
DRAFT FY 2011-12 Unified Planning Work Program

Transportation Planning in the Portland/Vancouver Metropolitan Area

Metro

Tualatin Hills Parks & Recreation

City of Damascus

City of Milwaukie

City of Portland

City of Wilsonville (SMART)

Clackamas County

Multnomah County

Washington County

TriMet

Oregon Department of Transportation

Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council

Draft

January 19, 2011

FY 2011-12

DRAFT Unified Planning Work Program

Transportation Planning in the Portland/Vancouver Metropolitan Area

Metro
Tualatin Hills Parks & Recreation
City of Damascus
City of Milwaukie
City of Portland
City of Wilsonville (SMART)
Clackamas County
Multnomah County
Washington County
TriMet
Oregon Department of Transportation
Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council

This Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) has been financed in part through grants from the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, and the Oregon Department of Transportation. The views expressed in this UPWP do not necessarily represent the views of these agencies.

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Overview	i
Metropolitan Portland Map	iv
Self-Certification Resolution	v
Metro Projects	
<i>I. Transportation Planning</i>	
Regional Transportation Planning*	1
Best Design Practices in Transportation	7
Transportation System Management & Operations (TSMO) - Mobility Program	10
Transportation System Management & Operations (TSMO) - RTO	14
Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program*	19
Environmental Justice & Title VI	23
Regional Transportation Plan Financing*	27
Regional Freight Program.....	29
Bi-State Coordination.....	33
Project Development	36
<i>II. Research & Modeling</i>	
Model Development Program*	39
System Monitoring*	43
Technical Assistance	46
Economic, Demographic & Land Use Forecasting*	48
GIS Mapping & Land Information.....	53
<i>III. Administrative Services</i>	
Management & Coordination/Grants Management*	56
<i>IV. Corridor Planning & Projects of Regional Significance</i>	
Streetcar Technical Methods	58
Southwest Corridor Plan.....	62
East Metro Connections Plan	65

* Project will be affected by a significant shortfall in federal planning grants in FY 2011-12 and will be updated accordingly in scope/budget.

Other Projects of Regional Significance

Tualatin Hills Parks & Recreation
Fanno Creek Trail: Hall Boulevard Crossing 71

City of Damascus
Damascus Area Land Use & Transportation Planning 73

City of Portland
Sullivan’s Gulch Trail Concept Plan 77

City of Wilsonville
South Metro Area Regional Transit (SMART) 80

Clackamas County
SE 172nd Avenue: Foster Rd – Sunnyside Rd 83

Metro
Tonquin Trail Master Plan..... 86
Lake Oswego to Milwaukie Trail Master Plan 89
Mt. Scott-Scouter Mt. Loop Trail Master Plan..... 92
Westside Trail Master Plan: Tualatin River to Willamette River..... 95

TriMet
Lake Oswego Transit Corridor FEIS/PE..... 99
Washington County Commuter Rail Before/After 102
South Corridor I-205/Portland Mall Light Rail Before/After 107
Employer Outreach Program 112
Bus Stop Development Program 118

ODOT
I-5 Columbia River Crossing 122
ODOT Planning Program..... 126

2011-2012 Unified Planning Work Program Funding Summary

Projects of Regional Significance Funding Summary

**FY 2011-12
PORTLAND AND METROPOLITAN AREA
UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM
OVERVIEW**

INTRODUCTION

Metro is the metropolitan planning organization (MPO) designated for the Oregon portion of the Portland/Vancouver urbanized area, covering 25 cities and three counties (see map following this overview). It is Metro's responsibility to meet the requirements of Safe, Accountable, Flexible, and Efficient Transportation Equity Act - A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU), the Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC) Transportation Planning Rule (TPR-Rule 12), and the Metro Charter for this MPO area. In combination, these requirements call for development of a multi-modal transportation system plan that is integrated with the region's land use plans, and meets Federal and state planning requirements.

This Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) includes the transportation planning activities of Metro and other area governments involved in regional transportation planning activities for the fiscal year of July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012.

DECISION-MAKING PROCESS

Metro is governed by an elected regional Council, in accordance with a voter-approved charter. The Metro Council is comprised of representatives from six districts and a Council President elected region-wide. The Chief Operating Officer is appointed by the Metro Council and leads the day-to-day operations of Metro.

Metro uses a decision-making structure that provides state, regional and local governments the opportunity to participate in the transportation and land use decisions of the organization. Two key committees are the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) and the Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC). These committees are comprised of elected and appointed officials and receive technical advice from the Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee (TPAC) and the Metro Technical Advisory Committee (MTAC).

JOINT POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

JPACT is chaired by a Metro Councilor and includes two additional Metro Councilors, seven locally elected officials representing cities and counties, and appointed officials from the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), TriMet, the Port of Portland, and the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). The State of Washington is also represented with three seats that are traditionally filled by two locally elected officials and an appointed official from the Washington Department of Transportation (WSDOT). All transportation-related actions (including Federal MPO actions) are recommended by JPACT to the Metro Council. The Metro Council can approve the recommendations or refer them back to JPACT with a specific concern for reconsideration. Final approval of each action requires the concurrence of both JPACT and the Metro Council.

JPACT is primarily involved in periodic updates to the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP), and review of ongoing studies and financial issues affecting transportation planning in the region.

BI-STATE COORDINATION COMMITTEE

The Bi-State Coordination Committee was chartered through resolutions approved by Metro, Multnomah County, the cities of Portland and Gresham, TriMet, ODOT, the Port of Portland, the Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council (RTC), Clark County, C-Tran, the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT), and the Port of Vancouver. The Committee is charged with reviewing all issues of bi-state significance for transportation and land use. A 2003 Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) states that JPACT and the RTC Board "shall

take no action on an issue of bi-state significance without first referring the issue to the Bi-State Coordination Committee for their consideration and recommendation.”

METRO POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MPAC was established by Metro Charter to provide a vehicle for local government involvement in Metro’s growth management planning activities. It includes eleven locally-elected officials, three appointed officials representing special districts, TriMet, a representative of school districts, three citizens, two Metro Councilors (with non-voting status), two officials from Clark County, Washington and an appointed official from the State of Oregon (with non-voting status). Under Metro Charter, this committee has responsibility for recommending to the Metro Council adoption of, or amendment to, any element of the Charter-required Regional Framework Plan.

The Regional Framework Plan was adopted in December 1997 and addresses the following topics:

- Transportation
- Land Use (including the Metro Urban Growth Boundary (UGB))
- Open Space and Parks
- Water Supply and Watershed Management
- Natural Hazards
- Coordination with Clark County, Washington
- Management and Implementation

In accordance with these requirements, the transportation plan is developed to meet not only SAFETEA-LU, but also the LCDC Transportation Planning Rule and Metro Charter requirements, with input from both MPAC and JPACT. This ensures proper integration of transportation with land use and environmental concerns.

TRANSPORTATION POLICY ALTERNATIVES COMMITTEE

TPAC is comprised of technical staff from the same jurisdictions as JPACT and also includes six citizen members. TPAC makes recommendations to JPACT.

METRO TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MTAC is comprised of technical staff from the same jurisdictions as MPAC and also includes citizen members from various advocacy groups. MTAC makes recommendations to MPAC on land use related matters.

PLANNING PRIORITIES FACING THE PORTLAND REGION

SAFETEA-LU, the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAAA), the LCDC Transportation Planning Rule, the Oregon Transportation Plan and modal/topic plans, the Metro Charter, the Regional 2040 Growth Concept and Regional Framework Plan together have created a comprehensive policy direction for the region to update land use and transportation plans on an integrated basis and to define, adopt, and implement a multi-modal transportation system. Major land use planning efforts underway include:

- The "Making a Great Place" update to the 2040 Growth Concept;
- Urban and Rural Reserves planning for long-term UGB management; and
- Planning for UGB expansion areas, especially in Damascus and industrial areas.

These Federal, state and regional policy directives also emphasize development of a multi-modal transportation system. Major efforts in this area include:

- Implementation of the Regional Transportation Planning (RTP);
- Development of a financing strategy for the RTP;

- Update to the State Transportation Improvement Plan (STIP) and Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) for the period 2012-2015;
- Implementation of projects selected through the STIP/MTIP updates; and
- Completing multi-modal refinement studies in the East Metro Connections, Southwest Corridor and Columbia River Crossing.

Finally, these policy directives point toward efforts to reduce vehicle travel and vehicle emissions, in particular:

- The Oregon state goal to reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per capita;
- Targeting transportation investments to leverage the mixed-use, land use areas identified within the Regional 2040 Growth Concept;
- Adopted maintenance plans for ozone and carbon monoxide with establishment of emissions budgets to ensure future air-quality violations do not develop;
- Adoption of targets for non-single occupant vehicle travel in RTP and local plans;
- An updated five-year strategic plan for the Regional Travel Options Program; and
- A new five-year strategic plan for Regional Mobility Program.

The current status of these activities is that many of the transportation planning under the Making the Greatest Place umbrella -- including the Regional Transportation Plan, Freight Plan, TSMO Plan and supporting updates to our Public Involvement Policy and Title VI Plan -- have already been completed, or are nearing completion during the current fiscal year. Implementation of these new plans, policies and public involvement procedures will begin in FY 2011-12, and is reflected in the respective work programs for these ongoing projects.

As these projects move into an implementation phase in the coming fiscal year, a significant part of Metro's staffing resources will be directed to major new task of developing and testing a series of climate change scenarios, pursuant to Oregon Senate Bill 2001. This work is also reflected in attached work program."

GLOSSARY OF RESOURCE FUNDING TYPES

PL – Federal transportation planning funds allocated to Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO's).

STP – Federal transportation funds allocated to urban areas with populations larger than 200,000. Part of Metro's regional flexible fund allocation (RFFA) to Metro Planning, or to specific projects as noted.

ODOT Support – Funding from ODOT to support regional transportation planning activities (currently \$225,000 per year).

TriMet Support - Funding from TriMet to support regional transportation planning activities (currently \$225,000 per year).

Metro – Local match support from Metro general fund or solid waste revenues.

Other – Anticipated revenues pending negotiations with partner agencies.

Reserved for the
Portland Metropolitan Map

DRAFT

Reserved for Joint Resolution
of the

Metro Council

and

Oregon Department of Transportation

DRAFT

Metro Projects

DRAFT

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING**Description:**

This program develops and supports implementation of the region's long-range transportation plan for the Portland metropolitan region, also called the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The RTP is updated regularly to ensure compliance with State and Federal regulations and address changes in land use, demographic, financial, travel and economic trends. The RTP guides the design, management and investment in the region's transportation system for all forms of travel – motor vehicle, transit, bike, and pedestrian – and the movement of goods and freight. The plan also carries out a broad range of regional planning objectives for implementing the 2040 Growth Concept – the region's long-range growth management strategy for the Portland metropolitan region. Local transportation plans in the region must conform to the RTP under provisions of the Oregon Transportation Planning Rule (TPR). Central to the 2035 RTP is an overall emphasis on outcomes, system completeness and measurable performance targets to hold the region accountable for making progress toward the region's desired outcomes and State goals for reductions in per capita vehicle miles traveled and greenhouse gas emissions. The most recent update was approved by the U.S. DOT on September 20, 2010.

In 2007, the Oregon Legislature established statewide targets for greenhouse gas emissions (GHGs). In 2009, the Legislature passed House Bill 2001, directing Metro to develop scenarios that will model then implement the most effective approaches to reduce transportation-related greenhouse gas emissions and per capita vehicle miles traveled (VMT). Sections 37 and 38 of HB 2001 are intended to ensure statewide targets for GHG emissions are being addressed in metropolitan transportation plans and regional and local land use plans. The 2009 Legislature also established the Metropolitan Planning Organization Greenhouse Gas Emissions Task Force through House Bill 2186. The task force's recommendations were approved by 2010 Legislature through Senate Bill 1059. Senate Bill 1059 provides further direction to greenhouse gas scenario planning in the other Oregon MPOs and the Metro region. It also calls for development of a statewide GHG emission reduction strategy for the light-duty vehicle emissions sector, a toolkit of emission reductions actions; and GHG reduction target setting for metropolitan areas. Federal climate legislation, with targets and commensurate planning requirements to mitigate GHG emissions remain pending in Congress. Metro's Climate Smart Communities Scenarios planning effort is a multi-year project to respond to the state mandates. Preferred scenario selection and adoption would occur as part of the next RTP update. Local conformance would follow.

Objectives:

- Carry out work activities to implement and update the RTP. Continue to meet requirements of SAFETEA-LU, subsequent federal regulations and state planning goals and requirements in a manner that advances 2040 implementation and local aspirations. (ONGOING)
- Ensure that local plans and codes and corridor refinement plans are consistent with regional policies and requirements through the local transportation system plan (TSP) development and review process, and participation in corridor refinement plan teams. This technical assistance will be coordinated with other Planning and Development technical support for 2040 implementation. (ONGOING)
- Collaborate with the Metro Research Center to identify data needs, expand current data collection efforts and improve tools for evaluating 2040 outcomes in partnership with the Oregon Transportation Research and Education Consortium (OTREC) and ODOT. This will include developing a data management system to facilitate data collection, maintenance and reporting to support on-going RTP monitoring, Title VI reporting, the region's Congestion Management Process (CMP), Regional Mobility Program and regional GHG emissions analysis. (ONGOING)
- Actively engage and inform the region's decision-makers, transportation system providers, public agencies, local governments, business groups, community organizations, advocacy groups, State and Federal agencies and other community stakeholders on RTP amendments and Climate Smart Communities Scenarios work program milestones. (ONGOING)
- Ensure coordination with local government staff involved in land use and transportation planning and with other relevant Metro activities, including the Climate Smart Communities Initiative, the Intertwine,

Regional Freight Program, Portland-Vancouver Regional Indicators Project, Regional Active Transportation Program, Regional Mobility Program, Community Investment Strategy, the Regional Travel Options Program, the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program, the Development Center and the Centers and Corridors Program. (ONGOING)

Previous Work:

This is a continuing program activity in Metro's transportation planning process as the region's designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO).

- Completed the 2035 RTP update, addressing Federal SAFETEA-LU requirements and Oregon Statewide Planning Goals. The updated RTP was developed in coordination with the *Making the Greatest Place* initiative and development of the *Regional Freight Plan*, the *Regional High Capacity Transit System Plan*, and the *Regional Transportation System Management and Operations (TSMO) Plan*. The plan includes an outcomes-based framework and performance targets that shaped the plan's investment priorities - including safety, freight reliability, active transportation, public health, climate change, affordable housing and equity outcomes. The U.S. Department of Transportation approved the RTP conformity determination and related documentation on September 20, 2010. The Department of Land Conservation and Development approved the RTP on November 24, 2010.
- Provided technical assistance on local implementation of the RTP, including development of HCT System Expansion Policy (SEP) guidelines and RTP Implementation Guidelines in consultation with Metro's technical and policy advisory committees.
- Maintained RTP web page to provide access to information about plan, technical reports and other documents developed during the 2035 RTP update. Materials can be downloaded at www.oregonmetro.gov/rtp.
- Provided ongoing elderly and disabled transportation planning support to ensure policies and strategies identified in the regionally-developed *Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plan (CHSTP)* were included in the RTP and Federally-funded CHSTP projects that support CHSTP implementation were included in the MTIP.
- Supported the Intertwine and Active Transportation efforts to fund a regional trails package and implement active transportation corridor demonstration projects.
- Supported development and implementation of the regional bicycle model project.
- Supported the Regional Transportation Coordinating Council (RTCC) efforts to address elderly and disabled transportation, public health and equity issues and update Federally-mandated plans.
- Developed Climate Smart Communities Scenarios work program and public participation plan, and convened monthly state coordination meetings to address HB 2001 requirements.
- Conducted research on potential GHG emissions impacts from a range of land use and transportation policies. The research also documented other benefits and impacts associated with the policies as part of a policy toolbox report. The research established policy and technical basis for new tools, such as parking pricing, tolling and other strategies needed to reduce transportation-related greenhouse gas emissions.
- Conducted stakeholder interviews and public opinion research to assess awareness of issue, values around issue, and develop "language bank" to support communication plan.
- Participated in the development of 1990 Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) and GHG estimates, the Statewide Transportation Strategy, Scenario Planning Guidelines and State Greenhouse Gas Emissions Targets.
- Initiated and/or completed enhancements to the regional travel demand forecasting model and MetroScope model to better analyze GHG emissions from transportation and land use alternatives that will be evaluated for selection in FY 12-13. This work will continue in FY 11-12.
- Conducted preparatory work needed to convene a regional travel model expert panel to review and endorse analytical tools and work scope for transportation model refinements to analyze plans and

programs to implement a scenario for reducing light vehicle GHG emissions. This work will continue in FY 12-13.

- Developed or enhanced data and tools to establish appropriate baseline data to analyze GHG emissions impacts and allow for the evaluation of the costs, benefits, and impacts of land use and transportation choices. This work will provide adequate technical support to develop findings necessary to adopt a preferred scenario in FY 12-13, and will be coordinated with other Oregon MPOs, DEQ, ODOT, the Oregon Modeling Steering Committee and others. The tools may also be used by local governments in the Metro region and other Oregon MPOs. This work will continue in FY 11-12 and FY 12-13.
- Developed an outcomes-based evaluation framework, the scenario analysis technical approach and tools in coordination with DLCD, ODOT, other MPOs and the Oregon Modeling Steering Committee for use in Climate Smart Communities Scenarios effort.
- Conducted research on current best-practices related to equity and health assessments at the community and MPO-level to inform regional programs and planning activities, and work with the Research Center to develop criteria and methods related to analyzing equity and health impacts at the community and MPO-level. This work will also support local TSP updates, implementation of the CHSTP, Metro's corridor refinement planning efforts, the MTIP and future updates to the RTP.
- Convened policy and technical workshops to frame policy choices with sketch-planning tools and facilitate development of three scenarios designed to meet LCDC-adopted GHG targets.
- Developed and analyzed case studies, and a baseline and three scenarios to estimate emissions reductions that can be achieved through changes to land use and transportation to meet LCDC-adopted GHG targets. This work will continue in FY 11-12.

Methodology:

This program will focus on two core RTP-related activities in FY 2011-12:

Climate Smart Communities: Scenarios (House Bill 2001): House Bill 2001, passed by the 2009 Oregon Legislature, requires Metro to develop two or more alternative land use and transportation scenarios designed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from light-duty vehicles by January, 2012, and select one scenario for regional and local implementation that meets the state targets. The required scenario planning includes further development of tools and policies in Oregon and the Portland region. This work will build on the policy and technical work from the *Making the Greatest Place* initiative and 2035 RTP update. Metro will lead this effort in coordination with DLCD, ODOT, TriMet, local governments and other stakeholders. Work activities in FY 2011-12 will lead into the 2040 RTP update and other long-range planning activities such as the next Urban Growth Report and updates to the 2010 Community Investment Strategy.

Local Transportation System Plan (TSP) and Corridor Refinement Plan Support: Metro provides ongoing technical and policy support for local transportation planning and regional corridor refinement plan activities. Metro will continue to work closely with local jurisdictions during the next fiscal year to ensure regional policies and projects are enacted through local plans. This work element will be scaled back from previous years due to HB 2001 scenario planning work program, but will include the following activities:

- Professional support for technical analysis and modeling required as part of local plan updates.
- Professional support at the local level to assist in development of local policies, programs and regulations that implement the RTP.
- Written comments on proposed amendments to local plans.
- Providing public information on the RTP via Metro's website.
- Coordination with Corridor Planning staff.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-2012:

- Quarterly progress reports. (ONGOING)
- RTP web page at www.oregonmetro.gov/rtp. Background materials, web-based project database and plan documents will be available to download. The website will be updated on a regular basis to include fact sheets, newsletters and other pertinent information about the RTP. (ONGOING)
- RTP amendments, if necessary. (ONGOING)
- Written comments on proposed amendments to local plans. (ONGOING)
- Participation in Regional Transportation Coordinating Council (RTCC) efforts to address elderly and disabled transportation, public health and equity issues. (ONGOING)
- Participation in Intertwine and Active Transportation efforts to fund a regional trails package and implement active transportation corridor demonstration projects. (ONGOING)
- Technical support and participation in the development and implementation of the regional bicycle model / trip planner project. (ONGOING)
- Participation in meetings of the Oregon Sustainable Transportation Initiative technical and policy advisory committees. (ONGOING)
- Memos and/or reports to document the tools and methods used to evaluate the effects of land use and transportation projects on GHG emissions and other performance criteria. (FIRST QUARTER)
- Memos and/or reports to document the sketch-level scenarios analysis results, key findings, policy implications and recommendations for reducing transportation-sector GHG emissions. (FIRST QUARTER)
- Report documenting a regional forum held to review sketch-level scenario evaluation results, key findings and recommendations for reducing transportation-sector GHG emissions. (SECOND QUARTER)
- Final report to the 2012 Legislature on evaluation results, key findings and recommendations for reducing transportation-sector GHG emissions. (SECOND QUARTER)
- Memos and/or reports to document research on current best-practices related to parking management and other tools to support updating regional policies, strategies and guidance for implementation of preferred scenario that reduces transportation-sector GHG emissions. (SECOND AND THIRD QUARTERS)
- Process to review sketch-level scenario evaluations and recommendations with stakeholders to seek understanding and receive input on preferred scenario alternatives. (THIRD QUARTER)
- Policy and technical workshops to develop preferred scenario alternatives for further evaluation. (FOURTH QUARTER)
- Develop and begin analyzing alternative preferred scenarios designed to meet LCDC-adopted GHG targets and region's 6 desired outcomes. The alternatives will build on recommendations from the previous analysis and include, as appropriate, recommendations from corridor refinement plans, the Community Investment Strategy and local planning efforts. This work will continue in FY 12-13. (FOURTH QUARTER)
- Continue to develop or enhance tools and models to analyze GHG emissions impacts and allow for the evaluation of the costs, benefits, and impacts of land use and transportation choices. This work will provide adequate technical support to develop findings necessary to adopt a preferred scenario, and will be coordinated with other Oregon MPOs, DEQ, ODOT, the Oregon Modeling Steering Committee and others. (ONGOING)
- Enhanced regional travel demand forecasting and MetroScope models to support GHG emissions analysis to be conducted in FY 12-13. This work will be coordinated with other Oregon MPOs, DEQ, ODOT, the Oregon Modeling Steering Committee and others. (FOURTH QUARTER)
- Draft 2040 RTP update work program and timeline. (FOURTH QUARTER)

Entities Responsible for Activity:

Metro – Product Owner/Lead Agency
Oregon Department of Transportation – Cooperate/Collaborate
TriMet – Cooperate/Collaborate

Other stakeholders:

Cities and counties in the Metro region
Regional partner agencies
Metro Committee for Citizen Involvement (MCCI)
Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee (TPAC)
Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT)
Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC)
Bi-State Coordination Committee
Metro Technical Advisory Committee
Metro Regional Freight Technical Advisory Committee
Regional Travel Options Subcommittee to TPAC
TRANSPORT Subcommittee to TPAC
Regional Transportation Council (RTC) of metropolitan Clark County, Washington
Adjacent planning organizations, including Mid-Willamette Area Commission on Transportation
Other area transit providers, including South Metro Area Regional Transit (SMART) and C-TRAN
Port districts, including Port of Portland and Port of Vancouver
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)
Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC)
Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC)
Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD)
Oregon Global Warming Commission (OGWC)
Oregon Modeling Steering Committee (OMSC)
Other Oregon MPOs
Community groups and organizations involved in climate planning, equity, land use and transportation issues
Organizations serving minority, elderly, disabled, and non-English speaking residents needs
Organizations and advisory committees serving regional bicycle, pedestrian, and transit needs
General public

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Objectives* and *Tangible Products* sections of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	660,473	PL	\$	434,340
	Interfund Transfers	\$	192,872	STP	\$	95,858
	Materials & Services	\$	115,954	Section 5303	\$	253,608
	Printing/Supplies \$40,000			ODOT Support	\$	76,247
	Postage \$24,000			TriMet	\$	59,777
	Ads & Legal Notices \$20,000			Metro	\$	74,373
	Miscellaneous \$33,034					
	Computer	\$	18,449			
	TOTAL	\$	994,203	TOTAL	\$	994,203
Full-Time Equivalent Staffing						
Regular Full-Time FTE		6.596				
TOTAL		6.596				
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	619,805	PL	\$	294,931
	Interfund Transfers	\$	158,756	STP	\$	75,197
	Materials & Services	\$	117,034	Section 5303	\$	260,826
	Printing/Supplies \$40,000			ODOT Support	\$	77,173
	Postage \$24,000			TriMet	\$	58,941
	Ads & Legal Notices \$20,000			Metro	\$	73,813
	Miscellaneous \$33,034			Other	\$	73,163
	Computer	\$	18,449			
	TOTAL	\$	914,044	TOTAL	\$	914,044
Full-Time Equivalent Staffing						
Regular Full-Time FTE		6.025				
TOTAL		6.025				

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	1,366,459	PL	\$	154,085
	Interfund Transfers	\$	368,944	STP	\$	165,987
	Materials & Services	\$	275,614	Section 5303	\$	100,242
	Consultant \$151,000			ODOT Support	\$	87,561
	Printing/Supplies \$35,000			TriMet	\$	79,559
	Ads/Legal Notices \$20,000			Metro	\$	398,377
	Postage \$24,000			Other	\$	1,124,247
	Computer Supplies \$5,086					
	Temp Services \$20,000					
Miscellaneous \$20,528						
Computer	\$	99,041				
TOTAL	\$	2,110,058	TOTAL	\$	2,110,058	
Full-Time Equivalent Staffing						
Regular Full-Time FTE		11.965				
TOTAL		11.965				

BEST DESIGN PRACTICES IN TRANSPORTATION

Description:

The Best Design Practices in Transportation Program implements Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) design policies for major streets and includes ongoing involvement in local transportation project conception, funding, and design. This program addresses Federal context-sensitive design solutions initiatives and SAFETEA-LU requirements to develop mitigation strategies to address impacts of the transportation projects.

Metro encourages environmental mitigation through its Best Design Practices in Transportation program. The program encompasses the previously separate Livable Streets, Green Streets, and Designing for Wildlife programs. Metro anticipates developing future design guidelines to complement these programs.

- Livable Streets: Metro created the Livable Streets program in 1996 to encourage local jurisdictions to design streets that better support the 2040 Growth Concept. The first handbook, *Creating Livable Streets*, was published in 1997 to provide street design guidelines that support 2040's land use and transportation goals. The development of a trail (shared-use path) design guidebook is intended to supplement these standards.
- Green Streets: Metro's *Green Streets: Innovative Solutions for Stormwater and Stream Crossings* and *Trees for Green Streets* handbooks, published in 2002, serve as companion publications to *Creating Livable Streets*. The handbooks take a watershed-based approach to transportation planning by providing methodologies and design solutions to minimize the negative impacts of stormwater runoff caused by the impervious surfaces of streets.
- Designing for Wildlife: Designing for Wildlife is an emerging program that seeks to minimize the impacts of roadway projects on wildlife populations. Wildlife-vehicle conflict creates significant costs to both human safety and ecological integrity. Wildlife-vehicle collisions are a direct impact of transportation infrastructure cutting across wildlife habitat corridors. These conflicts can be minimized through engineered solutions, such as wildlife-crossing devices/structures, as well as a more holistic approach of calling out wildlife corridor needs as part of transportation project development. In 2003, a Portland State University team developed a draft Wildlife Crossings handbook intended to provide the necessary tools for understanding and minimizing wildlife-vehicle conflicts. In 2006, Metro Transportation and Parks worked with University of Oregon Landscape Architecture interns to update and enhance the document. In 2009, Metro finalized a publication draft of the document.

Objectives:

- Implement regional street-design policy by participating in local project development and design activities, including technical advisory committees, design workshops and charrettes, as well as formal comment on proposed projects. (ONGOING)
- Ensure that local plans and design codes adequately accommodate regional design objectives through the local Transportation System Plan (TSP) review process. (ONGOING)
- Provide leadership in the professional engineering community on innovative designs and the transportation/land use connection. (ONGOING)
- Develop best practices for accommodating wildlife crossings in transportation project development and design. (COMPLETED)
- Increase awareness of wildlife crossings best practices amongst design professionals via distribution of available information. (ONGOING)
- Develop best practices for the design and implementation of regional trails. (PLANNED)

Previous Work:

In early 2007, Metro added engineering staff to enhance technical outreach and advocacy for the program. In FY 2007-08, staff worked with the Regional Freight Technical Advisory Committee to develop recommended changes and additions to the *Creating Livable Streets* handbook to better

accommodate freight movement in urban street design standards. In FY 2008-09, staff worked with the Sustainability Center in the development of the Wildlife Crossings handbook. Throughout the life of the program, staff has focused on implementation of regional street design policies and objectives at the local project-development level.

Methodology:

Metro has traditionally participated in local project-development activities for regionally funded transportation projects. During FY 2011-12, the Best Design Practices in Transportation Program will continue to focus those activities on projects that directly relate to implementation of Region 2040 land use components, including active transportation projects and other multimodal projects funded through the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP). Current RTP policies require consideration of the design guidelines during project development activities and for local plans to be updated to allow for consideration of these design treatments. The program also involves ensuring that local system plan and design codes are updated to support regional design objectives.

The enhanced Best Design Practices in Transportation Program will include public outreach, special workshops and tours, an awards program for project recognition, technical support for local design efforts, and involvement in local project conception with the goal of improving the quality and scope of projects submitted for MTIP funding. In addition, Metro's Transportation Priorities process encourages implementation of green streets and project designs that include street trees and other design elements to reduce stormwater runoff. Emerging areas within the program include designing for safety, and providing for effective freight and goods movements in multi-modal environments. These themes will be reflected in a comprehensive update to the published documents planned for FY 11-12.

The Designing for Wildlife Program grew out of the Greens Streets and Culvert programs which were initiated in response to the Endangered Species Act (ESA) listing of Salmon and Steelhead in the late 1990s. As the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), Metro needs to ensure that distribution of Federal transportation funds addresses and complies with the ESA. Metro's culvert program has ranked the culverts in the region to identify those needing repair or replacement to accommodate endangered or threatened fish species. While the focus of Metro's culvert program is directed at fish passage, the redesign of problem culverts presented an opportunity to develop complementary wildlife crossings that accommodate other wildlife as well as fish.

In 2005 the Metro Council adopted Title 13, which builds upon the Title 3 regional standards for water quality and erosion control and upon local provisions for habitat under city and county comprehensive plans. Metro's Title 13 is the regional implementation tool for State Goal 5, Open Spaces and Natural Resources. Its purpose is to conserve, protect, and restore a continuous ecologically viable streamside corridor system in a manner that is integrated with upland wildlife habitat and with the surrounding urban landscape. Title 13 provides performance standards and a Model Code to address tree canopy retention, use of habitat-friendly development practices, and mitigation. Wildlife crossings that are designed to protect habitat by restoring or maintaining habitat connectivity may help satisfy Title 13 policy requirements.

Updates to the program's guidebooks are planned for FY 11-12. The planned work includes revisions to *Creating Livable Streets* including freight considerations based on recommendations of the Regional Freight Technical Advisory Committee; and updates to *Green Streets* and *Trees for Green Streets* handbooks. Additionally, Metro expects to develop design guidelines for Regional Trail and/or active transportation projects.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

- Mange process to update *Creating Livable Streets*, *Green Streets*, and *Trees for Green Streets* in 2011-12. Process through publication is expected to take 12-18 months. (FIRST QUARTER)
- Begin process for developing a Trail design guidelines handbook for publication. Process expected to take 12 months. (FIRST QUARTER)
 - Identify stakeholders to provide project guidance
 - Develop handbook based on regional, state, and national best practices.

- Work with Creative Services to refine document.
- Development of walking audits in conjunction with placemaking activities. The audits would identify barriers and opportunities to walking and placemaking, and promote livable streets principles as an element of successful placemaking. Audience would be practicing professionals and interested citizens involved in local project development. (FOURTH QUARTER)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

Metro – Lead Agency
 Partner Agencies - Stakeholders
 Oregon Department of Transportation – Cooperate / Collaborate
 TriMet – Cooperate / Collaborate

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Objectives* and *Tangible Products* sections of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	81,007	STP	\$	142,626
	Interfund Transfers	\$	23,654	ODOT Support	\$	17,821
	Materials & Services	\$	72,110	Metro	\$	16,324
	TOTAL	\$	176,771	TOTAL	\$	176,771
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.715			
TOTAL		0.715				
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	83,959	PL	\$	17,821
	Interfund Transfers	\$	24,072	STP	\$	107,327
	Materials & Services	\$	72,145	5303	\$	34,194
				Metro	\$	20,833
	TOTAL	\$	180,175	TOTAL	\$	180,175
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
Regular Full-Time FTE		0.71				
TOTAL		0.71				

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	85,227	PL	\$	8,267
	Interfund Transfers	\$	23,001	STP	\$	99,860
	Materials & Services	\$	239,858	Guidebooks STP		150,000
	Consultant \$172,168			5303		49,098
	Printing/Supplies \$60,000			Metro		40,871
	Miscellaneous \$7,690					
TOTAL	\$	348,096	TOTAL	\$	348,096	
Full-Time Equivalent Staffing						
Regular Full-Time FTE		0.67				
TOTAL		0.67				

TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT & OPERATIONS (TSMO) – REGIONAL MOBILITY PROGRAM**Description:**

Regional Mobility is one of two program areas under the broad policy heading of Transportation System Management and Operations (TSMO) – the other is the Regional Travel Options program. Together these two programs advance TSMO strategies by coordinating the development, implementation and performance monitoring of regional demand and system management strategies that relieve congestion, optimize infrastructure investments, promote travel options, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

The Regional Mobility program coordinates both the planning and implementation of the region's system management and operations strategies to enhance multimodal mobility for people and goods. The activities of this program focus on proactive management of the multimodal transportation system through traffic management, traveler information, traffic incident management, and safety strategies. The program also supports the implementation of the region's congestion management process (CMP) by providing lower cost, high benefit operational improvements for congestion and safety; and by enhancing the region's real-time data collection capabilities in support of performance monitoring. The Regional Mobility program activities are guided by TransPort, the regional advisory committee on system operations.

