

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

527 S.W. HALL ST., PORTLAND OR. 97201, 503/221-1646

# AGENDA

### JOINT POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

- Date: March 11, 1982
- Day: Thursday
- Time: 7:30 a.m.

Place: Metro Conference Room A1/A2

- \*1. ENDORSEMENT OF TIP AMENDMENT TO INCORPORATE ODOT'S SIX-YEAR HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM OF PROJECTS IN THE URBANIZED AREA - <u>APPROVAL</u> REQUESTED - Andy Cotugno.
- \*2. ENDORSEMENT OF FY 81 AND FY 82 UWP AMENDMENT FOR ADDITIONAL SCOPE OF WORK ON REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN - <u>APPROVAL</u> REQUESTED -Andy Cotugno.
- \*3. REVIEW OF COMMENTS ON THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTA-TION PLAN AND APPROVAL OF DOCUMENT WITH NECES-SARY CHANGES FOR PUBLIC REVIEW - Andy Cotugno.

\*Material Enclosed.

#### MEETING REPORT

DATE OF MEETING:

February 11, 1982

GROUP/SUBJECT:

Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT)

PERSONS ATTENDING: Members: Charlie Williamson, Al Myers, Corky Kirkpatrick, Larry Cole, Jim Fisher, Mildred Schwab, Robin Lindquist, Bob Bothman, Dennis Buchanan, John Frewing, Vern Veysey, and Ed Ferguson

> Guests: Metro Councilors Bruce Etlinger, Bob Oleson, and Mike Burton; Rick Walker, Cities of Multnomah County; Steve Dotterrer, Vic Rhodes, and Jerry Markesino, City of Portland; John Kowalczyk, DEQ; David Peach, WSDOT; Gilbert Mallery, Regional Planning Council of Clark County; Paul Bay, Tri-Met; Bebe Rucker, Multnomah County; Wayne R. Potter, resident of Washington County; Sarah Salazar, Port of Portland; Ann Batson, DEQ; and Winston Kurth, Clackamas County

> Staff: Andy Cotugno, Rick Gustafson, Keith Lawton, James A. Gieseking, Jr., Karen Thackston, and Lois Kaplan, Secretary

MEDIA:

None

SUMMARY:

#### 1. ADOPTION OF CARBON MONOXIDE AND OZONE STATE IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Richard Brandman stated that we are recommending adoption of a regional Carbon Monoxide State Implementation Plan to be forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency. The CO Plan has been developed by the City of Portland because all projected CO violations in this region occur within the City through 1985. The City's Plan continues the Downtown Parking and Circulation Policy (with modifications) and relies heavily on the biennial vehicle inspection program. The Plan projects that the City will be in attainment of the CO standard by 1985. The City Council has adopted the Plan.

In the case of the Ozone SIP, Richard explained that there is a regional issue involved in that the state of Washington differs with Oregon DEQ and Metro staff over the question of whether or not a 1700 kg/day surplus in emissions should be administered as a growth cushion. Because this surplus represents only one percent of the total emissions inventory, Washington feels that the surplus is within modeling error. Inasmuch as Oregon

JPACT February 11, 1982 Page 2

> has followed EPA's mandates and methodologies in projecting attainment of the Ozone standard, Metro and DEQ staff felt that we should take credit for the growth cushion.

Andy Cotugno felt that there are two issues involved with the Clean Air Act: 1) how to meet the Ozone standard; and 2) how to accommodate new growth in the region and stay within the standard. The question of whether or not to proceed with an offset program versus assigning industry that extra offset from the 1700 kg/day growth cushion deals with the second of these two issues.

Andy explained that the staff position is to proceed with adoption of the Ozone State Implementation Plan which recommends proceeding with the growth cushion technique, assigning to the Bi-State Policy Advisory Committee the task of allocating the growth cushion. Metro Councilor Mike Burton indicated that he felt the Bi-State Committee could come to some concurrence on this matter.

In reviewing the timetable for the SIP's, Richard Brandman related that the Ozone and CO SIP's will be considered for adoption by Metro Council on February 25 and will be forwarded on to the State Department of Environmental Quality. Following that, the State will draft a staff report followed by a hearing, a hearing report will be prepared by the State, with final recommendation to the Environmental Quality Commission on July 9.

It was moved and seconded to recommend approval of the Resolution taking into consideration Clark County's reservations. Commissioner Cole expressed his concern over the growth cushion. He felt that, if the estimates were correct and conservative, the growth cushion would be reached before 1987 with more than 1700 kg/day below the federal ozone standard. He concurred with some of Washington State's concerns and asked for the allowance of a margin of error. Richard Brandman stated that the 1700 kg/day is the amount available for new industries wishing to locate in the region, but that the demand by new industries would not use the total amount of the cushion available -- in effect, providing more margin of safety.

Commissioner Cole moved to amend the motion to provide some margin for error before use of the growth cushion (suggesting 1000 kg/day as the cushion). Motion was seconded. During discussion on the amendment, Rick Gustafson felt that the economic difficulties relating to air quality for this region should be addressed, that we are one of the few regions that are on the road toward attainment of the federal ozone standard, and cautioned that we should not take any action that would deter the JPACT February 11, 1982 Page 3

> region from new industrial growth. Bob Bothman also expressed his concern in reducing the growth cushion at the cost of economic growth in the region. In calling for the question on the proposed AMENDMENT, the motion FAILED. Commissioners Cole and Veysey voted in favor; 10 members were opposed.

Action Taken: In calling for the initial MOTION recommending adoption of the Ozone and CO State Implementation Plans for the Oregon portion of the Portland-Vancouver Air Quality Maintenance Area, the motion CARRIED. Ten members voted in favor; Commissioners Cole and Veysey were opposed.

#### 2. ADOPTION OF FY 82 INTERSTATE "HIGHWAY" FUNDING PRIORITIES

Andy Cotugno related that the Resolution deals with the allocation of the FY 82 Interstate Transfer "highway" funding. This action identifies which of those allocated projects will be allowed to spend that money within this fiscal year (up to the available \$45.5 million). It further identifies which back-up projects could be funded with cost underruns from other priority projects.

Andy explained that two principles were followed in the funding allocation: 1) Priority projects of most importance to the metropolitan area should receive sufficient funding to enable it to be built; and 2) Every jurisdiction should expect to receive enough funding each year to be able to complete their overall program within the next six to eight years.

