on Ordinance No. 10-1244

Council President Collette opened a public hearing for Ordinance No. 10-1244:

- Amanda Fritz, City of Portland: Commissioner Fritz expressed the City's support for the recommendation to narrowed the residential forecast and maintain flexibly of the UGB decision, MPAC's recommendation to expand UGB to include the 310 acres north of Hillsboro, the replenishment policy, and general title amendments with the exception of the proposed Title 11 changes. The City supports the underlying principle of including a more explicit consideration of affordable housing in the concept planning process and the code language developed by the MPAC Subcommittee that specifies looking at housing for households with incomes at and/or below 80, 50, and 30 percent of the median family income. She supported Councilor Liberty's proposed amendment. Commissioner Fritz also expressed her appreciation to the changes to the desired outcomes regarding accessibility of neighborhoods. (Written testimony included as part of the meeting record.)

Council discussion included the MFI percentages.

- Lou Ogden, City of Tualatin: Mayor Ogden referred to a letter from the City to the Metro Council regarding concern that the 38 percent infill rate is too high for communities and recommended that this percentage act as an aspiration not a standard. He encouraged the

Council to consider larger lots, closer to 1000 acres, for industrial lands. Mayor Ogden stated that reserves area F5, 117 acres, should be added to UGB as it is an important transportation link for the City.

Council discussion included the refill rate as a target to forecast capacity, and the inclusion of 124th Avenue project in the federally constrained Regional Transportation Plan.

- Jeff Stone, Oregon Association of Nurseries: Mr. Stone discussed issues about carrying capacity. He expressed that the public has already invested in infrastructure in the current UGB. The nursery industry is both urban and rural and he encouraged the Council to view agriculture as an economic engine. He discussed issues with getting products to market and their relation to transportation needs.
- Trey Chanter, South Business Alliance: Mr. Chanter addressed the capacity of the south metro area; specifically in regards to traffic congestion in the Boone Bridge to Highway 217 and I-5/99W areas. He emphasized the need to distribute the region's resources more equitably. Mr. Chanter supported the 124th street project. (Written testimony included as part of the meeting record.)
- Cheryl Dorman, Tualatin Chamber of Commerce: Ms. Dorman supported the six desired outcomes identified in the UGR. She expressed concern with restricting land supply for affordable housing citing increase value caused by supply and demand; and concern with traffic congestion on the Tualatin-Sherwood road, I-5 and 124th street corridors.
- Jim Haynes, Sherwood Chamber of Commerce: Mr. Haynes emphasized the importance of addressing transportation capacity in the region, specifically along the Tualatin-Sherwood and Highway 99W corridors. He cited the need for safe and reliable transportation corridors and decreased travel times as reasoning. He supported the 124th street south project.
- Beverly Bookin, Coalition for a Prosperous Region: Ms. Bookin commented that the ordinance is based on aggressive economic, employment and housing assumptions and that the region needs to ground planning decisions in historical performance. She cited issues related readiness and timing as important factors. Ms. Bookin recommended that the Council consider the higher-end of the 200 to 1500 acres for industrial land and was in favor of the replenishment system. She recommended modest expansion at the fringe of the UGB where employment growth is anticipated. (Written testimony included as part of the meeting record.)

Council discussion included partnership opportunities with the CPR on developing performance measures.

- Dick Stenson, Tuality Healthcare: Mr. Stenson provided a brief overview of healthcare-related programs and organizations that assist residents in Washington County and emphasized the collaboration between the programs.

Council discussing included the new intermodal transit center in Hillsboro.

- Lisa Brown, Community Action: Ms. Brown addressed the employment and housing challenges in Washington County. The Washington County poverty population is growing twice the rate of the general population – Community Action has received nearly 200,000

requests for emergency rent and energy assistance since January 1. Ms. Brown credited the current job market as reasoning. She supported Councilor Liberty's proposed amendment.

- Jim Irvine, Expert Advisory Group: Mr. Irvine stated that the land inside the existing UGB is significantly constrained and that region must remove the barriers in order to achieve its aspirations within the boundary. He discussed the Expert Advisory Group's discussion on market interest and demographic preferences for centers and corridors. Mr. Irvine identified areas around the region (e.g. Beaverton Round or the Pearl District) where development has or has not developed organically to meet these needs. He emphasized a need for congruency between practices (e.g. brownfield conversion or state tax policy and land policy).
- Doug Barrett, CascadeTek: Mr. Barrett discussed how his company has been able to grow and succeed in Hillsboro; he cited cluster development as reasoning. He stated that industry anchors provide great jobs and can also provide opportunities to smaller businesses located throughout the region that can potentially provide services to support the anchors. (Written testimony included as part of the meeting record.)
- Tony Konkol, City of Oregon City: Mr. Konkol provided brief historical information and the City's rationale for their request to amend the Title 4 map to be consistent with the Beavercreek Concept Plan. He encouraged Council to consider two issues when making their decision: (1) the difference between the 2002 and 2009 UGR showed that the City does have sufficient employment lands for the 2030 capacity; and (2) the City identified substantial community support during the public involvement process.