Objectives

- Coordinate Regional Mobility strategies and investments with Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). (ONGOING)
- Seek new opportunities for funding regional TSMO strategies. (ONGOING)
- Coordinate with Making a Great Place and Transportation Implementation activities to ensure consideration and integration of TSMO strategies. (ONGOING)
- Implement TSMO strategies that support the regional CMP. (ONGOING)
- Coordinate allocation of regional flexible funds for TSMO project priorities, as identified by the Regional TSMO Plan. (ONGOING)
- Complete the Arterial Performance Measure Regional Concept of Operations (RCTO) to expand real-time, multimodal traffic surveillance and performance data collection capabilities. (FOURTH QUARTER)
- Publish annual report to document implementation of system management and operations projects across the region. (THIRD QUARTER)
- Update Regional Mobility Corridor Atlas. (FOURTH QUARTER)
- Initiate update of Regional ITS Architecture. (FOURTH QUARTER)
- Continue to strengthen the Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee's (TPAC) institutional capacity regarding TSMO, including support of TransPort and other relevant subcommittees. (ONGOING)
- Support the work of the Portland Oregon Regional Transportation Archive Listing (PORTAL), managed by PSU, to expand the generation, collection, archiving and use of multimodal operations data in a way that will enhance the region's ability to diagnose and address congestion, especially on the arterial system. (ONGOING)
- Work with ODOT and members of the Regional Transportation Safety work group to refine existing traffic safety data to reflect conditions in the Metro boundary. (ONGOING)
- Advance research, education, and training on transportation management and operation issues relevant to the region. (ONGOING)
- Manage a Regional Mobility outreach component including web page, presentations, and informational materials. (ONGOING)
- Maintain ongoing communication with counterparts at Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) regarding the CMP implementation as it relates to TSMO. (ONGOING)

Previous Work:

In FY 2010-11, the Regional Mobility Program:

- Amended 2008-11 MTIP and STIP to sub allocate TSMO programmatic funds
- Initiated the Arterial Performance RCTO
- Agendas and meeting summaries for TransPort and its subcommittee
- Coordinated TSMO professional development and training opportunities
- Published the Regional Traffic Signal Directory
- Published the Regional Mobility Corridor Atlas to the Metro website

Methodology:

The Regional Mobility program encompasses the Federal mandates to maintain a CMP and promote TSMO, including intelligent transportation systems (ITS). The Regional Mobility program will continue its role as regional coordinator for system management and operations. This includes support for TransPort and its various subcommittees on planning, ITS network infrastructure, PORTAL development, and traffic incident management. It will also coordinate and manage the allocation of TSMO-designated regional flexible funds to partner agencies. Additionally, staff will actively seek opportunities for new TSMO funding in coordination with its regional partners.

The development of the Arterial Performance RCTO is the most significant activity for the fiscal year. In collaboration with TransPort, the Regional Mobility program will prepare an Arterial Performance Measure RCTO to advance the region's performance measurement capabilities to RTP arterials. The RCTO will result in policies, procedures, protocols, and projects for real-time arterial performance data useful to decision makers, transportation professionals, and the traveling public.

The Regional Mobility program will publish an annual report to highlight regional implementation of TSMO investments. The report is a means for tracking progress in implementing the Regional TSMO Refinement Plan.

The program will begin scoping the update of the Regional ITS Architecture to be initiated in FY 2012-13. The architecture will be updated to the most recent version of Turbo, the software platform; conformed to the national and Oregon State ITS architectures; and incorporate changes identified by the Regional TSMO Plan and TransPort.

The Regional Mobility Corridor Atlas provides the documentation for CMP system conditions. As directed by the RTP performance management system, the atlas will be updated to provide existing conditions data to support development of local TSPs and MTIP programming.

Safety Planning: Metro provides ongoing safety planning support to promote collaboration and commitment among regional partners to consider, evaluate and implement regional multi-disciplinary safety solutions (i.e. environment, engineering, education, enforcement, and emergency services) through sharing of innovations, best practices and case studies in transportation safety. This work will include:

- Continuing to collect and aggregate ODOT safety data specific to the Metro region.
- Develop safety performance measures to track on a regular basis through the Congestion Management Process in addition to the performance target in the 2035 RTP.
- Draft State of Safety in the Region report.

The Regional Mobility program will continue to seek and support opportunities for research, education, and training on TSMO. The program will work through OTREC and ODOT Research to advance academic research on TSMO-related topics. It will also continue its partnership with FHWA and OTREC

to provide TSMO educational opportunities. Ongoing public outreach and education occurs within the Regional Mobility Program and includes a web page to education and inform the general public regarding TSMO as well as occasional presentations to stakeholder groups and at conferences.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

- Amendment(s) to FY2010-2013 MTIP to advance funding of priority projects as identified in the Regional TSMO Refinement Plan (ONGOING)
- Arterial Performance RCTO (FOURTH QUARTER)
- Regional ITS Architecture Update scope of work (FOURTH QUARTER)
- Annual report for TSMO plan implementation. (THIRD QUARTER)
- Agendas and meeting summaries for TransPort and its subcommittees(ONGOING)
- Regional Mobility Corridor Atlas v.2 (FOURTH QUARTER)
- Agendas and meeting summaries for the Regional Transportation Safety work group. (ONGOING)
- State of Safety in the Region report (SECOND QUARTER)
- Continue work on gathering resources to complete a regional transportation safety action plan. (ONGOING)

Entities Responsible for TSMO Activity:

- Metro Council – Policy making
- Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) – Policy making
- Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee (TPAC) – Policy making
- TransPort and subcommittees – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Oregon Transportation Research and Education Consortium (OTREC) – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Federal Transit Administration (FTA) – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) – Cooperate/Collaborate
- TriMet – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Portland State University – Cooperate/Collaborate
- City of Portland – Grant Recipient
- City of Gresham – Grant Recipient
- City of Tigard – Grant Recipient
- TriMet – Grant Recipient
- Clackamas County – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Multnomah County – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Washington County – Cooperate/Collaborate
- C-TRAN – Cooperate/Collaborate
- City of Vancouver – Cooperate/Collaborate
- SW Regional Transportation Council – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Washington State Department of Transportation – Cooperate/Collaborate

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Objectives* and *Tangible Products* sections of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

	<u>Requirements:</u>			<u>Resources:</u>		
2009-10	Personal Services	\$	67,124	PL	\$	24,502
	Interfund Transfers	\$	19,601	STP	\$	26,981
	Materials & Services	\$	1,859	Section 5303	\$	2,500

				ODOT Support	\$	19,637
				TriMet Support	\$	11,251
				Metro	\$	3,713
	TOTAL	\$	88,584	TOTAL	\$	88,584
	<u>Full-Time Equivalent Staffing</u>					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.620			
	TOTAL		0.620			
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	546,648	PL	\$	144,301
	Interfund Transfers	\$	141,913	STP	\$	9,701
	Materials & Services	\$	1,352,966	ODOT Support	\$	36,230
				TriMet Support	\$	11,206
				Metro	\$	1,110
	TOTAL	\$	2,041,526	TOTAL	\$	2,041,526
	<u>Full-Time Equivalent Staffing</u>					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		1.34			
	TOTAL		1.34			

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	144,414	PL	\$	72,018
	Interfund Transfers	\$	38,992	STP	\$	72,838
	Materials & Services	\$	3,216	ODOT Support	\$	27,815
	Printing/Supplies \$1,000			TriMet	\$	11,216
	Miscellaneous \$2,216			Metro	\$	8,338
	Computer	\$	5,603			
	TOTAL	\$	192,225	TOTAL	\$	192,225
	<u>Full-Time Equivalent Staffing</u>					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		1.13			
TOTAL		1.13				

TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT & OPERATIONS (TSMO) PROGRAM – REGIONAL TRAVEL OPTIONS (RTO)**Description:**

The Transportation System Management and Operations (TSMO) Program coordinates the development, implementation and performance monitoring of regional demand and system management strategies that relieve congestion, optimize infrastructure investments, promote travel options, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The TSMO program integrates two active programs, Regional Travel Options and Regional Mobility, to enhance opportunities for coordination and collaboration on multimodal management strategies.

The **Regional Travel Options (RTO)** program is the region's Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategy for reducing reliance on the single-occupancy automobile. The program is central to the region's efforts to maintain "attainment" status with Federal air quality requirements and implementation of the Congestion Management Process (CMP). The program's effectiveness in meeting these goals is monitored on an ongoing basis through a system of detailed evaluations of individual components and employer surveys, and is documented in bi-annual reports published by Metro. The key components of the RTO program are:

- Collaborative marketing program that coordinates the marketing activities of program partners and supports implementation of the Drive Less/Save More campaign in the Portland metropolitan area;
- Commuter services program that conducts outreach to employers and commuters and supports the development of work site travel options programs;
- Traveler information tools program that works to develop and enhance traveler information related to ridesharing, biking, walking and transit use;
- Transportation Management Association (TMA) program that provides grants to six area TMAs to support local trip reduction activities;
- Grant program that provides support to local and regional travel options projects through a competitive project solicitation process, including grants to support large-scale residential individualized marketing projects (like SmartTrips);
- Measurement program that collects data on the outcomes of RTO funded projects and programs and reports progress on meeting program goals to aid decision-making; and
- A policy and funding program that supports the development of TDM policies and the RTO Subcommittee of TPAC, and coordinates RTO investments with other regional programs.

Objectives

- Continued implementation of the 2008-2013 RTO Strategic Plan; begin development of 2013-2018 plan. (ONGOING)
- Continued policy development in partnership with RTO Subcommittee. (ONGOING)
- Continued implementation of the Drive Less/Save More collaborative marketing campaign and coordination of partner agency marketing activities. (ONGOING)
- Administer and monitor the RTO grants program. Consider elderly, disabled, low income, minority and other underserved populations in the grant making process. Consider the impacts on public health in the grant making process. (ONGOING)
- Develop and provide travel options services to targeted communities and audiences, including elderly, disabled, low income, minority and other underserved populations. Consider communities and audiences with greater negative health impacts due to the built environment. (ONGOING)
- Continued implementation of an evaluation strategy that measures the outputs and outcomes of all projects and programs supported with RTO funds. (ONGOING)

- Continued implementation of the regional commuter program with a focus on new rail transit investments, multi-use trail investments and improved coordination of multi-agency efforts. (ONGOING)
- Continued administration of ridematching services to region, including participation in multi-state online ridematching system and vanpool program. (ONGOING)
- Distribute the eighth edition Bike There! map via local bike shops and other retailers. (ONGOING)
- Distribute Walk There! guidebook through walking encouragement programs and via local retailers. (ONGOING)
- Develop and distribute a publication featuring walk and bike maps focused on and designed for families, particularly Latino families in Hillsboro, Cornelius and Forest Grove. The pieces will be printed in both Spanish and English and will include pedestrian and bicycle safety information as well as suggested walking and biking routes.
- Develop marketing and outreach strategies related to regional trail program (The Intertwine). (ONGOING)
- Disseminate pedestrian and bicycle safety messages. (ONGOING)
- Leverage investments and unique qualities of corridors, and local downtowns and centers to make progress toward regional mobility targets defined in the RTP. (ONGOING)
- Develop regional policies that support travel options strategies. (ONGOING)

Previous Work:

The RTO program has been funded for more than twenty years, and has grown to include a variety of regional partners and outreach programs proven to reduce travel demand and encourage alternatives to driving alone. In 2008, the Metro Council approved a new five-year strategic plan for the RTO program that provides the framework for RTO policy development and program activities. The updated program continues work begun in the 2003 RTO Strategic Plan, which placed a major emphasis on marketing and outreach. Metro manages and administers the regional program, measures results, and provides assistance to partners. Public and private partners carry out local strategies through grant agreements. Collaboration among partners is emphasized to leverage resources, avoid duplication and maximize program impacts.

In FY 2010-11, the Regional Travel Options Program:

- Completed seventeen grant projects to be carried out in Fiscal Years 09-10 and 10-11, totaling \$1.525 million. Initiated eleven new grant projects to be carried out in Fiscal Years 11-12 and 12-13 totaling \$533,000.
- Completed a regional survey to assess the level of recognition and effectiveness of RTO programs.
- Continued distribution of a regional walking guidebook called "Walk There! 50 treks in and around Portland and Vancouver." The guidebook includes routes around the entire region for all levels of walkers and includes pedestrian safety tips and information about the economic and health benefits of walking. The Walk There! outreach program completed a summer Walk There! event series with seven walking tours. In total, the event series taught 237 participants about routes in their area. Metro and Kaiser Permanente received the 2009 Exemplary Human Environment Initiatives from FHWA for encouraging non-motorized, active transportation.
- Continued distribution of the regional Bike There! map. The map was updated in 2010 to include information about new and improved bike infrastructure and bicycle safety information.
- Enhanced coordination between regional partners engaged in employer outreach activities. Continued Drive Less/Save More outreach at community events and conducted outreach to media and local employers to disseminate information about travel options and pedestrian and bicycle safety messages.,
- Developed successful grant proposal with Kaiser Permanente for co-developing a publication featuring walk and bike maps focused on and designed for the Latino community in Hillsboro, Cornelius and Forest Grove. The piece will be printed in both Spanish and English so it can be used for several different audiences. Work will begin on this project in the first half of 2011.

Methodology:

The RTO program implements regional policies to reduce drive-alone auto trips and personal vehicle miles of travel and to increase use of travel options. The program improves mobility and reduces pollution by carrying out the TDM components of the TSMO strategy outlined in the 2035 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The program maximizes investments in the transportation system and relieves traffic congestion by managing travel demand, particularly during peak commute hours. Specific RTO strategies encompass promoting transit, ridesharing (carpool and vanpool), cycling, walking, telecommuting and carsharing

Policies at the Federal, state and regional level emphasize system management as a cost-effective solution to expanding the transportation system. The RTO program supports system management strategies that reduce demand on the transportation system. RTO strategies relieve congestion and support movement of freight by reducing drive-alone auto trips.

RTO strategies are expected to reduce approximately 30,000,000 vehicle miles of travel annually by 2013. The expected VMT reductions are based upon past program performance, expected revenues, and improving measurement and cost-effective investments.

The RTO program supports and leverages capital investments in transit, trails, and other infrastructure by marketing new options to potential riders and users and increasing trips made by transit, walking, cycling and other travel options.

The RTO program supports the development of local downtown centers by increasing the share of trips made with travel options and decreasing drive-alone auto trips, which reduces traffic congestion and demand for parking and enhances the quality of life. RTO is one component in the effort to have half or more of all trips to centers made by transit, walking, cycling, carpooling and other travel options.

RTO strategies offer low-cost solutions that address employer and commuter transportation needs. Employer benefits include reduced parking need and cost, reduced employee absenteeism and late arrivals, and improved employee productivity and morale. Transit and rideshare programs enable employers to recruit employees from a wider geographic area.

The RTO program also increases public awareness of the personal and community benefits of travel options use. Consumers who reduce their drive-alone auto trips benefit by saving money on fuel, parking and auto maintenance. People who use active travel modes – such as cycling, walking and walking to transit – benefit from increased levels of physical activity. Community benefits include reductions in vehicle emissions that impact human health and contribute to air pollution and global warming.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

REGIONAL TRAVEL OPTIONS:

- Develop and update tools to support coordination of RTO partners marketing activities including an events and earned media calendar. (FIRST QUARTER)
- Conduct outreach at community events to engage people in the Drive Less/Save More campaign and provide localized travel options information. (ONGOING)
- Continue distribution of Bike There! map through area retail outlets, distribute free copies of the map to youth and programs that serve low-income and transportation underserved populations. (ONGOING)
- Develop bi-lingual English-Spanish walk and bike map publication for Hillsboro, Cornelius and Forest Grove and conduct outreach to engage the community in the project (publication development will be completed in FY 11-12 with maps distributed the following year). Update local travel options guides and other print and web-based information about travel options. (ONGOING)
- Support coordination of commuter services and employer outreach activities carried out by partner agencies, develop shared marketing materials and employer recognition program. (ONGOING)
Implement marketing activities for new ridematching system and complete agreements with regional and statewide partners related to the administration, training, maintenance and marketing of the new system. (FIRST QUARTER)

- TMA Policy Study and policy update. (SECOND QUARTER)
- Complete TMA work plans and agreements for FY 2012-13. (FOURTH QUARTER)
- Monitor and report progress on programs and projects carried out by Metro, TMAs, and RTO grant recipients. (ONGOING)

Entities Responsible for RTO Activity:

- Metro Council – Policy making
- Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) – Policy making
- Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee (TPAC) – Policy making
- RTO Subcommittee – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Oregon Transportation Research and Education Consortium (OTREC) – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Federal Transit Administration (FTA) – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Portland State University – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Clackamas Regional Center TMA – Grant Recipient
- Gresham Regional Center TMA – Grant Recipient
- Lloyd TMA – Grant Recipient
- Swan Island TMA – Grant Recipient
- Westside Transportation Alliance TMA – Grant Recipient
- South Waterfront TMA – Grant Recipient
- Community Cycling Center – Grant Recipient
- Bicycle Transportation Alliance – Grant Recipient
- City of Portland – Grant Recipient
- City of Forest Grove – Grant Recipient
- City of Gresham – Grant Recipient
- City of Tigard – Grant Recipient
- City of Wilsonville/Wilsonville SMART – Grant Recipient
- Housing Authority of Portland – Grant Recipient
- OPAL – Grant Recipient
- Tualatin Hills Parks and Recreation District – Grant Recipient
- TriMet – Grant Recipient
- Clackamas County – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Multnomah County – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Washington County – Cooperate/Collaborate
- C-TRAN – Cooperate/Collaborate
- City of Vancouver – Cooperate/Collaborate
- SW Regional Transportation Council – Cooperate/Collaborate
- Washington State Department of Transportation – Cooperate/Collaborate

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Objectives* and *Tangible Products* sections of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	506,090	CMAQ RTO	\$	1,903,893
	Interfund Transfers	\$	147,806	Other Grants	\$	950,000
	Materials & Services	\$	2,261,732	Metro	\$	61,735
	TOTAL	\$	2,915,628	TOTAL	\$	2,915,628
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		6.390			
TOTAL		6.390				
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	546,648	CMAQ RTO	\$	1,888,422
	Interfund Transfers	\$	141,913	Metro	\$	153,104
	Materials & Services	\$	1,352,966			
	TOTAL	\$	2,041,526	TOTAL	\$	2,041,526
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		6.2			
TOTAL		6.2				

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	645,168	CMAQ	\$	1,606,237
	Interfund Transfers	\$	174,195	Metro	\$	155,030
	Materials & Services	\$	941,904			
	Consultant	\$821,725				
	Computer Supplies	\$1,600				
	Marketing	\$76,901				
	Sponsorships	\$20,600				
	Miscellaneous	\$21,078				
	TOTAL	\$	1,791,267	TOTAL	\$	1,761,267
Full-Time Equivalent Staffing						
Regular Full-Time FTE		6.46				
TOTAL		6.46				

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM***Description:**

The Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) is a critical tool for implementing the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and 2040 Growth Concept. The MTIP is a multi-year program that allocates federal and state funds available for transportation system improvement purposes in the Metro region. Updated every two years, the MTIP allocates funds to specific projects, based upon technical and policy considerations that weigh the ability of individual projects to implement regional goals. The MTIP is also subject to federal and state air quality requirements, and a determination is made during each allocation to ensure that the updated MTIP conforms to air quality laws. These activities require special coordination with staff from Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), TriMet, South Metro Area Regional Transit (SMART), and other regional, county and city agencies, as well as significant public-involvement efforts, consistent with Metro's public involvement plan.

Objectives:

Manage a cooperative, continuous, and comprehensive process to prioritize projects from the RTP for funding. (ONGOING)

MTIP/STIP Update: Provide a transparent and technically rigorous process to prioritize projects and programs from the 2035 RTP to receive transportation funding to be programmed, pending air quality conformity, in the 2012-15 TIP. This includes regional flexible funds (Urban-STP and CMAQ) and funds administered by ODOT, TriMet and SMART. (Fall 2011)

Database Maintenance: Metro will track essential project programming, amendment, and obligation information as well as revenue information to track project implementation activities and ensure a fiscally constrained MTIP is maintained. (ONGOING)

2010-13 MTIP: Effectively administer the existing MTIP, including:

- Programming transportation projects in the region consistent with Federal rules and regulations. (ONGOING)
- Ensure funding in the first two years of the MTIP is available or committed and that costs are programmed in year-of-expenditure dollars. (ONGOING)
- Continue to coordinate inter-agency consultation on air quality conformity. Conduct public outreach, reports, and public hearings required as part of the conformity process. (AMENDMENTS: ONGOING)
- Maintain a financial plan to balance project costs with expected revenues. (ONGOING)
- Continue improvements to the on-time and on-budget delivery of the local program of projects selected for funding through the Transportation Priorities process. (ONGOING)
- Continue the MTIP public awareness program to include updated printed materials, web resources and other material to increase understanding of the MTIP process. (ONGOING)

Previous Work:

Metro staff led the project selection process and programming of transportation funds in the Metro region allocated through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) in 2009-10 and 2010-11. This included \$38 million distributed through the MPO, \$44 million of transit funding administered by TriMet and SMART and \$63 million of funding administered by ODOT for projects in the Metro area. This was a substantial increase in workload without any additional funding allocated to Metro for administration of these funds. This resulted in the delay of activities and products in those fiscal years, including implementation of an updated database and local implementation of an updated financial planning agreement between ODOT, Metro and the public transportation agencies.

With completion of the 2035 RTP update, another update of MTIP policies is underway in preparation for the allocation of 2014-15 regional flexible funds and the adoption of the 2012-15 MTIP.

For the allocation of regional flexible funds, JPACT has provided initial policy direction to continue funding support of the existing regional programs of Transit Oriented Development, Regional Travel Options

(demand management activities), Regional Mobility Program (system management and operations support), Metropolitan Planning (MPO support), Corridor Planning, and High Capacity Transit capital support. JPACT also endorsed the creation of a task force of community stakeholders to recommend methods to achieve more coordinated and significant impacts with the remaining funding that will be directed to two project areas: Active Transportation & Complete Streets and Green Economy & Freight Initiatives. The task force recommendation is scheduled for release in January 2011. JPACT also supported creation of a working group of stakeholders from groups representing environmental justice and transportation underserved communities to advise the region on the unique transportation needs of and how to most effectively engage these communities.

ODOT Region 1 was not allocated funding by the Oregon Transportation Commission for modernization projects in the 2014-15 funding cycle. This has reduced the amount of coordination and MPO input to development of the project selection and programming of ODOT administered funds. Metro is working with ODOT on the programming of state funding to several large capital projects in the region provided by the Oregon Jobs & Transportation Act. Additionally, regional staff supports local input on the ODOT operations program through the TRANSPORT sub-committee of TPAC and the ODOT Safety program through a local safety work group.

Metro coordinates programming of transit administered funding with TriMet and the South Metro Area Region Transit (SMART). Capital improvements are coordinated at the regional/MPO level through the annual review and update of the TriMet Capital Improvement Program, the High Capacity Transit plan, and the allocation of regional flexible funds to priority transit project development and construction activities.

Metro continues to work with ODOT and local agencies to improve the on-time, on-budget delivery of local projects funded with urban Surface Transportation Program (STP) and Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality (CMAQ) funds. This includes improved outreach and communication with implementing agencies and ODOT local program staff on project delivery expectations and improvements to applicant project cost estimating methods. Improvements to the communication methods between ODOT, implementing agencies and Metro to track and manage local project delivery will be pursued if resources are available.

An improved project and financial plan database has been created and Metro staff has been loading historical and current data into the database. Metro staff has been working with partner agency staff to establish protocols for the exchange and management of data, as well as confirming existing data as it is loaded into the database.

Metro staff participated in the development of a detailed statewide template for an agreement between ODOT, MPO's and Public Transit Agencies for the development and maintenance of financial plans and obligation reports. This will serve as the basis for updating the existing Planning agreement between ODOT, Metro, TriMet and SMART with the more specific protocols from the statewide template in the coming year.

Metro staff also participated in the review of the ODOT Local Government Section's relationship to MPO's in the state and the development of a potential MPO-ODOT LGS agreement for further improvement to project delivery of local federal-aid projects.

All of these activities will continue into the 2011-12 fiscal year as either on-going activities or with products as defined below.

Methodology:

The MTIP is updated and maintained through extensive cooperation and collaboration with partner agencies, a rigorous public involvement process, and administrative procedures such as the maintenance of TransTracker, the new project and financial database.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

- Update 2012-15 MTIP Policy Report to reflect new financial strategies and policies from the 2035 Regional Transportation Plan.

- Allocate regional flexible funds (Urban-STP and CMAQ funds) to local projects and programs with funding authority from FFY 2014 and 2015. (Spring 2011)
- Collaborate with ODOT, TriMet and SMART on the selection of projects and programs with funding authority from FFY 2014 and 2015. (Summer 2011)
- Publish the 2012-15 MTIP and submit to ODOT for inclusion in the 2012-15 STIP (FALL 2011)
- Publish an air quality conformity report on the 2012-15 MTIP and submit to FHWA/FTA for approval (FALL 2011).
- Publish an annual obligation report utilizing visualization techniques. (DECEMBER 2011)
- Report on CMAQ project progress and resultant emission reduction benefits. (DECEMBER 2011)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

- Metro – Product Owner/Lead Agency
- Oregon Department of Transportation – Cooperate/Collaborate
- TriMet – Cooperate/Collaborate
- South Metro Area Regional Transit – Cooperate/Collaborate

Other Stakeholders:

- Local partner agencies and members of the public
- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
- Federal Transit Administration (FTA)
- Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT)
- Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee (TPAC)
- Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC)
- Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)
- US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
- Regional Flexible Fund Task Force
- Environmental Justice and Underserved work group and organizations involved with minority and non-English speaking residents

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Objectives* and *Tangible Products* sections of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	456,328	PL	\$	358,643
	Interfund Transfers	\$	133,262	STP	\$	33,366
	Materials & Services	\$	32,794	Metro	\$	22,892
	Computer	\$	1,301	Section 530	\$	76,293
				ODOT Support	\$	42,016
				TriMet	\$	90,475
	TOTAL	\$	623,685	TOTAL	\$	623,685
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		4.750			
	TOTAL		4.750			
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	525,690	PL	\$	357,6664
	Interfund Transfers	\$	142,835	STP	\$	100,159
	Materials & Services	\$	34,535	Section 5303	\$	82,076
				ODOT Support	\$	7,035
				TriMet	\$	90,478
				Metro	\$	31,938
				Other		35,000
	TOTAL	\$	709,397	TOTAL	\$	704,397
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		5.07			
	TOTAL		5.07			

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	512,528	PL	\$	129,896
	Interfund Transfers	\$	138,383	STP	\$	137,541
	Materials & Services	\$	37,028	Section 5303	\$	241,640
	Printing/Supplies \$17,000			ODOT Support	\$	13,768
	Ads/Legal Notices \$6,000			TriMet	\$	90,481
	Computer Supplies \$1,100			Metro	\$	76,153
	Miscellaneous \$12,928					
	Computer	\$	1,540			
	TOTAL	\$	689,479	TOTAL	\$	689,479
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		4.75			
	TOTAL		4.75			

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE & TITLE VI**Description:**

Metro's transportation-related public involvement policies and procedures respond to mandates in Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act and related regulations; the President's Executive Order on Environmental Justice; the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) Order; the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Order; and Goal 1 of Oregon's Statewide Planning Goals and Guidelines.

Under FHWA and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) guidelines, Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) need to:

- Enhance their analytical capabilities to ensure the long-range transportation plan and transportation improvement program comply with Title VI;
- Identify residential, employment, and transportation patterns of low-income and minority populations so their needs can be identified and addressed, and the benefits and burdens of transportation investments can be fairly distributed; and
- Evaluate and, where necessary, improve their public-involvement processes to eliminate participation barriers and engage minority and low-income populations in transportation decision making.

In keeping with Federal laws, regulations, and policies, recipients of Federal dollars must address the following fundamental environmental justice principles:

- Avoid, minimize, or mitigate disproportionately high and adverse human-health and environmental effects, including social and economic effects, on minority and low-income populations;
- Ensure full and fair participation by all potentially affected communities in the transportation decision-making process; and
- Prevent the denial of, reduction or significant delay in the receipt of benefits by minority and low-income populations.

In October 2009, Metro adopted by resolution a revised set of policies for transportation planning. The policies address Title VI and Environmental Justice requirements and include regional and state requirements in addition to Federal regulations.

In April 2007, Metro submitted a formal Title VI plan to ODOT as required of ODOT by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). In 2008, Metro submitted a required Title VI Compliance Report to ODOT as required. In March, 2009, Metro submitted an updated Title VI Plan along with its annual compliance report, to reflect significant organizational changes that have taken place since the first plan was submitted in 2007.

Objectives:

- Identify communities and populations that are traditionally under-represented in decision-making processes using the most current Federal and state census information and supplemented by more granular information. Examples of supplemental information include HUD data on Section 8 housing voucher distribution, school lunch participation statistics, local real estate value data, job/income distribution data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Portland State University's Population Research Center, and interviews with leaders of local immigrant groups and other community-based organizations. (ONGOING)
- Engage minority and low-income people in the decision-making process through (1) relationships with community-based organizations and schools and minority business organizations; (2) promoting minority representation on key policy advisory committees that have seats for community members; (3) development of outreach and engagement activities that minimize barriers to participation; and (4) developing communication techniques that increase the accessibility of information. (ONGOING)
- Implement strategies to achieve equity goals that were adopted as a goal and value of the RTP and as a criterion for evaluating projects to include in the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Plan (MTIP). (ONGOING)

Previous Work:

The following work was accomplished during FY 2010-11:

- Metro greatly improved public involvement in policymaking for allocation of Regional Flexible Funds (Congestion Mitigation Air Quality and Surface Transportation Program). JPACT outlined topic areas for spending and asked that a task force comprised of citizen experts from across the three-county region set policy direction, priorities and criteria within those topic areas. Two environmental justice advocates were included on that panel, which also considered EJ concerns among all regional priorities.
- Also in the Flexible Funds allocation process, Metro convened an environmental justice working group to help inform staff of EJ needs and priorities that the program could address. The group helped Metro revise its analysis of environmental justice and underserved populations and consider ways transportation facilities could improve access to relevant services.
- Environmental justice manager monitored Metro's Diversity Action Team activities as they relate to diversity issues in public involvement activities and Metro committees.
- Metro developed procedures for contracting with language translation services and distributed them to communications/public involvement staff for use agency-wide.
- Metro helped organize a conference on climate change, Nov. 19 in Eugene, to help inform other Oregon MPOs of Metro and state policymaking for land use and transportation solutions for greenhouse gas emission reduction goals.
- Environmental justice manager led efforts to recruit citizens for seats on the Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee, which advises JPACT. EJ manager solicited applications from community groups representing minorities. This resulted in a more diverse applicant pool than ever and two Latino members appointed to the committee.
- Metro convened an interdepartmental working group of communications staff to assess and coordinate the agency's environmental justice outreach activities across different departments.
- Metro launched Opt-in, an online public opinion panel intended to provide ongoing public opinion data to inform the agency's decision making.
- Environmental justice manager developed a communication plan for Climate Smart Communities: Climate Scenarios, a project of the regional transportation planning function. The scenarios project will devise land use and transportation policies for the region to adopt to meet greenhouse gas emission reduction goals. The plan calls for engagement of social justice, health and environmental community groups in the planning process.

Methodology:

The Planning and Development Division's work to ensure compliance with Title VI includes implementing Metro's Title VI plan with annual reporting to FHWA and FTA, demographic data collection and mapping, and trainings provided to staff on Title VI compliance requirements.

Program work on compliance concentrates in two main areas of transportation planning in Metro's role as the MPO for the Portland metropolitan region – developing the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP), particularly in selecting projects and programs to receive flexible CMAQ and STP funding in the region. In these key program areas, Metro has an explicit goal for promoting equity and environmental justice, in addition to standing requirements for conducting public outreach that seeks to engage underrepresented populations throughout the planning and decision-making process. Metro is developing methods to evaluate the effectiveness of its outreach efforts, such as the formal collection and analysis of demographic data, to help identify needed improvements.