Commissioner Buchanan praised ODOT's Six-Year Program for including projects which considered economic impacts, jobs, and accommodating large amounts of traffic. He felt that JPACT should develop similar criteria for future allocations. Andy indicated that the guidelines for development of an 8-year Interstate Transfer program respond to Commissioner Buchanan's request and include priority-setting criteria.

Action Taken: It was moved and seconded to recommend approval of the Resolution endorsing project priorities using Interstate Transfer funds in FY 82. Motion CARRIED.

3. ENDORSEMENT OF MOTION FINALIZING AMENDMENTS TO STAFF REPORT 77

Staff Report 77 was adopted last month with the understanding that an amendment would be considered at this month's JPACT meeting. This amendment details the treatment of cost overruns and underruns.

Action Taken: It was moved and seconded to recommend approval of the proposed amendment to Staff Report 77, specifically Problem 5. Motion CARRIED. JPACT February 11, 1982 Page 4

#### 4. REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN ADOPTION SCHEDULE ENDORSEMENT

The proposed RTP adoption schedule was distributed and discussed. On February 26, comments received on the Plan to date will be reviewed by TPAC with the Metro staff recommendations on necessary changes. The JPACT meeting on March 11 will address the same comments and recommend adjustments to be made before release for public review.

Commissioner Fisher expressed Washington County's concern over the RTP matching the land use plan required by LCDC. Marty Nizlek reported that a memo had been sent to Metro from Larry Rice, Washington County's Public Works Director, expressing some of his concerns. He asked that these issues be taken up -- either at this meeting or at a special meeting. Andy felt the proper approach would be for TPAC to recommend specific adjustments necessary for the RTP document to be adoptable and workable. Unresolved problems should be "flagged" as issues to be dealt with at a later date.

Action Taken: The Committee concurred that they would delay scheduling a special JPACT meeting on the RTP pending comments and recommendations from the February 26 meeting of TPAC. It was suggested by Chairman Williamson that staff prepare a separate memo outlining proposed changes for the RTP for consideration at next month's meeting.

#### 5. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

REPORT WRITTEN BY: Lois Kaplan

COPIES TO:

Rick Gustafson Don Carlson JPACT Members

COMMITTEE MEETING	TITLE	JP	7 cT
-------------------	-------	----	------

DATE 2-11-82

NAME

G-RICK WALKED M-AL MYERS M- Corky Kirkpatrick M-LARRY COLE tim Fisher M-M- HildRod Schult 6-STEVE DOTTERRER G JOHN KOWALCZYK M- ROBIN LINDOUIST GOTANNAN) M- DENNIS RUCHANAN Charlie Willowing M-M- )0121 FREWING VEIN VEUSEG ED FERGUSON M-Gustation Vad 5each G and Mollery albert G BAY PAUL G Kaith 5 Lacesto Suce Ellinger & Oleson G G Bebe Rucker Ellagne R. Patter (next page)

AFFILIATION

CITIES OF THUT CO. CITIES OF MULT.C. Metro CITIES OF WASHHOODD COUNTY Washington County City of POATIANE CITY OF PORTLAND Depr. ENVIR. QUALITY GLADSTONE · ODOT MULTNOMALY COUNTY Wito JRI-MET Clark County WSDOT Metro WSDOT luk Co Reginial Plany Comiel TRI-MET hereno Metro Mult. County resident evashington Com

COMMITTEE MEETING TITLE JPACT

DATE 2-11-82

NAME

ALAZOV Danh G 5 1419 ( 5 Koton hae 6 anen Ĝ nodes JERRY MARKESING Andy Cotuano 5 - Mite Burton - Ann Batson Winston Kurth 5- The Price 5- Pea Henwood Larry Rice

.

#### AFFILIATION

of Portlanc Port NGMO ODOT れの me & Portland ktro Metro Councilar DEQ Jackamas County THAND Motro Wash County

#### AGENDA MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

TO: JPACT FROM: Executive Officer SUBJECT: Amending the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to Incorporate Oregon Department of Transportation's (ODOT) Six-Year Highway Improvement Program of Projects in the Urbanized Area

#### I. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- A. ACTION REQUESTED: Recommend adoption of the attached Resolution which amends the TIP by incorporating urbanized area projects from ODOT's Six-Year Highway Improvement Program.
- B. POLICY IMPACT: This action will amend the TIP to reflect the Six-Year Highway Improvement Program adopted by the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC), January 1982, and enable ODOT to obtain federal match for the noted projects.
- C. BUDGET IMPACT: None.

#### II. ANALYSIS:

A. BACKGROUND: The OTC adopted the Six-Year Highway Improvement Program following a lengthy review process to hear public comments. Meetings were held Statewide to provide citizens the opportunity to voice concerns about the program to members of the Commission.

Continued high inflation and shrinking revenue have reduced the ability to undertake needed modernization. To accommodate this, the program continues to emphasize preservation work as a means of protecting the large investment in Oregon's highways.

The FY 1982 TIP was adopted in September 1981 in advance of the development of the Six-Year Program. The TIP will, therefore, be updated to include those projects and construction amounts set forth in Attachment "A." The Federal Aid systems affected by this amendment to the TIP are the Primary and Interstate systems which call for State match at 12 percent and eight percent, respectively.

- B. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: The Commission and staff held hearings for interested individuals and community spokesmen in the urbanized area. The program set forth in Attachment "A" is the result of the information gathered.
- C. CONCLUSION: Metro staff recommends approval of the attached Resolution.

BP/srb/5344B/107 02/19/82 FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP) TO INCORPORATE OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION'S (ODOT) SIX-YEAR HIGHWAY IMPROVE-MENT PROGRAM OF PROJECTS IN THE URBANIZED AREA

WHEREAS, Through Resolution No. 81-280, the Metro Council adopted the TIP and its FY 1982 Annual Element September 1981; and

WHEREAS, The State's 1982 Six-Year Highway Improvement Program was adopted by the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) in January 1982; and

WHEREAS, These actions were separate in time and precluded the Six-Year Highway Improvement Program being included in the TIP; and

WHEREAS, The ODOT has the responsibility for initiating projects proposed to use Federal Aid Primary and Federal Aid Interstate funds and for other projects in the State's interest; and

WHEREAS, It is necessary that projects utilizing the noted funds be included in the TIP in order to be federally obligated; and WHEREAS, The ODOT has requested that the TIP be aligned

with the Six-Year Highway Improvement Program; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED,

 That the Metro Council endorses the projects set forth in Attachment "A";

2. That Metro staff is authorized to update the TIP in order to reflect the Six-Year Highway Program; and

3. That the Metro Council finds the projects in accordance with the region's continuing, cooperative, comprehensive planning process and, thereby, gives affirmative A-95 Review approval.

