# BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING FOR	)	RESOLUTION NO. 87-736
THE ASSESSMENT OF DUES TO LOCAL	)	
GOVERNMENTS FOR FY 1987-88	)	Introduced by the
	)	Executive Officer

WHEREAS, ORS 268.513 authorizes the Council of the Metropolitan Service District (Metro) to:

"charge the cities and counties within the District for the services and activities carried out under ORS 268.380 and 268.390."; and

WHEREAS, Metro Ordinance No. 84-180 requires that the Metro Council seek the advice of local government officials regarding dues level through the Intergovernmental Resource Committee and this condition has been fulfilled; now, therefore,

#### BE IT RESOLVED,

- 1. That the Metro Council hereby establishes local government dues assessments within the District in the amount of \$.51 per capita for FY 1987-88.
- 2. That notification of the assessment be sent to all cities and counties within the District prior to March 3, 1987.

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District this 26th day of February , 1987.

Richard Waker, Presiding Officer

RB/sm 6935C/491-2 02/19/87

268.513 Service charge for planning functions of district. (1) The council shall consult with the advisory committee appointed under ORS 268.170 before determining whether it is necessary to charge the cities and counties within the district for the services and activities carried out under ORS 268.380 and 268.390. If the council determines that it is necessary to charge cities and counties within the district for any fiscal year, it shall determine the total amount to be charged and shall assess each city and county with the portion of the total amount as the population of the portion of the city or county within the district bears to the total population of the district provided, however, that the service charge shall not exceed the rate of 51 cents per capita per year. For the purposes of this subsection the population of a county does not include the population of any city situated within the boundaries of that county. The population of each city and county shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the council.

- (2) The council shall notify each city and county of its intent to assess and the amount it proposes to assess each city and county at least 120 days before the beginning of the fiscal year for which the charge will be made.
- (3) The decision of the council to charge the cities and counties within the district, and the amount of the charge upon each, shall be binding upon those cities and counties. Cities and counties shall pay their charge on or before October 1 of the fiscal year for which the charge has been made.
- (4) When the council determines that it is necessary to impose the service charges authorized under subsection (1) of this section for any fiscal year, each mass transit district organized under ORS chapter 267 and port located wholly or partly within the district shall also pay a service charge to the district for that fiscal year for the services and activities carried out under ORS 268.380 and 268.390. The charge for a mass transit district or port shall be the amount obtained by applying, for the population of the mass transit district or port within the boundaries of the district, a per capita charge that is 12-1/2 percent of the per capita rate established for cities and counties for the same fiscal year.

Subsections (2) and (3) of this section apply to charges assessed under this subsection.

(5) This section shall not apply to a fiscal year that ends later than June 30, 1989. [1977 c.665 §16; 1979 c.804 §10; 1981 c.353 §5; 1985 c.210 §1]

EXHIBIT B

PROPOSED LOCAL GOVERNMENT DUES1

UNIT	Pop. Est.1 1986	Proposed Dues FY 1987-88 at \$0.51/per capita
Clackamas Co. (unincorp.)	87,453	\$ 44,601.03
Gladstone	9,570	4,880.70
Happy Valley	1,470	749.70
Johnson City	380	193.80
Lake Oswego	26,035	13,277.85
Milwaukie	17,685	9,019.35
Oregon City	14,360	7,323.60
Rivergrove	310	158.10
West Linn	13,130	6,696.30
Wilsonville	4,180	2,131.80
Multnomah Co. (unincorp.)	108,358	55,262.58
Fairview	1,895	966.45
Gresham	42,715	21,784.65
Maywood Park	835	425.85
Portland	398,160	203,061.60
Troutdale	7,095	3,618.45
Wood Village	2,605	1,328.55
Washington Co. (unincorp.)	125,352	63,929.52
Beaverton	35,025	17,862.75
Cornelius	5,075	2,588.25
Durham	785	400.35
Forest Grove	11,930	. 6,084.30
Hillsboro	30,520	15,565.20
King City	1,965	1,002.15
Sherwood	2,880	1,468.80
Tigard	20,765	10,590.15
Tualatin	10,625	5,418.75
LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSESSMENT	981,158	\$500,390.58
Port of Portland		62,548.82
Tri-Met		62,548.82
TOTAL PROPOSED ASSESSMENT		\$625,488.23

Population estimate based upon July 1, 1986, certified estimates of population for Oregon cities and counties prepared by the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