Council discussion included 2002 decision and UGR, and discussion about requiring plans prior to bringing land into the UGB.

- John Southgate, City of Hillsboro: Mr. Southgate spoke to the City's participation in small business assistance initiatives, including the Hillsboro Economic Development Partnership and Oregon Entrepreneurs' Network. He emphasized the need for large lot industrial land, stating that large lot businesses are purchasers of small businesses' products and services. He also spoke to the City's interest in contributing venture funds. (Written testimony included as part of the meeting record.)
- Mara Gross, Coalition for a Livable Future: Ms. Gross provided recommended changes on Metro's six desired outcomes for the region. She was concerned with the proposed center designation change for the Tanasbourne area; she cited already limited resources for existing centers and the importance of integrating land use and transportation planning (e.g. with specific concern with the center's priority High Capacity Transit service) as reasoning. She supported the latest proposed amendments for Title 11, but believed listing MFI numbers was important. Ms. Gross thanked the Council for waiting to make the UGB decision. (Written testimony included as part of the meeting record.)

Council discussion included criteria for centers designations and welcomed CLF's input.

- Mary Kyle McCurdy, 1000 Friends of Oregon: Ms. McCurdy thanked the Council for their decision to wait on expanding the UGB in 2010 and was in favor of not expanding the UGB in 2011 either; she cited local aspirations (e.g. land use plans and zoning) and lack of funding for current infrastructure as reasoning. She discussed the UGR findings on

residential and employment capacity –specifically focused on industrial land and zoned capacity. (Written testimony included as part of the meeting record.)

Council discussion included Exhibit N and urban services, and assumptions about infrastructure.

- Elizabeth Graser-Lindsey, 21341 S Ferguson Rd., Beavercreek: Ms. Lindsey provided comments on Mr. Konkol's testimony. She addressed LUBA's comments related to the market feasibility study and acreage for zoned industrial lands. Ms. Lindsey stated that the majority of citizens are opposed to the change citing impacts to roads and schools as reasoning. She was concerned that there is not sufficient land in employment areas and sees regional and local consequences to changing the land designation from industrial to residential. Ms. Lindsey believes the area could supply 50 acre industrial parcels for the region.

5.1.3 Council Consideration of Proposed Amendments

Councilor Liberty recommended the Council adopt the amendment before them. Council may consider additional amendments on Dec. 16 or, if needed, send Title 11 back to the subcommittee for further work. He welcomed the MPAC subcommittee's comments.

Vote:

Council President Collette and Councilors Burkholder, Harrington, Liberty, Park and Hosticka voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.

Second read, final public hearing and Council consideration of Ordinance No. 10-1244A is scheduled for Dec. 16 at 2 p.m. at the Metro Council Chambers.

6 **ORDINANCES – FIRST READING**

- 6.1 **Ordinance No. 10-1250**, For the Purpose of Amending the FY 2010-11 Budget and Appropriations Schedule to Establish a Joint Limited Duration Associate Planner Position Within the Research Center and Sustainability Center to Assist on Key Metro Climate Change Initiatives and Declaring an Emergency.

Second read, public hearing and Council consideration of Ordinance No. 10-1250 are scheduled for next Thursday, Dec. 16.

7 **ORDINANCES – SECOND READING**

- 7.1 **Ordinance No. 10-1248**, For the Purpose of Approving a Solid Waste Facility Franchise Application Submitted by Columbia Biogas, LLC to Operate an Anaerobic Digestion Facility and Authorizing the Chief Operating Officer to Issue a Franchise.

Motion:	Councilor Burkholder moved to adopt Ordinance No. 10-1248.
Second:	Councilor Park seconded the motion.

Councilor Burkholder, with assistance from Mr. Scott Robinson of Metro, introduced Ordinance No. 10-1248 which would authorize the Metro Chief Operating Officer to issue a franchise to Columbia

Biogas. Metro has the authority to franchise private solid waste facilities that intend to process, dispose or recover energy from putrescible solid waste.