BP/srb/5344B/107 02/19/82

ATTACHMENT A

### PROJECTS TO BE ADDED TO THE TIP In Federal Dollars

	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Post 1985</u>
	FEDERAL AID INTERSTA	TE FUNDING:			
101	I-5/FREMONT VIADUCT PE \$ 50,000 CON 810,000 TOTAL 860,000	OVERLAY			
151	TUALATIN PARK AND RI CON	DE			\$386,000
152	I-5/MARQUAM BRIDGE T PE \$ 46,000 CON TOTAL 46,000	O HAINES RE	). RAMP METERI	NG	\$432,000 432,000
155	OREGON CITY PARK AND CON	RIDE			322,000
156	LENTS PARK AND RIDE CON				322,000
157	COLUMBIA BLVD./SANDY CON	PARK AND F	RIDE		322,000
	FEDERAL AID PRIMARY	FUNDING:			
122	PACIFIC HWY. EAST - PE \$ 22,000 CON 220,000 TOTAL 242,000	UXING SPRR	TO HEDGES STR	EET	
134	TV @ MURRAY BLVD. IN PE \$ 45,000 R/W CON TOTAL 45,000	TERSECTION \$ 30,000 449,000 479,000	IMPROVEMENT		
136	LOWER BOONES FERRY R PE \$ 15,000 CON TOTAL 15,000	D. INTCHG.	SIGNALS \$ 147,000 147,000		•
141	ROSS ISLAND BRIDGE C PE \$ 88,000 CON TOTAL 88,000	VERLAY	 \$1,206,000 1,206,000		

BP:1mk 2-18-82

-

ſ

#### PROJECT CHANGES

#### EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1: 1981

# IN FEDERAL DOLLARS

#### ALL OTHER PROJECTS FEDERAL AID INTERSTATE SYSTEM

ODI	IGATED	1981	Y FEDERAL FISCAL 1982	1983	1984	1985	POST 1985	AUTHORIZED	FEDERA
			1702	1703	1704	1705	FU01 1705	HOTHORIZED	HID #
		l Intchg.		Sec. Here.					
**1 1205 SE	YAMHII.	- ST TO SE PO	<del>\ Fl.L_BL.\'D</del> *****	********	****	*********	******	*****	FAI20
			3,487,000		9,982,000			13,469,000	
ONST	0	6.440,000	•	0	•	0	0	6-440-000	1×.
Columbia	River E	31g. to Powell	Blud.						
**2 1205-NE	FAIL IN	GTT TO SE YA	<del>`````````````````````````````````````</del>	*****	*****	*****	******		FAI2
			24,326,000	The state was				24,326,000	
DNST a	. 0	29,9201000	-4-	0	0	0	0	29,920,000	
		to Harold St.	1						
**3 1205-NE	MARINE	DR TO NE FAI	<del>LING ST*</del> ******	******	*******	****	*****	*****	FA120
					92,000			92,000	
DNST	0	21,230,000	0	0	+	0	0	217270.000	
*4 1205CO	UMBIA	RIVER BRIDGE*	*****	****	*****	**********	*******	******	FAI2
			9,200,000					9,200,000	
TENC	0	0	4,140,000	0	0	0	. 0	4,140,000	
*5 15-FAST	MAROIIA	M INTERCHANGE	RAMPS******	*******	****	******	********	*****	FAIS
			3984,000					3,984,000	
/W	0	2-870-000	3907,000	0	0	0	31, 280,000 0	2-070-000	
DNST	0	0	0	0	11,040,000 +	0	42,320,000	42,320,000	
DTAL	Ö	2.010.000	ō	Ō	0	õ	42-320-000	45,150,000	
				1. A. S. Market				46, 304,000	
**6 15N TI	GARD IN	TERCHANGE TO	S TIGARD INTERCH	IANGE****	******	******	*********	******	FAI5
						16,836,000		16,836,000	
	0	0	0	. 0	17-3201000	10/00-10-4	0	19, 220,000	
INST									8
	ZEN BEA	CH TO DELTA P	ARK INTERCHANGE	*****	****	*****	**********	****	FAI5
15- JANT				******	*****	*****			FAI5
15-JANT	ZEN BEA	CH TO DELTA P	ARK INTERCHANGE	*********** 0	**************************************	************	26,157,000 0	1,122,000	FAI5
17 15-JANT 18 DNST				*********** 0 0	16, 707, 000 0	**************************************		1+122+000 30+150+000 42	864,000
*7 15-JANT	0			************* 0 0 0	16, 707, 000 0 0	**************************************		1+122+000 30+150+000 42	
147 IS-JANT W DNST DTAL	0 0 0	<del>1,122,000</del> 0 <del>1,122,000</del>	000,621,1 0 0 VE TO NE 181ST A	0 0 0	0 *****	0 0 0	26,157,000 0 30+180+000 30+180+000	1,122,000 30,180,000 31,302,000 43	9864,000 3,986,000
X#7 I5-JANT /W DNST DTAL X#8 I84 IMP	O O O ROVEMEN	<del>1,122,000</del> 0 <del>1,122,000</del> TS-NE 117TH A	000,621,1 0 0 VE TO NE 181ST A De	0 0 0	0 ************************************	0 0 0	26,157,000 0 3 <del>0,189,000</del> 30,180,000	1,122,000 <del>30,180,000</del> <del>31,302,000</del> ****	9864,000 3,986,000
DNST ##7 I5-JANT /W DNST DTAL ##8 I84 IMP /W DNST	0 0 0	<del>1,122,000</del> 0 <del>1,122,000</del>	000,621,1 0 0 VE TO NE 181ST A	0 0 0	0 *****	0 0 0	26,157,000 0 30+180+000 30+180+000	1,122,000 30,180,000 31,302,000 43	864,000

EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1: 1981

#### IN FEDERAL DOLLARS

		(2)			ER PROJECTS	VATEN			
				FEDERAL AID		TSIEM			
	PROJECT DESC				TINUED)				
			BY FEDERAL FIS						FEDERA
	OM.IGATED	1981	1982	1983	1784	1985	POST 1985	AUTHORIZED	AID #
***9 184	IMPROVEMENT	rsNE 1818T (	AVE TO SUNDIAL	RD************************************		*****	******	*****	FAI84
CONST	0	• 0	0	0	0	0	25+900-000-	<del>25,700,000</del>	
**10 184	-INTERCHANGE	E AT NE 1815		T BOUND OFF-R	AHI>******	*****	*****	*****	FAI84
			234,000					234,000	
R/W	0	1437000	•	0	0	0		145,000 ,,	88 000
CONST	0	600,000	0	0	488,000 0	0	. 0	6001000ª	60,000
TOTAL	0	745,000	0	0	0	0	0	745,000	
**11 15	IMPROVEMENT	AND MANAGEMI	INT PROGRAM-PH	ASE I-BROADWA	Y TO HAYDEN*	******	*********	*****	FAI5
				COMPLET	F				
PE	0	23,000	0	0	0	0	0	23,000	
CONST	0	8651322	0	0	0	0	0	865,322	
TOTAL	0	8881322	0	0	0	0	0	888,322	
**12 NW	NICOLAI/WEST	FREMONT IN	FERCHANGE****	****	*****	******	******	*******	TBD
			1000 000	6,655,000				8,587,000	
R/W	0	9,200,000	1,932,000	0,000,000 A	0	0	0	9.200.000	
CONST	0	0	0	0	. 0	20,608,000 4	22.100.000	22,100,0002	0,608,000
TOTAL	Ō	9,200,000	Ō	0	0	0	22,100,000	31,300,000.	
**13 WES	T PORTLAND	PARK AND RID	ILLUMINATION	REVISION****	********	*****	*******	*******	FAI5
CONST	0	-23,000	0	0	0	0	0	27.000	
**14 15	IMPROVEMENT	AND MANAGEMI	ENT PROGRAM-PH	ASE II-MARRUA		*****	******	*****	FA15
PE	0	1,840,000	0	0	0	0	0	1-840-000	
R/W	0	0	0	-1-840.000	0	0	0	1,840,000	
CONST	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	68,080,000	68,000,000	
TOTAL		1.840-000		1-840-000	1	-	68,080,000-	71,760,000	

#### EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1, 1981

### IN FEDERAL DOLLARS

				ALL OTHER					
			. F	EDERAL AID INT		TEM			
	JECT DESCI			(CONTIN	UED)				
EST	IMATED EXP	PENDITURES B	Y FEDERAL FISCA	L YEAR			4 A		FEDERAL
OBI	LIGATED	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	POST 1985	AUTHORIZED	AID #
\$\$15 T84-3R	PROGRAM P	TOR STX BRID	GES-SUNDIAL RD	TO SANNY RIVER	****	*****	******	******	FA184
++++	1 1000000000000000000000000000000000000			OMPLETE					1 620-1
CONST	0	1+125.000	0	0	0	0	0	1-125-000	
**16 15-NOR	TH GREELEN	AVE TO 15	CONNECTION*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	FAIS
R/W	0	4135000	0	0	0	0	0	413,000	
CONST	0	0	9.750.000 11,	224,000 4	0	0	0	97501000	11,224,000
TOTAL.	0	413,000	-9,750,000	o	0	0	0	10-163-000	11,224,000
\$\$17 I84-SU	NDIAL ROAD	D TO SANDY R	IVER OVERLAY***	****	******	********	*****	699,000	FA184
CONST	0	0	0	0	0 4	699,000 +		1-150-000	
**18 1205 A	IR MONITOR	RING SHELTER	S & EQUIPMENT-C		LAKE RDAAA	*****	*****	*******	FAI205
CONST	0	444,000	0	OMPLETE	0	0	0	444,000	
119 1205-P	OPTI AND AL	T MIII TNOMAH	COUNTY JUSTICE	CENTERSTATA	*******	********	**********	**********	FA1205
TTA/ 44.00 1	ONTERINE III		11	NDER CO	NSTRUCT	ION	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 1. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	اه مانه بله بله بله بله بله بله بله بله بله بل	1 842.00
CONST	0 4	12.964.000	0	0	0	0	0	-42,764,000	
**20 1205M	UI. TNOMAH (	COUNTY OPERA	TIONS AND MAINT	ENANCE FACILIT	`Y <b>*</b> ********	*****	*****	******	FA1205
				OMPLETE	E ·				
CONST	0	9+752+000	0	0	• • • • • • • •	0	0	7,752,000	8
**21 1205W	ILLAMETTE	FALLS SAFET	Y REST AREA***		*****	****	*****	******	FA1205
14 M		· ·	C	OMPLETE					
PE	0	2,760	0	O O	0	0	0	7.760	
CONST	0	-33,120	0	0	0	0	0	33,120	
TOTAL	0	35+880	0	0	0	0	0	25.000	
**22 15-PAV	EMENT OVER	RLAY ON THE	MARRUAM BRIDGE	AND APPROACHES	(RRR)******	*****	*****	****	FAI5
PE	0	71500	5,566,000-0	0	0	0	0	7,500-	
									E FIT -
CONST	0	Q	-1+000+000	0	0	0	0	1-900-000	5,566,000

-174-

#### IN FEDERAL DOLLARS

#### ALL OTHER PROJECTS FEDERAL AID INTERSTATE SYSTEM PROJECT DESCRIPTION (CONTINUED) ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES BY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR FEDERAL OBLIGATED 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 POST 1985 AUTHORIZED AID # FA1405 COMPLETE PE 47600 0 Õ 0 0 1 0 1.600 CONST \$33.400-0 0 0 0 133,400 0 0 TOTAL 0 -138,000-0 0 0 0 178.000 0 \*\*\*\*