Metro addresses compliance agency-wide as well within the transportation-planning department and program-by-program. Agency-wide activities include participation in the Metro-wide (DAT). The DAT's mission is to promote diversity through trainings and initiatives across and throughout the agency. The

liaison comes from the Office of Citizen Involvement, currently embedded in Metro's Planning and Development Division. A diversity action plan with goals, objectives, and progress measures was developed by the DAT and adopted by resolution of the Metro Council in August 2006. The diversity plan focuses mainly on three areas: Contracts and Purchasing, Community Outreach, and Recruitment and Retention.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

- Engage minority and underrepresented communities in the comment period before final JPACT approval of projects to be funded in the Regional Flexible Funds program. (ONGOING)
- Meet with leaders of community groups and service providers for environmental justice populations to inform them of the climate change scenario modeling process and gain their suggestions for the needs and concerns of EJ communities in that project. (ONGOING)
- Share EJ findings, methodology and data developed for flexible funds program with other departments in Metro to enhance agency analyses of EJ community needs and priorities. (ONGOING)
- Use findings and methodology from the Greater Portland-Vancouver Indicators project, transportation corridor projects and other agency projects to identify potential improvements to EJ population analysis methodology and data gathering for the climate change scenarios project, the next flexible funds allocation process and the next Regional Transportation Plan. (ONGOING)
- Prepare and submit annual Title VI compliance report to ODOT to meet FHWA requirements. (ONGOING)
- Implement Metro's diversity action plan to promote diverse representation of citizen representatives on Metro advisory committees. (ONGOING)
- Reach out to community groups to ensure that the Opt-in online panel includes representation from environmental justice populations. (ONGOING)

Entities Responsible for Activity:

Metro – Lead Agency
Oregon Department of Transportation – Cooperate/Collaborate
TriMet – Cooperate/Collaborate
Local jurisdictions—Cooperate/Collaborate

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Tangible Products* sections of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	21,095	PL	\$	27,484
	Interfund Transfers	\$	6,161	STP	\$	
	Materials & Services	\$	228	Metro		
	TOTAL	\$	27,484	TOTAL	\$	27,484
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.210			
TOTAL		0.210				
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	26,896	PL	\$	31,403
	Interfund Transfers	\$	4,302			
	Materials & Services	\$	205			
	TOTAL	\$	31,403	TOTAL	\$	31,403
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.26			
TOTAL		0.26				

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	48,682	PL	\$	62,182
	Interfund Transfers	\$	13,144		\$	
	Materials & Services	\$	356			
	TOTAL	\$	62,182	TOTAL	\$	62,182
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.45			
TOTAL		0.45				

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN FINANCING***Description:**

The Regional Transportation Plan Financing program works with the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) and the Metro Council to develop expanded funding for transportation improvements to implement the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Regional Framework Plan. This program includes refining transportation financing needs and recognizing any actions taken by the Oregon Legislature and the U.S. Congress, as well as considering presenting a regional ballot measure to voters in 2012.

Objectives:

- Work with key stakeholders to develop a regional funding measure that will be supported by the business community and local governments. (DECEMBER 2011)
- Develop regional priorities for funding from Federal sources. (FEBRUARY 2012)
- Coordinate with funding strategies for TriMet's Transit Investment Plan. (ONGOING)
- Work with state and local partners, the public, and the business community to set project priorities and seek funding alternatives/solutions at the Federal, state, regional, and local level. (ONGOING)

Previous Work:

In 2008, Metro added staff to identify additional funding sources in support of the RTP, and develop strategies to obtain new transportation financing. During 2009, staff worked on the development of the updated state transportation revenue assumptions that are used by all six of Oregon's MPOs to develop long-range transportation plans. The report should be released in spring 2011. Staff continues to provide support to JPACT in the development of local, regional, state and federal RTP finance forecasts and targets.

Methodology:

Working with the project lead agency or interest group, Metro staff will support RTP-related finance efforts to:

- Work with the RTP update and Making the Greatest Place efforts to identify projects that are important to the region's economy, environmental health, and energy goals;
- Create linkage between the long-term vision for Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) funding allocations and the implementation of priority RTP improvements;
- Establish an array of transportation finance options;
- Evaluate options for feasibility and ability to address the finance shortfalls;
- Establish an outreach program to gain public input on key issues and strategies; and
- Work with the business community and local governments to determine the viability of a regional transportation ballot measure, a state legislative strategy, and Federal funding strategy.
- Respond to new federal transportation policy program direction by developing project implementation strategies.
- Begin developing finance data collection framework and potential revenue forecasting tool to assist climate change scenarios and 2014 RTP.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

- Ongoing meetings of regional leaders to advance regional funding priorities (SECOND AND THIRD QUARTERS)
- A public outreach campaign to increase public support for state and regional funding discussions. (SECOND AND THIRD QUARTERS)

- Policy paper of recommended finance data collection framework and forecasting tool for climate change scenarios and 2014 RTP. (THIRD AND FOURTH QUARTER)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

Metro – Lead Agency
 Oregon Department of Transportation – Cooperate/Collaborate
 TriMet – Cooperate/Collaborate

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Objectives* and *Tangible Products* sections of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	65,404	PL	\$	84,775
	Interfund Transfers	\$	19,096	Metro	\$	28,000
	Materials & Services	\$	28,275			
	TOTAL	\$	112,775	TOTAL	\$	112,775
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.340			
	TOTAL		0.340			
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	79,049	PL	\$	44,885
	Interfund Transfers	\$	6,634	Other	\$	41,113
	Materials & Services	\$	315			
	TOTAL	\$	85,998	TOTAL	\$	85,998
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.375			
	TOTAL		0.375			

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	44,134	PL	\$	56,630
	Interfund Transfers	\$	11,916			
	Materials & Services	\$	579			
	TOTAL	\$	56,630	TOTAL	\$	56,630
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.24			
	TOTAL		0.24			

REGIONAL FREIGHT PROGRAM**Description:**

The safe and efficient movement of freight is critical to the region's continued economic health. The Regional Freight Program manages updates to, and implementation of, multimodal freight elements in the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and provides guidance to affected municipalities in the accommodation of freight movement on the regional transportation system. The program supports coordination with local, regional, state, and Federal plans to ensure consistency in approach to freight-related needs and issues across the region. It ensures that prioritized freight requests are competitively considered within Federal, state, and regional funding programs. Ongoing freight data collection, analysis, education, and stakeholder coordination are also key elements of Metro's freight planning program.

Objectives:

- Work with state, regional and local agencies and private interests to implement the Regional Freight Plan, including the programs identified in Chapter 10 of the Plan, as well as advancement of key multimodal freight investment priorities, securing appropriate private matching funds, and ensuring regional investments are competitively considered under state freight funding programs. (ONGOING)
- If funding is obtained, conduct regional rail freight study.
- Coordinate with the Port of Portland, Port of Vancouver, ODOT, and Portland State University to implement (or possibly revise) the Regional Freight Data Collection Study findings, with particular focus on the formation of a truck count program that can provide data for travel forecast model calibration and congestion management process monitoring. (ONGOING)
- Continue to work with Oregon Freight Advisory Committee to identify statewide freight project needs and seek support for funding of priorities. (ONGOING)
- Participate in the Portland Freight Committee and the implementation of the Portland Freight Master Plan, meeting SAFETEA-LU provisions for coordination of freight movement. (ONGOING)
- Participate in the West Coast Corridor Coalition to promote efficient and environmentally sustainable movement of freight in the I-5 corridor and help coordinate between the WCCC and Metro's interests in freight investment along the west coast, as well as national freight policy and programmatic and funding support that could emerge from the next omnibus transportation bill. (ONGOING)
- Track projects with significant implications for freight movement such as the I-5 Columbia Crossing, I-205, and the Sunrise Corridor projects. (ONGOING)
- Maintain a Regional Freight Program outreach component including web page, presentations, and informational materials. (ONGOING)
- Participate in state, regional and City of Portland efforts to define and implement a "sustainable freight" strategy. (ONGOING)

Previous Work:

In FY 2009-10, Metro finalized the Regional Freight Plan, coordinating with the both the Regional Freight Technical Advisory Committee and members of the Regional Freight and Goods Movement Task Force to refine investment and program recommendations. The plan recommendations were coordinated with the development of the 2035 RTP.

As referenced in the RTP narrative, the Regional Freight Plan was developed as part of the RTP update. This planning effort identified policies, actions, and investments specific to the multimodal freight system and its recommendations will be integrated into the 2035 RTP. Two stakeholder groups guided the planning process. The policy advisory group, Regional Freight and Goods Movement Task Force, was composed of private and public sector stakeholders. It was a limited-term advisory group that provided input to both the freight plan and the 2035 RTP update through fall 2009, and has now been retired. Metro also relies on a technical advisory group, the Regional Freight Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), composed of staff from Metro's partner agencies. The Regional Freight TAC is an ongoing regional coordinating committee for freight issues and advises the Transportation Policy Advisory Committee

(TPAC). The advisory groups made recommendations to TPAC, the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT), and Metro Council.

The schedule for the Regional Freight and Goods Movement Action Plan was closely tied to that of the 2035 RTP. The technical work was completed in 2007 and the focus in FALL 2009 was on developing plan recommendations for investments, programs and policies that can be integrated into the state component of the 2035 RTP. In spring 2010, staff completed work required for the adoption of the Regional Freight Plan, including ensuring that adopted revisions and technical clarifications were incorporated into the final adopted plan in June 2010.

Also during spring 2010, Metro freight staff reviewed and rated projects for *Connect Oregon III*, and later in the spring supported Councilmember Collette as she participated on the Region 1 review committee, representing Metro.

In 2010-2011, the focus of the freight program was implementation of the regional freight plan. In addition, Metro continued its participation in the freight advisory committees including the Portland Freight Committee, Oregon Freight Advisory Committee, and the West Coast Corridor Coalition (WCCC). Metro assisted with coordination and participated in the WCCC meeting held in Portland in September 2009.

Freight Program tasks completed, and anticipated to be completed, in the FY 2010-2011 included:

- In participation with the Port of Portland and ODOT, the Regional Freight Data Users regrouped to work on implementation of a freight data program.
- Review and comment on the National Rail Plan, and participation in an all day public event sponsored by the Federal Rail Administration to obtain stakeholder input.
- Discussion with Regional Freight TAC of specific near-term priorities within the Regional Freight Plan Action Items identified in Chapter 10.
- Preparation and submission of a TGM pre-application (DECEMBER 2010) for a regional freight rail strategy.
- Reviewed and provided significant comments on various drafts of the Oregon Freight Plan. This effort included providing support to senior management sitting on the plan's Steering Committee.
- Substantial support for the MTIP allocation process, including the generation of ideas and technical support for the Green Economy/Freight elements of the proposed program and the freight members on the Joint Task Force that will make recommendations to JPACT on program direction.
- Participation in a two-day conference sponsored by the West Coast Corridor Coalition on clean energy and transportation.(SEPT 2010)
- Participate in advisory committees in development of the draft Oregon Freight Plan (SUMMER-FALL 2010)
- Support TPAC and JPACT review and comments on the public draft Oregon Freight Plan.(JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2011).
- Prepare and submit full TGM grant application for a regional freight rail strategy. (MARCH 2011)
- Worked with regional partners to revisit and develop and refine a scope of work for a regional truck count program. This work, conducted primarily by Portland State University, will be administered and overseen through the TSMO program, but progress updates and final results will be reported out to the Regional Freight TAC.(JUNE 2011)

Methodology:

The regional freight program is part of Metro's MPO function, and the Regional Freight Plan was adopted in June 2010 as part of the Regional Transportation Plan. During the last two quarters of FY 2010-11, staff will focus on continuing an extensive collaboration/coordination role with regional partners, and continue efforts to secure additional program funding via MTIP and TGM, and other sources, for regional freight priorities.

After June 30, 2011, work in FY 2011-2012 will focus on coordinating with regional freight stakeholders, local jurisdictions and partners, as well as implementing parts of the plan by developing elements of a regional freight program, as appropriate based on levels of funding available for more robust efforts.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

- Coordinate regional freight activities through TAC with a focus on obtaining funding for and otherwise implementing key elements of regional freight plan (ON-GOING)
- If funding is obtained, complete scope and funding agreements for regional freight rail study (FALL 2011)
- Participate and comment on ODOT efforts underway, including a statewide rail plan (scheduled to follow the completed Oregon Rail Study) (SUMMER-FALL 2011)
- Enter into contract for regional freight rail study (WINTER 2011)
- Complete initial work products for regional rail freight study (SPRING 2011)
- Continue to participate in monthly Portland Freight Committee and other local projects (ON-GOING)
- Participate in quarterly State Oregon Freight Advisory Committee and possible Connect Oregon committees (ON-GOING).
- Participate in quarterly West Coast Corridor Coalition meetings (ON-GOING).

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

- Metro Council
- Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT)
- Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee (TPAC)
- Regional Freight and Goods Movement Task Force (expired)
- Regional Freight Technical Advisory Committee (ongoing staff-level coordination on freight issues)
- Cities and counties within the region including Clark County, Washington
- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
- Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT)
- Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) (for certain coordination)
- Ports of Portland and Vancouver
- Businesses, including freight shippers and carriers, distribution companies, manufacturers, retailers and commercial firms
- Oregon Trucking Association and other business associations including the Westside Economic Alliance, the Columbia Corridor Association, and the Portland Business Alliance
- Metro area residents and neighborhood associations

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Objectives* and *Tangible Products* sections of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

This program receives \$74,000, which supports approximately 0.5 FTE for staff resources.

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:			
	Personal Services	\$	68,027	PL	\$	6,169	
	Interfund Transfers	\$	19,867	STP	\$	75,000	
	Materials & Services	\$	1,859	Metro		8,584	
	TOTAL	\$	89,753	TOTAL	\$	89,753	
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing						
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.785				
	TOTAL		0.785				
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:			
	Personal Services	\$	65,799	STP	\$	77,250	
	Interfund Transfers	\$	18,865	Metro	\$	8,842	
	Materials & Services	\$	1,427				
	TOTAL	\$	86,092	TOTAL	\$	86,092	
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing						
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.607				
	TOTAL		0.607				

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:			
	Personal Services	\$	108,884	STP - Freight	\$	79,568	
	Interfund Transfers	\$	29,399	Metro	\$	66,574	
	Materials & Services	\$	7,859				
	TOTAL	\$	146,142	TOTAL	\$	146,142	
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing						
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.795				
	TOTAL		0.795				

BI-STATE COORDINATION**Description:**

The Bi-State Coordination Committee was created in April 2004, when a transition from the Bi-State Transportation Committee was completed. The Bi-State Coordination Committee is chartered by member agencies on both sides of the Columbia River including the cities of Vancouver and Battle Ground, Washington, and Portland and Gresham, Oregon; Multnomah and Clark counties; the Ports of Vancouver and Portland; TriMet and CTRAN; Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) and Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT); and Metro. The Committee is chartered by member agencies to review, discuss, and makes recommendations about transportation and land use and related issues of bi-state significance.

Objectives:

There are a variety of federal, Metro and local government directives and overall objectives that have been adopted that relate to coordination of bi-state issues including:

- Code of Federal Regulations, Title 23, Chapter 1, Subchapter I, Section 134, Metropolitan Planning at subsection (d) (1) *Coordination in Multi-state Areas* says: "The Secretary shall encourage each Governor with responsibility for a portion of a multi-state metropolitan area and the appropriate metropolitan planning organizations to provide coordinated transportation planning for the entire metropolitan area."
- Metro Resolution No. 99-2778, For the Purpose of Establishing a Bi-State Committee of the JPACT and the Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council (RTC) (Southwest Washington RTC Resolution No. 05-99-11 is identical in its resolves).
- Metro Resolution No. 03-3388, For the Purpose of Endorsing a Bi-State Coordination Committee to Discuss and Make Recommendations about Land Use, Economic Development, Transportation and Environmental Justice Issues of Bi-State Significance.
- Resolutions by the City of Portland, Port of Portland, TriMet and Multnomah County in support of the formation of a Bi-State Coordination Committee (resolutions in support were also passed by sister agencies/entities in southwest Washington).
- Through Metro Council, coordinate with partners in southwest Washington about land use and transportation issues of bi-state significance.

These policies are more specifically articulated as objectives of the Bi-State Coordination Committee as a forum for discussion of:

- Coordination of Federal funding preferences for the bi-state area;
- Large land use plan amendments as they are proposed;
- Coordinate the two state mandated climate change analyses and actions;
- Coordination, as needed, with I-5 Columbia River Crossing and other bi-state issues;
- Freight rail issues;
- Economic development and environmental justice coordination where there is a bi-state interest;
- Transportation Demand Management (TDM) measures on transportation facilities of mutual interest; and
- Other issues of bi-state significance as they may emerge.

Previous Work:

- Discussed ODOT's I-5/Delta Park Project (HOV/Managed Lane or General Purpose lane), where the results of the analysis were presented and discussed;

- Reviewed CRC Project and an analysis of the increased transportation capacity and land use implications. The analysis forecast that the transportation changes would not induce land use changes in SW Washington;
- A comparison of the greenhouse gas mandates for Oregon and Washington was presented and ideas discussed about how to share data, analysis methods, results and possible actions was had.

Methodology:

Committee members are canvassed on a regular basis to identify issues of interest/concern. Agendas are set by the chair and vice-chair of the committee (the by-laws require each MPO to be represented by either the chair or vice-chair). Staff of Metro and/or RTC prepare materials or coordinate with others to ensure suitable materials and presentations are provided to the Committee. Materials and agenda are usually sent out a week in advance of the meeting and presentations provided at the meeting. Discussion is provided for and recommendations are made by the Committee as they determine appropriate.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

- Coordination of I-205 investments (February – November 2011)
- Coordination of new Metro job, housing forecasts and land use implications (May 2011)
- Coordination of bi-state economic development as it relates to transportation projects of bi-state significance (May 2011)
- Discussion and review of Oregon and Washington climate change initiatives and how to coordinate in the bi-state area. (April 2011)
- Review of plans for trail additions for each MPO and provide recommendations. (February 2011)
- Coordination of freight planning efforts – state and each MPO. (July 2011)
- Discussion of heavy rail and coordination (freight and passenger). (November 2011)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

Metro/ Regional Transportation Council (RTC) – Product Owners/Lead Agencies
ODOT – Cooperate/Collaborate
WSDOT – Cooperate/Collaborate
TriMet – Cooperate/Collaborate
CTRAN – Cooperate/Collaborate
Cities of Portland and Vancouver – Cooperate/Collaborate
Multnomah and Clark Counties – Cooperate/Collaborate
Ports of Portland and Vancouver – Cooperate/Collaborate

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Tangible Products* section of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	24,604	PL	\$	
	Interfund Transfers	\$	7,184	STP	\$	28,826
	Materials & Services	\$	337	Metro		3,299
	TOTAL	\$	32,125	TOTAL	\$	32,125
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.230			
TOTAL		0.230				
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	24,140	STP	\$	28,167
	Interfund Transfers	\$	6,921	Metro	\$	3,224
	Materials & Services	\$	329			
	TOTAL	\$	31,391	TOTAL	\$	31,391
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.2			
TOTAL		0.2				

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	25,746	Metro	\$	33,209
	Interfund Transfers	\$	6,952			
	Materials & Services	\$	510			
	TOTAL	\$	33,209	TOTAL	\$	33,209
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.225			
TOTAL		0.225				

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

Description:

The Project Initiatives program completes system planning and develops multi-modal projects in major transportation corridors for the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). It includes ongoing involvement in local and regional transit and roadway project conception, funding, and design. Metro provides assistance to local jurisdictions for the development of specific projects as well as corridor-based programs.

Metro has traditionally participated in local project-development activities for regionally funded transportation projects. In recent years, the Project Initiatives program has focused on projects directly related to completion of corridor refinement planning and project development activities in regional transportation corridors outlined in the RTP. Project initiatives funding is also required to fund work on major projects that occurs prior to a formal funding agreement between Metro and a jurisdiction, such as project scoping, preparation of purpose and need statements, development of evaluation criteria, and developing public involvement plans. This program coordinates with local and state planning efforts to ensure consistency with regional projects, plans, and policies. It will also support initiation of new corridor planning efforts to be led by Metro or others.

Objectives:

- Ensure consistency with regional plans and policies related to major transportation corridors by participating in local planning and project development activities, including technical advisory committees, workshops and charrettes, as well as provide formal comment on proposed projects. (ONGOING)
- Implement the Corridor Initiatives Project strategy in the RTP through monitoring ongoing planning activities and working with other jurisdictions to initiate new corridor efforts. (ONGOING)
- Participate in the development of projects not yet funded by other grants or contracts. (ONGOING)

Previous Work:

In 2008, Metro staff helped develop a statement of work for the Damascus Transportation System Plan (TSP), Highway 212 Sub-area Plan and Sunrise Parkway Refinement Plan. Subsequent decisions on the Sunrise Parkway Refinement Plan put the Parkway beyond the 2035 plan horizon and the statement of work was refined to reflect these changes and now includes only the Damascus TSP and Highway 212 Sub-area Plan. In 2009, Metro staff assisted Clackamas County in developing a statement of work for a parallel, pre-EIS study of the Sunrise Parkway. That study's purpose will be to define a "parkway", better define the alignment of the Sunrise Parkway, determine an appropriate parkway cross-section and identify access points.

Other work that has been completed under this program (many of which developed into independent studies) includes:

- Completed Highway 217 Corridor study (2005);
- Participation in Eastside Streetcar and I-405 loop studies (2004-2005);
- Scoping and grant applications for I-5/99W project (2003-present);
- Participation in scoping, funding, travel analysis and advisory committees for Sunrise Corridor (2003-present);
- Update of Corridor Priorities Work Plan (2005); and
- Participation in the development of Columbia River Crossing Project (2006).
- Update or Corridor refinement studies (2009)
- Secure funding for SW Corridor Plan (2010)
- Secure funding for East Metro Corridor Plan (2010)

Methodology:

Metro participates in local project-development activities for regionally funded transportation projects.

As provided by the State Transportation Planning Rule (TPR), Metro is required to complete a regional Transportation System Plan that identifies the need for transportation facilities and their function, mode, and general location. The 2000 RTP calls for completion of 18 specific corridor refinements and studies for areas where significant needs were identified but that require further analysis before a specific project can be developed. Section 660-012-0025 of the TPR requires prompt completion of corridor refinements and studies.

In winter 2005, Metro again consulted with regional jurisdictions to identify the next priority corridor(s) for commencement of planning work. Based on the consultation, in winter 2005/06, JPACT and Metro Council approved a corridor planning work plan update, which called for initiation of five new corridor plans in the next five years. In winter 2007/08, Metro commenced work on one of the corridor planning efforts identified in that work program, the Regional High Capacity Transit System Plan.

In fall 2009, Metro worked with technical committees and local jurisdictions to prioritize the five remaining corridors, and develop a phased approach to accomplish all remaining refinement plans by 2020. During that process, Mobility Corridor #15 (East Multnomah County connecting I-84 and US 26) and Mobility Corridors #2 and #20 (in the vicinity of I-5/Barbur Blvd, from Portland Central City southward to approximately the "Tigard Triangle") have emerged as strong candidates for corridor refinement planning in terms of technical factors, as well as local urgency and readiness.

In 2010, Metro worked with local jurisdictions to gain consensus on the generalized scope of work for the East Metro plan, then sought regional funding for the plan and developed IGA's with local jurisdictions to provide the local match.

In 2010, Metro worked with local jurisdictions to gain consensus and seek regional MTIP funding for the SW Corridor Plan. Additionally, Metro sought several federal grants to pursue the transit Alternatives Analysis element of the SW Corridor Plan.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2010-11:

- Work with TriMet and ODOT to define and develop new projects in priority high capacity transit (HCT) or refinement plan corridors. These could include on-street bus rapid transit projects or urban circulators. (ONGOING)
- Work with local jurisdictions in regional HCT priority corridors to develop land use plans that support the System Expansion Policy elements of the RTP. (ONGOING)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

Metro – Lead agency

TriMet – cooperate/collaborate

ODOT – cooperate/collaborate

Multnomah, Clackamas and Washington Counties – cooperate/collaborate

Other Local Cities – cooperate/collaborate

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Objectives* and *Tangible Products* sections of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	42,109	PL	\$	23,031
	Interfund Transfers	\$	12,297	STP	\$	15,990
	Materials & Services	\$	577	Section 5303	\$	693
				ODOT Support		13,266
				Metro	\$	2,003
	TOTAL	\$	54,983	TOTAL	\$	54,983
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.335			
TOTAL		0.335				
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	113,053	PL	\$	74,684
	Interfund Transfers	\$	26,757	STP	\$	13,484
	Materials & Services	\$	1,271	Section 5303	\$	30,468
				ODOT Support	\$	13,284
				Metro	\$	9,160
	TOTAL	\$	141,080	TOTAL	\$	141,080
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.89			
TOTAL		0.89				

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	132,233	PL	\$	59,104
	Interfund Transfers	\$	35,703	ODOT	\$	54,530
	Materials & Services	\$	1,946	5303	\$	44,998
				Metro	\$	11,250
	TOTAL	\$	169,882	TOTAL	\$	169,882
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
Regular Full-Time FTE		0.865				
TOTAL		0.865				

MODEL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM*

The Model Development Program includes work elements necessary to keep the travel demand model responsive to issues that emerge during transportation analysis. The major subject areas within this activity include surveys and research, new models, model maintenance, and statewide and national professional involvement.

The activity is very important because the results from travel demand models are used extensively in the analysis of transportation policy and investment.

There are numerous stakeholders in this program.

- Metro Planning Department
- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
- Federal Transit Administration (FTA)
- Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT)
- TriMet
- Port of Portland
- Cities and counties of this region
- Private sector clients

These entities rely on the travel demand model to be current and endorsed by Federal agencies.

Objectives:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) require that project modeling be carried out using techniques and modeling tools that meet certain guidelines. Failure to meet the guidelines may result in project analysis conclusions that do not meet Federal approval.

Thus, the primary objective for this program is to ***ensure the compliance of the modeling tools and techniques***. This is achieved in the work elements found in the Survey and Research, New Model, Model Maintenance, and Statewide and National Professional Involvement categories.

Previous Work:

Survey and Research

- Travel Behavior Survey: The Portland survey began in March 2011. As data is being collected, it is being reviewed for reasonableness.

New Models

- Personal Transport Model: Metro continued its partnership with Portland State University (PSU) to complete the next development stage of a dynamic tour based model. The modules of the model have been defined, the variables specified, and the parameters estimated. Key elements of the application code have been prepared.
- Transit Model Enhancement: Results from the transit travel time and park-ride lot choice research were integrated into the demand model.
- Dynamic Traffic Assignment: The development of the DTA capabilities continued at Metro. A corridor proto-type study was conducted. In addition, the first phase of the regional calibration was completed.

Model Maintenance

- Modeling Network Attributes: Metro reviewed and updated, as necessary, the modeling network assumptions (e.g., uncongested speeds, vehicle throughput capacities, transit line itineraries).

- Travel Demand Model Input Data: The model input data was modified as warranted. Such things as intersection densities, household and employment accessibility, and parking cost assumptions were adjusted.
- Travel Demand Model Computer Code: Software programs were written, as needed, to permit specialized analysis functions.
- Modeling Zone Structure: The transportation analysis zone boundaries were modified to better reflect changes in development patterns.

Statewide and National Professional Involvement

- Oregon Modeling Steering Committee: Staff participated on the committee and served as the chair for the MPO Program Coordination subcommittee.
- TRB Committees: Served on TRB committees that help shape national planning guidelines. Examples include service on the Transportation Planning Applications Committee and service as co-chair of the Travel Forecasting Resource Committee..

Methodology:

Survey and Research

A travel behavior survey for this region began in the spring of 2011. The data capture elements will continue through the fall of 2011. Over 4500 households will be surveyed during these time periods.

New Models

The dynamic tour based model (DASH) will be thoroughly tested and made ready for application.

Model Maintenance

The data used within the travel demand model is continually refined to keep current with infrastructure and demographic attributes. Data most often in need of review includes roadway capacity, transit routings and headways, parking costs, and household and employment assumptions.

Statewide and National Professional Involvement

Staff will continue to stay engaged with the local and national modeling community to influence the research agenda. Key affiliations that will be maintained include the Transportation Research Board, Transportation Model Improvement Program, and the Oregon Modeling Steering Committee.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

Survey and Research

Metro will collect demographic and travel data for approximately 1500 households in the fall of 2011. (Second Quarter)

New Models

Documentation summarizing the latest implementation and sensitivity work for the new dynamic tour based model will be prepared. (Fourth Quarter)

Model Maintenance

New network and zonal input files will be created that capture the current infrastructure and demographic attributes. (Ongoing)

Statewide and National Professional Involvement

Staff will attend relevant TRB functions and participate in the Oregon Modeling Steering Committee. (Ongoing)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

Survey and Research

Implementation of the household survey – Metro lead in collaboration with TriMet and ODOT

New Models

Dynamic traffic assignment - Metro

Dynamic tour based model – Partnership between Metro and PSU

Model Maintenance

Update network and zonal input files – Metro

Statewide and National Professional Involvement

TRB and statewide committees – Metro in collaboration with other professionals

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Tangible Products* section of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

The travel demand model must be kept current and robust to remain a viable tool for analyzing future travel conditions. The confidence level of the model must be such that it can ensure the provision of sound information for policy and investment decisions. Thus, the Model Development program is funded each year to meet that need. Key areas within the program include the collection and analysis of data (Survey and Research), the development of new modeling tools (New Models), the maintenance of the model input data (Model Maintenance), and the staff participation on local and national research and model implementation committees (Statewide and Professional Involvement).

2009-10	Requirements:				Resources:			
	Personal Services	\$	462,957		PL	\$	337,131	
	Interfund Transfers	\$	135,194		STP	\$	210,288	
	Materials & Services	\$	9,638		Section 5303	\$	60,581	
	Computer	\$	111,332		ODOT Support	\$	3,020	
					TriMet Support	\$	4,262	
					Metro	\$	103,839	
	TOTAL	\$	719,121		TOTAL	\$	719,121	
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing							
	Regular Full-Time FTE		4.670					
TOTAL		4.670						
2010-11	Requirements:				Resources:			
	Personal Services	\$	573,770		PL	\$	441,582*	
	Interfund Transfers	\$	164,508		STP	\$	124,552	
	Materials & Services	\$	592,600		STP – Household Survey	\$	350,000	
	Computer-Reserve/Replacement	\$	133,020		Section 5303	\$	31,201	
					ODOT Support	\$	3,228	
					TriMet Support	\$	4,325	
					Metro	\$	78,318	
					Other	\$	430,690	
	TOTAL	\$	1,463,898		TOTAL	\$	1,463,898	
Full-Time Equivalent Staffing								
Regular Full-Time FTE		5.728						
TOTAL		5.728						

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:				Resources:			
	Personal Services	\$	338,163		PL	\$	442,604	
	Interfund Transfers	\$	91,304		STP	\$	31,949	
	Materials & Services	\$	351,100		Section 5303	\$	4,338	
	Consultant \$350,000				ODOT Support	\$	3,279	
	Subscriptions/Dues \$1,100				Metro	\$	11,066	
	Computer	\$	62,669		Other	\$	350,000	
	TOTAL	\$	843,236		TOTAL	\$	843,236	
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing							
	Regular Full-Time FTE		2.9					
TOTAL		2.9						

SYSTEM MONITORING***Description:**

The System Monitoring program maintains and updates an inventory of transportation related data necessary to benchmark characteristics of the transportation system. The work elements consist of the compilation of regional data, the review and interpretation of national reports, and the processing of data requests.

In addition, the program specifically identifies and summarizes viable information that is useful to monitor and assess the Metro transportation goals and objectives.

Objectives:

- Create Layers of Cutline Count Data by Year - Move traffic count and related data into a geographic information system for greater availability and use. In addition, collect non-cutline counts that are available.
- Obtain & Process Data - Request traffic counts to be collected, at specific cutline count locations (385 points), from several jurisdictions in the Metro Area (Clackamas, Multnomah, and Washington Counties; Cities of: Portland, Beaverton, Gresham; and ODOT). 2010 was an even numbered year – a data collection year. This involves sending out requests, following-up on count gathering, receiving, checking, and formatting the data for use in the database and GIS map work.
- Compare & Estimate Data - Cutline traffic count data over time is compared, in order to insure the reliability and validity of the data. This process is performed by using an Excel spreadsheet which contains current and historic count information and is valuable in the task of estimating count data points not available.
- Every few years, a 'Model Year' is designated (2010 was a 'Model Year'), and relevant count data needs to be available (or estimated) in order to aid in the calibration of the model with 'real-world' information for 2010. 'Model Year' Data is an important element toward model calibration, and in the system monitoring work.

Previous Work:

- Coordinated collection of auto and truck count data useful to Metro Planning Department programs (e.g., count data from the regional jurisdictions) and entered the data in a computerized database
- Compiled Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) vehicle counts from Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT)
- Established a web site that summarizes Daily VMT and Daily VMT per capita
- Compiled TriMet patronage information
- Collected parking cost information for key areas within the Portland Central Business District (CBD) and the Lloyd Area
- Researched gasoline prices per gallon for the Portland Area, West Coast, and U.S.; and prices per barrel of oil
- Reviewed and commented on key documents that pertain to comparisons of national system performance (e.g., Texas Transportation Institute – Urban Mobility Report, FHWA – Federal Highway Statistics, FHWA – HPMS Summary Report)
- Provided information to those seeking system performance data (e.g., traffic counts, VMT, VMT per capita)
- Assembled transportation system performance data for inclusion into the next Metro Performance Measure document

Methodology:

Model applications require the use of quality data. Federal officials scrutinize the data used in the model during project analysis. One such item is travel costs (i.e., operating cost per mile, parking costs, transit

fares). In addition, model applications must be carefully validated to observed data measurements (for example traffic counts, vehicle miles traveled-VMT) and transit patronage. This ensures that the model is operating correctly. Thus, the key data elements must be continually retrieved in a comprehensive manner to ensure federal endorsement of the Metro modeling practices.

In addition, the Metro Council desires to regularly produce a document that provides indicators to benchmark the performance of the regional goals and objectives. This program collects data that addresses the transportation elements.

The System Monitoring program collects data that supplements the efforts of the CMP Congestion Management Process to monitor both recurring and non-recurring congestion. The assembling of such items as traffic counts, VMT summaries, and transit patronage data are funded by the Monitoring program but are necessary to the CMP, as well.