The Metro COO is responsible for conducting an investigation of franchise applications received using the evaluation criteria listed in the Metro Code that addresses the applicant's qualifications, compliance with state and local regulations, consistency with the Regional SW Management Plan, and the facility's affect on the existing neighborhood and local businesses.

Mr. John McKinney of Columbia Biogas provided a presentation on the proposed facility's anaerobic process, Hydrogen Sulfide (H₂S) removal system, noise measurement and traffic analysis, facility grounds, odor control system and local and environmental benefits

7.1.2 Public Hearing on Ordinance No. 10-1248

Council President Collette opened a public hearing for Ordinance No. 10-1248:

- Bruce Walker and Tom Armstrong, City of Portland: Mr. Walker and Mr. Armstrong expressed the City's approval of the CBG application citing the facility's ability to assist in achieving the City Council's *Portland Recycles* plan that addresses waste management goals for food scrap diversion for businesses. (Written testimony has been included as part of the meeting record.)

Council discussion included how the facility will help meet regional solid waste goals and compliance with City codes (e.g. stormwater, traffic and noise impacts).

- Ervin Bergman, 5330 NE Holman, Portland: Mr. Bergman stated while the idea of the facility is good, he was concern with the lack of DEQ requirements to regulate odor, health conditions and high noise levels during neighborhood quiet hours.
- Kathy Fuerstenan, 4930 NE 73, Portland: Ms. Fuerstenan stated that while the concept of the facility is good, she had concerns with odor, noise and traffic and site configuration. Ms. Fuerstenan encouraged the Council to delay action on the CBG application until a final construction plan and noise data have been submitted and reviewed. (Written testimony included as part of the meeting record.)

Council discussion included the ability to reduce H₂S emissions from 25 ppm to be at or below DEQ's H₂S threshold of 22 ppm.

- Mike Moran, Oregon Food Bank: Mr. Moran supported the CBG application citing the facility's ability to help reduce waste in the food industry by diverting safe and nutritious food to families in need. CBG has made a goal to ensure that no food that is safe to distribute through the OFB network for emergency food agencies will be used for fuel production. OFB looks forward to CBG success and continued partnership. (Written testimony included as part of the meeting record.)
- Dan Blue, City of Gresham: Mr. Blue was in support of the CBG application citing the facility's ability to facilitate the diversion of organic material from landfills, produce clean renewable energy locally, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and generate other useable byproducts (e.g. soil amendments and liquid fertilizer). (Written testimony included as part of the meeting record.)

- Stan Jones, Port of Portland: Mr. Jones expressed the Port's support of the CBG application; stating that the facility will provide a much-needed local option for managing food and other organic wastes that are currently trucked to a facility in the Seattle area. Other benefits include job creation, water and heat production, reduced greenhouse gas emissions, and reduced costs associated with material transportation. (Written testimony included as part of the meeting record.)
- Ben Vitale, The Climate Trust: Mr. Vitale was in support of the CBG application; citing the facility's greenhouse gas emissions savings and renewable energy opportunities. The Climate Trust has financially supported biogas facilities – most within rural areas – and it interested in supporting CBG.

Council discussion included odor reduction on similar facilities – e.g. dairy farms.

- Corky Collier, Columbia Corridor Association: Mr. Collier expressed support for the CBG application; stating that it the facility is a good concept in an appropriate location. He cited the facility's ability to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, increase density in industrial lands, and eco-district benefits as reasoning. While Mr. Collier was still concerned with possible odor, he was confident that the issue would be resolved through the good neighbor agreement.
- Barb Fritz, 47205 NE Ainsworth, Portland: While she was supportive of the project idea and believed that the facility could be a good neighbor, Ms. Fritz was concerned with health impacts caused by H₂S emissions and chemicals (e.g. phosphates) used to control odor.

Council discussion included the proximity of the facility to neighborhoods.

- Ken Forcier, 6107 32nd Place, Portland: Mr. Forcier was not in support of the CBG application; citing concerns with heat associated with conversion of the biogas to electricity, disposal facility byproducts (e.g. purified water) into sewer and/or Columbia Slough, and the generation of harmful exhaust gases and particulate. He stated that this facility's placement would be better suited for a rural area. (Written testimony included as part of the meeting record.)
- Rey Espana, NAYA Family Center: Mr. Espana expressed NAYA's support for the CBG application; stating that this project provides triple bottom-line benefits. He looks forward to continue discussion with CBG regarding contract opportunities. Mr. Espana was optimistic that odor and/or health concerns would be addressed.

Council discussion included engagement and education opportunities with the local community.

Seeing no further public comment, Council President Collette closed the public hearing.