13

-175-

EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1: 1981

DEA HEAT DEADDTRTTAL

#### IN FEDERAL DOLLARS

# ALI. OTHER PROJECTS

	DJECT DESC FIMATED EX		Y FEDERAL FISCAL	YEAR					FEDERAL
OE	BLIGATED	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	POST 1985	AUTHORIZED	AID #
**40 PETITI	LON ST IM	PROVEMENT MUI.	TNONAH COUNTY***	*****	*****	****	*****	*****	LOCAL S
CONST	0	1,800,000	300,000	0	0	0	0	2,100,000	× .
**41 RECONS	STRUCTION	OF HIGH MAIN	TENANCE RUS****	*****	****	******	*****	******	LOCAL
CONST	0	3,050,000	700+000	0	0	0	0	3,750,000	
#42 MARINE	E DRIVE -	105TH TO BLU	E LAKE RD - MULT	NDMAH*****	*****	*****	******	*****	FASA66
CONST	0	2,000,000	0	0	0	0	o	2,000,000	
*43 SIGNAL	SANDY BI	.VD @ NE 122N	D AVE RAMP-STATE COMPLE		*****	******	********	*****	FAU996
ONST	0	-36,000-	0	0	0	0	0	36,000	
*44 SIGRAL	-PACIFIC	HWY (OR99W) A	t beef bend RD-9 COMPLE		NDS####################################	*****	******	*****	FAP9
DNST	0		0	0	0	0	0	38,000	
\$45 FANNO	CREEK BRI	IDGE REPLACEM	ENT ON SCHOLLS H UNDER C			*******	*****	******	FAU9234
/W	0	34,520	0	0	0	0	0	34,520-	
ONST	0	476,080	0	0	0	0	0	476,080	
OTAI.	0	510,600	0	0	0	0	0	510,600	
*46 SUNSET	HWY OVER	RLAY-SYLVAN I	NTCHG TO VISTA R	IDGE TUNNEL	-STATE FAP****	******	*********	****	FAP27
Æ	0	17,000	2,248,0000	0	0	0	0	17.000	
ONST	0	0	1-031-000	Ő	Ő	Ö	ő	1.031.000 2,	248,000
OTAL	0	19,000	1,031,000	õ	• 0	0	ō	1-050-000	
	. UTCHUAN	AT DIEDEDAL	E	*******	****	*********	*******	2,248,000	FAP24
	IN PLANMEN	HI DIRDONAL	USING t	IGHWAY	SAFETY F	UNPS	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	• Tr	1 11 2.4
E	0	10,560	0	6	0	0	0	10.560	
W.	Ő	-8-800	Ö	0	0	Ő	0	8-800	
CONST	Ő	88-000	0	Ö	0	Ő	Ő	88,000	
	v						. •		

-193-

EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1, 1981

### IN FEDERAL DOLLARS

					PROJECTS				
	PROJECT DESCI		a career and the second	(CONTI	NUED)				
			FEDERAL FIS						FEDERAL
	OBLIGATED	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	POST 1985	AUTHORIZED	AID #
**48 TUAL	ATIN VALLEY	HIGHWAY-SE 2	1ST AVE TO S	E_DAK ST#######		*****	*******	*******	FAP32
				DEFERRE	5			100,000	
PE	0	118,000	100,000 +	0	0	0	0		•
R/W	0	0	-880+000	0	0	0	880,000 \$	880,000	
CONST	0	0	0	792.000	0	0	792,000 0	792,000	
TOTAL	0	<del>110,000</del>	-880,000-	-792,000	0	0	0	1,790,800	1777 100
				States and the states		See.		46727000	1,772,000
**49 HWY	217 SB ON-R	AMP & BURTN/H	HILLSDALF HWY	-SLOPE REPAIR-F	AP\$*******	*******	*****	*****	FAP79
PE	0	-7.920-	0	0	0	0	0	7,920	
CONST	0	117,680-	76,560 -	0	0	0	0	1191000	76,560
TOTAL	0	-1271600	0	0	0	0	0	1271800	76,560
**50 82NI	AVE UPGRAD	ING-OTTY RD T	TO HARMONY###	****	*****	*****	*****	*********	FAU9713
R/W	0	0	350,000	0	0	0	0	350,000	
CONST	0	0	300,000	4,700,000	0	0	0	5,000,000	
TOTAL	0	0	650+000	4,700,000	0	0	0	5,350,000	
**51 82ND	AVE SERVICE	RD-CAUSEY 1	THE TOWN C	ENTER###########	*****	******	*****	********	FAU9713
R/W	0	0	450,000	0	0	0	0	450,000	
CONST	0	0	0	412,500	0	0	0	412,500	
TOTAL	0	0	450,000	412,500	0	0	0	862,500	
**52 1205	INTERCHANG	-AT OTTY RD	OR LESTER ST	-TO BE DETERMIN	ED********	****	*****	*********	1205
R/W	0	0	200,000	0	0	0	0	200,000	
CONST	0	0	0	780,000	0	0	0	780,000	
TOTAL	0	0	200,000	780,000	0	0	0	980,000	
**53 EXCL	USIVE TRANSI	TWAY-WEST OF	1205 % BETW	EEN NEW INTCHG	& CENTER****	*****	*****	********	TBD
R/W	0	0	450,000	0	0	0	0	450,000	
R/W CONST	0	0	450+000	525+000	0	0	0	450,000	

#### AGENDA MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

TO: JPACT FROM: Executive Officer SUBJECT: Amending the FY 82 Unified Work Program

#### I. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- A. ACTION REQUESTED: Recommend Council adoption of the attached Resolution amending the FY 82 Unified Work Program (UWP) to reflect:
  - Revision of grant amounts to reflect the amount of actual grant received.
  - Programming of FY 81 carryover funding on FY 82 projects.
  - 3. Additional work effort to complete the RTP, set up the RTP monitoring program and evaluate the impact of proposed transit improvements in the McLoughlin Boulevard/Oregon City area.
- B. POLICY IMPACT: This action will recognize the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) as the top priority project including the effort necessary to complete the document and obtain adoption as well as the effort to establish the on-going monitoring process. Funding programmed for Goods Movement, Model Refinement, Technical Assistance and Energy Contingency Planning will be reduced accordingly.
- C. BUDGET IMPACT: This amendment represents a reprioritization of available resources within the Transportation Department budget and not an increase or decrease of grant revenue.

#### **II. ANALYSIS:**

- A. BACKGROUND: This amendment includes the following increases in project scope relative to that specified in the adopted UWP:
  - RTP The increase in funding is sufficient to complete the RTP document, ensure it meets the needs of affected parties and obtain adoption.
    - Population/Employment Forecasts In addition to fully revising the year 2000 forecasts as originally planned, the increase will allow Metro to establish the necessary monitoring system called for in the RTP and conduct research and development work on Oregon Employment Services data files to improve existing employment monitoring data.
  - McLoughlin Improvements The additional funding will provide sufficient resources for Metro to evaluate the transit ridership and traffic impacts of proposed

improvements along McLoughlin Boulevard in the Oregon City area being examined by Clackamas County.