Traffic count data are collected at Metro's request by regional jurisdictions. Budget limitations within those agencies often impede their ability to capture the count information. This situation compromises the availability of the benchmark data and influences the quality of the Metro travel demand model.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

- Collect auto and truck count data useful to Metro Planning Department programs (e.g., count data from the regional jurisdictions) (ONGOING)
- Compile Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) vehicle counts from Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) (ONGOING)
- Collect and compile regional system monitoring data (auto and truck counts, VMT, transit patronage, auto driving and operating costs, parking costs, gasoline costs per gallon, and oil per barrel) (ONGOING)
- Assemble data from reports that compare statistics from cities throughout the United States (ONGOING)
- Provide response to system performance data requests (e.g., traffic counts, VMT, VMT per capita) (ONGOING)
- Support the Metro Performance Measure program. Identify measures that provide meaningful information. Prepare tables, graphs and summaries that can be integrated into a Metro-wide document (ONGOING)
- Support the Congestion Management Process through the provision of traffic count data, VMT information, transit patronage data, and other data elements (ONGOING)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

Metro – Lead Agency

There are two stakeholder groups. The first includes regional policy makers and administrators that desire to 1) track the evolution of transportation characteristics in the metropolitan area, and 2) compare the regional characteristics to other cities.

The other benefit group includes all agencies that require use of the travel demand model. The benefit is derived from the fact that key information (travel cost and count data) has been utilized to help produce a reliable model.

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Tangible Products* section of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	97,889	PL	\$	119,548
	Interfund Transfers	\$	28,587	STP	\$	19,506
	Materials & Services	\$	17,455	Metro		4,877
	TOTAL	\$	143,931	TOTAL	\$	143,931
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		1.000			
TOTAL		1.000				
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	99,660	PL	\$	142,678
	Interfund Transfers	\$	28,574		\$	
	Materials & Services	\$	14,445			
	TOTAL	\$	142,678	TOTAL	\$	142,678
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		1.0			
TOTAL		1.0				

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	108,331	PL	\$	157,657
	Interfund Transfers	\$	29,249			
	Computer	\$	20,076			
	TOTAL	\$	157,657	TOTAL	\$	157,657
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		1.0			
TOTAL		1.0				

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM**Description:**

The purpose of the Technical Assistance program is to provide transportation data and modeling services for projects that are of interest to local entities. Clients of this program include regional cities and counties, TriMet, the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), the Port of Portland, private sector businesses, and the general public. In addition, client agencies can use funds from this program to purchase and maintain copies of the transportation modeling software used by Metro. A budget allocation defines the amount of funds that is available to each regional jurisdiction for these services.

Objectives:

US Department of Transportation (USDOT) protocols require the preparation of future year travel forecasts to analyze project alternatives. Similarly, modeling is required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in project analysis to quantify emissions in air quality analysis.

Thus, the primary objective of this program is to ***provide travel modeling tools and services to clients*** for their project needs.

Previous Work:

- Provided data and modeling services to regional jurisdictions and agencies (e.g., assisted the Port of Portland in determining the traffic impacts from a potential employment increase near the Troutdale Airport, data were provided to ODOT (TPAU) for use in the development of GreenStep).
- Provided data and modeling services to private consultants and other non-governmental clients (e.g., future forecast volumes, trip distribution patterns, and mode share characteristics); and
- Purchased and maintained modeling software for seven governmental agencies (ODOT Region 1, City of Portland, City of Gresham, City of Hillsboro, Clackamas County, Multnomah County, and Washington County).

Methodology:**Provide Transportation Data and Modeling Services**

Data and modeling services are provided to jurisdictions, regional agencies, and the private sector on demand.

Modeling Software

Upon request, transportation network modeling software is purchased and maintained for regional agencies. There are currently seven agencies that participate in this program.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

- Provide data and modeling services to jurisdictions and regional agencies (ON DEMAND)
- Provide data and modeling services to private consultants and other non-governmental clients. (ON DEMAND)
- Provide funds to the local governmental agencies to purchase and pay maintenance on transportation modeling software. (ON DEMAND)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

Metro - in collaboration with clients.

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Tangible Products* section of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

This program is an on-going service provided to the region. The methodology as described above is consistently applied.

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	33,386	STP	\$	23,987
	Interfund Transfers	\$	9,750	ODOT Support	\$	19,079
	Materials & Services	\$	7,400	TriMet Support	\$	4,964
	Computer	\$	3,637	Metro	\$	2,745
				Other	\$	3,398
	TOTAL	\$	54,173	TOTAL	\$	54,173
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
Regular Full-Time FTE						
TOTAL						
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	40,218	STP	\$	31,265
	Interfund Transfers	\$	11,531	ODOT Support	\$	21,369
	Materials & Services	\$	8,424	TriMet Support	\$	5,758
	Computer	\$	5,828	Metro/Local Match	\$	7,609
	TOTAL	\$	66,001	TOTAL	\$	66,001
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.4			
TOTAL		0.4				

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	104,454	STP	\$	33,382
	Interfund Transfers	\$	28,202	ODOT	\$	23,047
	Materials & Services	\$	8,424	TriMet	\$	6,244
	Computer	\$	19,357	Metro	\$	3,821
				Other	\$	93,944
	TOTAL	\$	160,438	TOTAL	\$	160,438
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
Regular Full-Time FTE		0.9				
TOTAL		0.9				

ECONOMIC, DEMOGRAPHIC & LAND USE FORECASTING***Description:**

The economic, demographic and land use forecasting section is a research arm within Metro's Research Center. Our primary mission is to provide historical and forecast estimates of economic, population and land use information to Metro's transportation planners and land use planners. We provide historic estimates as benchmark information to help calibrate the travel demand model and provide performance metrics to help planners understand current conditions. We also provide forecast estimates for various geographies ranging from regional all the way down to transportation analysis zones (TAZ) to help regional planners project future economic, land use and or transportation conditions. Because some investments in transportation or land use projects have a very long lead time before they materialize, we provide economic and demographic projections that range from 20 to 50 years out into the future. These projections are used by transportation planners to study corridor transportation needs, formulate regional transportation plans and to develop land use planning alternatives, which include performance-based growth management and urban / rural reserves studies.

Long-range projections are subject to change, so we provide regular updates and forecast revisions of our long-range economic and demographic projections which incorporate the latest changes in economic assumptions and variations in demographic trends. We regularly update with new information about existing conditions; but, because we recognize that futures forecasts can be very uncertain, we also generate "risk-ranges" that attempt to quantify the uncertainty in our baseline growth projections. Risk analysis also entails generating alternative growth scenarios and evaluating their economic, demographic and land use impacts and reporting these findings.

The section is responsible for data collection, model development and research, forecasting, risk analysis, performance measures, and quantitative land use research projects as issued by Metro's long-range policy department.

Objectives:

- Provide socio-economic information and research services to transportation projects as requested by transportation planners for corridor and transit projects.
- Provide socio-economic information and research services as needed to support long-range planning and community development projects including performance-based growth management and urban / rural reserves planning.
- Employ the MetroScope land use simulation model and the regional macro-econometric model as needed for growth management scenarios and transportation scenarios.
- Provide sound employment and population growth projections and statistical analysis to Metro policy makers regarding management of Metro's UGB which include performance-based growth management and urban / rural reserves policy analysis.
- Maintain an inventory of socioeconomic and land-related economic, demographic and geographic datasets (associated with MetroScope – a real estate forecast and land use allocation model), which is the foundation for providing services to a wide array of clients, including local governments, business, and the public. Data is collected for regional economic forecasting purposes (including national and regional measures), transportation planning, solid waste management forecasting, performance measures, and the land use simulation model - MetroScope.
- Update and maintain the regional econometric population and employment forecast model and the land-use simulation model – MetroScope.
- Provide forecasts of population and employment. This model is an econometric representation of the regional economy and is used for mid-range (5-10 years) and long-range (10-50 years) forecasts.
- Using the regional econometric model and monte-carlo simulation software, derive alternative growth scenarios to estimate uncertainty in the regional forecast; additionally, using MetroScope, alternative land use simulation scenarios are derived to estimate alternative land-use futures.

- Forecast and Land Use Peer Review: Stakeholder reviews of the regional forecast and land use allocation projections are included in the scope of responsibilities to ensure reasonableness and validity of the forecast and growth allocations.
- On a fee-for-service basis, provide population and economic forecasting services to local and regional clients, including public and private interests.
- Maintain databases and provide statistics for monitoring the performance of Metro's policies and growth management programs. Some measures are required under State law, others under Metro Code and defined by program monitoring requirements.

Previous Work:

In 2007, the Economic, Demographic and Land Use Forecasting section selected a consultant to assist staff in developing a more streamline version of our principal land use allocation and forecasting model – named MetroScope. The consultant assisted Metro in developing a code-connected version of MetroScope which embedded a more simplified version of Metro's travel demand model. Included with the embedded travel demand model was a working network assignment that utilizes VISUM. This effort significantly reduced operational runtime and automated a series of steps that formerly required manual manipulations of file inputs.

In early 2008, the same consultant was selected to assist Metro staff in streamlining and automating data output protocols. Users were interviewed and a product list of key indicators and information files were prioritized to formulate the data output protocols for the MetroScope land use allocation model. This work was successfully completed by the consultant with significant contributions by Metro staff as well.

In 2009, the previous two-years of research and development culminated in the successful implementation of a series of land use scenarios which were utilized in the preparation of Metro's latest regional transportation plan in which half a dozen land use scenarios were tested and run through the integrated land use - transportation model. Nearly 50 additional land use scenarios were easily tested using MetroScope for simulating alternative land use development patterns given a mix of urban reserves, regional investment strategies and infrastructure development assumptions. These land use scenarios were used in providing key supporting assumptions to Metro's urban growth report decision which also helped inform the regional transportation planning effort.

In 2010, our research section contributed significant effort to studying the land use impacts of the Columbia River Crossing, impact of Urban/Rural reserves, and Regional Investments. We utilized MetroScope in assessing the economic and land use impacts of several Columbia River Crossing alternatives. This analysis entailed running a no build scenario and several build alternatives to estimate the impact of induced growth of employment and housing in and around the project area. As part of Metro's periodic review of its Urban Growth Boundary, we utilized MetroScope to study the land use impacts of various urban/rural reserve alternatives and researched the economic and land use impact of regional investments.

Methodology:

The section is responsible for preparing regional economic and demographic growth projections and a growth allocation of the regional forecast to smaller subarea components (such as county-level, sub-county regions, census tracts, and traffic analysis zones). Two large-scale econometric models, namely MetroScope – an integrated land use and transportation forecasting model and a second model – the Metro area regional macroeconomic model, which forecasts region-wide growth in employment (by NAICS), regional income components, and population / households (by age cohorts) are maintained and kept up to date in order to ensure credible growth projections.

The regional macro-model produces regional control totals for population and employment factors. These factors are run through MetroScope to produce growth allocations that are consistent with existing land use assumptions or given scenario assumptions. MetroScope employs an *embedded* travel demand model. Travel assumptions are made consistent with Metro's main large-scale transportation model

assumptions by adopting the same VISUM network(s), same mode split characteristics and auto-occupancy results from previous travel model estimations. Because the travel demand model is embedded within MetroScope, subtle changes in land use assumptions that then impact future land use growth allocations provide a feedback loop with the transportation model which in turn provide feedback in terms of travel times that effect the efficiency of land use allocations (i.e., where population, households and employment will locate in the future).

When more detailed transportation statistics are required for analyzing project performance criteria, MetroScope – instead of utilizing its embedded transportation model – will operate in tandem with the more detailed standalone transportation model run by Metro's travel forecasting section. The main difference between the embedded transportation model and the detailed transportation model is within the mode split calculations. The embedded transportation model utilized previous fixed mode split shares while the detailed traditional transport model operates with its mode splits calculated.)

Stakeholders, including Metro, state and local government planners, outside experts and consultants, business analysts, demographers and economic forecasters, are called upon to review and comment on the accuracy of the Metro regional forecast and growth allocations. A formal "council of economic advisors" is tasked with reviewing the accuracy of assumptions and reasonableness of the regional forecast.

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Metro recently underwent a formal periodic update and review of its regional transportation plan and land use / urban growth boundary capacity assessment including performance-based growth management. The technical portion of the periodic review process, of which the forecast and scenario simulations were key technical elements, was completed and acknowledged by the Metro Council at the end of 2009. A process had been put in place that reviewed the regional forecast and assumptions which led to a policy acceptance of the regional forecast and urban growth report in 2009.

In 2010, Metro Council and the region worked towards defining urban and rural reserves. This work was not completed. Consequently, plans to complete Metro's review of its urban growth boundary capacity have been delayed into 2011. Urban reserves have not been adopted; UGB amendments have not been enacted because urban reserves have yet to be resolved. As a result major inputs and key policy assumptions that were to be decided and incorporated into a final technical growth forecast are not yet available. Thus next steps which were to be taken in 2011 are likely to be further delayed. Ultimately, were unable to.

Despite policy and political delays, the technical research staff was able to still accomplish the following:

- Identified which land use metrics will be predominately used to evaluate scenario alternatives
- Developed additional land use scenarios to test alternative means for filling the housing and employment land gaps identified in the 2009 urban growth report; identify the range of policy options and alternatives
- Tested alternatives using MetroScope to simulate potential market responses and results given proposed policy alternatives
- Prepared preliminary land use allocation results from a preferred scenario alternative (Sep. 2010 Chief Operating Officers growth recommendation strategy)
- Developed a new method for estimating future potential residential redevelopment – this is a key land supply element in land use planning in the Metro region and as such a reasonably accurate model filter needs to be reflected in the MetroScope residential supply module. The new approach replaces the traditional improvement-to-land value ratio method of selecting potential redevelopment sites with a stochastic land selection filter that utilizes logit-based estimation equation.
- Completed the first phase of converting the MetroScope parameters from SIC employment equations to NAICS-based employment parameters.

Next steps:

In 2011

- Finalize land use assumptions with Metro policy makers and stakeholders for the next TAZ regional forecast allocation; review land use and transportation input assumptions including future zoning densities, urban reserves, UGB expansion plans, urban reinvestment development assumptions and redevelopment and vacant land assumptions.
- Produce a draft land use allocation by TAZ for employment and housing using the MetroScope embedded model version for discussion purposes (to be replace at the end of 2011 with a final TAZ forecast allocation)
- Prepare a Final TAZ allocation for housing and employment using the tandem MetroScope and detailed travel demand model.
- Complete stakeholder reviews of the final TAZ forecast allocation and incorporate reasonable TAZ adjustments as deemed appropriate.
- Publish final TAZ allocations results
- Research elements planned for 2011 include
 - in conjunction with ODOT, developing a sketch land use tool to operate with GreenStep for modeling GHG emissions in the Portland region
 - developing a more refined and detailed post-processor green GHG calculator to be used with MetroScope output in order to estimate future GHG emissions
 - research and update the neighborhood score assumptions in MetroScope
 - develop a replacement to the non-residential refill filter (if technically feasible);
 - improve travel time consistency between MetroScope’s embedded travel demand model and the more detailed TRMS travel model;
 - begin updating / calibrating MetroScope demographic data inputs with newly released 2010 Census estimates and census figures (this will be ongoing as Census information gets released by federal authorities)
 - complete the second phase of conversion of MetroScope parameters from SIC to NAICS based employment data

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2009-10:

- Consensus regional macro-economic forecast for the Portland Metro region (baseline control totals)
- Risk Scenarios (forecast ranges for the control totals)
- Consensus Housing Needs Analysis (urban growth report - housing)
- Consensus Employment Needs Report (urban growth report - employment)
- Preliminary Growth Allocation (subareas and TAZ)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

- Metro – Lead Agency
- Oregon Office of Economic Analysis – Coordination per State regulations
- Local Governments – Coordination per State regulations
- Stakeholders (non-governments) – collaboration and consensus building

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:	
	Personal Services	\$	254,373	PL	\$ 145,687
	Interfund Transfers	\$	74,558	STP	\$ 9,074
	Materials & Services	\$	20,260	Section 5303	\$ 17,401
	Computer	\$	16,378	Metro	\$ 193,407
	TOTAL	\$	365,569	TOTAL	\$ 365,569
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing				
			2.675		

	TOTAL		2.675			
2010-11	Requirements:				Resources:	
	Personal Services	\$	378,174		PL	\$ 145,972
	Interfund Transfers	\$	108,428		STP	\$ 14,509
	Materials & Services	\$	21,016		Section 5303	\$ 36,779
	Computer	\$	21,283		Metro	\$ 129,653
					Other	\$ 201,987
	TOTAL	\$	528,900		TOTAL	\$ 528,900
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE					
	TOTAL					

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:				Resources:	
	Personal Services	\$	383,122		PL	\$ 253,513
	Interfund Transfers	\$	103,443		5303	\$ 43,551
	Materials & Services	\$	6,040		Metro	\$ 220,276
	Computer	\$	24,734			
	TOTAL	\$	517,340		TOTAL	\$ 517,340
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		3.415			
	TOTAL		3.415			

GIS MAPPING & LAND INFORMATION**Description:**

The Data Resource Center (DRC) performs the following primary activities:

- **Data Collection:** Maintains an inventory of land-related geographic data (Regional Land Information System - RLIS), which are the foundation for providing services to the DRC's array of clients, including local governments, business, and the public. Primary data are collected for land use and transportation planning, solid waste management, performance measures, and the transport and land use models.
- **Client Services:** Technical assistance and Geographic Information System (GIS) products and services to internal Metro programs, local jurisdictions, TriMet, the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), and external customers (private-sector businesses and the general public). The DRC provides services and products to local government partners and RLIS subscribers.
- **Performance measures:** Geographic databases are maintained and statistics provided for monitoring the performance of Metro's policies and growth management programs.

Objectives:

Provide:

- Up-to-date land information for GIS analysis and display to stakeholders
- GIS derived land information required by the land use simulation model (MetroScope)
- GIS display and spatial analytical services for Metro's programs

Previous Work:

- Launched the RLIS Discovery site, a first step in modernizing DRC core services
- Made 2010 aerial photos available for peer review via web service
- Published building footprint data to RLIS Live
- Published regional trails data to RLIS Live
- Completed the first draft of a multi-modal transportation data inventory
- Began scoping new vacant lands inventory project

The following activities are conducted annually and have been or are being accomplished:

- Maintain the information in RLIS, providing quarterly updates to subscribers
- Annually purchase aerial photography
- Purchase building permit records annually.

Methodology:

Metro's Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) administrative mandates are a primary reason for the collection and maintenance of the land information in RLIS. In addition, the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) data collection and forecasting mandates for transportation planning dictate the maintenance of population and employment data for the bi-state region.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

- Fulfill the needs of Metro Planning and Development, including 2040 Concept and Title Map updates (ONGOING)
- Fulfill the needs of Metro Sustainability Center including The Intertwine, trails improvement and parks inventory update (ONGOING)
- Continue modernizing DRC core services (ONGOING)
- Deliver RLIS Live quarterly updates (ONGOING)

- Complete 2011 aerial photo contract (March, 2012)
- Update vacant land inventory (March, 2012)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

- Metro planners and analysts
- Local governments
- Businesses
- Citizens

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Tangible Products* section of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	1,123,616	PL	\$	115,303
	Interfund Transfers	\$	395,596	STP	\$	93,134
	Materials & Services	\$	616,334	Section 5303		96,967
	Computer/Reserve & Replace	\$	40,000	ODOT Support		15,000
				TriMet		37,500
				Metro		761,177
				Other		1,056,465
	TOTAL	\$	2,175,546	TOTAL	\$	2,175,546
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
Regular Full-Time FTE		11.210				
TOTAL		11.210				
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	1,105,185	PL	\$	32,929
	Interfund Transfers	\$	316,872	Section 5303	\$	68,505
	Materials & Services	\$	256,210	ODOT Support		15,000
				TriMet		37,500
				Metro		719,150
				Other		845,183
	Computer/Reserve & Replace	\$	40,000			
	TOTAL	\$	1,718,267	TOTAL	\$	1,718,267
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
Regular Full-Time FTE		10.210				
TOTAL		10.210				

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	1,056,345	PL	\$	34,285
	Interfund Transfers	\$	285,213	Section 5303	\$	76,345
	Materials & Services	\$	205,443	ODOT Support	\$	15,000
	Consultant \$152,000			TriMet	\$	37,500

	Postage	\$2,683			Metro	\$	983,668
	Computer Supplies	\$33,900			Other	\$	454,134
	Subscriptions/Dues	\$1,860				\$	
	Miscellaneous	\$15,000					
	Computer		\$	53,931			
	TOTAL		\$	1,600,932	TOTAL	\$	1,600,932
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing						
	Regular Full-Time FTE			9.74			
	TOTAL			9.74			

DRAFT

MANAGEMENT & COORDINATION/GRANTS MANAGEMENT***Description:**

Grants Management and MPO Coordination provides overall ongoing department management and administration and includes Metro's Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) role. Overall department administration includes preparation and administration of the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP), procurement, contract administration, grants administration, internal and external reporting, and human resource management. It also includes staffing and services to meet required needs of the various standing MPO advisory committees, including:

- Metro Council
- Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT)
- Metropolitan Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC)
- Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee (TPAC)
- Metro Technical Advisory Committee (MTAC)
- Bi-State Coordination Committee
- Regional Freight Committee
- Regional Travel Options (RTO) Subcommittee
- TRANSPORT Subcommittee

As an MPO, Metro is regulated by Federal planning requirements and is a direct recipient of Federal transportation grants to help meet those requirements. Metro is also regulated by State of Oregon planning requirements that govern the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and other transportation planning activities. The purpose of the MPO is to ensure that Federal programs unique to urban areas are effectively implemented, including ongoing coordination and consultation with state and federal regulators.

JPACT serves as the MPO board for the region in a unique partnership that requires joint action with the Metro Council on MPO actions. TPAC serves as the technical body that works with Metro staff to develop policy alternatives and recommended actions for JPACT and the Metro Council.

Metro belongs to the Oregon MPO Consortium (OMPOC), a coordinating body made up of representatives of all six Oregon MPO boards. OMPOC was founded in 2005 to build on common MPO experiences and to advance the practice of metropolitan transportation planning in Oregon. OMPOC meets three times each year and operates under its own bylaws. Metro Councilor Rex Burkholder has served as chair of OMPOC in the past, and is serving as vice-chair in 2008.

Metro also participates in the quarterly MPO & Transit District coordination meetings convened by ODOT, and attended by all six MPOs, several transit districts, ODOT, FHWA and other state and federal agencies, as needed.

Objectives:

- Prepare and manage the department budget, personnel, programs and products. (ONGOING)
- Complete FY 2012-13 UPWP/Self Certification. (FOURTH QUARTER)
- Prepare quarterly reports to FHWA, FTA and other funding agencies that document progress on UPWP activities. (ONGOING)
- Produce meeting minutes, agendas, and documentation for MPO committees. (ONGOING)
- Execute, administer, and monitor contracts, grants, and agreements. (ONGOING)
- Single Audit (OMB A-133) responsibility for Planning grants. (ANNUALLY/ONGOING)
- Continue to monitor current air quality conformity regulations and evaluation practices, as applicable to MPO conformity requirements. (ONGOING)
- Continue to participate in quarterly OMPOC and Oregon MPO & Transit District coordination meetings. (ONGOING)

Previous Work:

In FY 2010-11, Metro successfully carried the Grants Management and MPO Coordination programs forward, with similar objectives and deliverables, as well as completing a quadrennial certification review in October 2008. Recommendations from the certification review are incorporated into appropriate UPWP work programs for FY 2011-12.

Methodology:

As a MPO, Metro participates in quarterly coordination meetings with the other MPOs and major transit providers in the state. These meetings are a principal source of new information on state and Federal regulations affecting MPOs and provide opportunity for the different urban areas to compare strategies for addressing common transportation problems. Since 2005, Metro has also been a member of the Oregon MPO Consortium (OMPOC), which also meets quarterly to collaborate on issues unique to MPOs and of common interest.

The MPO program is also responsible for publishing an annual UPWP for the region, and providing monthly and quarterly reports to state and Federal officials documenting our progress in completing the work program. Among these responsibilities is the requirement to establish air quality findings for Metro's transportation planning efforts that demonstrate continued conformity with the Federal Clean Air Act. This air quality conformity work is a major component of Metro's MPO program.

Metro is subject to an annual Federal self-certification, and quadrennial Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) reviews, during which Metro must demonstrate compliance with Federal transportation planning requirements, including the 2005 SAFETEA-LU legislation. Metro completed a quadrennial certification review in October 2008, and Metro will complete a self-certification as part of the FY 2011-12 UPWP development process.

Other program responsibilities include providing ongoing support to JPACT, TPAC, MTAC, MPAC, and Bi-State committees and subcommittees to ensure coordination between state, regional, and local transportation and land-use plans and priorities. These committees and subcommittees meet transportation and land-use coordination provisions outlined in SAFETEA-LU.

The Grants Management and Coordination program also includes overall department management, including budget, personnel, materials, services, and capital expenditures. The program also monitors grants and ensures contract compliance, including the OMB A-133 Single Audit, and provides information to the public. Metro also maintains active memberships in and supports national organizations such as Cascadia, American Public Transportation Association (APTA), and the Association of Metropolitan Planning Organizations (AMPO) as funds allow.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

- Adopted Budget (JUNE 2011)
- Approved FY 2012-13 UPWP (FOURTH QUARTER)
- Narrative and Financial Reports on UPWP activities (QUARTERLY)
- JPACT and TPAC Agendas and Minutes (MONTHLY)
- 2012-13 Federal Self-Certification (FOURTH QUARTER)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

Metro – Lead Agency
 Oregon Department of Transportation – Cooperate/Collaborate
 TriMet – Cooperate/Collaborate

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Objectives* and *Tangible Products* sections of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:				Resources:				
	Personal Services	\$	680,758		PL	\$	531,671		
	Interfund Transfers	\$	348,470		STP	\$	403,341		
	Materials & Services	\$	100,903		Section 5303		86,534		
	Computer/Reserve & Replace	\$	13,302		ODOT Support		16,673		
					Metro		105,214		
	TOTAL	\$	1,143,433		TOTAL	\$	1,143,433		
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing								
	Regular Full-Time FTE			7.625					
	TOTAL			7.625					
2010-11	Requirements:				Resources:				
	Personal Services	\$	985,613		PL	\$	534,233		
	Interfund Transfers	\$	362,966		STP	\$	553,022		
	Materials & Services	\$	118,675		Section 5303	\$	59,605		
					ODOT Support	\$	16,681		
					Metro	\$	218,796		
					Other	\$	89,150		
	Computer	\$	4,234						
	TOTAL	\$	1,471,487		TOTAL	\$	1,471,487		
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing								
Regular Full-Time FTE			8.99						
TOTAL			8.99						

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:				Resources:			
	Personal Services	\$	920,678		PL	\$	608,656	
	Interfund Transfers	\$	365,594		STP	\$	512,637	
	Materials & Services	\$	123,982		Section 5303	\$	88,041	
	Printing/Supplies	\$11,000			Metro	\$	203,792	
	Ads/Legal Notices	\$8,500						
	Postage	\$100						
	Temp Services	\$47,950						
	Subscriptions/Dues	\$12,500						
	Miscellaneous	\$43,932						
Computer	\$	2,872						
TOTAL	\$	1,413,126		TOTAL	\$	1,413,126		
Full-Time Equivalent Staffing								
Regular Full-Time FTE			8.4					
TOTAL			8.4					

STREETCAR TECHNICAL METHODS

Description:

The Streetcar Technical Methods assists the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) in the development of guidance for travel demand forecasting and economic development methodologies for the Small Starts funding program. In FY 2005-06 and FY 2006-07, initial work was done to evaluate potential approaches for this work, during the Eastside Transit Project and the Lake Oswego to Portland Transit Corridor Project Alternatives Analyses.

As the region's Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), Metro has responsibility for the region's long-range transportation planning, including transit. Memoranda of agreement outlining Metro's planning responsibilities and relationships with Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and TriMet document Metro's role as the lead agency for Federally funded transit and transportation planning projects, particularly FTA New Starts projects.

The first segment of the Portland Streetcar from NW 23rd to Portland State University was opened in August 2001. During the late 1990s, the City of Portland constructed an initial operating segment for the Portland Streetcar project. Streetcars run on a 8.0-mile continuous loop (4.0-mile in each direction) with 46 stops from Legacy Good Samaritan Hospital at NW 23rd Avenue, on Lovejoy and Northrup, through the Pearl District and on 10th and 11th Avenues, Portland State University, SW River Parkway & Moody (RiverPlace), SW Moody and Gibbs in the South Waterfront District where it connects with the Portland Aerial Tram to a terminus at SW Lowell and Bond.

Portland Streetcar is a part of the City's growth management and neighborhood livability strategy. Reduced vehicle-miles-traveled per capita provides associated environmental benefits, energy conservation, and urban land-use efficiencies.

Objectives:

- Ensure the streetcar transit mode is planned and integrated into both local plans and regional plans (the High Capacity Transit System Plan and the RTP);
- Improve methods of forecasting the likely outcome of proposed streetcar service;
- Enhance methods of estimating the economic impact of streetcar service on adjacent land uses, forecasting the likely economic development impacts; and
- Ensure adequate consideration of the impact of streetcar on other transportation modes within the region; and
- Ensure access to Streetcar includes bikes, pedestrian and auto access appropriate to areas of operation; and
- Ensure location of Streetcar stations enhance the potential to capture economic value of transportation investment.

Previous Work:

- In 2005, Eric Hovee Inc. was retained to develop a correlation between the presence of the Portland Streetcar and Central City development patterns. This study found evidence of a connection between streetcar service and economic development and recommended further, even more rigorous methods to show causality between the streetcar and intensity of development that form the basis of the current work program.
- In 2005, PB Consult was retained to evaluate the travel demand forecasting methods to be used to evaluate the Streetcar mode. Several sub-mode adjustments were made to Metro's travel forecasting model as a result.
- An FTA Alternatives Analysis was completed and a Locally Preferred Alternative selected for both the Eastside and Portland to Lake Oswego Transit Projects in Federal FY 2005-06.

- Metro and TriMet staff worked with the FTA concerning the appropriate methodology for determining the transportation system user benefit for the Portland Streetcar Loop project.
- In 2008-2010, Metro staff coordinated with City of Portland Office of Transportation staff in the development of the Portland Streetcar System Plan.
- In FY 2009/2010, improved technical methods for travel forecasting that fully explain the ridership patterns of the Streetcar mode to assist FTA with evaluation of Small Starts projects and assist City of Portland with evaluation of future transit corridors for the Streetcar System Plan.
- Also, in FY 2009/2010, developed technical methods for evaluating the impact of Streetcar on development patterns and measuring the economic development potential of the Streetcar mode to assist FTA in the evaluation of Small Starts projects and to assist the City of Portland with the evaluation of economic development in future transit corridors for the Streetcar System Plan. This was accomplished through:
 - Travel Time Perceptions of Transit Riders
 - Central City Hotel Guest Survey and Model Development
 - Park and Ride Lot Choice Model
- In FY 2010-2011:
 - Developed generic model to estimate economic development impacts of various streetcar lines for the City of Portland.
 - Finalized work program for, and commenced analysis of, priority bike/ped connections and regional trail in Lake Oswego to Portland transit corridor.
 - Developed scope for station area planning location refinement which will be conducted in conjunction with the Lake Oswego to Portland Transit project LPA.

Methodology:

The next phase of Streetcar technical methods work will focus on development of best practices for evaluating economic development opportunities provided by various streetcar lines and providing access to Streetcar stops within and outside of Central City. The extension of the Streetcar line outside of the Central City will need to coordinate with the transit, road and trail systems. Additionally, Streetcar stations have strong potential to influence land use.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

- Establish regional advisory committee to coordinate the implementation of trail recommendations in the Lake Oswego to Portland corridor. (September 2011)
- With regional advisory committee, review opportunities for bike/ped and trail connections to the Lake Oswego to Portland transit project (Fall-Winter 2011).
- Coordinate efforts refine and optimize the station area locations in the Lake Oswego to Portland transit project (Fall 2012).
- Develop station area plans for Lake Oswego to Portland Transit Project (June 2012).
- Finalize station area access plans for Lake Oswego to Portland Transit Project (June 2012).

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

Metro – Product owner/lead agency
 TriMet – cooperate/collaborate
 City of Portland – cooperate/collaborate
 City of Lake Oswego – coordinate/collaborate

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	35,834	Streetcar Earmark	\$	38,534
	Interfund Transfers	\$	10,463	FTA 5339 Grant	\$	48,000
	Materials & Services	\$	60,376	Local Match	\$	9,633
				Metro	\$	12,000
	TOTAL	\$	108,167	TOTAL	\$	108,167
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
Regular Full-Time FTE		0.272				
TOTAL		0.272				
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	81,624	Streetcar Earmark	\$	132,914
	Interfund Transfers	\$	23,403	Metro	\$	33,229
	Materials & Services	\$	61,115			
	TOTAL	\$	166,143	TOTAL	\$	166,143
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.95			
TOTAL		0.95				

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	148,943	Streetcar OR-39-0002	\$	201,688
	Interfund Transfers	\$	40,215	Metro	\$	50,422
	Materials & Services	\$	62,952			
	Consultant \$60,000					
	Miscellaneous \$2,952					
	TOTAL	\$	252,110	TOTAL	\$	252,110
Full-Time Equivalent Staffing						
Regular Full-Time FTE		1.265				
TOTAL		1.265				

SOUTHWEST CORRIDOR REFINEMENT PLAN

Description:

The Southwest Corridor Plan includes local land use planning, which will identify land use actions and investments to support livable communities; a Corridor Refinement Plan to examine the function, mode, and general location of transportation improvements; and the Transit AA to define the best mode and alignment of HCT to serve the corridor.

