

BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE  
METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADOPTING ) RESOLUTION NO. 86-631  
PRIORITIES AND OBJECTIVES FOR THE )  
METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT ) Introduced by  
FOR THE YEARS 1986 and 1987 ) Presiding Officer Waker and  
 ) Executive Officer Gustafson

WHEREAS, The Metropolitan Service District reviews its priorities and objectives annually to ensure that they are an accurate reflection of its future direction; and

WHEREAS, Several of Metro's priorities and objectives have been accomplished or no longer accurately reflect its current activities; and

WHEREAS, The priorities and objectives provide a basis for the development and administration of Metro's programs and projects; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED,

That the 1986-87 Priorities and Objectives, attached as Exhibit "A," are hereby adopted by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District.

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District this 27th day of February, 1986.



---

Jim Gardner  
Deputy Presiding Officer

SLR  
5182C/445  
02/18/86

# **EXHIBIT "A"**

## **1986/1987 PRIORITIES/OBJECTIVES**

### **PRIORITY A**

#### **EFFECTIVELY ADMINISTER THE EXISTING SERVICES**

##### **OBJECTIVES:**

1. Maintain effective service operations.  
(Solid Waste, Zoo, Intergovernmental Resource Center)
2. Meet major program commitments adopted by the Council.  
(adopted budget programs)
3. Maintain periodic reviews of agencywide policies, procedures and programs.
  - a. Personnel policies and procedures
  - b. Contract procedures
  - c. Data processing plan

### **PRIORITY B**

#### **ESTABLISH AND MAINTAIN ADEQUATE AND FIRM FINANCIAL SUPPORT FOR ALL SERVICES**

##### **OBJECTIVES:**

1. Submit and secure passage of the tax base measure in May 1986 for zoo operations and required regional policy activities.
2. Prepare financing proposals for submittal to the 1987 Oregon Legislature as required to establish financial support for Metro services.
3. Prepare 5-year financial plans for Solid Waste and the Intergovernmental Resource Center.
4. Determine allocation of methane gas revenues.

## **PRIORITY C**

### **DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT THE SOLID WASTE REDUCTION PROGRAM**

#### **OBJECTIVES:**

1. Promote an understanding of the solid waste system needs, including the need to reduce the volume of waste being landfilled.
2. Implement reduce, reuse and recycle programs.
3. Select specific alternative technologies and vendors.
4. Review and comment on the Department of Environmental Quality waste disposal site.
5. Ensure that the region will have an environmentally safe and financially sound solid waste disposal site.

## **PRIORITY D**

### **IDENTIFY AND RESOLVE ISSUES OF REGIONAL AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL INTEREST**

#### **OBJECTIVES:**

1. Utilize the Intergovernmental Resource Center consensus-building model, where appropriate, in resolving regional issues.
2. Review regional services and Metro's future role in the provision of those services.
3. Prepare legislative action required for Metro to carry out its role and authority.
4. Determine the future role of Metro and regional government in the metropolitan area.

## **PRIORITY E**

### **INCREASE PUBLIC AWARENESS OF METRO'S ROLE IN THE REGION AND ASSURE THE OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT IN METRO'S DECISION-MAKING PROCESS**

#### **OBJECTIVES:**

1. Establish direct, two-way communication with citizens in the region in order to listen and respond to their need for services.

2. Increase the Council's leadership role in promoting public support for Metro.
3. Create a clear understanding of the services Metro offers, our areas of responsibility and our achievements.

### **PRIORITY F**

#### **REVIEW THE FUTURE DIRECTION AND ROLE OF METRO'S ZOO IN THE REGION**

##### **OBJECTIVES:**

1. Review the role and purpose of the Zoo in the region.
2. Update the Zoo Master Plan to reflect future priorities, including consideration of off-site facilities.
3. Proceed with capital construction projects in accordance with the master plan.

9.3 Consideration of Resolution No. 86-630, for the Purpose of Adopting Mission and Purposes of the Metropolitan Service District, and Consideration of Resolution No. 86-631, for the Purpose of Adopting Priorities and Objectives for the Metropolitan Service District for the Years 1986 and 1987

Don Carlson presented the two Resolutions as a culmination of the Council's annual process of reviewing and revising its mission, purposes, priorities and objectives. He recommended adoption of both Resolutions.

Main Motion: Councilor DeJardin moved the adoption of Resolution No. 86-630 and Councilor Kirkpatrick seconded the motion.

Estle Harlan, Consultant for the Oregon Sanitary Service Institute, 2202 S.E. Lake Road, Milwaukie, distributed a letter to the Council recommending an amendment to Resolution No. 86-630. She proposed item 1 under the heading "Purposes" be changed to read: "In carrying out its mission, Metro will: 1) Provide authorized services including solid waste [management and] disposal and development of a waste reduction program, zoo operations and urban growth boundary management." She explained this change would clarify that Metro had no authority over collection of solid waste.

