COMMITTEE MEETING TITLE TPACE	
DATE 1/14/82 - 7:30 am.	
NAME	AFFILIATION
6 Bebe Rucker	Multoomah Co.
G Tel spina	0005
G STEVE DOTTERRER	CMY OF PORTLAND
M HILDRED SEBUAD	
M Bob Bollman	OPOT
M- Hoord Jumas Draw	CLACKAMUS CO.
M LARRY COLE	City of BEAVERTUN
M Chalie Williamson	nutro
M AL MYERS	CITY OF GRESHAM
(M) J. (PEWING	MRI. MET
M ROBIN LINDQUIST	CITY OF GLADSTONE
M-A DAVE STURDEVANT	CLARK County
M ED FERGUSON	WSDOT
M DOCK POKORNOWSKi	VANCOUVER City
M confly Linkpatrick	Metro
M Marrie Ryping	Metro
19 Jan Flike	WASheo Comm.
6 Lary Rice	1 /
G Vic Rhodas	Cityon Portland
G. Mar/ Neuburger .	Citizens for Retter Highways
F PAUL BAY	TRI-MET
15 John Price	FHWA
G JOHN KOWALCZYK	DEQ

DATE	
NAME	AFFILIATION
Bruce Ettinger	n to
	Metro
Minston Kurth	Clark Co.
BOB HAAS	MISTRO
Keith hawform	Medro
Sampes Greseling te	4
Richard Brandman	
- Sarah Salazar	Port of Portland
- Bill Pattis	Wetro
- PEG Hanwood	1
Robert Berman	Washington County - Pt
Dave Rach	W500T
John Callerton	Metro
Gil Mallery	Recog Clark County

#### AGENDA MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

TO: JPACT

FROM: Executive Officer

SUBJECT: Adopting the Ozone and Carbon Monoxide State

Implementation Plans for the Oregon Portion of the Portland-Vancouver Air Quality Maintenance Area

#### I. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- A. ACTION REQUESTED: Council adoption of the attached Resolution adopting the Ozone and Carbon Monoxide State Implementation Plans for the Oregon portion of the Portland-Vancouver Air Quality Maintenance Area (AQMA).
- B. POLICY IMPACT: This action will adopt control strategies which ensure attainment of the carbon monoxide standard by 1985 and the ozone standard by the federally mandated deadline of 1987. Attainment of the air quality standards for both pollutants will be achieved without adopting any new control measures. The standards will be met by continuing programs already in existence and those that have secure funding sources.
- C. BUDGET IMPACT: None.

# II. ANALYSIS:

BACKGROUND: Metro has been designated by the Governor of A. Oregon to be the lead planning agency for carbon monoxide and ozone in the Oregon portion of the Portland-Vancouver Air Quality Maintenance Area. However, the City of Portland has prepared the Carbon Monoxide State Implementation Plan because all future violations of the carbon monoxide standard in this region are projected to be in the City of Portland. The Portland City Council has adopted this plan and submitted it to Metro so that Metro may also adopt it for submittal to the State of Oregon. Almost all measures called for in this plan will be implemented by the City of Portland and Tri-Met. measures requiring Metro participation, a bicycle promotion program and a rideshare program, have been awarded federal grants which Metro has already received.

The Ozone State Implementation Plan was prepared jointly by Metro and DEQ. A similar plan is being prepared for the Washington portion of the AQMA by the Regional Planning Council of Clark County. The ozone plan projects attainment of the ozone standard by the 1987 deadline using stationary and mobile source control measures already in place, including major industrial controls and biennial automobile inspection/maintenance.

FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADOPTING THE OZONE AND CARBON MONOXIDE STATE IMPLEMENTATION PLANS FOR THE OREGON PORTION OF THE PORTLAND-VANCOUVER AIR QUALITY MAINTENANCE AREA

WHEREAS, Metro has been designated by the Governor of Oregon as lead agency for ozone and carbon monoxide air quality planning in the Oregon portion of the Portland-Vancouver Air Quality Maintenance Area; and

WHEREAS, Metro, the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality and the City of Portland have jointly developed control strategies (State Implementation Plans) which will provide for attainment of the carbon monoxide standard by 1985 and the ozone standard by the legally mandated 1987 deadline; and

WHEREAS, Metro must adopt these plans and submit them to the State of Oregon allowing sufficient time for the State to proceed with its public hearing and adoption process to meet the federally mandated July 1982 plan submittal deadline; and

WHEREAS, Failure to adopt these plans could result in the Environmental Protection Agency and the U. S. Department of Transportation withholding federal aid transportation and sewage treatment plant construction funding; and

WHEREAS, The Ozone State Implementation Plan calls for the administration of a "growth cushion" policy; and

WHEREAS, An agreement must be reached with the State of Washington before a growth cushion policy can be implemented; now, therefore,

Again, all measures included in this plan, with the exception of the bicycle and rideshare programs already discussed, will be implemented by Tri-Met, Oregon Department of Transportation, the City of Portland, and DEO.

The ozone plan also projects that in the entire interstate AQMA in 1987, there will be 1,700 kilograms/day fewer emissions than needed to meet the ozone standard. The Department of Environmental Quality staff is recommending that this surplus in emissions be administered as a "growth cushion" so that new or expanding industries (which emit hydrocarbons after required pollution control equipment is installed) wishing to locate in the region can do so without finding emission offsets. Because the growth cushion is available regionwide, an agreement must be reached with the State of Washington as to how the cushion should be allocated. The Bi-State Policy Advisory Committee has already met regarding this issue and will make a recommendation to both states.

B. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: The region must adopt control strategies for carbon monoxide and ozone or risk losing federal transportation and sewage capital improvement funds. Additional control measures were considered for inclusion in these plans, but because of the attainment projection, Metro and DEQ staff feel that it is unwise to commit to any new measures which do not have secure funding.

For the ozone plan, an offset policy was considered, meaning that any major new hydrocarbon industrial sources wishing to locate in the region would have to find emission offsets. Metro and DEQ staff feel that because there is projected to be a surplus in emission reductions over what will be required to meet the ozone standard in 1987, this surplus should be administered as a growth cushion. Doing this will remove an obstacle that new industries wishing to locate here would have to overcome.

C. CONCLUSION: Metro staff recommends approval of the attached Resolution.

RB/srb 5182B/283 02/01/82

# BE IT RESOLVED,

- 1. That the Metro Council adopts the Ozone and Carbon Monoxide State Implementation Plans for the Oregon portion of the Air Quality Maintenance Area and hereby submits them to the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality.
- 2. That the Metro Council directs the Bi-State Policy Advisory Committee to recommend to the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality and the Washington Department of Ecology how the regional growth cushion should be allocated between Oregon and Washington and that the recommendation be incorporated into this Ozone State Implementation Plan for adoption by the Oregon Environmental Quality Commission before submittal to the Environmental Protection Agency.

RB/srb 5182B/283 02/01/82

#### AGENDA MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

TO: JPACT

FROM: Executive Officer

SUBJECT: Endorsing Project Priorities Using Interstate Transfer

Funds in FY 82

### I. RECOMMENDATIONS:

A. ACTION REQUESTED: Recommend Council adoption of the attached resolution which prioritizes highway projects receiving Interstate Transfer funds in FY 1982. This action is consistent with the Five Year Operational Plan.

- B. POLICY IMPACT: This action:
  - establishes project priorities for use of FY 82 Interstate Transfer funds (column 1982 in Attachment "A")
  - establishes reserve accounts
  - sets up a series of backup projects (column 1982 B in Attachment "A"), unprioritized except for Highway 217 and Sunset Interchange being first, and Front Avenue--Phase II being last.

TPAC has reviewed and approved this project.

C. BUDGET IMPACT: None.

# II. ANALYSIS:

- A. BACKGROUND: Some \$45.5 million in federal Interstate Transfer funds has been allocated for highway projects for the Portland region for FY 82. To utilize these funds, the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Subcommittee has recommended the priorities in column 1982 of Attachment "A." Coupled with the recommendations are a series of conditions consisting of:
  - 1. All Category I and Category II Multnomah County, Washington County and Clackamas County funding from construction cost underruns will be credited to a reserve account up to \$1 million to be used for cost overruns up to 10 percent of the originally allocated funding on other Category I and Category II Multnomah County, Washington County, Clackamas County projects; cost overruns in excess of 10 percent require approval of the TIP Subcommittee.
  - 2. All Category II Portland funding from cost underruns will be available for other Portland Category II projects.

