

BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE
METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXTENDING |) | RESOLUTION NO. 86-725 |
| THE DEADLINE FOR RESPONSES TO |) | |
| REQUESTS FOR PROPOSALS FOR |) | Introduced by the |
| RESOURCE RECOVERY PROJECTS |) | Executive Officer |

WHEREAS, The Council originally intended to receive proposals from six firms representing composting, refuse derived fuel, and mass burn incineration technologies; and

WHEREAS, The deadline for submitting proposals is January 8, 1987; and

WHEREAS, Four of the potential proposers have requested extensions of time in submitting responses; and

WHEREAS, A deadline extension from January 8 to January 30, 1987, is not so long as to adversely affect the project; and

WHEREAS, The extension will promote competition among firms and technologies to the benefit of the region; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED,

That the deadline for submitting responses to Metro's requests for proposals for resource recovery projects is changed from January 8, 1987, to January 30, 1987.

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District this 18th day of December, 1986.


Richard Waker, Presiding Officer

DA/ESB/amn

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 86-725, FOR THE
PURPOSE OF EXTENDING THE DEADLINE FOR RESPONSES
TO REQUESTS FOR PROPOSALS FOR RESOURCE RECOVERY
PROJECTS

Date: December 18, 1986

Presented By: Debbie Allmeyer

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

The Council's Solid Waste Committee met on Wednesday, December 17, to consider requests by four proposers (Reuter, Compost; Combustion Engineering, RDF; Flour, mass incineration/RDF; and; Reidel, compost) for an extension of time to submit the responses to Metro's request for proposals (RFP) for resource recovery projects. The longest request was until mid-February and the shortest was until January 23. Based on this, an extension from January 8 until January 30 seems not only reasonable but also desirable to retain the number and variety of responses that the Council originally anticipated.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

The Executive Officer recommends adoption of Resolution No. 86-725.

DA/ESB/amn

issue in Oregon City had been objection to use of the property for a garbage burning plant, not a composting plant as now proposed in a preliminary sense.

Councilor Kelley suggested consideration of the Resolution be delayed until January 8 in order for the Council to become informed about the sites proposed by various vendors for resource recovery projects. The Council would need to spend time in the community explaining the proposed projects after final proposals were made public, she said.

Councilor Hansen said he assumed office shortly after Metro's proposed garbage burning plant had been rejected by Oregon City voters. He recalled extensive public hearings had been conducted to hear about alternatives to burning. Oregon City residents had overwhelmingly suggested composting as an alternative to burning garbage.

Councilor DeJardin said he would move for adoption of the Resolution even though he lived in the Oregon City area. He explained Metro must move on with its plans for alternative technology.

Motion: Councilor DeJardin moved to adopt Resolution No. 86-721 and Councilor Kirkpatrick seconded the motion.

Motion to Amend: Councilor Knowles moved, seconded by Councilor DeJardin, to amend the Resolution to limit the transfer station site for use by a compost facility.

The Executive Officer suggested the Council postpone adoption of the motion and amendment until after consideration of the Resolution extending the deadline for resource recovery project proposals.

Withdrawal of Motion to Amend: Councilors Knowles and DeJardin moved to withdraw their motion to amend.

Further discussion of the Resolution took place after consideration of Agenda Item 8.7.

8.7 Consideration of Resolution No. 86-725, for the Purpose of Extending the Deadline for Responses to Requests for Proposals for Resource Recovery Projects

Ms. Allmeyer explained the Resolution was being introduced because the majority of vendors responding to the solid waste alternative technology project request for proposals had requested an extension be granted. Staff determined the proposals would be more responsive

if the deadline for submitting proposals were extended to January 30, 1987.

Motion: Councilor Ragsdale moved the Resolution be adopted and Councilor DeJardin seconded the motion.

Councilor Gardner, Chairman of the Council's Solid Waste Committee, reported the Committee unanimously supported adoption of the Resolution. He agreed with staff's report that an extension would result in more complete proposals.

Vote: A vote on the motion resulted in all twelve Councilors present voting aye.

The motion carried and Resolution No. 86-725 was adopted.

8.6 Consideration of Resolution No. 86-721, for the Purpose of Stating the Availability of Clackamas Transfer & Recycling Center (CTRC) Property

Note: This is a continuation of discussion which occurred before consideration of Agenda Item 8.7 above.

Councilor Hansen again posed the question of whether Resolution No. 86-721 should be continued to January 8, 1987. The Presiding Officer thought it reasonable to postpone consideration in order to give staff time to develop informational materials on the different sites to be proposed by vendors for resource recovery projects, as requested earlier by Councilor Kelley.

Councilor Ragsdale questioned whether other vendors would cease looking for sites if it were known the CTRC site were available. The Executive Officer explained it would not necessarily be in a vendor's best interest to propose the CTRC site because of the possibility of permit problems. Ms. Allmeyer added that the Oregon City charter prevented certain types of technologies from using the CTRC site.

Motion to Continue Matter: Councilor Frewing moved consideration of Resolution No. 86-721 be continued to the January 8, 1987, Council meeting. Councilor Collier seconded the motion.

Vote on Motion to Continue: The vote on the motion resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Collier, Cooper, Frewing, Gardner, Hansen, Kelley, Kirkpatrick, Knowles, Ragsdale, Van Bergen and Waker