Presiding Officer Waker pointed out Oregon statutes clearly defined the term "solid waste management" and limited Metro's authority over solid waste collection. After extensive discussion on the wording of the first "Purposes" paragraph, Ms. Harlan agreed to the following amendment moved by Councilors Van Bergen and Hansen.

First Motion to Amend: Councilor Van Bergen moved the first item of the "Purposes" statement be changed to read: "In carrying out its mission, Metro will: 1) Provide authorized services including solid waste management (exclusive of collection) and disposal, zoo operations and urban growth boundary management." Councilor Hansen seconded the motion.

Councilor Gardner said he would not support the amendment because the Solid Waste Reduction Program could involve planning for some aspects of solid waste collection.

Eleanore Baxendale explained Metro currently had the authority to develop a regional solid waste management plan. She said it was historically clear that plan included collection. She cautioned the Council about excluding that planning responsibility in the Resolution.

Presiding Officer Waker then read a letter from Joe W. Cancilla, Jr., President of the Portland Association of Sanitary Service Operators (PASSO), dated February 27, 1986. Mr. Cancilla raised the same concerns as Ms. Harlan.

Councilor Kirkpatrick said she would vote against the amendment and proposed adding the work "planning" after the word "management" to address the concerns raised by Ms. Harlan and Mr. Cancilla. Ms. Harlan said Councilor Kirkpatrick's proposed language would be suitable.

Withdrawal of First Motion to Amend: Councilors Van Bergen and Hansen withdrew their motion in favor of the language proposed by Councilor Kirkpatrick.

Second Motion to Amend: Councilor Kirkpatrick moved the first item of the "Purposes" statement be changed to read: "In carrying out its mission, Metro will: Provide authorized services including solid waste management planning and disposal, zoo operations and urban growth boundary management." Councilor Hansen seconded the motion.

Vote on Second Motion to Amend: The vote resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Cooper, DeJardin, Frewing, Gardner, Hansen, Kirkpatrick, Kafoury, Kelley, Van Bergen and Waker

Absent: Councilors Myers and Oleson

The motion carried and the Resolution was amended.

Vote on the Main Motion as Amended: The vote resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Cooper, DeJardin, Frewing, Gardner, Hansen, Kirkpatrick, Kafoury, Kelley, Van Bergen and Waker

Absent: Councilors Myers and Oleson

The motion carried and Resolution No. 86-630 was adopted as amended.

Motion: Councilor Kirkpatrick moved Resolution No. 86-631 be adopted and Councilor Kelley seconded the motion.

Vote: The vote resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Cooper, DeJardin, Frewing, Gardner, Kirkpatrick, Kafoury, Kelley, Van Bergen and Waker

Nay: Councilor Hansen

Absent: Councilors Myers and Oleson

The motion carried and Resolution No. 86-631 was adopted.

Presiding Officer Waker left the meeting to attend a hearing before the Transportation Commission. Deputy Presiding Officer Gardner chaired the remainder of the meeting.

#### 10. OTHER BUSINESS

##### 10.1 Consideration of a Contract Award to Gershman, Brickner & Bratton, Inc. for Professional Consulting Engineering Services for Implementation of the Resource Recovery Project

Doug Drennen introduced Debbie Allmeyer who reviewed the consultant selection process for the contract. She explained four firms had responded to Metro's request for proposals: Gershman, Brickner & Bratton, Inc.; HDR Techserv; R. W. Beck & Associates; and CSI/PRA. The four firms were interviewed and rated according to predetermined criteria. Councilor Gardner participated in the interviews. Staff recommended awarding the engineering contract to Gershman, Brickner & Bratton, Inc. (GBB) for an amount not to exceed \$235,000 over three phases.

In response to Councilor Van Bergen's request, Ms. Allmeyer reviewed the scope of work for the contract. She said the consultants would assist in identifying key issues for the resource recovery project, would help prepare requests for qualifications for alternative technology facility or facilities and the criteria on which consultants would base their responses. The contract was being brought before the Council as part of the work plan for the Solid Waste Reduction Program adopted by the Council.

Councilor Cooper asked for an explanation of the project's budget. Mr. Drennen reported \$50,000 had been budgeted for the project this fiscal year. Although the full contract would carry over into next fiscal year, Phase 1 of the project would end June 30, 1986, and the remainder of the contract would be terminated if funds were not budgeted for the project's continuance.

Jack Deines, collector and Milwaukie resident, questioned the need for the contract. He pointed out staff had previously performed