The Corridor Refinement Plan (Mobility Corridors #2 and # 20 in the vicinity of I-5/Barbur Blvd, from Portland Central City to approximately the “Tigard Triangle”) is designed to complete one of the two corridor refinement plans that were prioritized to begin in FY09/10 by the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) and Metro Council.

The Draft 2035 Regional Transportation Plan (Draft RTP) identifies five corridors where more analysis is needed through a future corridor refinement plan. Refinement plans generally involve a combination of transportation and land use analysis, multiple local jurisdictions and facilities operated by multiple transportation providers. In addition to completing system planning requirements, these studies establish a work program for implementation of project development activities and identified capital projects and operational initiatives and project projects for each corridor.

The Southwest Corridor is 17-miles long and generally follows Interstate 5 (I-5) and state highway OR99W (99W), which is Barbur Boulevard within the city of Portland. The highway continues southwest through the cities of Tigard, Tualatin, King City and Sherwood. The northern portion of the highway closely parallels I-5, diverging near the northern city limit of Tigard. I-5 and OR99 serve as the main travel routes between Portland, Tigard and Tualatin; OR 99W is the main travel route on to the cities of King City and Sherwood. Arterials and bus service support movements in and through the corridor. The terrain is quite hilly in many areas. Pedestrian connectivity is limited and bicycle paths are discontinuous. The arterial, collector, and local street network in the vicinity of much of the corridor is winding and discontinuous as a result of the hilly topography and suburban style development patterns. Sidewalks and crosswalks are lacking in much of the area, which impedes walking to take transit or meet other needs.

Objectives:

- Actively engage public in developing the criteria to prioritize transportation investments and land use changes
- Complete system planning for corridors to prioritize specific improvements, including mode, function and location of potential improvements necessary to meet needs.
- Begin work on the transit Alternatives Analysis

Previous Work:

The 2000 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) identified a significant transportation need in 18 corridors but specified that additional work was needed before a specific project could be implemented. In FY 2000-01, the Corridor Initiatives Program prioritized completion of the corridor plans and refinements. Per that recommendation, Metro initiated and led corridor studies for the Powell/Foster and Highway 217 corridors. The phase I Powell/Foster plan was completed and the findings were adopted by JPACT and the Metro Council in FY 2003/04.

In FY 2005-06, this program focused on completing the Highway 217 Corridor study and commencing the next multi-modal alternatives analysis. Work concluded in FY 2006-07 with recommendations on RTP and local plan amendments and alternatives for further study and phasing, and next steps for financing. The recommendations were adopted by JPACT and Metro Council. Next steps for that corridor include seeking funding for completion of National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) and preliminary engineering.

In winter 2005, Metro again consulted with regional jurisdictions to identify the next priority corridor(s) for commencement of planning work. Based on the consultation, in winter 2005/06, JPACT and Metro Council approved a corridor planning work plan update, which called for initiation of five new corridor plans in the next five years.

In winter 2007/08, Metro commenced work on one of the corridor planning efforts identified in that work program, the Regional High Capacity Transit (HCT) System Plan, now included in the 2035 RTP and adopted by resolution in December 2009. In fall/winter 2009/10, Metro and regional partners applied the HCT plan's System Expansion Policy to advance one of the three Near Term Regional Priority corridors. The SW HCT Corridor (HCT Corridor #11, Portland to Sherwood in the vicinity of Barbur Blvd/OR 99W) has been evaluated through a rigorous HCT process and emerged as the top Near Term Regional Priority through the application of the Metro and JPACT approved 25 evaluation criteria, including potential ridership, local support, and demonstrated opportunities for transit supportive land uses; and based on the System Expansion Policy targets measurable at this time.

In fall 2009, Metro worked with technical committees and local jurisdictions to prioritize the five remaining refinement plan corridors, and develop a phased approach to accomplish all remaining refinement plans by 2020.

In winter/spring 2010, Metro staff is focusing on the following actions in the SW Corridor, which are scheduled for completion by the end of FY 2009-2010 (June 30, 2010):

- Enter into scoping and chartering processes with stakeholders (FEBRUARY 2011)
- Develop scope and budget, including local match. (FEBRUARY-APRIL 2011)
- Work with TriMet, City of Portland, City of Tualatin, City of Tigard and City of Sherwood to identify and provide technical support to their separate planning efforts in the SW Corridor, including those related to the Transit Alternatives Analysis and related station area planning and land use analysis (JANUARY – JUNE 2011)
- Develop a more detailed work plan, including technical work and public information and engagement plans, as appropriate. (FEBRUARY - MARCH 2011)
- Establish project advisory committees. (APRIL-MAY 2011)
- Advisory committees adopt goals and objectives for corridor plan. (JUNE 2011)
- Develop drafts of Requests for Proposals for consultant services. (MAY-JUNE 2011)

Methodology:

Project partners will work collaboratively to improve the land use and transportation conditions and mobility in the Southwest Corridor to support vibrant communities with transportation and housing choices that help to sustain economic prosperity, clean ecosystems, and community assets; minimize contributions to global warming; and enhance quality of life.

As provided by the Transportation Planning Rule (TPR), Metro is required to complete a regional Transportation System Plan, which identifies the need for transportation facilities and their function, mode, and general location. The 2000 RTP calls for completion of 18 corridor refinements and studies for areas where significant needs were identified but that require further analysis before a specific project can be developed. Section 660-012-0025 of the TPR requires prompt completion of corridor refinements and studies.

This work program will commence the I-5/Barbur Corridor Refinement Plan (including Mobility Corridors #2 and # 20 in the vicinity of I-5/Barbur Blvd, from Portland Central City southward to approximately the "Tigard Triangle"). The corridor planning priorities were identified as part of the RTP process during fall 2009. The RTP, including the mobility corridor work, revisited the needs and revised the methodology for completing the studies. Work will commence on the highest priority corridors, including Mobility Corridor #2 and 20, as identified in the RTP, in spring 2010. In addition, needs for the alternatives analysis for roughly the same area—the top priority High Capacity Transit Corridor "Southwest HCT Corridor"—will initiate a comprehensive, integrated process coordinating the two efforts along with other related planning work in the study or impact areas.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2010-11:

- Complete evaluation of existing conditions (August 2011)
- Develop evaluation criteria (August 2011)
- Prioritize projects from the 2035 RTP based on evaluation criteria (June (2012)
- Define mode, alignment and location of major transportation improvements (June 2012)
- Begin work on the transit Alternatives Analysis (September 2011)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity: [to be determined as part of scoping/chartering]

Metro– Lead agency
 Oregon Department of Transportation – cooperate/collaborate
 TriMet – cooperate/collaborate
 Corridor Jurisdictions – Leads for elements of the plan, especially land use components of plans, cooperate/collaborate

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Objectives* and *Tangible Products* sections of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	NA	PL	\$	
	Interfund Transfers	\$	NA	STP	\$	
	Materials & Services	\$	NA	Metro		
	TOTAL	\$	NA	TOTAL	\$	
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing		NA			
	Regular Full-Time FTE					
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$		STP	\$	
	Interfund Transfers	\$		Metro	\$	
	Materials & Services	\$				
	TOTAL	\$		TOTAL	\$	
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE					
TOTAL						

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	836,224	TriMet – Bond	\$	2,605,842
	Interfund Transfers	\$	225,780			
	Materials & Services	\$	1,519,387			
	Consultant \$1,500,000					
	Printing/Supplies \$1,000					
Ads & Legal Notices \$200						
Postage \$1,000						
Comp. Supplies \$2,700						

Subscription/Dues	\$250				
Miscellaneous	\$14,237				
Computer		\$	24,451		
TOTAL		\$	2,605,842	TOTAL	\$ 2,605,842
Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
Regular Full-Time FTE			7.615		
TOTAL			7.615		

DRAFT

EAST METRO CONNECTIONS PLAN**Description:**

The East Metro Connections Plan (EMCP) (previously known as the East Multnomah County Corridor Refinement Plan work program) is intended to complete one corridor refinement plan that was prioritized by the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) and Metro Council.

The public review draft 2035 Regional Transportation Plan (Draft RTP) identifies five corridors where more analysis is needed through a future corridor refinement plan. Refinement plans generally involve a combination of transportation and land use analysis, multiple local jurisdictions and facilities operated by multiple transportation providers. In addition to completing system planning requirements, these studies establish a work program for implementation of project development activities and identified capital projects and operational initiatives and project projects for each corridor.

Objectives:

- In accordance with Metro's regional mobility corridor strategy, to complete system planning for corridors where a generalized mobility need has been determined, but additional work is needed to identify and prioritize specific improvements, including mode, function and location of potential improvements necessary to meet needs.
- To develop an East Metro community investment strategy that identifies and prioritizes needed projects to serve locally desired land uses and stimulate community and economic development.
- To develop multiple, multi-modal solutions that distribute both benefits and burdens of growth, support active lifestyles and enhance the natural environment.
- Establish agreements on local, regional and state actions to support implementation of the the community investment strategy.

Previous Work:

The 2000 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) identified a significant transportation need in 18 corridors but specified that additional work was needed before a specific project could be implemented. In FY 2000-01, the Corridor Initiatives Program prioritized completion of the corridor plans and refinements. Per that recommendation, Metro initiated and led corridor studies including the Powell/Foster and Highway 217 corridors. The phase I Powell/Foster plan was completed and the findings were adopted by JPACT and the Metro Council in FY 2003/04.

In winter 2005, Metro again consulted with regional jurisdictions to identify the next priority corridor(s) for commencement of planning work. Based on the consultation, in winter 2005/06, JPACT and Metro Council approved a corridor planning work plan update, which called for initiation of five new corridor plans in the next five years. In winter 2007/08, Metro commenced work on one of the corridor planning efforts identified in that work program, the Regional High Capacity Transit System Plan.

In fall 2009, Metro worked with technical committees and local jurisdictions to prioritize the five remaining corridors, and develop a phased approach to accomplish all remaining refinement plans by 2020. During that process, Mobility Corridor #15 (East Multnomah County connecting I-84 and US 26) and Mobility Corridors #2 and # 20 (in the vicinity of I-5/Barbur Blvd, from Portland Central City southward to approximately the "Tigard Triangle") have emerged as strong candidates for corridor refinement planning in terms of technical factors, as well as local urgency and readiness.

In 2010, Metro accomplished the following work as part of the EMCP:

- Developed preliminary scope and budget, including local match. (MARCH - JUNE 2010)
- Established project technical advisory committee. (MAY 2010)
- Work with partners to secure funding needed for the desired scope (JUNE-OCTOBER 2010)
- Developed detailed work plan, including technical work and public information and engagement plans. (JULY - DECEMBER 2010)

- Established steering committee and initiated chartering processes with stakeholders (SEPTEMBER-DECEMBER 2010)
- Execute intergovernmental agreements with cities of Troutdale, Wood Village, Fairview, Gresham and Multnomah County for local match (JANUARY – APRIL 2011)
- Complete Steering Committee Chartering Process (JANUARY-APRIL 2011)
- Advisory committees adopt goals and objectives for corridor plan. (FEBRUARY-MARCH 2011)
- Issue Request for Proposals for consultant services. (JANUARY 2011)
- Execute consultant contracts. (MARCH FY 2010-11)
- Develop and approve existing conditions/future baseline analysis and report (JANUARY – APRIL, 2011)
- Update regional model and conduct necessary test runs (NOVEMBER 2010- MARCH 2011)
- Assess candidate strategies (ideas for solutions that address identified problems and meet agreed-upon objectives) (APRIL-MAY 2011)
- Build test scenarios for further analysis (JUNE 2011)

Methodology:

As provided by the Transportation Planning Rule (TPR), Metro is required to complete a regional Transportation System Plan, which identifies the need for transportation facilities and their function, mode, and general location. The 2000 RTP calls for completion of 18 corridor refinements and studies for areas where significant needs were identified but that require further analysis before a specific project can be developed. Section 660-012-0025 of the TPR requires prompt completion of corridor refinements and studies.

This work program will commence the East Metro Connections Plan. The corridor planning priorities were identified as part of the RTP process during fall 2009. The RTP, including the mobility corridor work, revisited the needs and revised the methodology for completing the studies. Work commenced on the highest priority corridors, including Mobility Corridor #15, as identified in the RTP, in spring 2010. Within the new RTP framework—the mobility corridor strategy—the EMCP strategies and scenarios to be tested will focus on support and activation of locally and regionally adopted land use plans. An implementation strategy will identify reciprocal agreements between Metro and local jurisdictions with respect to land use commitments and transportation investments in the community.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

Complete work as identified in the scope of work, including:

- Quantitative and qualitative analysis of test scenarios (JULY-OCTOBER 2011)
- Selection and refinement of preferred scenario (NOVEMBER 2011)
- Development of draft and final “East Metro Community Investment Strategy” (DECEMBER 2011- JANUARY 2011)
- Implementation blueprint (JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2012)
- Project Steering Committee recommends investment strategy to JPACT and Metro Council (FEBRUARY 2012)
- Local jurisdictions review strategy and adopt resolutions in support of investment strategy (MARCH- APRIL 2012)
- JPACT and Metro Council adopt community investment strategy and amend RTP (MAY-JUNE 2012)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity: [to be finalized as part of scoping/chartering, Winter/Spring 2011]

Metro – Lead agency
 Oregon Department of Transportation – cooperate/collaborate
 TriMet – cooperate/collaborate
 Corridor Jurisdictions – Primarily cooperate/collaborate, but possible leads for elements of the plan, especially land use components of plans, if required

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Objectives* and *Tangible Products* sections of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

Funding for a preliminary budget was identified in 2010, as shown in the table below. Local match was confirmed with the local jurisdictions in September 2010. IGAs will be executed in January or early February 2011.

East Metro Connections Plan Proposed Funding Source	Federal \$	Local Match Required
MTIP Next Corridor FY 11	150	18
MTIP C/O from FY 10 Next Corridor (approx)	270	32
MTIP Powell/Foster C/O from FY06	191	23
Approximate Totals	Approx \$611,000	Approx. \$73,000

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	<i>Personal Services</i>	\$		<i>PL</i>	\$	
	<i>Interfund Transfers</i>	\$		<i>STP</i>	\$	
	<i>Materials & Services</i>	\$		<i>Metro</i>		
	TOTAL	\$		TOTAL	\$	
2010-11	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	<i>Regular Full-Time FTE</i>					
	TOTAL					
	Requirements:			Resources:		
	<i>Personal Services</i>	\$		<i>STP</i>	\$	
<i>Interfund Transfers</i>	\$		<i>Metro</i>	\$		
<i>Materials & Services</i>	\$					
TOTAL	\$		TOTAL	\$		
2010-11	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	<i>Regular Full-Time FTE</i>					
	TOTAL					

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:				Resources:			
	Personal Services	\$	351,470		Next Corridor STP	\$	326,617	
	Interfund Transfers	\$	94,897		Metro	\$	37,384	
	Materials & Services	\$	199,801		Other	\$	291,065	
	Consultant	\$100,000						
	Printing/Supplies	\$3,000						
	Ads/Legal Notices	\$1,700						
	Postage	\$5,000						
	Computer Supplies	\$2,700						
	Subscriptions/Dues	\$250						
	Miscellaneous	\$87,151						
	Computer	\$	8,898					
	TOTAL	\$	655,066		TOTAL	\$	655,066	
Full-Time Equivalent Staffing								
Regular Full-Time FTE		3.18						
TOTAL		3.18						

Other Projects of Regional Significance

DRAFT

FANNO CREEK TRAIL: HALL BOULEVARD CROSSING

Description:

This project would entail the production of a feasibility study, design, and cost estimation for a preferred bicycle and pedestrian crossing of Hall Boulevard. The preferred crossing would eventually connect two existing segments of the Regional Fanno Creek Trail after future construction. The crossing of Hall Boulevard is the #2 priority project in the Fanno Creek Trail Action Plan (Action Plan), produced in 2003 by Metro and Fanno Creek Trail jurisdiction partners. The purpose of the study is to explore crossing alternatives (at-grade; under street; over street) and recommend a preferred crossing alternative that is to provide a safe bicycle and pedestrian crossing at the extremely busy Hall Boulevard/Fanno Creek Trail intersection.

Objectives:

Identify feasibility and costs of bicycle and pedestrian crossing alternatives and recommend a preferred crossing alternative across Hall Boulevard. Complete preliminary design, cost estimate, and prospectus for project.

Previous Work:

The Fanno Creek Greenway Trail, originally proposed in the 1970's, is an urban greenway trail extending (when complete) 15 miles from Cook Park on the Tualatin River, through Durham, Tigard, and Beaverton, terminating at Willamette Park in Southwest Portland. Approximately 4.5 miles of the trail are within the Tualatin Hills Park & Recreation District's (THPRD) boundary, much of which has been constructed by THPRD. A 10' wide, asphalt path is available for use by local residents of all ages and abilities, including walkers, joggers, bicyclists, wheelchairs, rollerbladers and strollers.

Methodology:

A consultant with experience in trail, land use, environmental, and traffic planning, design, and engineering was selected in late 2010. The project got underway in early 2011, with site analysis and inventory work being completed in the 4th quarter of FY 2010-11.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

- Public involvement and input. (ONGOING)
- Feasibility study of crossing alternatives. (FIRST/SECOND QUARTERS)
- Cost estimate. (FIRST/SECOND/THIRD QUARTERS)
- Preferred Crossing Alternative/Final Report (THIRD QUARTER)
- Project Prospectus (FOURTH QUARTER)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District – Lead Agency
Metro – Cooperate/Collaborate
City of Beaverton – Cooperate/Collaborate
Oregon Department of Transportation – Cooperate/Collaborate

IV. CORRIDOR PLANS & PROJECTS OF REGIONAL SIGNIFICANCE

TUALATIN HILLS PARK AND RECREATION DISTRICT
FANNO CREEK TRAIL: HALL BOULEVARD CROSSING

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Objectives* and *Tangible Products* sections of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$		STP	\$	359,817
	Interfund Transfers	\$		THPRD Match	\$	41,183
	Materials & Services	\$				
	TOTAL	\$	401,000	TOTAL	\$	401,000
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE					
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$		STP	\$	359,817
	Interfund Transfers	\$		THPRD Match	\$	41,183
	Materials & Services	\$				
	TOTAL	\$	401,000	TOTAL	\$	401,000
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE					
TOTAL						

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$		STP	\$	359,817
	Interfund Transfers	\$		THPRD Match	\$	41,183
	Materials & Services	\$				
	TOTAL	\$	401,000	TOTAL	\$	401,000
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE					
TOTAL						

DAMASCUS AREA LAND USE & TRANSPORTATION PLANNING**Description:**

The City of Damascus incorporated in 2004, subsequent to the urban growth boundary expansion. Damascus currently has a population of 9,670, and is approximately 10,000 acres in size. As a new City, it must develop a comprehensive plan and associated development code that meets statewide planning requirements and the Metro Regional Framework. In addition, the City must develop plans that accommodate the projected population, housing needs and jobs allocated to this area, and implement the community's core values and vision.

The Damascus Transportation System Plan (TSP) is the City's sixth phase in their comprehensive plan work program. The TSP will augment the comprehensive plan designations currently being developed. The Comprehensive Plan and TSP are based on general vision statements approved by the City Council in December of 2006, a set of Goals and Policies which have yet to be adopted as a part of the Comprehensive Plan effort, and the general growth direction proposed in the Damascus-Boring Concept Plan (not approved at the local level).

The Highway 212 land use and transportation sub-area plan will form the basis for the Comprehensive Plan, zoning designations, and the Transportation System Plan (TSP) for a portion of the City of Damascus. The City of Damascus has been divided into several plan segments. This land use and transportation plan will focus on the portion of Damascus that is around the existing Highway 212, from about 172nd Avenue to the eastern edge of the City. The purpose of the plan will be to establish the most desirable mix of land use designations, conceptual highway design (consistent with Metro Street and Boulevard designations), and a local transportation network for this segment of the City. The transportation elements will build off the guidance that was established in the Damascus-Boring Concept Plan Implementation Strategies and Action Measures Report, the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), and the City of Damascus comprehensive map designations as they develop. The plan will address the need for short-term improvements to Highway 212, and long-term plans to convert Highway 212 from a through traffic and freight function to a Main Street with design characteristics that slow traffic and create an attractive streetscape for the land uses with frontage along the facility.

Objectives:

Damascus TSP Objectives:

- A plan consistent with applicable state, regional, and County TSPs, and Transportation Planning Rule (TRP) while providing a transportation policy and investment framework for development of an economic, social, and environmentally healthy new city. (ONGOING)
- Address transportation facilities, services, and policies consistent with the Metro mode share targets. In addition to identifying twenty-year needs, a shorter term (e.g. fifteen-year) shall be considered in order to help create orderly growth and identify public infrastructure sequencing and priorities. (ONGOING)
- Provide flexibility in the transportation infrastructure to accommodate existing land uses and future land use aspirations such as the Village Concept and other Comprehensive Plan land use objectives and patterns.
- Avoid or minimize impacts to existing neighborhoods, homes, and businesses.
- Minimize the potential for Highway 212 as a barrier to community cohesion while maintaining highway function.
- Provide consistency with the state and regional land use regulatory framework.
- Provide safe, multimodal facilities.
- Design streets within the context of the Metro standards and character of the community and function of the facility.
- Develop a local street network to reduce reliance on the state highway for local trips. (ONGOING)
- Improve capacity and provide network alternatives.

- Design transportation facilities to support all modes and users.
- Incorporate Transportation Demand Management (TDM)¹ and Transportation System Management (TSM) measures.
- Apply smart growth strategies to achieve sustainable design and transit oriented design and development. (ONGOING)
- Provide reasonable access to adjacent neighborhoods and businesses. (ONGOING)

OR 212 Corridor Plan:

- Provide a street network that provides local access to Damascus area businesses and residents while OR 212 is to provide limited access to those uses. (ONGOING)
- Recommend urban land uses for the subarea that balance economic development, maintain the freight function, and provide a conversion of the rural state highway to an urban facility with limited access for local service.
- Improve highway capacity and distribute trips to city street network and other modes.
- Design the highway corridor to support vehicular, transit, bicycle and pedestrian modes.
- Provide reliable travel times for current and future inter-urban and interregional travel and freight needs.
- Provide safe, multimodal facilities.
- Provide design solutions that reinforce safe travel behavior for pedestrians, bicyclists, vehicles, buses and trucks.
- Support land use objectives and patterns that support the function of the highway (e.g., mixed-use, compact, urban development located off the highway).
- Provide vehicular access to neighborhoods and businesses located along the highway from the local street system.
- Minimize the potential for Highway 212 to be a barrier to community cohesion.

Previous Work:

In 2008, Metro staff helped develop a statement of work for the Damascus Transportation System Plan (TSP), Highway 212 Sub-area Plan, and Sunrise Parkway Refinement Plan. Subsequent decisions on the Sunrise Parkway Refinement Plan put the Parkway beyond the 2035 plan horizon and the statement of work was refined to reflect these changes and now includes only the Damascus TSP and OR 212 Land Use and Highway Corridor Plan.

Metro staff developed an inter-governmental agreement with ODOT that outlines the amount of modeling work that will be provided, in addition to Metro's contribution to network development work, stakeholder responsibility, consultation, review, and executive management and public meetings.

City of Damascus has been working on completion of their Comprehensive Plan throughout 2009. In October of 2009 the City of Damascus completed the Draft Evaluation Criteria memo for the Damascus TSP and Highway 212 Land Use and Transportation Corridor Plan. The Evaluation Criteria memo was reviewed and finalized by December of 2009.

¹ TDM is the application of policies and strategies aimed at reducing single-occupancy vehicle travel. TSM is operational and management strategies aimed at providing more capacity to an existing transportation system.

Methodology:

An alternatives analysis is needed for Highway 212 from Rock Creek Junction through the cities of Damascus and Boring to US 26. This analysis should be completed to narrow the alternatives prior to any NEPA work. As part of the Damascus TSP, local and regional street/highway projects need to be identified. When projects with regional significance are identified, the RTP will need to be amended to add these projects to the financially constrained or unconstrained list.

Schedule for Completing Activities:

The Damascus TSP and Highway 212 Land Use and Transportation Corridor Plan began in January 2009 and was delayed by work on other areas of the Comprehensive Plan. These plans are scheduled to be completed by Spring – Summer of 2011.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

A more detailed schedule and list of deliverables is being developed. (ONGOING)

Estimated schedule of major deliverables:

Alternatives Analysis Report (ONGOING)

Land Use Report (ONGOING)

Highway 212 Corridor Plan Recommendations Report (SPRING2011)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

City of Damascus - Lead Agency

ODOT - Work Order Contracts and Project Manager - Coordinate

Metro - Cooperate / Collaborate

Clackamas County - Cooperate / Collaborate

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	City of Damascus	\$	136,000	Federal Earmark	\$	1,000,000
	Consultant	\$	681,000	Damascus Local Match	\$	154,454
	ODOT	\$	123,000	ODOT (TGM)	\$	250,000
	Metro	\$	92,435			
	Clackamas County (contingency)		372,019			
	TOTAL	\$	1,404,454	TOTAL	\$	1,404,454
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
Regular Full-Time FTE						
TOTAL						
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	City of Damascus	\$	136,000	Federal Earmark	\$	1,000,000
	Consultant	\$	681,000	Damascus Local Match	\$	154,454
	ODOT	\$	123,000	STP	\$	
	Metro	\$	92,435	ODOT (TGM)	\$	250,000
	Clackamas Co. (contingency)	\$	372,019			
	TOTAL	\$	1,404,454	TOTAL	\$	1,404,454
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
Regular Full-Time FTE						

IV. CORRIDOR PLANS & PROJECTS OF REGIONAL SIGNIFICANCE

CITY OF DAMASCUS

DAMASCUS AREA LAND USE & TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

TOTAL					
--------------	--	--	--	--	--

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	City of Damascus	\$	136,000	Federal Earmark	\$	1,000,00
	Consultant	\$	681,000	Damascus Local Match	\$	154,454
	ODOT	\$	123,000	ODOT (TGM)	\$	250,000
	Metro	\$	92,435			
	Clackamas Co. (contingency)	\$	372,019	TOTAL	\$	1,404,454
	TOTAL	\$	1,404,454			
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE					
	TOTAL					



SULLIVAN'S GULCH TRAIL CONCEPT PLAN**Description:**

The City of Portland was awarded federal funds through JPACT and Metro Council for the purpose of conducting concept planning work related to a potential "Sullivan's Gulch Trail". The vision for the trail is a continuous trail linking the Eastbank Esplanade to NE 122nd; however, this phase of the concept plan will be for the segment from the Eastbank Esplanade to the Interstate 205 Corridor Trail in order to comprehensively evaluate alignment, design and cost.

Between the Eastbank Esplanade and the Interstate 205 Corridor Trail, the "Sullivan's Gulch Trail" would be located north of the existing Union Pacific (UP) Railroad freight rail line. Most of the area on the north side of the UP rail line has a moderate to severe slope as you rise out of the gulch to UP's north property line.

This trail concept plan is designed to determine the potential trail alignment if it were located along the northern property limit of the Union Pacific (UP) Railroad's Graham Line, its basic design cross section, and to estimate planning-level costs. Because this is a physically challenging alignment, it is necessary to develop a terrain model at sufficient level to site an alignment and determine how the trail would be designed. The terrain model is needed to determine where to place the trail to minimize the height of required walls and therefore the cost of trail construction.

Consistent with best practices design of rails with trails, the trail would be sited to minimize any impacts on the active rail line and allow for the safety of trail users. The trail concept plan will be closely coordinated with the Union Pacific Railroad and adjacent property owners.

The concept plan will identify connections to the bicycle/pedestrian networks and on-street trail alignment alternatives as needed to address constraints along the railroad alignment.

Objectives:

The purpose of the project is to conduct a technical evaluation of the feasibility to locate a shared-use bicycle/pedestrian trail along the northern property limit of the Union Pacific (UP) Railroad's Graham Line, and produce a conceptual plan for the facility.

- Prepare concept plan to determine a more precise route for the envisioned trail connecting the Eastbank Esplanade on the Willamette River to the I-205 Corridor Trail/ Gateway Regional Center.
- Determine a trail alignment and design that is compatible with and complementary to existing uses in the corridor (e.g., freight rail, MAX LRT, maintenance and access roads, private properties and businesses).
- Engage community groups, impacted private property owners and the general public in developing the concept plan to maximize benefits and minimize negative impacts.
- More precisely determine the cost of design, right-of-way/easements and construction of the trail facility.

Previous Work:

The Sullivan's Gulch Trail is shown as a "proposed trail" in Metro's "Regional Trails System". A rough cost estimate for the trail was prepared in November 2008 as part of "Connecting Green" for Metro's Blue Ribbon Committee on Trails. The trail is designated an off-street bicycle path in the City of Portland Transportation System Plan and a "major city bikeway" in the Portland Bicycle Plan for 2030.. No conceptual design work or significant coordination with private property owners including the Union Pacific Railroad has occurred.

Methodology:

The major tasks associated with the Sullivan's Gulch Trail Concept Plan are:

- Document existing conditions and compile data for the project
- Develop a project base map
- Develop a 3-dimensional digital terrain model
- Produce a memo addressing perceived trail nuisances
- Determine general costs and acquisition methods
- Develop trail alignments and a plan-view design
- Prepare drawings of typical cross-section concepts
- Develop a range of roadway crossing scenarios
- Document impacts to private property by the recommended trail alignment
- Prepare planning-level ROW acquisition, project development and construction cost estimates
- Project advisory committee
- Public information meetings
- Document UP Railroad issues and concerns
- Conduct stakeholder interviews with up to twenty private property owners or tenants where a significant impact to the use of their property
- Generate draft and final concept plan

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

Sullivan's Gulch Trail Concept Plan

Entity Responsible for Activity:

Portland Bureau of Transportation – Lead Agency (Responsible Party)
Portland Bureau of Parks and Recreation – Secondary Bureau
Portland Bureau of Planning – Cooperate/Collaborate
Northeast Portland neighborhoods – Cooperate/Collaborate
Metro – Cooperate/Collaborate
Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) – Cooperate/Collaborate
TriMet – Cooperate/Collaborate
Union Pacific Railroad – Cooperate/Collaborate

Schedule for Completing Activities:

The Concept Plan will be completed by December 31, 2011.

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Portland Parks & Recreation	\$	TBD	Regional STP	\$	224,000
		\$		Local match	\$	25,640
	TOTAL	\$	249,640	TOTAL	\$	249,640
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE					
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Portland Bureau of Transportation	\$	66,640	Regional STP	\$	224,000
	Portland Bureau of Parks and Recreation	\$	33,000	Local match	\$	25,640
	Consultant	\$	150,000			
	TOTAL	\$	249,640	TOTAL	\$	249,640
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
Regular Full-Time FTE						
TOTAL						

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Portland Bureau of Transportation	\$	66,640	STP	\$	224,000
	Portland Bureau of Parks and Recreation	\$	33,000	Local Match	\$	25,640
	Consultant	\$	150,000			
	TOTAL	\$	249,640	TOTAL	\$	249,640
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
Regular Full-Time FTE		x.xxx				
TOTAL		x.xxx				

SOUTH METRO AREA REGIONAL TRANSIT (SMART)**Description:**

SMART provides fixed-route service within the City of Wilsonville and operates connecting service to Portland, Canby and Salem. SMART also provides transportation to medical appointments in the Portland area for Wilsonville seniors and people with disabilities. All service within the City of Wilsonville is free of charge. SMART's Transportation Demand Management (TDM) program, SMART Options, continues to promote transportation alternatives to driving alone and assists local employers in establishing transportation worksite programs to comply with Department of Environmental Quality Employee Commute Options (DEQ – ECO) rules.

SMART coordinates services and connections with TriMet buses and WES commuter rail, Canby Area Transit (CAT) and Cherriots in Salem. The SMART Options program takes part in coordinated regional travel planning processes through Metro's Regional Travel Options (RTO) subcommittee and collaborates with other area transit agencies and jurisdictions in planning outreach and employer programs. SMART also participates in coordinated regional planning processes with other transit agencies and jurisdictions for elderly and disabled transportation.

SMART is operated by the City of Wilsonville and is supported by a Wilsonville payroll tax and by grant funding from Federal Transit Administration (FTA) earmarked funds, Job Access & Reverse Commute (JARC), Section 5307, Elderly and Disabled, and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ). With the exception of the SMART Options program, SMART does not receive grant funding for planning; all of the grants, including JARC funds are used for capital and operations.

The City of Wilsonville's SMART Options program focuses on business and community transportation centered education through outreach, promotions, and ridesharing activities.

Objectives:

- Reduce drive alone trips and increase awareness of transportation options available in Wilsonville and the region.
- Build transit ridership on SMART and connecting transit providers (TriMet, CAT, Cherriots).
- Strengthen and increase communication between SMART, the City of Wilsonville, and local and regional stakeholders.
- Increase knowledge of and support for the following:
 - The City of Wilsonville's long range plans, focusing on the overlapping projects outlined in the Transit Master Plan, Bicycle & Pedestrian Master Plan and Parks & Recreation Master Plan
 - Transit service, passenger safety and connectivity improvements
 - Future funding strategies
 - Grants
 - Business Energy Tax Credit Program- BETC

Previous Work:

The SMART Options program began in 2001 and has grown from a large-business – commuter-focused program, to include all business and community members with a focus on reducing all trips in and around Wilsonville. Main activities for the SMART Options program include working with the business and residential community to educate and encourage alternatives to driving alone.