6935C/491 02/19/87

EXHIBIT B

PROPOSED LOCAL GOVERNMENT DUES<sup>1</sup>

UNIT	Pop. Est. <sup>1</sup> 1986	Proposed Dues FY 1987-88 at \$0.51/per capita
Clackamas Co. (unincorp.) Gladstone Happy Valley Johnson City Lake Oswego Milwaukie Oregon City Rivergrove West Linn Wilsonville	87,453 9,570 1,470 380 26,035 17,685 14,360 310 13,130 4,180	\$ 44,601.03 4,880.70 749.70 193.80 13,277.85 9,019.35 7,323.60 158.10 6,696.30 2,131.80
Multnomah Co. (unincorp.) Fairview Gresham Maywood Park Portland Troutdale Wood Village	108,358 1,895 42,715 835 398,160 7,095 2,605	55,262.58 966.45 21,784.65 425.85 203,061.60 3,618.45 1,328.55
Washington Co. (unincorp.) Beaverton Cornelius Durham Forest Grove Hillsboro King City Sherwood Tigard Tualatin	125,352 35,025 5,075 785 11,930 30,520 1,965 2,880 20,765 10,625	63,929.52 17,862.75 2,588.25 400.35 6,084.30 15,565.20 1,002.15 1,468.80 10,590.15 5,418.75
LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSESSMENT	981,158	\$500,390.58
Port of Portland		62,548.82
Tri-Met		62,548.82
TOTAL PROPOSED ASSESSMENT		\$625,488.23

Population estimate based upon July 1, 1986, certified estimates of population for Oregon cities and counties prepared by the Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.

STAFF REPORT

Agenda Item No.  $_{-}$  9 Meeting Date Feb. 26, 1987

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 87-736 FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF DUES TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS FOR FY 1987-88

Date: February 26, 1987 Presented by: Richard Brandman

### FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

## Assessment Authorization and Procedure

ORS 268.513 authorizes the Council to:

"charge the cities and counties within the district for the services and activities carried out under ORS 268.380 and 268.390."

If the Council determines that it is necessary to charge these local governments, it must determine the total amount to be charged and assess each city and county on a population basis. The population of the county cannot include the population of any city within that county. The Council's assessment cannot exceed \$.51 per capita per year. The Council has the ability to determine the population of each city and county.

In making the assessment, the Council is required to notify each city and county of its intent to assess and the amount of the assessment at least 120 days before the beginning of the fiscal year for which the charge will be made. The Council must make the notification for the FY 1987-88 assessment prior to March 3, 1987. Assessments charged to cities and counties are mandatory and they must pay them before October 1, 1987.

A copy of ORS 268.513 is attached as Exhibit A.

Metro Ordinance No. 84-180 established a local officials advisory committee for the purpose of recommending an Intergovernmental Resource Center work program and related dues level. This advisory committee has met to review the related material and formulate a recommendation. Their recommended dues assessment is \$.51 per capita. Tri-Met and the Port of Portland are assessed at .125 of this rate.

## Proposed FY 1987-88 Assessment

Exhibit B attached shows the proposed assessments for FY 1987-88.

The proposed assessments are based upon the latest certified population figures from the Center for Population Research and Census at Portland State University. Each county's unincorporated population estimate is based upon data provided by the Center for Population Research using a formula devised by Metro staff.

#### COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION AND RECOMMENDATION

On February 23, 1987, the Intergovernmental Resource Center Advisory Committee recommended Council adoption of a FY 1987-88 Local Government Dues Assessment of \$.51 per capita.

#### EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

The Executive Officer recommends adoption of Resolution No. 87-736.

RB/sm 6935C/491-2 02/19/87 Metro Council February 26, 1987 Page 5

8. CONSIDERATION OF ORDER NO. 87-13, Authorizing the Executive Officer to Enter into a Lease Agreement with Turner Construction Company for Space at 2000 S.W. First Avenue, Portland, Oregon

The Presiding Officer announced because the lease was under \$50,000, the matter had been referred to the Council Management Committee for consideration. Councilor Gardner, Chair of the Management Committee, reported at their meeting earlier in the evening, the Committee unanimously approved the Order.

9. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 87-736, for the Purpose of Providing for the Assessment of Dues to Local Governments for FY 1987-1988

Councilor DeJardin, member of the Intergovernment Resource Committee, reported most members of the the Committee recommended maintaining the 51¢ per capita dues amount. A few members, however, had advised decreasing FY 1987-1988 per capita dues. That recommendation had been made because the Intergovernmental Resource Center (IRC) had received an unanticipated grant the previous fiscal year which would result in a FY 1987-88 budget carryover of approximately \$100,000.

Marc Madden, Acting IRC Administrator, reported he had reviewed work programs and staffing levels over the past two months and would propose restoring 1.5 FTE to handle the unusually heavy workload in the department. Given that workload and the high regard for Metro's services throughout the region, Mr. Madden thought maintaining the 51¢ dues level most reasonable.

Presiding Officer Waker noted the dues program would sunset in two years and another funding source would have to be found to finance services to local governments.

<u>Motion</u>: Councilor DeJardin moved Resolution No. 87-736 be adopted and Councilor Collier seconded the motion.

Vote: A vote on the motion resulted in all twelve Councilors present voting aye. Councilor Cooper was absent.

The motion carried and Resolution No. 87-736 was adopted.

CONSIDERATION OF A CONTRACT with Bishop Contractors to Construct the Africa Bush Exhibit, Phases I and II

Kay Rich, Zoo Assistant Director, introduced Bob Porter, Zoo Construction Manager, and Bill Conway and Les Anderson of Bishop