

BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE  
METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF RECOMMENDING	)	RESOLUTION NO. 86-629
TO THE OREGON TRANSPORTATION	)	
COMMISSION REGIONAL PRIORITY	)	Introduced by the
PROJECTS FOR INCLUSION IN THE	)	Joint Policy Advisory
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTA-	)	Committee on Transportation
TION SIX-YEAR HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT	)	
PROGRAM	)	

WHEREAS, The Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) provides for updating the Six-Year Highway Improvement Program every two years; and

WHEREAS, This update process identifies changing needs of state highways and requested projects from local governments; and

WHEREAS, This Six-Year Highway Improvement Program will additionally include a \$200 million State Modernization Program; and

WHEREAS, The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Subcommittee, working with Metropolitan Service District staff, has developed a series of priority projects recommended for inclusion in the Six-Year Program; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED,

1. That the Council of the Metropolitan Service District endorses the project priorities set forth in Attachments "A," "B" and "C" for presentation to the Oregon Transportation Commission public hearings scheduled for February 27, March 11 and March 12, 1986.

2. That the Council of the Metropolitan Service District requests of the Oregon Department of Transportation and the Oregon Transportation Commission that the projects listed be incorporated in the Six-Year Highway Improvement Program for funding.

3. That Metropolitan Service District staff coordinate these project priorities and requests with the Oregon Department of Transportation and the Oregon Transportation Commission.

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District this 27TH day of FEBRUARY, 1986.

  
Richard Waker, Presiding Officer

AC/srs  
5064C/435-5  
02/18/86

ATTACHMENT "A"

INTERSTATE PROJECTS RECOMMENDED FOR INCLUSION  
IN THE ODOT SIX-YEAR HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

1. "Interstate" Funded Projects -- All remaining projects programmed for completion of the Interstate System and eligible for "Interstate" funds are recommended for funding since it is expected that full funding for completion of the Interstate System is expected to be available during this time period:

I-5/Marquam ramps	\$21.0 million
I-84/122nd to 181st	17.0
I-84/181st to Sundial Road	<u>41.0<sup>1</sup></u>
	\$79.0 million

2. Interstate-4R Priorities for Construction --

CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

a. Multnomah County

I-5/I-84 to Greeley	\$20.0 million
I-205/Airport Way	
WB to SB Ramp	7.0
SB Auxiliary Lane	0.7
EB to SB Ramp	0.5
I-84/223rd Interchange (consideration)	13.0 <sup>1</sup>
I-84/238th Interchange	<u>* 1</u>
SUBTOTAL	\$41.2 million

\* Funding in excess of that provided under "1" above.

b. Clackamas County

I-205/Sunnyside Interchange	
Phase 1 - Offramps	\$ 0.5 million
Phase 2 - Reconstruction	7.4
I-205 Bikepath - Sunnyside to Main	0.65
I-5/I-205 Auxiliary Lanes	1.5
I-5/Kruse Way Interchange (including Bangy Road)	7.0
I-5/Wilsonville Interchange	<u>4.0</u>
SUBTOTAL	\$21.05 million

c. Washington County

I-5/Highway 217 Interchange	\$ 6.0 million
I-5 Auxiliary Lanes N of I-205	<u>5.3</u>

SUBTOTAL \$11.3 million

TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR CONSTRUCTION \$69.55 million

3. Interstate-4R Priorities for Development

I-5/Capitol Highway Interchange	\$ 4.5 million
I-5/Terwilliger Curves	<u>12.45</u>

Balance of I-84 Reconstruction - East of 181st \* 1

I-5/Interchange in the Stafford-Boeckman Area	<u>10.5</u>
---	-------------

TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR DEVELOPMENT \$27.45 million

---

\*Funding in excess of that provided under "1" above.

1Upgrading of I-84 from 181st to Sundial Road involves reconstruction to Interstate standards, provision of four travel lanes with ability to expand to six, elimination of hazardous curves and obstacles, and upgrading of interchanges to be funded with a combination of "Interstate" and "Interstate-4R" funds. The elements of this project recommended for implementation include all "Interstate" funded elements plus the I-84/238th interchange if "Interstate-4R" funded. In addition, a new interchange at 223rd is identified for consideration. The remainder of the project is recommended for development with implementation to be pursued at a later date.

5064C/435

ATTACHMENT "B"

PROJECTS ON THE STATE HIGHWAY SYSTEM  
RECOMMENDED FOR INCLUSION IN THE  
ODOT SIX-YEAR HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

NE Portland Highway 82nd - I-205 at 60th	\$ 1.6 million 2.2
Marine Drive at BNRR	7.0
Sunset Highway at Zoo	3.0
Highway 224/212 - Ross Island Bridge to U.S. 26 Reconnaissance Phase 1 Construction (such as 224/Harrison interchange and 212 climbing lane)	0.5 5.0
US 26 - Cornelius Pass	4.8
US 26 - Cornell	5.2
US 26 - 185th	5.0
Highway 217 at 99W	4.6
Scholls Ferry Road	3.8
Farmington Road (to 185th)	4.6
257th Extension (Graham Road)	1.7
State Street (to include application for \$0.4m of HES funds)	0.3-0.7
Highway 217 Ramp Metering	0.4
TV Highway Reconnaissance	0.3
Sandy Boulevard - 122nd to 238th	<u>4.7</u>
TOTAL STATE HIGHWAY SYSTEM	\$54.7-\$55.1 million

5064C/435

ATTACHMENT "C"

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS  
RECOMMENDED FOR INCLUSION IN THE  
ODOT SIX-YEAR HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

A. Recommended for Implementation

N.E. 181st/Airport Way	
Unit I	\$ 0.62 million
*Unit II	3.88
*223rd Avenue Halsey to Stark	1.5
*Johnson Creek Boulevard Extension	1.8
*Tualatin - Sherwood Road	4.5
Baseline Road	<u>3.9</u>
SUBTOTAL	\$16.2 million

\*Top priority projects for implementation.