In conjunction with these increases, the Scope of Work is being reduced for Model Refinement, Energy Contingency and TIP programs and eliminated for the Goods Movement program.

### B. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

- Delay RTP completion to FY 83.
- Defer establishment of the RTP monitoring system to FY 83.

C. CONCLUSION: Recommend adoption of the UWP amendment.

AC/srb 5357B/107 02/19/82 FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE FY 82 UNIFIED WORK PROGRAM

WHEREAS, The FY 82 Unified Work Program (UWP) was adopted in May 1981 by Resolution No. 81-248; and

)

)

WHEREAS, Changes to the UWP must be approved by the Metro Council and the Intermodal Planning Group; and

WHEREAS, The FY 82 UWP must be revised to accurately reflect revised task priorities and actual funding availability; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED,

 That the Metro Council hereby approves the amendments to the FY 82 UWP as shown in Exhibit "A."

2. That staff is directed to submit this Resolution with its exhibits and necessary grant amendments to the Intermodal Planning Group for approval.

AC/srb 5357B/107 02/19/82

### ATTACHMENT "A"

### PROPOSED FY 81 AND FY 82 UWP AMENDMENT

	FY 81 UW	Amendment	FY 8	82 UWP Amen	dment	Total
	FY 81 e(4)	FY 81 Sec. 8	FY 82	FY 82	FY 82	Project
Project	Carryover	Carryover	e(4)	Sec. 8	PL	Budget
Regional Trans. Plan						
Budget	\$ O	\$ O		\$ 97,500	\$ 78,500	\$176,000
Proposed Change	+11,918	+5,363		+54,500	+13,500	+85,281
Revised	\$11,918	\$5,363		\$152,000	\$ 92,000	\$261,281
Bi-State						
Budget			\$ 85,000			\$ 85,000
Proposed Change			+ 72		*	+ 72
Revised			\$ 85,072			\$ 85,072
Goods Movement					+	+
Budget					\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000
Proposed Change					-20,000	-20,000
Revised Pop./Emp. Forecasts				*	\$ 0	\$ 0
Budget				\$ 70,000	\$ O	\$ 70,000
Proposed Change				÷ 70,000	+29,000	+29,000
Revised				\$ 70,000	\$ 29,000	\$ 99,000
Model Refinement				<i>\$ 10,000</i>	<i>q</i> 25,000	<i>♀ 55</i> ,000
Budget				\$ 61,750	\$ 13,250	\$ 75,000
Proposed Change				-47,000	0	-47,000
Revised				\$ 14,750	\$ 13,250	\$ 28,000
Energy Contingency						
Budget	• ,			\$ 17,500	\$ 12,500	\$ 30,000
Proposed Change				- 5,000	- 5,000	-10,000
Revised				\$ 12,500	\$ 7,500	\$ 20,000
Project Imp. Program						
Budget			\$117,941			\$117,941
Proposed Change			0			0
Revised			\$117,941			\$117,941
McLoughlin Blvd. Imp.						4117 647
Budget			\$117,647			\$117,647
Proposed Change			+21,500			+21,500
Revised			\$139,147			\$139,147
TIP Budget			\$150,000			\$150,000
Proposed Change			-21,500			-21,500
Revised			\$128,500			\$128,500
Technical Assistance			+120,000			1220/000
Budget				\$ 30,000	7	\$ 30,000
Proposed Change				-20,000		-20,000
Revised				\$ 10,000		\$ 10,000
Coord. & Mgmt.						
Budget				\$ 73,250	\$ 18,750	\$ 92,000
Proposed Change				- 2,500		+ 146
Revised				\$ 70,750	\$ 21,396	\$ 92,146
GRAND TOTAL						
Budget	\$ O	\$ O	\$470,588	\$350,000	\$143,000	\$963,588
Proposed Change	+11,918	+5,363	+ 72	-20,000	+20,146	+17,499
Revised	\$11,918	\$5,363	\$470,660	\$330,000	\$163,146	\$981,087
AC:lmk			2			

2-19-82



#### METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT 527 5.W. HALL ST., PORTLAND, OR. 97201, 503/221-1646

# MEMORANDUM

Date: March 2, 1982

To: JPACT

From: James A. Gieseking, Jr., RTP Project Manager

Regarding: Local Comments on RTP and TPAC Recommendations for Changes to the Draft

Meetings have been held with agency and jurisdictional planning and technical staff to receive and discuss comments on the draft RTP released in January. In addition, a special meeting was held for the Metro Regional Development Committee (RDC) to discuss the document.

The attached material represents the discussion of the comments and recommendations for changes to the document forwarded by TPAC as the result of two meetings (February 26, 1982 and March 1, 1982). An errata sheet is also included.

JAG: 1mk

Enclosures

#### REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN: LOCAL COMMENTS AND TPAC RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 1. Planning Horizon

The Draft RTP: Calls for the designation of the regional (principal and major arterial) and local (minor arterial and below) highway systems for build-out, and <u>capacity</u> improvements to support 20 years' worth of growth (to the year 2000), recognizing the significant difference in development for some parts of the region between build-out and the year 2000 (pp. 8-2 and 8-3).

TPAC Discussion: The Functional Classification designation is tied to legal requirements (in terms of right-of-way, etc.) in some jurisdictions. Therefore, the highway system designation for build-out required in the Draft RTP is inappropriate because of:

- 1) the impractical nature of planning for a time-frame beyond 20 years; and
- the difficult legal position of attempting to require developer participation in transportation improvements designed for more than a 20-year time-frame.

TPAC Recommendation: That both the highway system designation and capacity in the RTP (pp. 8-2 and 8-3) be associated with a 20-year horizon.

#### 2. Economic Development Impacts

The Draft RTP: Describes, in the Summary (pp. 1, 5 and 6), the implications of not investing in transportation capacity and the negative impacts on the region's economic development.

<u>TPAC Discussion</u>: The negative tone at the beginning of the document tends to produce a "down" attitude, and may be misleading. It would be more satisfying if transportation investments were cast as providing benefits to economic development efforts in the region.

TPAC Recommendation: The description of economic development impacts in the RTP Summary (pp. 1, 5 and 6) should be recast to indicate benefits associated with the recommended transportation improvements, in terms of allowing additional growth, etc.