Council discussion included potential for an air quality monitoring system, freight truck travel patterns and schedule, good neighborhood agreement, H₂S standards, neighborhood quiet hours, and processes for facility byproducts. The Council expressed their seriousness in overseeing CBG operations and look forward to resolve the issues identified by the public. The Council requested a staff briefing on the good neighborhood agreement when available.

Vote:

Council President Collette and Councilors Burkholder, Harrington, Liberty, Park and Hosticka voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.

8 **RESOLUTIONS**

- 8.1 **Resolution No. 10-4200**, For the Purpose of Authorizing the Chief Operating Officer to Enter into an Intergovernmental Agreement with the North Clackamas Parks and Recreation District and the City of Happy Valley for the Acquisition, Construction of Capital Improvements, and Management of Certain Property in the East Buttes Target Area.

Motion:	Councilor Park moved to adopt Resolution No. 10-4200.
Second:	Councilor Hosticka seconded the motion.

Councilor Park introduced Resolution No. 10-4200 which requests authorization for Metro to enter into an intergovernmental agreement with North Clackamas Parks and Recreation District and the City of Happy Valley to acquire of 70 acres on Scouter Mountain from the Boy Scouts' Cascade Pacific Council. This acquisition was identified as a priority in the East Buttes – one of 27 “target areas” where Metro invests funds from the voter-approved 2006 natural areas bond measure.

Metro is purchasing the property with funds from the voter-approved natural areas bond measure. Metro will oversee restoration and improvements. The City of Happy Valley will contribute the remainder of its local allocation from the bond – approximately \$370,000 – to improve the property. The North Clackamas Parks and Recreation District will manage the new natural area, which could open as early as summer 2012.

Vote:

Council President Collette and Councilors Burkholder, Harrington, Liberty, Park and Hosticka voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.

7. **CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER COMMUNICATION**

There was none.

8. **COUNCILOR COMMUNICATION**

There were none.

9. ADJOURN

There being no further business, Council President Collette adjourned the meeting at 9:33 p.m. The Metro Council will reconvene for the next regular council meeting is scheduled for Thursday, Dec. 16 at 2 p.m. at the Metro Council Chambers.

Prepared by,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'K. Newell', written in a cursive style.

Kelsey Newell, Regional Engagement Coordinator

ATTACHMENTS TO THE PUBLIC RECORD FOR THE MEETING OF DECEMBER 9, 2010

Item	Topic	Doc. Date	Document Description	Doc. Number
2.0	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Carol Chesarek	120910c-01
3.0	Packet/Report	N/A	Oregon City High School Construction Class packet	120910c-02
4.0	Minutes	11/29/10	Council minutes for the 11/29 public hearing on Ordinance No. 10-1244	120910-03
4.0	Minutes	12/2/10	Council minutes for 12/2.	120910-04
4.0	Minutes	12/2/10	Council minutes for 12/2 public hearing on Ordinance No. 10-1244	120910c-05
5.1.1	Exhibit	12/9/10	Revised Ordinance No. 10-1244, Exhibit J, Title 11	120910c-06
5.1.2	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Amanda Fritz	120910c-07
5.1.2	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Trey Chanter	120910c-08
5.1.2	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Beverly Bookin	120910c-09
5.1.2	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Dick Stenson	120910c-10
5.1.2	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Lisa Brown	120910c-11
5.1.2	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Doug Barrett	120910c-12
5.1.2	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by John Southgate	120910c-13
5.1.2	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Mara Gross	120910c-14
5.1.2	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Mary Kyle McCurdy	120910c-15
5.1.2	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Barbara McLean	120910c-16

5.1.2	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Greg Malinowski	120910c-17
5.1.2	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Sumner Sharpe	120910c-18
5.1.2	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Tom Cusack	120910c-19
5.1.2	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Denny Doyle	120910c-20
5.1.2	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Bill Wyatt	120910c-21
7.1	PowerPoint	12/2010	PowerPoint presentation provided by John McKinney	120910c-22
7.1.1	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Bruce Walker and Tom Armstrong	120910c-23
7.1.1	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Kathy Fuestenau	120910c-24
7.1.1	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Mike Morgan	120910c-25
7.1.1	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Paul Riggs	120910c-26
7.1.1	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Dan Blue	120910c-27
7.1.1	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Stan Jones	120910c-28
7.1.1	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Ben Vitale	120910c-29
7.1.1	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Ken Forcier	120910c-30
7.1.1	Testimony	12/9/10	Written testimony submitted by Evans Martin	120910c-31