Key accomplishments in FY2010-11 included expansion of the SMART Options program to include a Bicycle and Pedestrian Coordinator position to implement priorities set forth in the City's master plans. A community based Bicycle and Pedestrian Task Force was created as a way to try and improve communication between citizens and the City for bicycle and pedestrian related issues and concerns.

A new online trip planner was added to the SMART website which allows for individualized trip planning.

This new feature accesses Google Transit data allowing for multi-agency trip planning between SMART, TriMet and Cherriots in Salem. Marketing and outreach to commuters and residents for local services and regional connections continues to be the main focus of SMART Options Program activities.

Methodology:

SMART will continue to work closely with and report to Metro's Regional Travel Options subcommittee and working groups to coordinate travel options outreach and activities throughout Wilsonville and the region.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

- Assess future transit system demands due to Oregon Institute of Technology moving their main Portland area campus to Wilsonville. (Spring- Summer 2011)
- Continued support and implementation of the Drive Less/Save More collaborative marketing campaign (ONGOING)
- Implementation of Travel Options projects and programs in conjunction with strategies identified in the City of Wilsonville's Master Plans and the RTO Strategic plan. (ONGOING)
- Support multi-use regional trail efforts such as the Tonquin Trail and Graham Oaks Nature Park. (ONGOING)
- Continue the *Walk Smart* program.(ONGOING)
- Distribute *Wilsonville Walks* maps via local shops and community events (ONGOING)
- Distribute *Wilsonville Bikes* maps via local shops and community events (ONGOING)
- Disseminate pedestrian and bicycle safety messages (ONGOING)
- Promote ridesharing as a viable transportation option (ONGOING)
- Continue *SMART ART on the Bus* program with Wilsonville students.(ONGOING)
- Collaborate with ODOT and local and regional partners for the I-5 exit 283 interchange project to disseminate construction and congestion mitigation messages (2011-12)
- Coordinate and host bicycle, walking and transit related events. (SPRING –FALL 2011)
- Continue staffing outreach booth at local business fairs and community events. (ONGOING)
- Continue working directly with employers to find the best travel options for their employees. Assist with DEQ Employer Commute Options surveys and trip reduction plans. (ONGOING)
- Assess future system demands due to new residential and business development. (ONGOING)
- Collaborate with regional partners to promote WES as a viable transportation option. (ONGOING)
- Collaborate with local schools to assist with walking and biking to school programs and promotions. (Spring – Fall 2011)
- Launch "*Discover Wilsonville*", the RTO funded residential Individualized Marketing Project. (Spring 2011)
- Conduct second annual bicycle and pedestrian counts at key Wilsonville intersections to coincide with regional and national efforts. (Fall 2011)
- Conduct "Post-project survey" and final report for the *Discover Wilsonville* project (Fall - Winter 2011)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

The City of Wilsonville's South Metro Area Regional Transit – Product Owner / Lead Agencies
RTO Partners and Stakeholders – Cooperate / Collaborate

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Objectives* and *Tangible Products* sections of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

The SMART Options core outreach and education program is funded by Metro's Regional Travel Options Subcommittee of TPAC. Beginning in FY 2001, the SMART Options program has received approximately \$65,000 per year for staff, materials and services and has supplemented program expansion and special projects by obtaining other transportation program grants from Metro, the State of Oregon and local City of Wilsonville support. Local match is provided by the City of Wilsonville's employer transit payroll tax which is currently set at 0.5% per \$1,000.

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	37,375	CMAQ	\$	60,577
	Interfund Transfers	\$		Local	\$	6,220
	Materials & Services	\$	29,422			
	TOTAL	\$	66,797	TOTAL	\$	66,797
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		.65			
	TOTAL		.65			
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	51,453	CMAQ	\$	64,184
	Interfund Transfers	\$		Local	\$	6,592
	Materials & Services	\$	19,222			
	TOTAL	\$	70,775	TOTAL	\$	70,775
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		1.1			
	TOTAL		1.1			

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	53,000	CMAQ	\$	66,110
	Interfund Transfers	\$		Local	\$	6,790
	Materials & Services	\$	19,900			
	TOTAL	\$	72,900	TOTAL	\$	72,900
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		1.00			
	TOTAL		1.00			

SE 172ND AVENUE: FOSTER RD – SUNNYSIDE RD**Description:**

Project planning and development to locate and design an urban arterial along 172nd Avenue and create a new connection to 190th Avenue in Happy Valley and Gresham.

Objectives:

Develop a concept plan for the design of SE 172nd Avenue between 190th Avenue and Sunnyside Road that provides direction for frontage improvements by adjacent development, prepares the project for preliminary engineering (PE) and defines an alignment for the road segment north of Foster Road in the Pleasant Valley town center area connecting to 190th Avenue.

- Determine the design and location of the 172nd Avenue and 190th Avenue improvements that meet safety and congestion performance standards. Select the best alternative to meet the project's needs.
- Determine the natural and cultural environmental impacts and potential ways to mitigate those impacts.
- Accommodate alternative travel modes with the project.
- Determine the next steps for development of this corridor.

Previous Work:

This work builds upon the Pleasant Valley concept plan which was completed in 2006. SE 172nd Avenue and its connection to 190th Avenue were identified in the plan as the primary north to south arterial through the Pleasant Valley area. This facility would connect Pleasant Valley north to Gresham and south to Damascus and Clackamas County.

Methodology:

This planning work will be managed by Clackamas County collaborating with Metro, Happy Valley, Damascus, and Gresham. It will include a public involvement process to engage stakeholders in the design of the facility and will propose an amendment to the local and regional transportation system plans.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2010-11:

- Public involvement and agency coordination program. (May 2010)
- Project purpose and need, goals, objectives and criteria for evaluating alternatives. (AUGUST 2010)
- Final reports on project existing conditions, environmental issues, build alternatives, transportation analysis, and preliminary design. (SPRING 2011)
- Amendments to local and regional Transportation System Plans. (FALL 2011)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

Clackamas County – Product Owner/Lead Agency
 Metro – Cooperate/Collaborate
 Oregon Department of Transportation – Cooperate/Collaborate
 City of Happy Valley – Cooperate/Collaborate
 City of Damascus – Cooperate/Collaborate

Other Stakeholders:

TriMet
 City of Gresham
 Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
 Environmental regulatory agencies (US Fish & Wildlife, etc.)
 Citizens and affected land owners along alignment

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Please refer to schedule information provided in the *Objectives* and *Tangible Products* sections of this planning activity description.

Funding History:

- Notice of Intent to Award the ODOT RFP MINI-SOLICITATION #23741 was given on June 4, 2009
- The County scope of work is described in Intergovernmental Agreement Number 25784 between Clackamas County, Metro and ODOT dated April 9, 2010.
- Notice to Proceed with the work in the IGA was granted on April 19, 2010.
- The Consultants scope of work is described in Work Order Contract (WOC) Number 27456 October 23, 2009.
- Notice to Proceed with the work in the WOC was granted on April 19, 2010.

Projected Total Project Costs Per IGA

Project Requirements:			Project Resources:		
Personal Services	\$	2,003,283	STP	\$	1,797,545
Clackamas Cnty \$498,399	\$		Local Match (Clackamas County)	\$	205,738
Consultant \$1,484,824					
Metro \$20,060					
Materials & Services					
Printing/Supplies					
Contingency					
Miscellaneous					
TOTAL	\$	2,003,283	TOTAL	\$	2,003,283

Note: Personal Services includes Materials and Services

Consultant Total Billings through 30 Nov 2010 (as of 1 Jan 2011) \$491,434

Includes Contingency Task 4.3.2 -- \$15,500

Consultant Remaining \$993,394

County Billings through 30 October 2010 (as of 1 Jan 2011) \$87,000

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services			PL	\$	
	Consultants	\$	29,000		\$	
	County / Metro	\$			\$	
	Interfund Transfers	\$		STP	\$	29,000
Materials & Services	\$		County		205,738	

	TOTAL	\$	29,000		TOTAL	\$	234,738
	<u>Full-Time Equivalent Staffing</u>						
	<i>Regular Full-Time FTE</i>						
	TOTAL						
2010-11	Requirements:				Resources:		
	<i>Personal Services</i>	\$			<i>STP</i>	\$	1,250,000
	<i>Consultants</i>	\$	1,000,000				
	<i>County / Metro</i>	\$	\$250,000				
	<i>Interfund Transfers</i>	\$			<i>Metro</i>	\$	
	<i>Materials & Services</i>	\$					
	TOTAL	\$	1,250,000		TOTAL	\$	1,250,000
	<u>Full-Time Equivalent Staffing</u>						
<i>Regular Full-Time FTE</i>							
	TOTAL						

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:				Resources:		
	<i>Personal Services</i>				<i>STP</i>	\$	650,000
	<i>Consultants</i>	\$	450,000				
	<i>County / Metro</i>	\$	200,000				
	TOTAL				TOTAL	\$	650,000
	<u>Full-Time Equivalent Staffing</u>	\$	650,000				
	<i>Regular Full-Time FTE</i>						
	TOTAL						

TONQUIN TRAIL MASTER PLAN**Description:**

This project will plan a multi-use regional trail between the Willamette and Tualatin Rivers and the cities of Wilsonville, Tualatin, Sherwood, Durham and Tigard.

Objectives:

The objectives for the Tonquin Trail Master Plan include:

- Recommend specific alignments and design elements for a multi-use trail between the Willamette River in the vicinity of Graham Oaks Natural Area and the Tualatin River in the vicinity of the Tualatin river National Wildlife Refuge;
- Identify connections to the cities of Wilsonville, Tualatin, Sherwood and the neighboring cities of Tigard and Durham through a combination of off-street trail and on –street alignments;
- Involve agency partners, neighbors, landowners, businesses, trail user groups and general public in the master planning process;
- Provide cost estimates to design, build and maintain the trail;
- Provide a phased implementation plan, and;
- Conduct the master planning work between the Summer of 2009 and Winter of 2011

Previous Work:

ODOT, Metro, the City of Sherwood and the City of Wilsonville entered into an Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) in November 2007 pertaining to the preparation of the Tonquin Trail Master Plan.

In December 2008, ODOT and Metro issued a Request for Proposals Mini-Solicitation to ODOT's on call list of consultants qualified to respond to such solicitations. A consultant was selected in January, contract negotiations were initiated and a final contract was signed in July 2009.

Project work that occurred between July 2010 and December 2010 includes:

- Project Steering committee meetings (July, October)
- Tonquin trail booth at 4 community events to seek input on trail route (Aug.-Sept.)
- On-line questionnaire to seek public input on preferred trail route (Aug.-Sept.)
- Amend scope to conduct additional segment analysis (July-November)
- Site visits with steering committee members to view new study segments (Nov., Dec.)
- Workshops with steering committee members to narrow segment options toward preferred alignment (Sept., Dec.)
- New section of Tonquin Trail opened through Graham Oaks Nature Park (Sept.)
- Initiate IGA amendment to add \$20,000 to project budget from partner contributions
- Provide list of mutually agreeable conditions for accommodating trail on proposed quarry property as part of conditional use application being reviewed by Clackamas county.

Project work that will occur between January 2011 – June 2011

- Project Steering Committee meetings (Feb., June)
- Project Steering committee recommended preferred alignment (Feb.-Mar.)
- Elected boards provide opinion on PSC recommendation (Feb.-Mar.)
- Conduct media campaign to announce preferred alignment.
- Trail Design (Mar.-Apr.)
- Develop cost estimates (Apr.)
- Identify phased implementation plan (Apr.-May)
- Final round of open houses (July)
- Amend IGA to add \$20,000 to budget and invoice project partners

Methodology:

This project is identified in the Transportation System Plan of the cities of Wilsonville, Tualatin and Sherwood and Metro's Regional Transportation Plan. This trail is one of 8 regional trails identified in the 2006 Open Spaces Bond Measure for Natural Area and Trail acquisition. The Metro Council Blue Ribbon Committee for Trails identified this trail package as one of 20 regional trails to receive expedited funding for implementation.

The consultant contract includes a detailed scope of work, schedule and budget that guides the master planning work. Metro has traditionally partnered with local jurisdictions to prepare master plans for trails that cross multiple jurisdictions. Throughout the master planning process Metro will work closely with multiple stakeholders including the jurisdictions that will ultimately manage and maintain the regional trail. The project steering committee will review all project deliverables and keep their respective jurisdictions and constituents informed about project milestones along the way.

The Tonquin Trail Master Planning work will include extensive public outreach, including public open houses, project booths at community events, tours and a project website with online questionnaires to ensure that the project receives broad support and buy-in. The following tasks are included in the consultant's scope of work:

- Project Management
- Public Involvement and Outreach
- Update Existing Conditions/ Conduct Fatal Flaw Analysis
- Field Verification
- Develop Evaluation Criteria and measures
- Trail Segment Analysis
- Identify Land Use Approvals and Regulatory Requirements
- Recommended Preferred Alignments
- Prepare Cost Estimates and Funding strategy
- Prepare Phased Implementation Plan
- Identify Funding Strategy
- Master Plan Review and Adoption by Elected Boards/Councils

Schedule for Completing Activities:

The master planning work will take approximately two years, beginning in July 2009 and ending in July 2011. A schedule is part of the contract.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

- Project Steering committee meeting (1st quarter)
- Prepare draft and final Master plan document (1st quarter)
- Present master plan to decision-making bodies for approvals (1st quarter)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

Metro – Lead Agency

Oregon Department of Transportation – Grant Administrator / IGA Partner / Cooperate / Collaborate

City of Sherwood – Funding Support/ Cooperate / Collaborate

City of Wilsonville – Funding Support /Cooperate / Collaborate

City of Tualatin – Funding Support /Cooperate / Collaborate

Washington County – Cooperate / Collaborate

Clackamas County – Cooperate / Collaborate

Funding History:

Primary funding provided by MTIP award. Negotiated contract budget is \$249,084, resulting in a \$39,567 budget shortfall. Have received verbal commitment for an additional \$20,000 from project partners (cities of Tualatin, Wilsonville and Sherwood) and IGA being amended to reflect additional donations. This will bring project shortfall to approximately \$19,000. Will balance budget by reducing level of effort in some tasks and asking partners to take on more of the task work.

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services (Metro)	\$		PL (partner contributions)	\$	20,000
	Interfund Transfers	\$	0	STP	\$	188,000
	Materials & Services	\$	249,084	Metro		1,517
	TOTAL	\$	249,084	TOTAL	\$	209,517*
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		.66			
TOTAL		.66				
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services (Metro)	\$	0	STP	\$	188,000
	Interfund Transfers	\$	0	Metro Match	\$	1,517
	Materials & Services	\$	251,414	City of Sherwood Match	\$	10,000
				City of Wilsonville Match	\$	10,000
				City of Tualatin Match (tentative)	\$	10,000*
				Additional match needed		31,897*
TOTAL	\$	251,414	TOTAL	\$	251,414	
Full-Time Equivalent Staffing						
Regular Full-Time FTE		.66				
TOTAL		.66				

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$		STP	\$	188,000
	Interfund Transfers	\$		Local Match	\$	31,517
	Materials & Services	\$				
	TOTAL	\$	219,517	TOTAL	\$	219,517
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		.66			
TOTAL		.66				

PORTLAND TO LAKE OSWEGO TRAIL MASTER PLAN**Description:**

The purpose of the Portland to Lake Oswego Trail Plan is to determine the feasibility of the trail and select a multi-use trail alignment(s) connecting Fielding Rd. in Lake Oswego / Clackamas Co. to Powers Marine Park in Portland. In many sections, the trail will be parallel to the proposed streetcar alignment and in some sections it will veer away from the streetcar ROW. A main task in the plan will be to determine how the trail gets through or around Elk Rock. The feasibility of a second tunnel exclusively for the trail will also be studied.

The project has the support of the key local partners, including the city of Lake Oswego and Clackamas Co. These two local partners will also be providing the local cash match of \$10,000, plus in-kind staff assistance.

The work will primarily be technical in nature. Additional public involvement activities will come later, if the trail is deemed feasible. The trail project will continue to be coordinated with the Lake Oswego to Portland Streetcar Project. The Willamette Shoreline Consortium has been briefed about the project and is supportive.

Objectives:

- Identify, analyze and recommend the most appropriate trail alignment through or around Elk Rock.
- Identify, analyze and recommend the most appropriate trail alignment between Powers Marine Park and Riverwood Road.
- Identify a public agency or consortium of public agencies to own and maintain the trail improvements.
- Develop a recommended financial strategy, and potential timing of P.E. and construction of the trail. Identify a public agency (or agencies) to take the lead on these tasks.
- Define constructability issues with preferred alignments.
- Produce design documents identifying the trail alignment, in sufficient detail to satisfy the needs of jurisdictional partners.
- Complete final technical memo by the end of 2011 or early 2012

Previous Work:

The Regional Trails master plan and the RTP have incorporated this trail segment into their plans. This project is identified in the Transportation System Plan of the Cities Lake Oswego and Portland and the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). From 2005-2007 an Alternatives Analysis study of transit options in the corridor included an examination of trail alignments. In 2007, the Lake Oswego to Portland Transit Steering Committee adopted a Locally Preferred Alternative that directed the project to provide further refinement on the trail concept for the corridor. In 2009, Metro convened a trail refinement process with local partners. The culmination of this work was a report that provides general strategy to develop a trail from Lake Oswego to Portland's South Waterfront District.

Methodology:

This will be refined when the project scope is finalized. The Master Plan may include the following.

- Planning background report summarizing planning activities, project need statement and project solution statement.
- Base map, profiles, typical sections and narrative describing field location data.
- Reconnaissance level report of flow and drainage conditions, regulatory requirements to be addressed, and preliminary drainage and water quality options.
- Report describing anticipated structure and foundation needs.
- Description of future maintenance needs and the responsible agencies.
- Cost estimates for future project phases (final design/engineering, right-of-way (ROW), construction).

- Map of properties in the project area; ROW report including title information.
- Summary of coordination with regulatory agencies (Oregon Division of State Lands, National Marine Fisheries, etc.) and identification of permit processes needed to complete project.
- Summary of coordination with railroad operator and issues to be addressed in final design and engineering.
- Environmental Baseline Report to address federal environmental requirements.
- Cost estimates for final design, preliminary engineering, and construction
- Initial draft of ODOT Prospectus Part 3 narrative and checklist.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2010-11:

To be determined upon completion of the scope, schedule and budget. Potential deliverables include:

- 1) a final report documenting existing conditions, the preferred alignment, a concept design for trail alignment design and location, public agency or consortium of agencies to lead the P.E., construction and ownership/maintenance of the trail.
- 2) Cost estimates for design and construction, as an appendix to the final report

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

- Metro – Lead Agency
- Clackamas County – Cooperate / Collaborate
- City of Lake Oswego – Cooperate / Collaborate
- City of Portland – Cooperate/Collaborate

Schedule for Completing Activities:

To be determine upon completion of the scope, schedule and budget. It is anticipated that the project will be completed approximately 12 months from the time ODOT issues the "proceed with work order," Which is estimated to be issued in Spring 2011.

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Materials & Services	\$	110,450	Regional STP	\$	100,000
				Metro match	\$	10,450
	TOTAL	\$	110,450	Total	\$	110,450
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE					
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Professional Services and	\$	110,450	STP	\$	100,000
	Materials & Services			Local Match	\$	10,450
	TOTAL	\$	110,450	Total	\$	110,450
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE					
	TOTAL					

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$		STP	\$	100,000
	Interfund Transfers	\$		Local Match	\$	10,450
	Materials & Services	\$				
	TOTAL	\$	110,450	TOTAL	\$	110,450
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE					
	TOTAL					

MT. SCOTT-SCOUTER MT. LOOP TRAIL MASTER PLAN**Description:**

Inventory, assess, and analyze potential trail corridors connecting the Springwater Corridor to the Clackamas River Greenway through Mt. Scott and Scouter Mt. Also look at trail design standards and compatibility with natural areas and wildlife habitat. This project is identified in Metro's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Metro's Greenspaces Master Plan. The city of Happy Valley and North Clackamas Parks and Recreation District (NCPRD) will be coordinating the trail study with their local plans and the city's Transportation System Plan (TSP). The project will be carried out and managed by Metro's Parks and Greenspaces Department.

Objectives:

The proposed 13-mile trail would serve as a loop trail linking major regional trails and greenspaces, as well as a regional center and key employment center, Kaiser Hospital and Medical Center, City of Damascus and the future urbanized areas of Pleasant Valley. The City of Happy Valley is also developing in a rapid manner, and the designation of a trail alignment will allow for its planning and implementation, including the allocation of local system development charge fees. Happy Valley wants to connect to the future developments adjacent to it and to other regional parks and trails outside of its city limits.

Key planning studies in the immediate area of the trail are the Pleasant Valley Concept Plan, Damascus Comprehensive Plan and Transportation System Plan, and Sunrise Corridor Transportation study. The trail alignment study and master plan will provide the unique opportunity for the trail to be planned before development occurs.

A master plan with recommended trail alignments and preliminary design detail will be produced including: planning maps, aerial photos, cultural and biological inventories from secondary sources, trail profiles and typical sections, public outreach plan, ROW and/or easements needed, and estimated costs to build and maintain the trail.

Trail Connections:

- Mt. Talbert
- Mt. Scott Creek
- Springwater Corridor Trail
- East Buttes Area
- East Buttes Powerline Corridor Trail (proposed)
- Clackamas River Greenway
- Clackamas Regional Center
- Pleasant Valley
- Damascus
- Sunrise Corridor

Previous Work:

Metro's Regional Trails Plan and System Map and the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) have incorporated the trail into their plans.

Methodology:

This will be refined as the project scope is developed. The Master Plan may include the following:

- Inventory, assessment and analysis of potential trail alternative routes
- Planning background report summarizing planning activities
- Economic, social and land use analysis of land within one-mile of potential trail alignments
- Base maps, profiles and typical trail sections

- Recommended design standards
- Analysis of the compatibility of the trail with natural areas and wildlife habitat
- Cost estimates for trail design and P.E.
- Cost estimates for future trail maintenance and which agencies would be responsible.
- Research on permits needed to build the trail
- Environmental scan and report for the area within one mile of potential trail alignments
- Public outreach strategy
- Stakeholders interviews
- Carrying out public workshops and meetings
- Contact with adjacent property owners and neighbors
- Coordination with local agencies

Schedule for Completing Activities:

The trail master plan has not begun. The start date will most likely be April 2011. The project should last about 18 months.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2010-11:

The Master Planning process will not start until April 30, 2011.

Entities Responsible for Activity:

- Metro – Product Owner / Lead Agency
- City of Happy Valley - Cooperate / Collaborate
- North Clackamas Parks & Recreation District (NCPRD) - Cooperate / Collaborate
- Clackamas County - Cooperate / Collaborate
- City of Portland - Cooperate / Collaborate
- Multnomah County - Cooperate / Collaborate

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Materials & Services	\$	112,000	Regional STP	\$	100,000
				Local Match (Metro, Happy Valley, NCPRD)	\$	12,000
	TOTAL	\$	112,000	TOTAL	\$	112,000
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE					
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Professional Services and Materials & Services	\$	112,000	STP	\$	100,000
				Local Match (Metro, Happy Valley, NCPRD)	\$	12,000
	TOTAL	\$	112,000	TOTAL	\$	112,000
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE					
	TOTAL					

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$		STP	\$	100,000
	Interfund Transfers	\$		Local Match	\$	12,000
	Materials & Services	\$				
	TOTAL	\$	112,000	TOTAL	\$	112,000
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE					
	TOTAL					

WESTSIDE TRAIL MASTER PLAN: TUALATIN RIVER TO WILLAMETTE RIVER**Description:**

The Westside Trail is poised to become one of the most iconic active transportation corridors in our region. From its southern terminus at the Tualatin River, the trail travels 23 miles through the most densely populated and fastest growing parts of Washington County on its way to Forest Park and the Willamette River. Metro staff will manage the Regional Flexible Funds grant awarded by the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) and Metro Council to complete a master plan that will guide the development of a world class urban trail.

The master plan will recommend a final trail alignment, wildlife corridor enhancement strategies, right-of-way acquisition strategy, trail design framework, a strategy for phasing trail construction, and strategies for financing the preliminary engineering, construction, and ongoing operations and maintenance of the trail. Each step of the planning process will incorporate public involvement.

The first 13 ½ miles of the trail will follow a 225-foot wide utility corridor that is owned by Bonneville Power Administration (BPA), Portland General Electric (PGE), and dozens of individual landowners. A major gas pipeline owned by Kinder Morgan also follows the corridor. The remaining 9 ½ miles of the trail may diverge from the power line corridor in order to pass over the Tualatin Mountains at a reasonable grade. To date, eight-and-a-half miles of the trail have been built and nearly two-and-a-half more miles are under construction.

The corridor presents a unique opportunity to develop a critical piece of The Intertwine, the regional system of parks, trails, and natural areas that will serve non-motorized users as they travel between their homes, jobs, schools, parks, and local services. The trail will also provide enhancements to serve wildlife, since many species will use the trail for its connections to important natural areas and wildlife corridors, including the Tualatin River National Wildlife Refuge, Tualatin Hills Nature Park, Beaverton Creek, Bronson Creek Greenway, Rock Creek Greenway, Forest Park, and the Willamette River Greenway.

Eight other existing and proposed regional trails will intersect the Westside Trail, including the Tonquin Trail, the Tualatin River Greenway Trail, the Cooper Mountain Trail, the Bronson Creek Greenway Trail, the Rock Creek Greenway Trail, the Wildwood Trail, Leif Erikson Drive, and the Willamette Greenway Trail. The trail corridor is within one mile of 121,000 residents, 31 schools and 199 parks, and multiple businesses, shopping centers, and corporate headquarters such as Nike and Columbia Sportswear. Two light rail stations and a proposed long-term bike parking garage are within a half-mile of the trail corridor.

Objectives:

The project purpose is to answer each of the following six fundamental questions about the trail. Each question will be resolved through the collaboration of Metro, the Consultant, and the involvement of agency partners, neighbors, landowners, businesses, trail user groups, and the general public.

Where will the trail go? The project will determine the most appropriate alignment for the trail.

Who and what will use the trail? The project will determine which modes of human travel and recreation will use the trail, as well as which wildlife species. The project will plan a trail that best suits the needs of these human and animal users.

What will the trail look like? The project will propose standard trail cross sections and design elements for each trail segment to best respond to the needs of prospective trail users. The project will identify the preferred width, grade, and materials for each segment.

Who will build, own, and maintain the trail? The project will produce a trail master plan document that will be adopted as part of each jurisdiction's comprehensive plan, allowing it to be listed in each local capital improvement program, eventually leading to its construction. Some trail segments are not served by park providers. The project will identify the appropriate entities to build, own, and maintain those trail segments.

How much will the trail cost? The project will develop cost estimates that include inflation and contingencies, and a recommended funding strategy for each trail segment.

What sections are important to prioritize? Based on historic precedence, the trail will likely not get built in all at once, but instead will need to proceed in phases as funding is identified. The project will identify a phasing strategy.

Previous Work:

Metro's Regional Trails Plan and System Map and the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) have incorporated the trail into their plans.

Methodology:

- Inventory, assess and analyze potential trail routes within the 225 feet wide power line corridor.
- Planning background report summarizing planning activities.
- Economic, social and land use analysis of land within one-mile of the trail corridor.
- Assess demand for the trail.
- Base maps, profiles and typical trail sections.
- GIS data inventories.
- Assess the number of land use and construction permits needed.
- Assess compatibility with natural areas and wildlife habitat.
- Conduct an environmental scan and report of the adjacent area.
- Cost estimates for P.E. and trail construction.
- Cost estimates for trail maintenance and determine which agencies will be responsible.
- Develop public outreach strategy.
- Conduct stakeholder interviews.
- Carrying out public workshops and meetings.
- Contact adjacent property owners, residents and businesses.
- Coordinate planning with local agencies and trail advocate groups.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-2012.

- **“Existing Conditions Report”** that includes a summary of existing plans, a preliminary environmental report, a fatal flaws analysis, a summary of necessary steps toward implementation, and a trail usage summary and demand projection.
- **“Alternatives Analysis”** that includes concept-level cost estimates, a mid-block crossing inventory, and a preferred alignment recommendation.
- **“Design Framework”** that includes a trail design typology, mid-block crossing recommended treatments, a trail signage framework, and a concept restoration plan.
- **Public involvement**, including five public open houses, a project website, a public survey and summary of results, stakeholder interviews and summaries.

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

Metro – Project Lead
 THPRD – Cooperate/Collaborate
 Washington Co. – Cooperate/Collaborate
 Multnomah Co. – Cooperate/Collaborate
 King City – Cooperate/Collaborate
 Tigard – Cooperate/Collaborate
 Beaverton – Cooperate/Collaborate
 Portland – Cooperate/Collaborate
 Forest Park Conservancy – Cooperate/Collaborate
 BPA (Bonneville Power Administration) – Cooperate/Collaborate
 PGE – Cooperate/Collaborate

Schedule for Completing Activities:

The master plan will begin in February 2011, at which point the RFP for consultant services will be issued. The planning process should be completed by June 2012.

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services		50,000	Regional Flexible Funds	\$	300,000
	Materials & Services	\$	300,000	Local Match	\$	50,000
	TOTAL	\$	350,000.	TOTAL	\$	350,000
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.330			
	TOTAL		0.330			
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	300,000	STP	\$	300,000
	Materials & Services	\$	15,000	Local Match	\$	35,000
	Metro Staff	\$	\$20,000			
	TOTAL	\$	335,000	TOTAL	\$	335,000
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		.25			
TOTAL						

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$		Federal Earmark	\$	300,000
	Interfund Transfers	\$		Local Match	\$	35,000
	Materials & Services	\$				
	TOTAL	\$	335,000	TOTAL	\$	335,000
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE					
	TOTAL					

Task	Metro staff	Washington County staff	Consultant	Total
1. Project management	\$17,000	\$0	\$17,000	\$34,000
2. Public involvement	\$75,000	\$2,500	\$65,500	\$143,000
3. Existing conditions	\$15,000	\$0	\$41,000	\$56,000
4. Trail alignment and design	\$34,000	\$0	\$44,000	\$78,000
5. Implementation strategy	\$20,000	\$2,500	\$21,500	\$44,000
6. Final document preparation	\$5,000	\$0	\$12,000	\$17,000
7. Contingency	\$34,000	\$0	\$34,000	\$68,000

Funding Source

Metro in kind	\$100,000	-	-	\$100,000
Washington County local match (in kind)	-	\$5,000	-	\$5,000
Tigard local match	\$0	-	\$5,000	\$5,000
THPRD local match	\$0	-	\$30,000	\$30,000
Federal grant	\$100,000	-	\$200,000	\$300,000
TOTAL	\$200,000	\$5,000	\$235,000	\$440,000

LAKE OSWEGO TRANSIT CORRIDOR FEIS/PE**Description:**

The Lake Oswego to Portland Transit Project Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) /Preliminary Engineering (PE) is a Federal Transit Administration- (FTA) sponsored major transit capital investment planning and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process. The Lake Oswego to Portland Corridor project completed a FTA Alternatives Analysis in December 2007. The Metro Council authorized the advancement of the project into a DEIS pursuant to the requirements of the NEPA process. The DEIS scoping process began in October 2007 with a meeting of Federal, state and local agency staff. The refinement and scoping for the DEIS was completed in spring of 2009. The DEIS commenced in July 2009 and was published in December 2010. A Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA) is expected to be chosen in 2011.

No-Build, Streetcar, and Enhanced Bus alternatives are included in the DEIS. The corridor connects the South Waterfront area of the Central City to the Lake Oswego town center via Highway 43/Macadam Avenue and/or the Willamette Shoreline rail right-of-way. A bicycle and pedestrian trail was also considered within the envelope of the Willamette Shoreline right-of-way and on local streets and is now a separate but coordinated project.

Objectives:

- Continue a public outreach plan that meets all NEPA requirements and the public involvement standards of TriMet and Metro.
- Coordinate with local, state and Federal agencies.
- Select Locally Preferred Alternative
- Complete and Submit Preliminary Engineering Application to FTA
- Preliminary Engineering
- Initiate FEIS

Previous Work:

The Region 2040 Plan, the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), City of Portland Plans for North Macadam, and Lake Oswego Redevelopment plans all call for improved transit service in the Macadam/Highway 43 corridor between the central city and the Lake Oswego Town Center.

The Willamette Shoreline Consortium, formed in 1985, managed the acquisition of the Jefferson Branch rail line and has been operating historic trolley service on the line. The Consortium also manages maintenance of the line to ensure it remains an active rail alignment for future enhanced transit service.

On December 13, 2007, the Metro Council passed a resolution selecting the No-Build, Enhanced Bus, and Streetcar Alternatives to be advanced into the DEIS. This resolution also included work program considerations that included development of the scope, schedule, budget, and funding plan for the DEIS, initiation of a Johns Landing refinement plan, and identification of issues to be addressed prior to initiation of the DEIS.

Originally, the DEIS was to be funded with \$4 million MTIP in 2012/2013 timeframe. In order to move the project forward earlier, TriMet, Metro, the Cities of Portland and Lake Oswego and Clackamas County executed an IGA in spring 2009. The IGA identified funding and a project organizational structure to allow the work to commence earlier. Under that IGA, TriMet became the contracting lead and Metro the NEPA lead agency. An outside project manager and other consultants were hired in spring 2009.

In winter/spring 2009, a refinement process was conducted which identified and selected design options in the John's landing area, narrowed the terminus location in Lake Oswego, and refined the enhanced bus alternative. That process was completed in June 2009 and included extensive technical and public

involvement efforts. The Steering Committee recommended refined No Build, Enhanced Bus and Streetcar alternatives for study in the DEIS. The streetcar alternative includes alignment options in John's Landing, at Riverwood Road in Dunthorpe and in the Foothills in Lake Oswego. It includes a permanent terminus at the Albertson's site in Lake Oswego but also includes a phased MOS at the Sellwood Bridge.