- 3. All Category I and Category II Multnomah County, Washington County, Clackamas County funding from construction cost underruns in excess of overruns will be credited to the City of Portland for Category II projects up to \$2,757,489 (shown as 1982 A).
- 4. All Category I and Category II funding from construction cost underruns in excess of the \$2,757,489 City of Portland funding will be allocated to remaining projects or back-up projects identified as 1982 B in Attachment "A" by the TIP Subcommittee by August 1.
- 5. The FY 82 funding allocation does not constitute any prior commitment to the FY 83 funding allocation.
- B. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: All projects previously programmed for use of Interstate Transfer funding have been previously reviewed and endorsed by the Metro Council (TIP actions). Highest priority has been placed on providing funding for the Banfield project (\$12.4 million) and priority regional corridor projects (I-505, Powell). The remainder was distributed to local jurisdictions based upon the status of implementation of the individual projects. A series of backup projects was established and can be implemented if underruns occur in other projects. An alternative to fund jurisdictions through the use of a formula would have increased Portland's allocation rather than allowing those projects to be funded from cost underruns.
- C. CONCLUSION: Metro staff recommends approval of the attached resolution.

BP/gl 5202B/107 02/01/82 FOR THE PURPOSE OF ENDORSING PROJECT PRIORITIES USING INTER-STATE TRANSFER FUNDS IN FY 1982

WHEREAS, The Metro Council adopted Resolution No. 81-280 which endorsed the FY 82 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and

WHEREAS, The program of projects set forth in the TIP was based on the likelihood of receiving \$58.4 million in federal Interstate Transfer funds for its accomplishment; and

WHEREAS, The actual federal allocation to the Portland region for FY 1982 is \$45.5 million for highway projects; and

WHEREAS, The TIP Subcommittee has developed a revised FY 1982 program in keeping with the newly allocated funds; now, therefore,

#### BE IT RESOLVED,

- 1. That the \$45.5 million of FY 82 Interstate Transfer "highway" funding is allocated as shown on Attachment "A" subject to the following conditions:
  - All Category I and Category II Multnomah County, Washington County, Clackamas County funding from construction cost underruns will be credited to a reserve account up to \$1 million to be used for cost overruns up to 10 percent of the originally allocated funding on other Category I and Category II Multnomah County, Washington County, Clackamas County projects; cost overruns in excess of 10 percent require approval of the TIP Subcommittee;
  - b. All Category II Portland funding from cost underruns will be avilable for other Portland Category II projects;
  - c. All Category I and Category II Multnomah
    County, Washington County, Clackamas County
    funding from construction cost underruns in
    excess of overruns will be credited to the City
    of Portland for Category II projects up to

- \$2,757,489 (shown as 1982 A);
  d. All Category I and Category II funding from construction cost underruns in excess of the \$2,757,489 City of Portland funding will be allocated to remaining projects or back-up projects identified as 1982 B in Attachment "A" by the TIP Subcommittee by August 1; and
- e. This FY 82 funding allocation does not constitute any prior commitment to the FY 83 funding allocation.
- 2. That the TIP Subcommittee is directed to recommend an "eight-year" Interstate Transfer Program to provide the basis for determining FY 83 funding need and establishing FY 83 priorities.