B. Recommended for Consideration for funding now or future allocations or recommended for development:

S.E. 9th/Division/SPRR	\$ 0.15 million
Beavercreek Road	2.6
Cornelius Pass Road	1.45
Shute Road	1.49
Marine Drive - Portland Road to Slough	2.3
Harbor Drive	2.6
Glisan Street - 203rd to 223rd	1.25
37th Avenue - RR Avenue to Highway 224	<u>.68</u>
	\$12.52 million

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 86-629 FOR THE  
PURPOSE OF RECOMMENDING TO THE OREGON TRANSPORTA-  
TION COMMISSION REGIONAL PRIORITY PROJECTS FOR  
INCLUSION IN THE OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTA-  
TION SIX-YEAR HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

---

Date: January 30, 1986

Presented by: Andrew Cotugno

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Proposed Action

1. Adopt Attachment "A" as recommended priorities for capacity improvements to the Interstate System for funding in the Six-Year Highway Improvement Program.
2. Adopt Attachment "B" as recommended priorities for state highway projects and for consideration by ODOT for funding in the Six-Year Highway Improvement Program.
3. Adopt Attachment "C" as recommended priorities for economic development projects (off the state highway system) for funding in the Six-Year Highway Improvement Program.

TPAC and JPACT have reviewed these listings of priority projects and recommend approval of Resolution No. 86-629.

Background and Analysis

In September, Metro established a process by which projects would be evaluated and prioritized for inclusion in the ODOT Six-Year Highway Program involving the following steps:

1. May 1, 1985      Deadline for submission of candidate projects by local jurisdictions.
2. September 1985      Acceptance of "Preliminary" priorities based upon local jurisdiction expression of priorities.
3. November 1985      Acceptance of "Preliminary" priorities based upon application of criteria adopted by OTC.
4. February 1986      Adopt "Final" priorities for presentation to OTC public hearings.

This action is in accordance with the fourth step in the process described above representing refinement of priorities from previously approved lists of projects. The basis for the priority projects was established taking into consideration criteria adopted by the OTC and in-depth reviews by the TIP Subcommittee of projects meeting that criteria.

#### FEDERAL-AID INTERSTATE PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS

Attachment "A" represents projects on the Interstate System utilizing Interstate and Interstate-4R funding which are recommended by the Metro region for inclusion in the Six-Year Highway Improvement Program. It is divided into construction projects (implementation) and development projects (future). The projects prioritized here involve all those projects using "Interstate" funds (FAI) available for completion of the Interstate System as well as capacity-type projects using "Interstate-4R" funds; not prioritized are resurfacing and rehabilitation-type projects using "Interstate-4R" funds. TPAC concurs with the recommendation.

#### STATE HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS

Attachment "B" is a list of projects finalized and recommended by the TIP Subcommittee for improving state highway facilities in the Metro region. These projects are presented here as candidates for Federal-Aid "Primary" funds, as well as the \$150 million portion of the State Modernization Program. The list calls for \$54.7 million; down some \$8.3 million from the first-cut priorities adopted in November. TPAC concurs with the recommendation.

#### ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS

The State Modernization Program includes \$25 million of funds reserved for projects that encourage economic development. Attachment "C" is a list of projects which have economic significance to the Metro region.

The TIP Subcommittee recommended four projects to be identified as top priority for implementation in the Six-Year Program with the remainder to be forwarded for consideration now or for later allocations:

- N.E. 181st/Airport Way - Unit II
- 223rd Avenue connection to I-84
- Johnson Creek Boulevard Extension
- Tualatin-Sherwood Road

Metro staff recommended the inclusion of two additional priorities for a total of six top priority projects:

- Baseline Road
- N.E. 181st/Airport Way - Unit I



Attachment "C" represents the recommendation of TPAC for adoption to include all six projects noted above as the recommended projects for implementation with the four projects asterisked denoted as top priority.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

The Executive Officer recommends approval of Resolution No. 86-629.

AC/srs  
5064C/435-5  
02/18/86

Councilor DeJardin said he had attended some of the local government meetings. He noted no suggestions were made to reduce IRC services. Rather, he noted supportive and positive comments were received and some local government representatives recommended expanding IRC services.

Vote: A vote on the motion resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Cooper, DeJardin, Frewing, Gardner, Hansen, Kirkpatrick, Kafoury, Kelley, Van Bergen and Waker

Absent: Councilors Myers and Oleson

The motion carried and Resolution No. 86-628 was adopted.

9.2 Resolution No. 86-629, for the Purpose of Recommending to the Oregon Transportation Commission Regional Priority Projects for Inclusion in the Oregon Department of Transportation Six-Year Highway Improvement Program

Andy Cotugno explained Attachments A, B and C of the Resolution listed recommended priorities for projects proposed to be funded by the Six-Year Highway Improvement Program. TPAC and JPACT had reviewed those priority projects, he said, and had recommended adoption of the Resolution.

Presiding Officer Waker, Chair of JPACT, commended the committee's consensus building process and recommended adoption of the Resolution.

Motion: Councilor Van Bergen moved Resolution No. 86-629 be adopted and Councilor Kafoury seconded the motion.

Vote: A vote on the motion resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Cooper, DeJardin, Frewing, Gardner, Hansen, Kirkpatrick, Kafoury, Kelley, Van Bergen and Waker

Absent: Councilors Myers and Oleson

The motion carried and Resolution No. 86-629 was adopted.

The Presiding Officer noted the Resolution would be presented at a Transportation Commission hearing later in the evening which he would attend.