Metro also led a Trail Refinement process to develop and analyze trail solutions in the constrained corridor. The Steering Committee adopted the findings and proposed next steps in August 2009.

A Citizen Advisory Committee was selected in summer 2009 and has been meeting monthly since October.

Methodology:

The project will use a combination of engineering design, public involvement, technical analysis for a series of specific environmental disciplines as directed by FTA for NEPA analysis, and documentation to develop the deliverables for this project.

This program includes elements of refinement planning for the Macadam/Highway 43 Corridor identified in the RTP, including: 1) planning for improved bus service in the corridor; 2) planning for future streetcar service; and 3) improving bicycle and pedestrian safety through the trail component of the study.

The City of Lake Oswego is developing a Foothills District Framework Plan for an urban renewal district in the Foothills area adjacent to the Jefferson Branch rail alignment that anticipates a high level of transit service. The project will coordinate with that plan. It will also conduct station area planning efforts in Portland and Lake Oswego.

The DEIS will complete the analysis of alternatives and is expected to result in the adoption of a Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA) by the Metro Council in December 2010. Once the LPA is selected, the project lead is expected to transition to TriMet, which would then apply to FTA to enter Preliminary Engineering and initiate the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS). At the completion of the FEIS, a Record of Decision would be issued by the FTA certifying that the requirements of NEPA have been met. The project would then move into Final Design and Construction pending FTA approvals.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

Locally Preferred Alternative Report	April 2011
New Starts Application for Preliminary Engineering	November 2011

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

TriMet is Co-Lead, serving as the project manager and FTA grantee.
 Metro is Co-Lead, providing expertise on the Environmental Impact Statement.
 Other project partners include City of Portland, City of Lake Oswego, Clackamas County, Multnomah County, Oregon Department of Transportation, and Portland Streetcar, Inc.

Schedule for Completing Activities:

2011

April Locally Preferred Alternative Decision by Metro Council

Nov Submit New Starts application to FTA

Funding History:

An alternatives analysis and Refinement Phase occurred between FY06 and FY10 and utilized two federal Alternatives Analysis grants totaling \$1.8 million, including local match. Approximately \$460,000 of that amount was spent during the last two fiscal years, primarily on the Refinement Phase in advance of the DEIS preparation. MTIP funds allocated for FY 12-13 for the DEIS were advanced by project partners beginning in FY 08-09 and continued through FY 10-11 totaling \$4 million in addition to \$1.1 million in local matching funds. The DEIS was prepared and published using those MTIP funds and local match. An additional \$6 million in MTIP funds will become available to the project beginning in FY 11-12, to be matched by approximately \$700,000 in local funds. These additional funds will be used to prepare a New Starts application to FTA, begin Preliminary Engineering on the project, and prepare the FEIS.

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	265,230	FTA AA Grant	\$	410,565
	Materials & Services	\$	3,757,336	MTIP	\$	3,037,000
	Interest on MTIP Loan	\$	25,000	Local	\$	600,000
	TOTAL	\$	4,047,565	TOTAL	\$	4,047,565
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		16.2			
	TOTAL		16.2			
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	40,400	FTA AA Grant	\$	55,100
	Materials & Services	\$	1,044,700	MTIP	\$	738,000
	Interest on MTIP Loan		150,000	Local	\$	482,400
	TOTAL	\$	1,275,500	TOTAL	\$	1,085,100
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		5.1			
	TOTAL		5.1			

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	178,000	MTIP	\$	1,225,000
	Materials & Services	\$	1,029,000	Local	\$	157,000
	Interest on MTIP Loan	\$	175,000			
	TOTAL	\$	1,382,000	TOTAL	\$	1,382,000
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		5.5			
	TOTAL		5.5			

WASHINGTON COUNTY COMMUTER RAIL BEFORE/AFTER**Description:**

TriMet and Metro are working with the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to prepare a comprehensive before and after evaluation of this project both to assess success in the project itself meeting its goals for improving the quality of transportation in this urban community as well as evaluating the tools used in the region to plan and forecast the benefits and impacts of the project.

The study in progress builds on work to date, including that contained in the project Environmental Assessment (EA), and requires extensive before and after data collection to ascertain the utilization of the introduced services and their intended or unintended impacts of the project on the community and the corridor.

The project is divided into seven tasks as follows:

1. Organization
2. Documentation of forecasts
3. Documentation of conditions before project implementation
4. Documentation of conditions after project opening
5. Proposed analyses
6. Findings and recommendations
7. Bibliography

Tasks 2 through 5, above, will include the following subtopics:

- Project scope
- Service levels
- Capital costs
- Operating and maintenance costs
- Ridership and fare revenue

Objectives:

This study will evaluate the effectiveness of the Washington County Commuter Rail project in meeting its goal:

Develop a more diverse and balanced transportation system, specifically by providing another transit option for commuters in the Wilsonville-to-Beaverton corridor, better link regional centers, town centers and employment areas and to capitalize on the public investment in the existing light rail system and contribute to the implementation of a series of state, regional and local planning policies.

The study, however, is also a means of evaluating the project planning and management tools, with feedback to improve our collective ability to make effective transportation investment decisions. The study will provide the region and FTA with valuable information regarding the validity of model assumptions and the sensitivity of new modeling software; the accuracy of capital, operating and maintenance estimates; and rider characteristics. The participating jurisdictions are committed to making the results of this study meaningful for local and Federal objectives.

The project will produce the following products:

- Summary of findings, including the relationship between forecast and actual ridership and capital and operating costs;
- Summary of recommendations, including proposed improvements to forecasting methodology or other action that can improve transit investment decision-making;
- A draft report for submittal to the FTA;

- A presentation of findings with the FTA; and
- Revised and final report.

All pertinent data will be collected and made available for reference including plans, reports, drawings, resolution, technical memoranda, schedules, spreadsheets and maps.

Previous Work:

As noted above, this program builds on corridor work to date, principally that contained in the Washington County Wilsonville to Beaverton Commuter Rail Environmental Assessment and other relevant project documents. It will also draw on origin-destination surveys and systems statistics maintained by the transit and road jurisdictions.

TriMet submitted the draft study plan to the FTA in November 2005. The FTA approved the inclusion of the study work scope into the Washington County Commuter Rail project. All tasks and subtasks will be assigned and executed as outlined in the draft work plan. Specifically, the following accomplishments to date and expected in FY 2012 are summarized below:

Tasks 1 & 2: Ongoing tasks include development of an interim report documenting changes in project scope, capital costs, and service levels following implementation of the project.

Task 3: Origin/destination surveys of transit riders for pre-project implementation occurred in May 2008; TriMet will obtain rail freight tonnage and train/railcar activity data for the rail line between Beaverton and Wilsonville from the Portland and Western RR. Traffic counts on local, regional, and state roads in the corridors will be collected from local, state, and regional agencies, where feasible, to compare with later counts.

Task 4: Data collection methods described under Task 3 will be repeated in spring 2011 to analyze post-project impacts. The data collected during spring 2011 will be analyzed over summer 2011 and used in tasks 5, 6 and 7.

Tasks 5, 6 & 7: The tasks of evaluating the ridership model, analyzing the results of the data collection and preparing a report will occur following the completion of Task 4 and continue through FY 2012.

Methodology:

In August 2001 the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) instituted Section 611.7(c)(4) of the Final Rule on Major Capital Investment Projects (New Starts) (published on December 7, 2000, and effective as of April 7, 2001) whereby Section 5309 New Starts Full Funding Grant Agreement grantees must submit a plan for collection and analysis of information to identify project impacts and to determine the accuracy of forecasts prepared during project development. The methodology for analysis is described in FTA guidance that requires that grantees report on five project characteristics:

1. Project scope – the physical components of the project, including environmental mitigation;
2. Service levels – the operating characteristics of the guideway, feeder bus services, and other transit services in the corridor;
3. Capital costs – the total costs of construction, vehicles, engineering, management, testing and other capital expenses;
4. Operation and maintenance costs – incremental operating/maintenance costs of the project and the transit system; and,
5. Ridership patterns – incremental ridership, origin/destination patterns of transit riders on the project and in the corridor, and incremental fare box revenues for the transit system.

FTA further requires that this information be assembled at three key milestones in the development and operation of the project:

1. Predictions – predictions for the five characteristics developed at the conclusion of preliminary engineering, along with any changes made to those estimates during final design;

2. Prior conditions – transit service levels, operating/maintenance costs, and ridership/fare box revenues that prevail immediately prior to any significant changes in transit service levels caused by either construction or opening of the project; and,
3. After conditions – actual outcomes for the five characteristics of the project two years after the opening of the project in revenue service and associated adjustments to other transit services in the corridor.

The analysis will compare predictions with after conditions and prior conditions with after conditions for each of the five project characteristics to measure the effectiveness of the project in achieving its goals and objectives.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 12:

- A completed draft Before and After Report based on local and regional data assembled for each of the five project characteristics described above and for each of the three key milestones. The draft report will be prepared and presented to FTA staff for review by December 31, 2011.

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

Internal (TriMet) - The Project Sponsor for the Washington County Commuter Rail project is Tri-County Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon (TriMet), the agency operating public transit in the Portland metropolitan region. The Washington County Commuter Rail Before and After Study will be the responsibility of the Capital Projects and Facilities Division (CPFD).

The CPFD will:

- Oversee the activities of the various TriMet departments, public agencies and consultants participating in the Washington County Commuter Rail Before and After Study;
- With supporting staff, assemble and maintain key reports, studies and other records related to the Study;
- Direct staff and consultant resources applied to the Before and After Study; and,
- Coordinate all study activities and will have responsibility for preparation and submission of both regular progress reports and all other identified interim and final reports.

Primary TriMet responsibilities related to the project include:

- Capital Projects – Development, monitoring and reporting of the Project Scope, Ridership and Capital Costs of the plan. Collect and report rail freight tonnage and train/railcar activity data for the rail line between Beaverton and Wilsonville - this information may be collected by TriMet with information from the Portland and Western Railroad.
- Operations – Development, monitoring and reporting of the Services Levels sections of the plan. The Traffic and Parking impacts sections will rely heavily on assistance from Washington County, local jurisdictions along the route, and Oregon Department of Transportation.
- Finance – Development, monitoring and reporting of the Fare Revenue and Operating and Maintenance Costs sections of the plan.
- Marketing and Customer Services – Management of the rider surveys.

Metropolitan Planning Organization: Metro is the source for basic planning data in the region including forecasts of population, households and employment for the Portland/Vancouver metropolitan area. Metro also develops and maintains the travel forecasting models used for transportation planning in the region. Metro will:

- Provide documentation for key planning data and methods used for the Commuter Rail project;
- Collect/assemble demographic and economic data for the Commuter Rail corridor before project initiation and after project opening; and,
- Identify and analyze potential model refinements.

Other State and Local Agencies

- The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) will collect and report traffic volume data for the I-5 freeway and for Highway 217;
- The Washington County Department of Planning and Clackamas County Department of Planning along with local agencies under their jurisdiction (Cities of Beaverton, Tigard, Tualatin and Wilsonville) will provide traffic volume data for roadways in the corridor, and building occupancy and building permit data for the communities along the Commuter Rail Corridor; and
- South Metro Area Regional Transit will provide ridership counts for their routes serving the Corridor.
- Portland and Western Railroad will provide freight tonnage activity data over the course of the study period.

FTA: FTA has reviewed and approved the Before and After Study work program. FTA will also review project interim and final reports.

Project Management Oversight (PMO) contractors: The PMO contractors designated by FTA will assist in reviewing project data.

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Interim status report on pre-project implementation conditions – March 2011
 On-board transit surveys for post-project implementation conditions – Spring 2011
 Draft report complete – December 2012

Cost and Funding Sources:

This work program is partially funded with federal funds through the Washington County Commuter Rail Full Funding Grant Agreement in the total amount of \$50,000. The entire budget for this project evaluation is summarized as follows:

Task 3 – Pre-Implementation Data Collection

Origin/Destination Survey		
• May 2008	\$	65,000

Task 4 – Post-Implementation Data Collection

Origin/Destination Survey		
• April/May 2011	\$	35,000

Tasks 5 – Proposed Analyses

Ridership Model Evaluation, Spring 2011	\$	30,000
---	----	--------

Tasks 6 & 7 – Proposed Analyses

Report Writing, fall 2011	\$	10,000
---------------------------	----	--------

TOTAL	\$	140,000
--------------	-----------	----------------

Funding History:

Lines 37, 38, 53, 76, 92, 96, and SMART line 201 were all surveyed in FY 2008 as part of the Before and After Study to document the “Before” conditions. The survey contract totaled approximately \$43,000 and was charged to the Washington County Commuter Rail Grant. Other than planning for the documentation of “After” conditions and conduct of the Before and After Study analysis, no major funding of activities have occurred since the documentation of the “Before” conditions.

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	0	PL	\$	0
	Interfund Transfers	\$	0	STP	\$	0
	Materials & Services	\$	0	Metro		0
	TOTAL	\$	0	TOTAL	\$	0
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0			
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	0	STP	\$	0
	Interfund Transfers	\$	0	Metro	\$	0
	Materials & Services	\$	0			
	TOTAL	\$	0	TOTAL	\$	0
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0			
TOTAL		0				

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	0	TriMet General Fund	\$	35,000
	Interfund Transfers	\$	0			
	Materials & Services	\$	35,000			
	TOTAL	\$	35,000	TOTAL	\$	35,000
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		0.35			
TOTAL		0.35				

SOUTH CORRIDOR I-205/PORTLAND MALL LIGHT RAIL BEFORE/AFTER**Description:**

TriMet and Metro are working with the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to prepare a comprehensive before and after evaluation of this project both to assess success in the project itself meeting its goals for improving the quality of transportation in this urban community as well as evaluating the tools used in the region to plan and forecast the benefits and impacts of the project.

The study in progress builds on work to date, including that contained in the project Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS), and requires extensive before and after data collection to ascertain the utilization of the introduced services and the intended or unintended impacts of the project on the community and the corridor.

The project is divided into seven tasks as follows:

1. Organization
2. Documentation of forecasts
3. Documentation of conditions before project implementation
4. Documentation of conditions after project opening
5. Proposed analyses
6. Findings and recommendations
7. Bibliography

Tasks 2 through 5, above, will include the following subtopics:

- Project scope
- Service levels
- Capital costs
- Operating and maintenance costs
- Ridership and fare revenue

Objectives:

This study will evaluate the effectiveness of the South Corridor I-205/Portland Mall Light Rail Project in meeting the following goals:

- To provide transportation options for the fast-growing I-205 corridor.
- Ensure effective transit system operations in the South Corridor.
- Maximize the ability of the transit system to accommodate future growth in travel demand in the South Corridor.
- Minimize traffic congestion and traffic infiltration through neighborhoods in the South Corridor.
- Promote desired land use patterns and developments in the South Corridor.
- Provide for fiscally stable and financially efficient transit system.
- Maximize the efficiency and environmental sensitivity of the engineering design of the proposed project.

The study, however, is also a means of evaluating the project planning and management tools, with feedback to improve our collective ability to make more effective transportation investment decisions. The study will provide the region and FTA with valuable information regarding the validity of model assumptions and the sensitivity of new modeling software; the accuracy of capital, operating and maintenance estimates; and rider characteristics. The participating jurisdictions are committed to making the results of this study meaningful for local and Federal objectives.

The project will produce the following products:

- Summary of findings, including the relationship between forecasted and actual ridership and capital and operating costs;
- Summary of recommendations, including proposed improvements to forecasting methodology or other action that can improve transit investment decision-making;
- A draft report for submittal to the FTA;
- A presentation of findings with the FTA;
- Revised and final report.

All pertinent data will be collected and made available for reference including plans, reports, drawings, resolution, technical memoranda, schedules, spreadsheets and maps.

Previous Work:

As noted above, this program builds on corridor work to date, principally that contained in the Alternatives Analysis (AA), Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS), Preliminary Engineering (PE), Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) and other project documents, as applicable. It will also draw on origin-destination surveys and systems statistics maintained by the transit and road jurisdictions.

TriMet submitted the draft study plan to the FTA in March 2006. The FTA approved the inclusion of the study work scope into the South Corridor I-205/Portland Mall Light Rail project. All tasks and subtasks will be assigned and executed as outlined in the draft work plan. Specifically, the following accomplishments to date and expected in FY 2012 are summarized below:

Tasks 1 & 2: Ongoing tasks include documenting changes in project scope, capital costs, and service levels following project implementation.

Task 3: Data collection for pre-project implementation occurred in two phases prior to anticipated impacts of project's construction schedule. The first phase included an origin/destination rider survey for all bus lines impacted by the transit mall construction and was conducted in spring 2006. The second phase was conducted in spring 2009 and included all remaining data collection for pre-implementation, such as origin/destination surveys of transit riders on bus lines in the I-205 corridor, parking utilization observations, and traffic conditions at impacted intersections/roadways.

Task 4: Post-project implementation data collection is scheduled to occur in fall 2011 and will replicate all data collection methods conducted in Task 3 to analyze post-project impacts.

Tasks 5, 6 & 7: The tasks of evaluating the ridership model, analyzing the results of the data collection and preparing a report will occur following the completion of Task 4 and continue through FY 2012.

Methodology:

In August 2001 the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) instituted Section 611.7(c)(4) of the Final Rule on Major Capital Investment Projects (New Starts) (published on December 7, 2000, and effective as of April 7, 2001) whereby Section 5309 New Starts Full Funding Grant Agreement grantees must submit a plan for collection and analysis of information to identify project impacts and to determine the accuracy of forecasts prepared during project development. The methodology for analysis is described in FTA guidance that requires that grantees report on five project characteristics:

6. Project scope – the physical components of the project, including environmental mitigation;
7. Service levels – the operating characteristics of the guideway, feeder bus services, and other transit services in the corridor;
8. Capital costs – the total costs of construction, vehicles, engineering, management, testing and other capital expenses;
9. Operation and maintenance costs – incremental operating/maintenance costs of the project and the transit system; and,

10. Ridership patterns – incremental ridership, origin/destination patterns of transit riders on the project and in the corridor, and incremental fare box revenues for the transit system.

FTA further requires that this information be assembled at three key milestones in the development and operation of the project:

4. Predictions – predictions for the five characteristics developed at the conclusion of preliminary engineering, along with any changes made to those estimates during final design;
5. Prior conditions – transit service levels, operating/maintenance costs, and ridership/fare box revenues that prevail immediately prior to any significant changes in transit service levels caused by either construction or opening of the project; and,
6. After conditions – actual outcomes for the five characteristics of the project two years after the opening of the project in revenue service and associated adjustments to other transit services in the corridor.

The analysis will compare predictions with after conditions and prior conditions with after conditions for each of the five project characteristics to measure the effectiveness of the project in achieving its goals and objectives.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2012:

- Conduct on-board transit surveys of corridor transit service to complete the “After Conditions” dataset for analysis.
- A completed draft Before and After Report based on local and regional data assembled for each of the five project characteristics described above and for each of the three key milestones. The draft report will be prepared and presented to FTA staff for review by June 30, 2012.

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

Internal (TriMet): The Project Sponsor for the South Corridor I-205/Portland Mall Light Rail Project is Tri-County Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon (TriMet), the agency operating public transit in the Portland metropolitan region. The South Corridor I-205/Portland Mall Light Rail Before and After Study will be the responsibility of the Capital Projects and Facilities Division (CPFD).

The CPFD will:

- Oversee the activities of the various TriMet departments, public agencies and consultants participating in the South Corridor I-205/Portland Mall Light Rail Before and After Study;
- With supporting staff, assemble and maintain key reports, studies and other records related to the Study;
- Direct staff and consultant resources applied to the Before and After Study; and
- Coordinate all study activities and will have responsibility for preparation and submission of both regular progress reports and all other identified interim and final reports.

Primary TriMet responsibilities related to the project include:

- Capital Projects – Development, monitoring and reporting of the Project Scope, Capital Costs, Development, monitoring and reporting of the Ridership and Fare Revenue, and Recommendations sections of the plan.
- Operations – Development, monitoring and reporting of the Services Levels sections of the plan. The Traffic and Parking sections will rely heavily on assistance from the City of Portland, Clackamas County and Oregon Department of Transportation.
- Finance – Development, monitoring and reporting of the Operating and Maintenance Costs sections of the plan.
- Marketing and Customer Services – Management of the rider surveys.

Metropolitan Planning Organization: Metro is the source for basic planning data in the region including forecasts of population, households and employment for the Portland/Vancouver metropolitan area. Metro also develops and maintains the travel forecasting models used for transportation planning in the region. Metro will:

- Provide documentation for key planning data and methods used for the South Corridor I-205/Portland Mall Light Rail project;
- Collect/assemble demographic and economic data for the South Corridor I-205/Portland Mall Light Rail corridor before project initiation and after project opening; and
- Identify and analyze potential model refinements.

Other Local Agencies:

- The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) will collect and report traffic volume data for the I-205 and I-84 freeways; and
- The City of Portland Bureau of Planning and Clackamas County Department of Planning will provide traffic volume data for roadways in the corridor, and building occupancy and building permit data for the communities along the South Corridor I-205/Portland Mall Light Rail Corridor.

Federal Transit Administration (FTA): FTA has reviewed and approved the Before and After Study work program. FTA will also review project interim and final reports.

Project Management Oversight (PMO) contactors: The PMO contractors designated by FTA will assist in reviewing project data.

Schedule for Completing Activities:

Interim status report on pre-project implementation conditions – June 2011
 On-board transit surveys for post-project implementation conditions – Fall 2011
 Draft report complete – June 2012

Funding History:

Initial documentation of the “Before” conditions occurred in 2006 for bus lines affected by the temporarily relocation of the transit mall in winter 2006, followed by a second set of surveys in spring 2009 for the I-205 corridor. Those efforts totaled \$210,000 in cost and occurred prior to the last two fiscal years. Documentation of the “After” conditions, travel demand forecasting work, and other report writing and analysis will occur in the upcoming fiscal year 11-12.

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:				Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	20,000		Mall/I-205 Federal Grant	\$	305,000
	Materials & Services	\$	285,000			\$	
	TOTAL	\$	305,000		TOTAL	\$	305,000
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing						
	Regular Full-Time FTE						
	TOTAL						

Cost and Funding Sources:

This work program is partially funded with federal funds through the South Corridor I-205/Portland Mall Light Rail Full Funding Grant Agreement in the amount of \$510,000 of which 60% is Federal and 40% is

from the project's matching funds. The balance of funds is from TriMet's General Fund. The entire budget for this project evaluation is summarized as follows:

	<u>Task 2 – Documentation of Forecast</u>
Ridership Modeling	\$ 10,000
	<u>Task 3 – Pre-Implementation Data Collection</u>
Origin/Destination Survey	
• Mall Portion – Spring 2006	\$ 170,000
• I-205 Portion – Spring 2009	\$ 30,000
	<u>Task 4 – Post-Implementation Data Collection</u>
Origin/Destination Survey	
• New Rider Survey	\$ 50,000
• Fall 2011	\$ 200,000
Parking and Traffic Data Collection	
• Fall 2011	\$ 15,000
	<u>Task 5 – Proposed Analyses</u>
Ridership Modeling	\$ 20,000
	<u>Tasks 6 & 7 – Proposed Analyses</u>
Report Writing	\$ 20,000
TOTAL	\$ 515,000

EMPLOYER OUTREACH PROGRAM**Description:**

The Tri-County Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon (TriMet) has worked with employers and colleges in the Portland, Oregon metro area since the early 1980's to establish employee transportation programs. TriMet's employer outreach program is funded by the Congestion Mitigation for Air Quality (CMAQ) grant administered through the Metro regional government.

The TriMet Outreach program serves employers and colleges of all sizes in the metro Portland region by promoting non-SOV travel options, transportation program assistance, transit pass programs and surveying for DEQ compliance. The TriMet outreach program is designed to reduce vehicle miles traveled through transportation program assistance plus outreach and marketing campaigns to employers. TriMet enhanced the program in 1996 when the Oregon DEQ Employee Commute Options (or ECO) rule went into effect to include more outreach and technical assistance for employers and partners. While the ECO rule was revised in 2007 to include only employers with more than 100 employees, instead of 50 employees, TriMet's transportation demand management (TDM) efforts continue to target employers of all sizes.

TriMet uses a custom-designed database for documenting employer programs and activities. These programs and activities include education programs, individual consultations, presentations, transportation fairs, and transportation coordinator training. TriMet also offers transportation surveys, an emergency ride home program, materials, comprehensive website content and formalized fare programs, and carpool maps (geocoding). TriMet staff promotes all non-SOV commute options including transit, carpooling, vanpooling, biking, walking, compressed workweeks, telecommuting and incentives.

Objectives:

For fiscal 2012, key strategies include continuing to build relationships with employers, colleges and coordinating outreach efforts with RTO partners. Staff may fine-tune services and communications for 2012 based on the results of an employer programs survey being conducted December 2010.

- Promote new and improved customer information and amenities
 - Internet and phone tools: enhancements to the trip planner on TriMet's website, multi-modal trip planner, Transit Tracker by text, expanded suite of mobile trip applications for smartphones, text messaging and social media
 - New Bike & Ride facilities plus expanded bike parking across system
- Continue building ridership for WES Commuter Rail and MAX Green Line Light Rail
 - Annual WES promotion, early 2011
 - Develop promotional materials for employers: email templates, payroll inserts, customized online service posters, ongoing articles for partners and TMAs
- Build awareness for upcoming service: Portland-Milwaukie Light Rail project beginning summer 2011
- Promote TriMet safety with messaging and materials; participate in safety campaigns and incorporate safety education in communications
- Employer recognition and TDM testimonials
 - Develop and promote TDM program examples from employer testimonials; employers to be featured quarterly on TriMet employer web pages and To Work newsletter

Previous Work:

The estimated annual VMT reduction from 642 worksites in TriMet's employer database is estimated between 34,917,000 and 36,308,000 according to the recent evaluation of the Regional Travel Options program for 2007-2008. The [Regional Travel Options 2007-08 Program Evaluation](#), published July 1, 2010, on the Metro website was prepared for Metro by Portland State University.

During fiscal 2010, TriMet staff was involved in a variety of outreach activities throughout the year to ensure we reached all interested employers. Following are highlights of staff activity (July 2009 through June 2010):

- Promoted multi-modal transportation options and regional Drive Less/Save More messaging at 85 transportation fairs representing 10,796 attendees. Increased number of transportation fairs by 7 percent.
- TriMet staff made a total of 6,647 contacts with 1,817 employers and colleges, of which 327 were first-time contacts. The nature of the contacts included face-to-face meetings with employers, phone calls and conversations by email.
- Processed 262 transportation surveys -- an increase of 29 percent over last year. Staff provided employers the transportation results with tailored recommendations for their transportation programs.
- TriMet employer programs increased by two percent over the previous fiscal year to 903 worksites.
- At the end of the past fiscal period, 528 employers within the TriMet service area were enrolled in a transit pass program representing 903 worksites and approximately 70,950 employees. Worksites increased by 2 percent over last year.
- Renewed annual pass programs for 245 employers on contracts, a 6.5 percent decrease from the previous year. In addition, 283 employers are on monthly programs, a 3.3 percent increase over the previous year.
- Provided 1,141 New Employee Kits (NEK) to 78 employers to use for promoting travel options to new employees. The kits are customized with information for the East, West and Central Business districts.
- Provided 109 Emergency Rides Home (ERH) for employees with a total value of over \$4,000, paid from TriMet's general fund. TriMet's ERH program provides an incentive to employers to offer a transportation subsidy to their employees while encouraging employees to use alternative transportation. To participate in ERH, employers must offer a minimum transit pass subsidy of \$10 per month per employee.
- Added a tenth college to the student term pass program (represents 19,850 students).
- Participated in 186 events and meetings with organizations, partners and employers.
- Distributed four (quarterly) newsletters to more than 1,900 transportation coordinators. Transitioned from paper distribution to online-only format with the spring 2009 edition.

Project-Related Outreach:

The TriMet MAX Green Line opened September 12, 2009, with large public events at Clackamas Town Center and Pioneer Courthouse Square. Concurring events were held at the new stations at Fuller Road, Lents Town Center, Main Street and Division. Free rides were provided on the Green Line until the end of service that day. The opening provided an opportunity for TriMet and RTO partners to provide travel options information and DLSP messages to the more than 40,000 attendees.

TriMet improved its online presence with a redesign of the trimet.org website for easier navigation. TriMet's online trip planner was updated, the static system map is now interactive

plus it now provides more trip options. TriMet began a Facebook page (over 2,100 connections to date) and began sending tweets on Twitter (4,400 followers to date).

TriMet WES ridership set a new record in June 2010 with an increase of 13.8 percent in daily boarding rides over the previous June to 1,320. WES carried a total of 304,800 boardings in FY10 averaging over 1,200 rides per day for the year.

Methodology:

- Contribute survey data and employer programs data for the bi-annual evaluation of RTO outreach programs prepared by Metro and Portland State University.
- Revenue received from employer transit pass programs
- Number of employers on programs
- Number of employees participating in programs
- Number of worksites on transportation programs
- Number of worksites on TriMet transit pass programs
- Inquiries managed per week
- Inquiry turnaround time (24 hours or less)
- Number of transportation fairs attended and number of employees reached at the fairs
- Assistance to the partners of the Regional Transportation Outreach subcommittee and transportation management associations including materials
- New proactive contacts per week
- Number of transportation surveys processed
- Mode split changes from transportation surveys

DRAFT Schedule for Completing Activities:

<i>Project Element</i>	<i>Timeline – projects in effect from July 2011 through June 2012</i>
Reporting – Complete 4 quarterly reports plus 1 work plan with annual progress to RTO for program funding.	2011 quarterly reports July, October; 2012 quarterly reports January, April Work plan January 2012; Annual report December 2011
Collateral – To Work online newsletter is sent by email to 1900+ employer representatives. Increase frequency from quarterly to six times per year. Continue subscription drive promotion to increase subscribers.	2011 – August, October, December 2012 – February, April, June
Collateral – Customize service information for major employer sites.	July 2011 – June 2012
Employer outreach and promotion – Continue promoting WES Commuter Rail to build ridership – Continue proactive outreach to employers for travel options, pass programs and to build awareness about using WES plus bus and light rail system.	July 2011 – June 2012
Outreach and promotion – Increase employer pass programs by three percent.	July 2011 – June 2012
Promotion and outreach – Inform employers about expanded bike parking facilities at transit centers and stops. Provide promotional materials online.	May – Sept. 2011
Outreach – Contact 100 monthly pass customers on to increase the number of passes purchased by employees (ongoing).	June 2011 – July 2012
Collateral – Produce annual pass fare instruments for employer and college transit pass programs.	May 2012
Conduct employer surveys for TriMet transit-pass program renewal and DEQ ECO surveys (approximately 260 surveys).	July 2011 – June 2012
Outreach – Participate in a minimum of 90 events including transportation fairs, presentations and workshops.	July 2011 – June 2012
Emergency Ride Home Program (TriMet General fund) – Manage the program for the 795 employers enrolled to date.	July 2011 – June 2012
Vanpool shuttles (TriMet General fund) TriMet operates 3 employer vanpool shuttles.	July 2011 – June 2012
Outreach – Distribute a minimum of 1,000 New Employee Kits annually to employees through employer contact (ongoing). Note that TriMet is shifting from paper materials to providing information online through the TriMet website. Staff is currently promoting TriMet's expanded internet and phone tools to employees and college students using TriMet's Trip Tools brochure.	July 2011 – June 2012
Collateral – Promote pre-tax transportation benefits to employers. Revise pre-tax flyer Aug. 2011; distribute printed and online version of flyer to employers.	July 2011 – June 2012

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12 (July 2011-June 2012):

In addition to providing transportation program assistance and conducting outreach to promote commute options, TriMet staff will continue to promote the new rail lines opened in 2009, the WES Commuter Rail and the MAX Light-rail Green lines. Staff will support ridership across the entire transit system including buses, commuter rail, light rail, streetcar and connections to transit systems adjoining the metro area. Staff will also promote bike travel to and from transit centers with the expansion of bike facilities built in 2010 and 2011 with ARRA funds.

<i>Project Element</i>	<i>Timeline – projects in effect from July 2011 through June 2012</i>
Employer Outreach – West and Central Business Districts Support TriMet efforts to increase transportation programs and transit ridership. Assist with building ridership on WES Commuter Rail. Contact 300 employers with materials for combining travel modes with transit system. 40 transportation fairs and outreach events 3 articles in the To Work newsletter	July 2011 – June 2012
Employer Outreach – East and Central Business Districts Build ridership by employees and students on the MAX Green Line light rail service Contact 400 employers 20 transportation fairs and outreach events 2 articles in the To Work newsletter promoting the service; include testimonials and TDM examples Use Green Line how-to-ride brochure revised in 2010 for people who are new to using transit	June 2011 – October 2012
College Student Outreach – Reach new students, build awareness for commute options College orientation packets and travel options materials for new students (800 students) 5 transportation fairs and outreach events	August , December 2011— January, April, June 2012
Employer Outreach – Promote new bike-parking facilities across system To Work newsletter articles Outreach to 30 employers and colleges within 1-mile of bike facilities; promote at fairs and events Provide bike facility materials in New Employee Kits	June 2011 – October 2012
Employer Transportation Surveys Survey a minimum of 260 employers	April 2011 – September 2012
Employer Collateral – Promote pre-tax transportation benefits to employers offering the program with existing flyer plus develop information on TriMet website to encourage employers to offer pre-tax. Revise promotional flyer at fare change.	August 2011
Employer Collateral – Revise transportation programs brochure	June 2011

Entity Responsible for Activity:

TriMet is responsible for this activity. The TriMet Outreach program is staffed by 5.25 people within TriMet’s Marketing department.