BP/gl 5202B/107 02/01/82

PAGE 1

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

TENYR3

INTERSTATE TRANSFER FY 1982 PROGRAM HIGHWAY PROJECTS CATEGORY I PROJECTS

CATEGORY I PROJECTS IN FEDERAL \$ 29-Jan-82

		CT		

	PROJECT TITLE	1982	1982A	1982B	
~ ~ ~	**************************************	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	***************************************	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	,
1	BANFIELD TRANSITWAY-HIG	SHWAY FUND			CAT I
	CON	12,400,000	0	0	
2	POWELL II-50TH AVE TO			A TO SEE CHARLES OF THE MICHIGAN OF MARKET	CAT I
	СОИ	5,330,000	•	0	
3	REGIONAL RIDESHARE	270 200			CAT I
	OPG	270,000	0	0	
4	15 NORTH RIDESHARE OPG	70,000	0	0	CAT I
5	NICOLAI-FRONT TO ST HE				CAT I
	R/W	85,000	0	0	
	CON	1,870,000	0	0	
	TOTAL	1,955,000	0	0	
6	YEON AVE-ST HELENS RD				CAT I
	R/W	340,000	0	0	
	TOTAL	20,365,000	0	<u> </u>	

# INTERSTATE TRANSFER FY 1982 PROGRAM HIGHWAY PROJECTS CITY OF PORTLAND PROJECTS IN FEDERAL \$ 29-Jan-82

PROJECT TITLE				
	1982	1982A	1982B	
		~~~~~~~~~	**********	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
7 NW INTERSECTION IMPROV	JEMENTS			CAT II
PE	33,000	0	σ ,	
8 N COLUMBIA BLVD-OSWEGO	AU TO HO			CAT II
CON	3,910,000	0	0	
9 SW BROADWAY-SW 4TH TO				CAT II
PΈ	50,000	0	0	
10 SIGNAL REFLC-34 LOCATI	rons			CAT II
PE	25,000	. 0	σ	
11 SIGNAL REPLC-16 LOCATI				CAT II
CON	463,000	0	0	
12 SIGNAL REFLC-6 LOC-82N	In AUF			CAT II
COM	266,900	0	0	
13 MACADAM AVE-ROSS ISL T				CAT II
CON	194,338	V	V	
14 HOLLYWOOD DISTRICT TRA	ANSFORTATIO			CAT II
PE	35,000	0	0	
R/W	127,500	0	0	
TOTAL	162,500	0 _	0	
15 SE HOLGATE-SE 17TH TO	SE 28TH			CAT II
CON	107;110	0	0	OHI II
			to the transfer data to the second control of the c	
16 MCLOUGHLIN NEIGHBORHOO				CAT II
PE	25,000	·	0	
17 33RD AT BROADWAY				CAT II
R/W	14,510	0	0	
			The state of the s	
18 39TH AT STARK	7,287		0	CAT II
R/W	/,28/		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
19 GOING ST NOISE MITIGAT	ION			CAT II
PE	3,500	0	0	
СОИ	750,000	0	0	
TOTAL	753,500	0	0	

# INTERSTATE TRANSFER FY 1982 PROGRAM HIGHWAY PROJECTS CITY OF PORTLAND PROJECTS IN EFDERAL 4

IN FEDERAL \$
29-Jan-82

ND AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS PE  BURNSIDE-90TH TO 94TH PE  BURNSIDE TSM PE  RIDESHARE OPG TY DEACTIVATED PROJECTS RES TY REACTIVATED PROJECTS RES	76,295 22,950 14,000 85,000 -1,223,992	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2,757,489	0 0 0	CAT II  CAT II  CAT II  CAT I
PE BURNSIDE-90TH TO 94TH PE BURNSIDE TSM PE RIDESHARE OPG TY DEACTIVATED PROJECTS	22,950 14,000 85,000	0	0	CAT II
PE BURNSIDE-90TH TO 94TH PE BURNSIDE TSM PE RIDESHARE OPG	22,950	0	0	CAT II
PE BURNSIDE-90TH TO 94TH PE BURNSIDE TSM	22,950	•		CAT II
PE BURNSIDE-90TH TO 94TH			0	
	76,295	0	0	on 1
				CAT 11
TOTAL	1,235,000	Ŏ	ŏ	
FE CON	21,250		0	CAT II
CON TOTAL	2,125,000 2,175,000	0	2,125,000 2,125,000	
ONT AVE-STEEL BR TO NW 26	TH 50,000	. 0	0	CAT II
HWY TSM-CAPITOL TO SCHOL R/W	110,000	•	0	CAT II
	**************	***************************************	***************************************	
	R/W  INT AVE-STEEL BR TO NW 26 R/W CON TOTAL  ERIAL OVERLAY PROGRAM PE CON	1982  HWY TSM-CAPITOL TO SCHOLLS  R/W  110,000  INT AUE-STEEL BR TO NW 26TH  R/W  CON  TOTAL  2,125,000  TOTAL  ERIAL OVERLAY PROGRAM  PE  CON  1,213,750	1982 19824  HWY TSM-CAPITOL TO SCHOLLS  R/W 110,000 0  INT AUE-STEEL BR TO NW 26TH  R/W 50,000 0  CON 2,125,000 0  TOTAL 2,175,000 0  ERIAL OVERLAY PROGRAM  PE 21,250 0  CON 1,213,750 0	1982 1982A 1982B  HWY TSM-CAFITOL TO SCHOLLS  R/W 110,000 0 0  INT AVE-STEEL BR TO NW 26TH  R/W 50,000 0 2,125,000  TOTAL 2,125,000 0 2,125,000  ERIAL OVERLAY PROGRAM  PE 21,250 0 0  CON 1,213,750 0 0

#### INTERSTATE TRANSFER FY 1982 PROGRAM HIGHWAY PROJECTS MULTNOMAH COUNTY PROJECTS IN FEDERAL \$ 29-Jan-82

FROJECT TITLE				
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		1982A	1982B	**************************************
29 238TH AVE UP XNG TO HALS	EY 1,000	······ o	σ	CAT II
30 GATEWAY SIGNAL SYNCHRONI CON	ZATION 0	0	391,000	CAT II
31 242ND AVE-STARK ST TO DI CON	NOISION	•	260,000	CAT II
32 257TH AVE-STARK ST TO CO PE	T4,000 0	0	75,000	CAT II
33 221ST AVE-FARISS RD TO F FE CON	32,600 1,020,000	Ŏ O	0	CAT II
TOTAL  34 182ND AVE-DIVISION TO PO CON	1,052,600 WELL 974,000	0	0	CAT II
35 221ST AVE-POWELL SOUTH T	O HEINEY	0	348,000	CAT II
36 SANDY BLVD TSM-99TH TO 1	62ND AVE 25,000	0	· • • • • •	CAT II
37 190TH/POWELL-182ND TO BI	RDSDALE 10,500	0 .	0	- CAT II
38 BURNSIDE RD-STARK TO 221	210,000	0	0	CAT II
CON TOTAL	210,000	0	1,200,000	
TOTAL	2,347,100	•	2,274,000	

# INTERSTATE TRANSFER FY 1982 PROGRAM HIGHWAY FROJECTS CLACKAMAS COUNTY PROJECTS IN FEDERAL \$

29-Jan-82

FROJECT TITLE			
	1982	1982A	1982B
~~~~	******************	*************	**************