#### 3. LRT Right-of-Way Preservation

The Draft RTP: Calls for the reservation of specific Transitway alignments (as defined and agreed upon by Metro, Tri-Met and the local jurisdictions) for future construction (p. 8-4). <u>TPAC Discussion</u>: Until there is a Phase II decision regarding transitway implementation, the financial justification for proceeding with transitway construction and right-of-way acquisition does not exist. This situation puts the local jurisdictions in a difficult position when dealing with development proposals that might encroach on a potential LRT alignment.

TPAC Recommendation: The RTP (in a new section on p. 8-5) should encourage local jurisdictions to work with developers to protect logical right-of-way opportunities from encroachment. Parcels that cannot be protected should be identified to Tri-Met and evaluated for acquisition on a case-by-case basis.

4. Local Comprehensive Plan Compliance

The Draft RTP: Contains provisions that require certain actions on the part of local jurisdictions for comprehensive plan compliance (p. 8-6) and only encourages certain others.

TPAC Discussion: The specific requirements and encouragements in the RTP should be more clearly defined and presented to avoid any misconceptions regarding the impact of RTP adoption on the local comprehensive planning process.

TPAC Recommendation: The RTP should clearly state required and encouraged actions on p. 8-6 as follows:

"Specific items in the RTP that require local comprehensive plan compliance are as follows:

- Highway System Design criteria described on p. 8-2;
- . Highway Capacity and Project criteria described on pp. 8-3 and 8-4;
- . Transit System Designation criteria described on p. 8-4; and
- . Transitway Implementation criteria described on pp. 8-4 and 8-5.

Activities described in the RTP that local jurisdictions are encouraged to pursue are:

- . The 35 percent rideshare target for work trips;
- . Demand Management Program Design criteria described on pp. 1-13 through 1-16;
- . The rideshare, parking, land use controls and related activities described on pp. 4-19 through 4-21; and
- . The protection of transitway right-of-way opportunities as described on p. 8-5."

#### 5. Minor Arterial and Collector System

The Draft RTP: Recognizes the fact that additional study is required on the minor arterial and collector system to identify projects required to make that system work for the next 20 years.

TPAC Discussion: Although many committed minor arterial/collector improvements are shown in the RTP, these projects are not intended to be the definitive capital improvement program on the local infrastructure system for the next 20 years. Rather, the RTP is intended to emphasize the local system projects necessary to make the regional system work. Major developments located on the minor arterial and collector system may require additional improvements to provide an acceptable level of service.

TPAC Recommendation: Additional language should be added to the RTP in Chapter 8, Section E (Plan Update, Refinement and Amendment) to reflect this need.

- 6. Supporting Documents to the RTP
  - a. Minor Arterial and Collector System

The Draft RTP: Specifies the development of a Minor Arterial and Collector system by the local jurisdictions should be approved by Metro (subject to the criteria detailed in the RTP) for "inclusion in the RTP" (p. 8-8).

<u>TPAC Discussion</u>: The Minor Arterial and Collector system developed by the local jurisdictions and approved by Metro will be a supporting document to the RTP and adopted separately to submit the Federal-Aid system to FHWA.

TPAC Recommendation: Change the phrase on p. 8-8 to read "as a supporting document to the RTP" to reflect this intent.

#### b. Executive Summary

The Draft RTP: Contains a summary at the beginning of the document.

<u>TPAC Discussion</u>: There could be the need for two executive summaries, one for local policy-makers and one for public consumption. Current resources, however, do not allow for the development of either document prior to FY 83.

TPAC Recommendation: Clarifying language (see item #4) has already been developed for local jurisdiction focus. Important aspects of the RTP should be printed on colored pages. After the adoption of the RTP (FY 83), an executive summary should be prepared for widespread public dissemination.

#### c. Map of Vehicle Capacity Restrictive Points

The Draft RTP: Does not quantify vehicle capacity restrictions on the regional highway system with the recommended improvements.

<u>TPAC Discussion</u>: A map showing these "control points" might prove useful to local jurisdictions in local planning efforts.

TPAC Recommendation: Prepare such a map as a supporting document to the RTP available to local jurisdictional planning staffs.

#### 7. Arterial Function

#### a. Highway 224 (Clackamas Expressway)

The Draft RTP: Requires grade separation of freeways and states that the upgrading of Highway 224 to freeway status is desirable (p. 1-7).

<u>TPAC Discussion</u>: The intent to pursue grade separation as an option to improve levels of service on Highway 224 should be strengthened.

<u>TPAC Recommendation</u>: Include specific language in Chapter 5, p. 5-6, to reflect this intent.

#### b. Sunnyside Road (I-205 to Highway 212)

The Draft RTP: Designates Sunnyside Road as a minor arterial.

<u>TPAC Discussion</u>: Sunnyside Road serves a regional function for longer distance rural and urban intraregional travel from North Clackamas County to I-205 and then to the rest of the region.

TPAC Recommendation: The RTP should designate Sunnyside Road from I-205 to Highway 212 as a major arterial in Chapters 1 and 4.

#### c. Johnson Creek Boulevard and King/Harrison Roads

The Draft RTP: Designates these roads as minor arterials.

<u>TPAC Discussion</u>: Due to the impact on these facilities as a result of the new I-205 interchange in the Otty/Lester Road area of North Clackamas County, further examination of the Functional Classification of these facilities is warranted.

TPAC Recommendation: Replace "Arterial Access to CTC Area" statement in "further project review" section of the RTP (p. 8-12) with "New I-205 Interchange: Location and Arterial Access Improvements and Function."

#### d. Additional Clackamas River Automobile Crossing

The Draft RTP: Includes the recommendation to examine the feasibility of using the abandoned PTC Bridge as a bus-only facility for the trunk route connecting Oregon City to Mil-waukie via Gladstone, recognizing the importance of the Tri-Cities sewerage plant as a priority consideration.

TPAC Discussion: Consideration should be given to automobile use of the PTC Bridge as an additional Oregon City-Gladstone traffic connection.

TPAC Recommendation: Replace "Park Place Bridge" statement in "further project review" section of the RTP (p. 8-12) with "Gladstone-Oregon City Automobile Connection via Additional Clackamas River Crossing."

#### e. Impact of Oregon City Bypass on Gladstone Streets

The Draft RTP: Does not include specific references to the need for further analysis of the impact of Oregon City By-pass traffic on Gladstone.