Cost and Funding Sources:

The projected budget for 2011-2012 is \$408,680 federal funds/\$450,649 total project cost with TriMet’s match. In addition to the CMAQ funds that are the core subsidy for the employer outreach program, TriMet also dedicates general funds for services including processing employer surveys, an Emergency Ride Home program incentive, three employer vanpools and carpool map service for employers. In addition, TriMet provides advertising tools plus the design and production of marketing campaigns, promotions and materials to promote existing and new service to employers and employees. The outreach program also uses resources from TriMet’s creative services, IT and service planning staff funded by TriMet’s general fund.

Funding History:

The RTO subcommittee of Metro TPAC reviewed TriMet’s results and workplans for the 2010, 2011 and 2012 fiscal years. The subcommittee approved the workplans plus a three-percent increase to compensate for rising program costs.

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	CMAQ	\$	385,220	PL	\$	N/A
	TriMet General Fund Match	\$	44,090	STP	\$	N/A
	TOTAL	\$	429,310	Metro		N/A
				TOTAL	\$	
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		5.25			
			TOTAL			
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	CMAQ	\$	396,777	STP	\$	N/A
	TriMet General Fund Match	\$	45,412	Metro	\$	N/A
	TOTAL		442,189			
				TOTAL	\$	N/A
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		5.25			
			TOTAL			

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	CMAQ	\$	408,680	STP	\$	N/A
	TriMet General Fund Match	\$	41,969	Metro	\$	N/A
	TOTAL		450,649			
		\$		TOTAL	\$	N/A
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		5.25			
			TOTAL		5.25	

BUS STOP DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM**Description:**

For several years TriMet has promoted the concept of the Total Transit Experience. This concept emphasizes the environment at the bus stops and the transit rider's experience getting to and from the bus stop. Out of this effort have emerged the following capital improvement programs:

Bus Stop Sign and Pole Replacement with Information Displays

- Deployment of two-sided bus stop signs and poles continues. Multi-part signs are a unique shape and the poles are dedicated and colored to make the stop more distinguishable in the streetscape.
- Bus stop identification numbers (Stop ID) with route map and frequency are being installed on each bus stop pole, which is a significant convenience for riders. The Stop ID allows the rider quick access to real-time arrivals through Transit Tracker by Phone. The improved stop identification further complements on-board automated stop audio and reader board announcements.
- These signs are being deployed on a route-by-route basis throughout the system with a priority for Frequent Service routes and the Focus Areas identified in the Transit Investment Plan. The changeover has reached 85% completion milestone and should be complete in FY 2011-12.
- The FY 2012 program investment of \$125,000 will be repeated and is in the final year to complete all bus stops.

Bus Stop and Pedestrian Access Enhancements

- This program improves bus stops by constructing wheelchair access, strategic sidewalk connections and other improvements that integrate stops with the streetscape.
- These improvements make stops more accessible for everyone and help make fixed-route service more attractive for elderly and disabled riders, providing an alternative to much more costly door-to-door LIFT service.
- The cost can vary greatly, but approximately 30 locations, supported through a mix of funding programs, can be addressed annually.
- These improvements must be closely integrated with other streetscape improvements (sidewalks and crosswalks) and will be programmed in support of Transit Investment Plan focus areas and frequent corridors and where jurisdictions are making other improvements that can support these improvements.

Shelter & Seating Expansion

- TriMet continues to increase the number of bus shelters from a total of 885 five years ago to approximately 1,100 as of December 2010. TriMet expects to sustain the shelter expansion effort with approximately 25 new shelters in FY 2012, using primarily CMAQ funds.
- Seating benches have also been installed at over 30 bus stops in the past fiscal year. TriMet expects to sustain the seating bench expansion efforts with approximately 30 new sites in FY 2012.
- With the help of other grant funds, additional bus stop related access improvements are being made in the tri-county region. Recent completion (FY11) of Tualatin Valley Highway improvements (19 sites) have set a bench mark for improving and enhancing pedestrian safety and access. Similar "hot spot" oriented and corridor level enhancements are being proposed for FY2012.

- TriMet has expanded the use of solar lighting installations (over 320 installations) in new and existing shelters where direct power connections are impractical. This phase of the project is complete and upgrade efforts in FY2012 will shift to stand-alone poorly illuminated bus stop sites (with pole mounted solar LED lighting units) to address safety and pass up issues. 30 bus stops are targeted in FY12.

This is a capital development program using CMAQ funds, but the program is presented in this Unified Planning Work Program given the planning activities that support the ongoing program. The program is at the core of TriMet's service development program and is represented in the five-year Transit Investment Plan. These capital improvements complement both development of Frequent Bus corridors and service development in local focus areas. They are integrated with other streetscape, ITS, and traffic management projects throughout TriMet's service area.

Objectives:

Objectives of this program include:

- Increase transit ridership by improving the total transit experience – focused on on-street transit and pedestrian facilities improvements.
- Improve the utility of transit by providing better customer information – identifiable signage, posted route information, schedules and maps, and real time arrival information.
- Improve safety and access to transit with integrated sidewalk and crosswalk improvements and bus stop improvements that meet ADA requirements.
- Increase pedestrian and rider safety with appropriate lighting at bus stops and by removing pedestrians from the path of traffic.
- Support communities, town centers, regional centers, and land use and transportation policies identified in the RTP and 2040 Framework Plan.
- Respond to specific user needs and community input for improved transit facilities, access, and information.

Previous Work:

These programs build on prior work. Program priorities are identified in the Transit Investment Plan (TIP). The on-street programs are coordinated to achieve the greatest combined effect that will contribute to new transit ridership. Where possible, they are being combined with service improvements. The program elements emphasize the environment at the bus stops and the transit rider's experience getting to and from the bus stop.

Methodology:

These programs are closely coordinated with internal TriMet departments – primarily marketing (customer information) and operations. Benefits of the program clearly accrue to the general public and transit users. TriMet research has demonstrated that on-street amenities are important considerations as riders choose to use the service. The program is closely coordinated with the street jurisdictions – often through permits. Integration with local streetscape projects is also fostered to achieve the greatest mutual program benefits. Recent examples include Burnside/Couch couplet (City of Portland), Highway 99W (ODOT), Cornell Rd & Saltzman Rd (Washington Co) and Kane Road (City of Gresham).

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

- Preparation of work programs, schedules and budgets for each sub-program. (ONGOING)
- Targeted community outreach to assess needs and coordinate implementation. (ONGOING)

- Support intergovernmental agreements, property transactions, and permits. (ONGOING)
- Produce construction drawings and documents. (ONGOING)
- Provide technical support to jurisdictions on joint development and traffic management plans. (ONGOING)
- Construct of on-street capital facilities investments. (ONGOING)
- Coordinate capital improvements with related roadway improvements managed by local jurisdictions and ODOT. (ONGOING)
- Monitor and adjust work products as appropriate. (ONGOING)

Entity/ies Responsible for Activity:

TriMet – Project Owner/Lead Agency
Local Jurisdictions – Cooperate/Collaborate

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:			
	Personal Services	\$	377,456	MTIP	\$	1,375,000	
	Interfund Transfers	\$		TriMet	\$	\$157,375	
	Materials & Services	\$	1,154,919				
	TOTAL	\$	1,532,375	TOTAL	\$	\$1,532,375	
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing						
	Regular Full-Time FTE		5.0				
	TOTAL						
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:			
	Personal Services	\$	255,000	MTIP	\$	917,377	
	Interfund Transfers	\$		TriMet	\$	104,998	
	Materials & Services	\$	\$1499,375				
	TOTAL	\$	\$1,754,375	TOTAL	\$	1,022,375	
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing						
	Regular Full-Time FTE		3.0				
	TOTAL		\$255,000				

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:		Resources:	
	Personal Services	\$ 170,000	MTIP	\$ 554,488
	Interfund Transfers	\$	TriMet	\$ 63,464
	Materials & Services	\$ 447,952		
	TOTAL	\$ 617,952	TOTAL	\$ 617,952
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing			
	Regular Full-Time FTE	2		
	TOTAL	\$170,000		

Reflects FFY 2012 Allocation of \$617,952. Approximately \$170,000 or 27.5% of the program budget is devoted to planning activities. These funds support 2FTEs doing planning and design work.

Requirements:		Resources:	
Bus Shelter Expansion	\$ 150,000	CMAQ	\$ 554,488
Pavement and ADA Improvements	\$ 350,000	TriMet	\$ 63,464
Bus Stop Signs and Poles	\$ 37,952		
Solar Lighting	\$ 30,000		
Streamline Treatments	\$ 50,000		
TOTAL	\$ 617,952	TOTAL	\$ 617,952
Full-Time Equivalent Staffing			
Planning and Design	2.0		
TOTAL	2.0		

I-5 COLUMBIA RIVER CROSSING

Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT). The goal of the project is to find viable solutions to the congestion, safety, and mobility problems on I-5 between Portland and Vancouver.

The project area - State Route 500 in Vancouver to approximately Columbia Boulevard in Portland - currently suffers between four and six hours of traffic congestion a day. If no improvements are made, congestion will increase to 15 hours a day by the year 2030 for all I-5 travelers.

Mandates, Authorizations, Constraints:

The Columbia River Crossing project is the result of recommendations made by the Portland/ Vancouver I-5 Transportation and Trade Partnership Final Strategic Plan in 2002. Organized by Oregon Governor John Kitzhaber and Washington Governor Gary Locke in 1998, the partnership brought residents and leaders together to respond to concerns about congestion on I-5 between Portland and Vancouver. Between January 2001 and June 2002, the partnership worked to develop a long-range strategic plan to manage and improve transportation in the I-5 corridor between I-405 in Portland and I-205 north of Vancouver. The Partnership recommended fixing three bottlenecks in its 2002 Strategic Plan: I-5 at Salmon Creek in Clark County, WA (completed in 2006); I-5 at Delta Park in Portland (completed in 2010); and, I-5 at the Columbia River, the Bridge Influence Area (this project).

The 39-member bi-state CRC Task Force was formed in early 2005 to advise the CRC project on key decisions. The final action of the Task Force in June 2008 was to make a recommendation on the Locally Preferred Alternative. The CRC Task Force consisted of leaders from a broad cross section of Oregon and Washington communities, including public agencies, businesses, civic organizations, neighborhoods, freight, commuter and environmental groups. The CRC project receives advice on project development from the Governor-appointed Project Sponsors Council and other ongoing advisory groups.

The Columbia River Crossing project has identified the following problems:

1. Travel demand exceeds capacity in the I-5 Bridge Influence Area, causing heavy congestion and delay during peak travel periods for automobile, transit, and freight traffic. This limits mobility within the region and access to major activity centers.
2. Transit service between Vancouver and Portland is constrained by the limited capacity in the I-5 corridor and is subject to the same congestion as other vehicles, affecting transit reliability and operations.
3. The access of truck-hauled freight to nationally and regionally significant industrial and commercial districts, as well as connections to marine, rail, and air freight facilities, is impaired by congestion in the I-5 Bridge Influence Area.
4. The I-5 Bridge Influence Area and its approach sections experience crash rates over two times higher than statewide averages for comparable urban freeways in Oregon and Washington, largely due to outdated designs. Incident evaluations attribute crashes to congestion, closely spaced interchanges, short weave and merge sections, vertical grade changes in the bridge span and narrow shoulders. In addition, the configuration of the existing I-5 bridges relative to the downstream BNSF rail bridge contributes to hazardous navigation conditions for commercial and recreational boat traffic.
5. Bicycle and pedestrian facilities crossing the Columbia River in the I-5 Bridge Influence Area are not designed to promote non-motorized access and connectivity across the river.
6. The I-5 bridges across the Columbia River do not meet current seismic standards, leaving them vulnerable to failure in an earthquake.

Stakeholders:

Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) – Co Lead
Washington Department of Transportation (WSDOT) – Co Lead

City of Vancouver – Cooperate / Collaborate
City of Portland – Cooperate / Collaborate
Metro – Cooperate / Collaborate
Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council – Cooperate / Collaborate
C-Tran – Cooperate / Collaborate
TriMet – Cooperate / Collaborate

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) are co-lead agencies for the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process that governs proposed actions requiring Federal funding, Federal permits, or Federal approvals. FHWA and FTA will sign the Environmental Impact Statement and the Record of Decision, affirming the selection of project alternatives, and allowing it to move forward into design and construction.

Objectives/Products/Deliverables:

The project includes a mix of bridge, public transit, and highway solutions. Its purpose is to improve:

- Travel safety and traffic operations at the I-5 river crossing and nearby interchanges
- Connectivity, reliability, travel times and operations of the public transportation systems in the project area
- Freight mobility and address interstate travel and commerce needs in the project area
- Seismic safety of the I-5 river crossing

The Final Environmental Impact Statement is expected in mid-2011, followed by the Record of Decision in late 2011. FTA gave approval to enter Preliminary Engineering for transit in December 2009.

Accomplishments Of This Program To Date:

The CRC Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) analysis began mid-2005, in accordance with the I-5 Transportation and Trade Partnership Final Strategic Plan. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement was released in May 2008.

The Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA) was adopted by the sponsor agencies in July 2008. The LPA includes: a replacement bridge, light rail transit, and a transit terminus at Clark College. The transit New Starts application was submitted to FTA in August 2008 and an update was submitted in September 2010.

Work in 2010 focused on refining project designs in coordination with project advisory groups, gathering and analyzing additional data for the Final EIS, receiving feedback from an Independent Review Panel, reviewing comments on the Draft EIS and talking with communities to hear concerns and provide information. In the past year, local project partners unanimously agreed to a set of recommendations for moving forward with development and construction of the CRC project. These recommendations included agreement on major project elements including the number of lanes and interchange design concepts. Since October 2005, CRC staff has had nearly 26,000 face-to-face conversations at more than 850 events.

Funding Summary:

ODOT Funding Sources

<u>Date</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Amount Committed</u> (in millions)	<u>Amount Authorized</u> (in millions)
Prior to			
2004	Federal Earmark (Pre-EIS Work) *	\$1.31	
2005	SAFETEA-LU Federal *	\$5.61	
2005-2007	OTIA III (State Funds) *	\$5.00	
2006	Federal Earmark *	\$0.79	
2007	Other (State Funds) *	\$4.60	
2007	FY07 IMD Funds (Corridors of the Future (COF))	\$7.50	\$7.50
2008	FY08 IMD Funds *	\$0.68	
2009	FY09 IMD Funds *	\$3.33	
2009	Transportation Project Account (Bill 2001)	\$30.00	\$30.00
2010	FY10 IMD Funds	\$1.00	
2010	Redistributed Federal (STP) Funds	\$9.22	\$9.22
2010	Redistributed Federal Funds (State Match)	\$0.78	\$0.78
2005-2009	Amount Invoiced ODOT (from sources marked with * above)		\$17.24
	ODOT Total Funding before Transfer to WSDOT	\$69.82	\$64.74
	Transfer out FY07 IMD Funds (COF) to WSDOT	(\$7.50)	(\$7.50)
	ODOT Total Funding after Transfer	\$62.32	\$57.24

* Source funds for invoices between 2005-2009 summarized above as "Amount Invoiced ODOT" for an amount authorized of \$17.24 million.

IV. CORRIDOR PLANS & PROJECTS OF REGIONAL SIGNIFICANCE OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
I-5 COLUMBIA RIVER CROSSING

WSDOT Funding Sources

<u>Date</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>FED. #</u>	<u>PIN #</u>	<u>Finance Code</u>	<u>Amount Committed</u> (in millions)	<u>Amount Authorized</u> (in millions)
2004	Federal Earmark	HP-0051(260)	400506A	GB	\$3.00	\$3.00
2004	Match (State Funds)	NO	400506A	AA	\$0.07	\$0.07
2005	Federal Earmark	HP-0051(266)	400506A	GB	\$2.00	\$1.97
2005	Match (State Funds)	NO	400506A	AA	\$0.04	\$0.00
2005-2007	TPA (State Funds)	NO	400506A	AZ	\$10.00	\$10.06
2005	SAFETEA-LU Federal	HP-0051(268)	400506A	GS	\$7.00	\$6.30
2005	SAFETEA-LU Federal	HP-0051(269)	400506A	GS	\$1.00	\$0.90
2007-2009	TPA (State Funds)	NO	400506A	AZ	\$20.00	\$19.94
2007	FY07 IMD Funds (COF)	IMD-0051(268)	400506A	CK	\$7.50	\$7.50
2009-2011	TPA (State Funds)	NO	400506A	AZ	\$20.00	\$20.00
2009	FY09 IMD Funds	HP-0051(268)	400506A		\$1.33	\$1.33
2010	FY10 IMD Funds	HP-0051(268)	400506A		\$1.95	\$1.95
2010	Federal Redistribution		400506A	STP	\$10.00	\$10.00
WSDOT Total Funding before Transfer from ODOT					\$83.89	\$83.02
Transfer FY07 IMD Funds (COF) from ODOT					\$7.50	\$7.50
WSDOT Total Funding after Transfer					\$91.39	\$90.52
WSDOT and ODOT Total Funding Authorized after Transfer						\$147.76

Expenditure Summary (through 12/31/2010):

ODOT Expenditures	\$ 5,462,566
WSDOT Expenditures	\$ 16,835,538
Consultant Services	89,644,581
TOTAL	\$ 111,942,685

IV. CORRIDOR PLANS & PROJECTS OF REGIONAL SIGNIFICANCE

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (ODOT) PLANNING PROGRAM

ODOT PLANNING PROGRAM

The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) Region 1 works on a number of planning projects. These projects are funded through a variety of sources, including federal and state programs. Annually ODOT applies for federal State Planning and Research (SPR) monies to address some of the Region's transportation planning needs. ODOT's planning budget is required to operate within the funding budget limitations that the State Legislature approves on a biennial cycle. ODOT is also required to operate the planning program funded by SPR under the federal regulatory requirements that pertain to the SPR program.

ODOT Region 1 work under this program includes:

- Studies and analyses to determine existing and future conditions and needs on the Region's transportation corridors.
- Development of potential solutions (short, intermediate and long range) to meet existing or future transportation needs on the state transportation network. Solutions are determined within the parameters of federal, state, regional and local plans, policies, regulations, and performance measures.
- ODOT participation in regionally and/or locally initiated transportation system plans, corridor plans, refinement plans, and land use plans, plan amendments, and review of development proposals.

Objectives:

- Develop transportation system plans and facility plans that identify needs, functions, modes, performance measures and management objectives, typical cross-sections and other facility and service parameters, and the general location of planned transportation improvements of state and local transportation facilities and services.
- Protect and preserve the planned functionality and safety of state transportation facilities.
- Assure safe and efficient operation of state highways by managing traffic and access consistent with highway functional classifications.
- Determine consistency of regional and local plans and plan amendments affecting state highways with the Transportation Planning Rule and with State Transportation Plans, policies, and standards.

Previous Work:

Substantial planning work has previously been performed on or in preparation for many of the planning projects and programs identified below. The results of ODOT's participation, cooperation, and collaboration are reflected in the Federal and State elements of the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), local Transportation System Plans (TSPs), corridor plans, refinement plans, transit Alternatives Analyses, and regional and local land use plans and plan amendments.

Tangible Products Expected in FY 2011-12:

We anticipate completion of deliverables of the following projects in FY 2011-2012: I-5/I-84 Refinement Plan, and the following 2009 TGM grants: Metro/Tigard HCT Corridor Land Use Plan, Tualatin Valley Highway Corridor Refinement Plan, City of Forest Grove: Transit-Oriented Development Plan and Implementation Strategy; City of Portland - Outer Powell Blvd ROW Corridor and Implementation Plan; Clackamas County - Park Avenue Light Rail Station Area Planning. In addition, the following 2010 TGM grants are expected to be completed by June 30, 2012: City of Happy Valley Rock Creek Comprehensive Plan and Town Center Update, City of Oregon City TSP, City of Portland Cully Blvd. Main Street Plan, Clackamas County Regional center Area Pedestrian/Bicycle connections, City of Wilsonville TSP, and. City of Wood Village TSP,

Entities Responsible for Activity:

In accordance with the Metro/Trimet/ODOT Agreement No. 24862, Metro Contract No. 928512, ODOT is the Product Owner/Lead Agency for the Oregon Transportation Plan (OTP), related State Topic and Modal Plans, ODOT Facility Plans, and the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). ODOT Coordinates or Consults with Metro and Trimet in the development of the OTP, State Modal and Topic Plans, and ODOT Facility Plans. ODOT Cooperates/Collaborates with Metro and Trimet in the development of the STIP.

IV. CORRIDOR PLANS & PROJECTS OF REGIONAL SIGNIFICANCE
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (ODOT) PLANNING PROGRAM

ODOT Cooperates/Collaborates in the development of Regional Plans and Programs for which Metro or Trimet is the Lead Agency/Product Owner. This includes the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Multi-Modal Mobility Corridor Refinement Plans, Regional Air Quality Plans and Air Quality Conformity Determinations, Regional Modal Plans such as the High Capacity Transit, Freight, Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transportation System Management and Operations (TSMO) Plans, Transit Alternative Analyses, the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP), Transit Investment Plan, Transit System Management Plans, Transit Facility Management Plans, and the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) itself.

Either ODOT or Metro may be the Lead Agency/Product Owner for the development of Multimodal Corridor Plans and Refinement Plans, with the other party being in a Cooperating/Collaborating role, to be determined in a project-specific agreement.

Detailed determinations of each agency's roles and responsibilities, levels of communication, specific communication procedures, use of consultant services, decision processes, funding and reporting responsibilities, and resource sharing agreements will be documented in a project-specific agreement or memorandum of understanding at the commencement of each new planning project, as well as in project-specific Agreements for the RTP, MTIP, and UPWP.

ODOT also coordinates with regional and local jurisdictions and agencies in the development of local Transportation System Plans (TSPs), Land Use Plans, Integrated Land Use and Transportation Plans, Concept Plans, the designation of Urban and Rural Reserves, and Amendments to the Urban Growth Boundary.

In addition, ODOT coordinates and consults with the following stakeholders in conducting its planning work:

Federal agencies

Washington State Department of Transportation

Oregon State Legislature

Business Community

Neighborhood Associations

Modal Advocates

General Public

ODOT divisions and departments, including Region 1 Technical Center, Office of the Director, Transportation Development Division, Highway Division, Rail Division, Public Transit Division, Motor Carrier Transportation Division, Safety Division, Central Services Division.

IV. CORRIDOR PLANS & PROJECTS OF REGIONAL SIGNIFICANCE

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (ODOT) PLANNING PROGRAM

Project:	Completion Schedule
<p>Metro Regional Long Range Planning: ODOT participates in policy analysis, traffic analysis, project scoping and prioritization, development of performance measures, and other work associated with the implementation of and any amendments to Metro’s Regional Transportation Plan, Regional Transportation Functional Plan, Modal Plans, Urban/Rural Reserves, and other long range planning projects. This includes continued work on alternative mobility standards, development of the Regional Safety Action Plan, and Climate Change Scenario work.</p>	Ongoing though June 2012
<p>Mobility Corridor Refinement Plans: ODOT is working with Metro, Trimet, and local jurisdictions to develop refinement plans for several transportation corridors identified as the next priority for refinement planning by JPACT. This includes the Southwest Corridor which includes I-5 and OR 99W, the Tualatin-Valley Highway Corridor, which includes TV Hwy, and the. “East Metro Connections” Corridor which includes one or more connections between I-84 and US 26.</p>	Ongoing
<p>State Highway Evaluations: ODOT is conducting assessments and evaluations on select state highway corridors in Region 1 to develop conceptual safety and operational solutions for improvement functionality of the transportation network.</p>	Ongoing
<p>Transportation Modeling: ODOT is developing protocols and analysis for freeways and arterials and continuing the development and refinement of VISSIM models for freeway corridors to assist in identification of bottlenecks and evaluation of operational improvements.</p>	June 2013
<p>Benefit/Cost Analysis for Freeway Corridors: ODOT is developing and refining a Benefit/Cost methodology for improvements to freeway corridors, including potential pricing options.</p>	June 2013
<p>Local Jurisdictions’ Transportation System Plans: ODOT coordinates with and provides technical assistance and policy direction to local jurisdictions as they develop or update their transportation system plans or refinement plans.</p>	Ongoing
<p>Local Jurisdictions Legislative Plan Amendments: ODOT coordinates with and provides technical assistance and policy direction to local jurisdictions as they develop concept plans, sub-area land use plans, and other legislative plan amendments.</p>	Ongoing
<p>Damascus TSP: ODOT is working with the City of Damascus, Clackamas County and Metro on the TSP for the entire City of Damascus, which includes a facility management and improvement plan for the segment of OR 212 within the City of Damascus.</p>	Dec 2011
<p>Interchange Area Management Plans and Subarea Refinement Plan: ODOT is working with local jurisdictions to develop coordinated plans for local streets systems, improvements, access management and land use in the vicinity of interchanges. Candidates areas include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I-84 @ Troutdale/257th • I-5/I-84 (Rose Quarter) Subarea Refinement Plan • US26 @ Brookwood Parkway/Shute Road IAMP • US 26 @ NW 185th Avenue Facility Plan in the Tanasbourne/Amberglen area. • 2 interchanges on the proposed Columbia River Crossing 	Ongoing
<p>TGM grants with regional significance:</p>	June 2012

**IV. CORRIDOR PLANS & PROJECTS OF REGIONAL SIGNIFICANCE
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (ODOT) PLANNING PROGRAM**

City of Forest Grove: Transit-Oriented Development Plan and Implementation Strategy
 City of Hillsboro - Tualatin Valley Highway Corridor Refinement Plan
 City of Portland - Outer Powell Blvd ROW Corridor and Implementation Plan
 Clackamas County - Park Avenue Light Rail Station Area Planning
 Metro/City of Tigard – High Capacity Transit Corridor land Use Plan
 City of Happy Valley - Rock Creek Comprehensive Plan and Town Center Update
 City of Oregon City TSP,
 City of Portland Cully Blvd. Main Street Plan,
 Clackamas County Regional center Area Pedestrian/Bicycle Connections,
 City of Wilsonville TSP,
 City of Wood Village TSP,

In addition, with the change in the TGM program to an annual cycle, a new set of TGM grants will be awarded in the 2011 Fiscal Year.

Jurisdictional Transfers: ODOT is developing a methodology for technical and policy evaluation of potential jurisdictional transfers. June 2013

Future of Transportation Financing: ODOT is conducting an evaluation of tolling, congestion pricing, and other funding alternatives to address transportation infrastructure financing. June 2013

ODOT Region 1's estimated SPR program budget for the 2011 fiscal year is \$ 2.3 million. The programmed funds, including a 20% state match, cover the following:

- MPO Coordination (Metro and Longview/Rainier/Kelso) - \$ 30,500
- Long Range Planning - \$ 977,500
- Development Review - \$ 300,000
- General Planning - \$496,557
- STIP Administration and Development - \$ 505,000

Funding History:

2009-10	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	1,505,994			
	Interfund Transfers	\$	0	SPR	\$	2,348,000
	Materials & Services	\$	842,006			
	TOTAL	\$	2,348,000	TOTAL	\$	
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing					
	Regular Full-Time FTE		20.23			
	TOTAL		20.23			
2010-11	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	1,589,126	SPR	\$	2,431,132
	Interfund Transfers	\$	0			
	Materials & Services	\$	842,006			
	TOTAL	\$	2,431,132	TOTAL	\$	2,431,132
	Full-Time Equivalent					

**IV. CORRIDOR PLANS & PROJECTS OF REGIONAL SIGNIFICANCE
 OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (ODOT) PLANNING PROGRAM**

	Staffing					
	<i>Regular Full-Time FTE</i>		20.23			
	TOTAL		20.23			

FY 2011-12 Costs and Funding Sources:

2011-12	Requirements:			Resources:		
	Personal Services	\$	1,943,307	<i>SPR + match</i>	\$	2,309,557
	Interfund Transfers	\$	0		\$	
	Materials & Services	\$	366,250			
	TOTAL	\$	2,309,557	TOTAL	\$	2,309,557
	Full-Time Equivalent Staffing		20.23			
	Regular Full-Time FTE		20.23			

METRO
FY 2011-12 Unified Planning Work Program Funding Summary

01/19/11

ODOT Key #	12 PL ODOT ¹	12 STP* (FFY 10) Metro	10 STP* (FFY 09) Metro	10 STP Guidebooks	12 ODOT Support Funds	12 Sec 5303*	11 Sec 5303*	12 TriMet Support	FTA Streetcar OR-39- 0002	12 Next Corridor STP (FFY 12)	CMAQ RTO OR95-X010 15547, 15548	TriMet/Bond	Other Anticipated Funds	Metro/Local Match	Total
<i>METRO</i>															
<i>Transportation Planning</i>															
1	154,085	141,677	24,310	-	87,561	97,604	2,638	79,559	-	-	-	-	1,124,246	398,377	2,110,057
2	8,267	83,087	16,773	150,000	-	49,098	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40,872	348,097
3	72,018	72,838	-	-	27,815	-	-	11,216	-	-	-	-	-	8,337	192,224
4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,606,237	-	-	155,031	1,761,268
5	129,896	127,348	10,193	-	13,768	132,689	108,951	90,481	-	-	-	-	-	76,152	689,478
6	62,182	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62,182
7	56,630	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56,630
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33,209	33,209
9	59,104	-	-	-	54,530	44,998	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,250	169,882
10	-	79,568	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66,574	146,142
<i>Research & Modeling</i>															
1	442,604	-	31,949	-	3,279	4,338	-	-	-	-	-	-	350,000	11,066	843,236
2	157,657	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	157,657
3	-	33,382	-	-	23,047	-	-	6,244	-	-	-	-	93,944	3,821	160,438
4	253,513	-	-	-	-	-	43,551	-	-	-	-	-	-	220,275	517,339
5	34,285	-	-	-	15,000	76,345	-	37,500	-	-	-	-	454,134	983,668	1,600,932
<i>Administrative Services</i>															
1	608,656	473,140	39,497	-	-	76,681	11,360	-	-	-	-	-	-	203,791	1,413,125
<i>Corridor Planning & Development</i>															
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	201,688	-	-	-	-	50,422	252,110
2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	326,617	-	-	291,065	37,384	655,066
3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,605,843	-	-	2,605,843
Metro Subtotal	2,038,897	1,011,040	122,722	150,000	225,000	481,753	166,500	225,000	201,688	326,617	1,606,237	2,605,843	2,313,389	2,300,229	13,774,915
GRAND TOTAL	2,038,897	1,011,040	122,722	150,000	225,000	481,753	166,500	225,000	201,688	326,617	1,606,237	2,605,843	2,313,389	2,300,229	13,774,915

*Federal funds only, no match included.

¹ PL funds include \$272,986 carryover from FY 10.

OTHER PROJECTS OF REGIONAL SIGNIFICANCE
FY 2011-12 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY

01/19/11

Project	ODOT Key	Jurisdiction	STP	CMAQ	TriMet	Federal/ Earmark	Other Funds/ Match(1)	TOTAL
<i>Sunrise Prkwy/Hwy 212/Damascus</i>		<i>Damascus</i>						
<i>Sullivan's Gulch Trail Planning Study</i>		<i>Portland</i>					25,640	
<i>SE 172nd Ave: Foster Rd. - Sunnyside Rd.</i>	15389	<i>Clackamas County</i>	224,000				205,738	249,640
<i>Tonquin Trail Master Plan</i>	14339	<i>Metro</i>	1,797,545					2,003,283
<i>LO to Milw Trail Master Plan</i>	14397	<i>Metro</i>	188,000				31,517	219,517
<i>LO to Milw Trail Master Plan</i>	14397	<i>Metro</i>	100,000				10,450	110,450
<i>Mt. Scott-Scouter's Mt. Loop Trail Master Plan</i>	14398	<i>Metro</i>	100,000				12,000	112,000
<i>Westside Trail Master Plan: Willamette-Tualatin</i>	15586	<i>Metro</i>					35,000	
<i>Fanno Creek Trail: Hall Boulevard Crossing</i>	15588	<i>THPRD</i>				300,000		335,000
<i>Council Creek Trail: Banks to Hillsboro</i>	17272		359,817				41,183	401,000
<i>Willamette Greenway Trail: N. Columbia Blvd. - Steel Bridge</i>	17269							
<i>SMART RTO Program</i>	16684	<i>Wilsonville</i>						
				64,184			6,592	70,776
<i>LO Transit Corridor FEIS/PE</i>		<i>TriMet</i>						
<i>Employer Outreach Program</i>		<i>TriMet</i>					6,000,000	6,000,000
<i>Bus Stop Development Program</i>	15552	<i>TriMet</i>		396,777	45412.00			442,189
<i>South Corridor I-205/Ptld Mall LR Before/After Evaluation</i>		<i>TriMet</i>		1,036,309	118,610			1,154,919
<i>Wa Cty Commuter Rail Before/After Evaluation</i>		<i>TriMet</i>				318,000	212,000	530,000
<i>I-5 Columbia River Crossing</i>		<i>ODOT</i>				70,000	70,000	140,000
<i>ODOT Planning Program</i>		<i>ODOT</i>					130,550,000	130,550,000
GRAND TOTAL			359,817	1,497,270	164,022	388,000	136,879,775	139,288,884

Reserved for

Southwest Washington
Regional Transportation Council

Unified Planning Work Program
FY 2011-12

DRAFT