1982	1982A	1982B	~~~~~~~~~~
SIGNALS			CAT II
115,000	0	8	
NA TO JEAN			CAT II
40,000	0	0	8 °
22ND			CAT II
	0	<b>0</b>	
0	0	654,000	
18,300	0	654,000	
			CAT II
16,600	0	0	
REEK ICT			CAT II
2,125,000	0	0	5 11
			CAT II
6,020,000	0	0	CHI II
70 V 10 MARKET NO. 1			
	0		CAT II
50,000	0	113,000	
/1205 INTC			CAT II
95,000	_ 0	30,000	
4			CAT II
189,000	0	0	
8,668,900	0	797.000	
	SIGNALS 115,000 NA TO JEAN 40,000 22ND 18,300 0 18,300 16,600 REEK JCT 2,125,000 6,020,000 TO MILW 50,000 /1205 INTC 95,000	SIGNALS  115,000  NA TO JEAN  40,000  18,300  0  18,300  0  16,600  0  REEK JCT  2,125,000  6,020,000  0  50,000  0  189,000  0  189,000  0	SIGNALS 115,000 0 0 0  NA TO JEAN 40,000 0 0 0  22ND 18,300 0 0 654,000 18,300 0 0 654,000  16,600 0 0 0  REEK JCT 2,125,000 0 0 0  6,020,000 0 0 113,000  M 189,000 0 30,000

#### METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

#### INTERSTATE TRANSFER FY 1982 PROGRAM HIGHWAY PROJECTS WASHINGTON COUNTY PROJECTS IN FEDERAL \$ 29-Jan-82

		TI	

PROJECT TITLE					
	1982		L982A	1982B	
· ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	*****	***********	*********	~~~~~~~~ <del>`</del>	******************
48 185TH AVE-SUNSET HWY TO W					CAT II
F/E	20,800		0	σ	
CON	1,615,000		0	0	
TOTAL	1,635,800		0	0	
49 ALLEN BLVD I-MURRAY TO HE	IV217				CAT II
CON	1,285,000		0	0	CHI II
CON	1,283,000		·		
50 ALLEN BLVD II					CAT II
PE FE	3,200		0	0	CHI II
R/W	460,700		ŏ	δ	***
TOTAL	463,900		ŏ	Ŏ	
TOTAL	4007700				
51 BARNES RD UNIT 1-HWY217 1	TO LEARY			process of the second s	CAT II
CON	0		0	1,360,000	
777					
52 HALL BLVD AT HWY217 OFF-F	RAME	Ó		e i lande al lane al la alternativa de la responsación de la constitución de la constituc	CAT II
CON	29,000		0	0	
53 HWY 217 AND SUNSET HWY IN	NTCHG		•••••		CAT II
R/W	571,000		0	500,000	
54 CORNELL I-E MAIN TO ELAM	YOUNG			The second secon	CAT II
PE	28,000		0	0	
R/W	178,500		0	0	
TOTAL	206,500		0 .	<u>0</u>	
55 BEAVERTON-HILLSDALE SIGNA					CAT II
R/W	4,000		0	σ	1545
COM	89,000		0	. 0	
TOTAL	93,000		0	0	
56 TV HWY AT 185TH					CAT II
PE	34,000		0	0	
E3 1817 043 AVE 30VE AVE TATE	3110				CAT II
57 HWY 217 AND 72ND AVE INTO			^	^	CAT II
PE	2,000	*********	0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
R/W			0	0	
CON	1,130,000		0	0	
TOTAL	1,143,900		O		

# INTERSTATE TRANSFER FY 1982 PROGRAM HIGHWAY PROJECTS WASHINGTON COUNTY PROJECTS IN FEDERAL \$ 29-Jan-82

PROJECT TITLE	1982	1982A	1982B	
EO EARMINICION DE TEM MURRAY TO 105				CAT II
58 FARMINGTON RD TSM-MURRAY TO 185 FE	4,100	0	0	CAT II
59 HALL BLVD TSM				CAT II
PE	1,400	0	0	
60 CORNELL II-ELAM YOUNG TO 216TH				CAT II
PÉ	70,000	0	50,000	
61 MURRAY BLVD-JENKINS TO SUNSET				CAT II
PE	75,000	•	150,000	where many Tile Tile
TOTAL .	7,612,600		2,060,000	
GRAND	11 10			
TOTAL 45	,499,998	2,757,489	7,256,000	

#### AGENDA MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

TO: JPACT

FROM: Executive Officer

SUBJECT: Final Amendments to 'Problem 5' in Staff Report 77

### I. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- A. ACTION REQUESTED: Recommend Council approval of the language in Attachment A which allows the transfer of Interstate Transfer authorizations among Highway 217/Sunset interchange, Highway 212, 190th/Powell, Banfield, I-505, Powell Boulevard, McLoughlin Boulevard and Westside Corridor projects.
- B. POLICY IMPACT: This action will enable the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) to transfer funds among the noted projects and will continue pre-existing agreements on fund transfers by returning unused funds to the project transfer of origin.

TPAC has reviewed and approved the language set forth in Attachment A.

C. BUDGET IMPACT: None.

# II. ANALYSIS:

A. BACKGROUND: Staff Report 77 upgrades past documentation on the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and, in particular, the I-505 portion of the program.

Both TPAC and JPACT have reviewed the report and expressed concern over Problem 5. Committee members felt it very important that the language in Problem 5 be clear that all past agreements and commitments are binding. In addition, it was agreed that the ability of ODOT to shift funds among the noted projects was appropriate in order to ensure that they will be built. In the case of underruns, the State would be able to shift funds around to accommodate project needs.