TPAC Discussion: As part of the South McLoughlin project study, the impact of bypass traffic on Gladstone should be defined and mitigation measures and/or arterial improvements recommended, as necessary.

TPAC Recommendation: Add following statement to "further project review" section of the RTP (p. 8-12): "Arterial Connection from Oregon City Bypass to McLoughlin Boulevard."

#### f. 219th/216th Avenues

The Draft RTP: Designates 219th/216th Avenues as a minor arterial from T.V. Highway to Cornell.

TPAC Discussion: As growth occurs in Western Washington County, this facility may need to be designated as a major arterial to carry regional travel from the area south and east of Hillsboro to the Sunset Highway.

TPAC Recommendation: This issue requires further study and should be included as an Outstanding Issue on p. 8-11 of the RTP.

g. Cornell and West Burnside Roads

The Draft RTP: Designates Cornell and W. Burnside Roads as minor arterials and indicates that "further project review" is needed for improvements to these facilities.

TPAC Discussion: This situation represents an outstanding issue that goes beyond "project review" status.

TPAC Recommendation: That the designation of Functional Class and sizing of these facilities be included as an outstanding issue in the RTP (p. 8-11).

#### h. Terwilliger Boulevard and Terwilliger/Barbur Project

The Draft RTP: Includes the effort to resolve issues involved in the function and size of Terwilliger Boulevard (a minor arterial in the RTP) as part of the "further project review" section (p. 8-12).

TPAC Discussion: The situation represents an outstanding issue that goes beyond "project review" status.

TPAC Recommendation: The designation of Functional Class and sizing of Terwilliger Boulevard and impact of the Terwilliger/Barbur project be included on p. 8-11 of the RTP as an outstanding issue.

#### 8. Errata

- p. 2 and p. 4-6: Connect Cornelius Pass Road to U.S. 30.
- p. 2 and p. 4-6: Erase dark line on Columbia from 60th to 82nd.
- p. 2 and p. 4-6: Include 185th from T.V. to Farmington.
- p. 2 and p. 4-6: Include dotted line on Cornell from 185th to 158th.
- p. 3 and p. 4-12: Add transit station and park-and-ride in Tualatin.
- p. 1-5: Goal #3, Objective #1: Should be clarified to specify transportation-related energy consumption.
- pp. 2-14 and 2-15: Tables 2-2, 2-3: Delete vehicular from title. Translate Commercial and External Trips (Table 2-2) into person trips.
- p. 4-16, Fig. 4-5: Add "Alternative Sunset Connection to Morrison/Yamhill LRT Route" and arrows in area of SW 12th Avenue between Columbia and Yamhill Streets. Delete 4th Avenue primary LRT street designation.

pp. 4-20 and 4-21: Add trunk route stops.

- p. 5-2, 2nd bullet: Change Lombard Street to Killingsworth.
- p. 7-36, Fig. 7-13: Change title to <u>TRANSIT SYSTEM CHARACTER-</u> <u>ISTICS: RTP COMPARED TO 1980</u>; add line at bottom of top graph to indicate Operating Subsidy at a 1.4 x slope.
- p. 7-37, Fig. 7-14: Change title to TRANSIT OPERATING COST/ REVENUE COMPARISON: RTP VS. 1980.
- p. 8-4: Add the phrase "to choose from" after the word "Tri-Met" in line 14.
- p. 8-11: Identify 1st project in list as East Burnside.
- p. 8-12: Add "219th/216th widening (Cornell-T.V. Highway).
- p. 5-10, 1st bullet: Add "and widening Murray Boulevard and Scholls Ferry Roads."
- p. 5-11, Fig. 5-5: Widening projects should be added to Murray Road from Allen to Scholls Ferry; and on Scholls Ferry Road from 121st to Murray.
- p. 5-14: Add ". various TSM improvements in downtown Portland to increase transit operating capacity, maintain existing traffic volumes, provide increased transit connectivity and reduce conflicts between transit vehicles, automobiles and pedestrians. (Specific projects to be determined as part of the Westside transitway decision process.)"
- p. 8-8: Add as Outstanding Issue #1: "Funding: Alternative financing techniques and a complete funding strategy to implement the highway, transit and demand management improvements identified in the Plan should be developed."
- p. 4-1: Replace last paragraph with "A lack of urban services has been an effective constraint on past development in specific areas of the region. Problems exist with government's ability to fund necessary transportation, sewer, water, school and other public services to support future development. This Plan is intended to establish the transportation investments and funding level required to support the development anticipated in local comprehensive plans.
- p. 10: Reformat chart to indicate 20-year composite of transit costs and revenues.
- p. 1-10: Drop "crush load" reference. Insert "averaged" in text and table relating to capacity. Change articulated LRV capacities to 76, 22, 79, 180, 98, 155 and 156.

- p. 4-17: Delete 1st sentence of transition paragraph.
- p. 8-2: Insert "(Figure 4-1, p. 4-6)" after the word "map" in the 5th line in the Highway System Design paragraph.
- p. 8-3: Insert "(Table 2-1, p. 2-11)" after the word "forecast" in the 9th line of the second paragraph.
- p. 8-4: Insert "(Figure 4-2, p. 4-12)" after the word "stations" in the 11th line of the <u>Transit System Designa-</u> tion paragraph.
- p. 8-4: Insert "(Figure 4-4, p. 4-14)" after the word "corridors" in the 3rd line of the <u>Transitway Implementation</u> paragraph.
- p. 8-6: Add the phrase "in Chapter 1 and 4 of" after the word "identified" in the 9th line of the top paragraph. Delete the word "in" at the start of the 10th line.
- p. 8-3: Add "(p. 1-6)" after the word "RTP" in the 11th line of the second paragraph.

JAG:1mk 3-3-82

## RTP ADOPTION SCHEDULE

February 11 - JPACT - Status report & approval of adoption schedu	le
February 26 - TPAC - Review & discussion of comments; recommenda to JPACT for release for public review with amendments deemed necessary	
March 8 - Regional Development Committee - recommend release for public review	
March 11 - JPACT - Recommend release for public review	
March 11 - April 30 - Local jurisdiction endorsement	
Week of April 5 - Public meeting to review RTP	
April 30 - TPAC - Recommend adoption	
May 9 - Regional Development Committee - Public hearing & recommend adoption	
May 13 - JPACT - Recommend adoption	
May 27 - Metro Council - First reading & public hearing	
June 4 - Metro Council - Second reading & ADOPTION	