- B. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: To not correct the language in Problem 5 would contradict Committee recommendations and restrict ODOT in the transfer of funds among the projects.
- C. CONCLUSION: Metro staff recommends approval of the language in Problem 5 of Staff Report 77 as amended.

IV. PROBLEM 5: Lack of specificity of the Cost Management System with respect to ODOT and Tri-Met as sponsoring jurisdictions.

#### ANALYSIS:

The same resolution which established the Metro Systems Planning fund (#790103) also established a process for managing the Interstate Transfer accounts. This process gives added flexibility to jurisdictions sponsoring projects by allowing jurisdictions to fund cost increases on a "priority committed project" by transferring funds from other committed projects it sponsors within the same county. Once it has reviewed the request, Metro staff is allowed to handle the shift of funds between projects administratively. The management process also allows excess funds resulting from project underruns to be shifted to a regional reserve or "at the discretion of the sponsoring jurisdiction, to another committed project in the same county."

#### RECOMMENDATION:

The Cost Management System should be further defined to specify ODOT and Tri-Met as project sponsors having the ability to shift funds between projects in accordance with the adopted cost overrun process. Under such a condition, ODOT or Tri-Met would submit to Metro a request to transfer funds along with a technical justification for the transfer including a statement of the viability of the project from which funds are being transferred. Metro staff would administratively adjust the funding authorization of the affected projects.

An additional change to the Cost Management System is recommended to deal with excess funds resulting from cost underruns. These funds should be distributed as follows:

- Excess Interstate Transfer authorization resulting from cost underruns for the Banfield, I-505 Alternative, McLoughlin Boulevard, Westside Corridor, Powell Boulevard, 217/Sunset, Highway 212, and 190th/Powell projects would be added to a Regional Reserve to fund cost overruns on the other projects in this eategory paragraph. However, any surplus funds (from underruns) desired for retention on one of the above projects or the use of any Regional Reserve funding for a revised project scope is subject to review by JPACT. This recommendation does not make 217/Sunset, Highway 212 and 190th/Powell Category I priorities for receiving funding allocations but allows the transfer of funding authorizations among the three projects and Category I projects as justified in compliance with the Cost Overrun Process.
- All other excess authorization resulting from cost underruns would be available to the sponsoring jurisdiction to fund other projects and reserves already in the Interstate Transfer program in accordance with the adopted Cost Overrun Process.

This recommendation does not affect previously committed project transfers. The following transferred authorizations (in December 31, 1980 dollars except as noted) should be returned to the original project in the event the recipient project is completed with excess authorization:

Hwy. 217/Sunset	-\$105,145
Oswego Cr. Br.	105,145
Hwy. 217/Sunset	-27,163
Oswego Cr. Br.	27,163
SW Barnes Rd.	-300,000
Nyberg Rd.	300,000
72nd Ave.	-48,305
Hwy. 212	48,305
72nd Ave.	-44,081
OC Bypass	44,081
Oswego Cr. Br.	-385,330
Hwy. 212	385,330
Hwy. 212 East (in September 30, 1980 Banfield OC Bypass Hwy. 212 Oswego Cr. Br. Boones Fy. Rd. MCL Blvd. Res.	-5,661,268 dollars) 2,374,809 1,358,391 406,567 289,727 415,774 816,000

#### EFFECT ON ADOPTED TIP:

None.

# RTP ADOPTION SCHEDULE

February 11 - JPACT - Status report & approval of adoption schedule

February 26 - TPAC - Review & discussion of comments; recommendation to JPACT for release for public review with amendments deemed necessary

March 8 - Regional Development Committee - recommend release for public review

March 11 - JPACT - Recommend release for public review

March 11 April 30 - Local jurisdiction endorsement

Week of
April 5 - Public meeting to review RTP

April 30 - TPAC - Recommend adoption

May 9 - Regional Development Committee - Public hearing & recommend adoption

May 13 - JPACT - Recommend adoption

May 27 - Metro Council - First reading & public hearing

June 4 - Metro Council - Second reading & ADOPTION