

BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE
METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING FOR) RESOLUTION NO. 88-871
THE ASSESSMENT OF DUES TO LOCAL)
GOVERNMENTS FOR FY 1988-89) Introduced by Rena Cusma,
) Executive Officer

WHEREAS, ORS 268.513 authorizes the Council of the
Metropolitan Service District (Metro) to:


"charge the cities and counties within
the District for the services and
activities carried out under ORS 268.380
and 268.390."; and

WHEREAS, Metro Ordinance No. 84-180 requires that the Metro
Council seek the advice of local government officials regarding dues
level through the Local Government Advisory Committee and this con-
dition has been fulfilled; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED,

1. That the Council of the Metropolitan Service District
hereby establishes local government dues assessments within the
District in the amount of \$.51 per capita for FY 1988-89.
2. That notification of the assessment be sent to all
cities and counties within the District prior to March 3, 1988.

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District
this 25th day of February, 1988.


Mike Ragsdale, Presiding Officer

SL/sm
8997C/531
02/16/88

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 88-871 FOR THE
PURPOSE OF PROVIDING FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF DUES
TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS FOR FY 1988-89

Date: February 25, 1988

Presented by: Councilor Richard
Waker

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Assessment Authorization and Procedure

ORS 268.513 authorizes the Council to:

"charge the cities and counties within the
district for the services and activities
carried out under ORS 268.380 and 268.390."

If the Council determines that it is necessary to charge these local governments, it must establish the total amount to be charged and assess each city and county on a population basis. The population of the county cannot include the population of any city within that county. The Council's assessment cannot exceed \$.51 per capita per year. The Council has the ability to determine the population of each city and county.

In making the assessment, the Council is required to notify each city and county of its intent to assess and the amount of the assessment at least 120 days before the beginning of the fiscal year for which the charge will be made. The Council must make the notification for the FY 1987-88 assessment prior to March 3, 1988. Assessments charged to cities and counties are mandatory and they must pay them before October 1, 1987.

A copy of ORS 268.513 is attached as Exhibit A.

Metro Ordinance No. 84-180 established a local officials advisory committee for the purpose of recommending a dues assessment for work programs in transportation, data resources and local government services. This advisory committee has met to review the related material and formulate a recommendation. Their recommended dues assessment is \$.51 per capita. Tri-Met and the Port of Portland are assessed at .125 of this rate.

Proposed FY 1988-89 Assessment

Exhibit B, attached, shows the proposed assessments for FY 1988-89.

The proposed assessments are based upon the latest certified population figures from the Center for Population Research and Census at Portland State University. Each county's unincorporated population estimate is based upon data provided by the Center for Population Research using a formula devised by Metro staff.

COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION AND RECOMMENDATION

On February 16, 1988, the Local Government Advisory Committee recommended Council adoption of a FY 1988-89 Local Government Dues Assessment of \$.51 per capita.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

The Executive Officer recommends adoption of Resolution No. 88-871.

SL/sm
8997C/531
02/16/88

STAFF REPORT
RESOLUTION NO. 88-871
EXHIBIT A

268.513 Service charge for planning functions of district. (1) The council shall consult with the advisory committee appointed under ORS 268.170 before determining whether it is necessary to charge the cities and counties within the district for the services and activities carried out under ORS 268.380 and 268.390. If the council determines that it is necessary to charge cities and counties within the district for any fiscal year, it shall determine the total amount to be charged and shall assess each city and county with the portion of the total amount as the population of the portion of the city or county within the district bears to the total population of the district provided, however, that the service charge shall not exceed the rate of 51 cents per capita per year. For the purposes of this subsection the population of a county does not include the population of any city situated within the boundaries of that county. The population of each city and county shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the council.

(2) The council shall notify each city and county of its intent to assess and the amount it proposes to assess each city and county at least 120 days before the beginning of the fiscal year for which the charge will be made.

(3) The decision of the council to charge the cities and counties within the district, and the amount of the charge upon each, shall be binding upon those cities and counties. Cities and counties shall pay their charge on or before October 1 of the fiscal year for which the charge has been made.

(4) When the council determines that it is necessary to impose the service charges authorized under subsection (1) of this section for any fiscal year, each mass transit district organized under ORS chapter 267 and port located wholly or partly within the district shall also pay a service charge to the district for that fiscal year for the services and activities carried out under ORS 268.380 and 268.390. The charge for a mass transit district or port shall be the amount obtained by applying, for the population of the mass transit district or port within the boundaries of the district, a per capita charge that is 12-1/2 percent of the per capita rate established for cities and counties for the same fiscal year. Subsections (2) and (3) of this section apply to charges assessed under this subsection.

(5) This section shall not apply to a fiscal year that ends later than June 30, 1989. [1977 c.665 §16; 1979 c.804 §10; 1981 c.353 §5; 1985 c.210 §1]

RESOLUTION NO. 88-871
EXHIBIT B

POSSIBLE ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE
FY 1988-89

	POP EST 1987	ASSESS. @ \$.51/	IN METRO	TOTAL COUNTY	NOT IN METRO
CLACKAMAS CO. (Unincorp.)	89600	\$45696.00			
Gladstone	9680	\$4936.80			
Happy Valley	1505	\$767.55			
Johnson City	380	\$193.80			
Lake Oswego	26985	\$13762.35			
Milwaukie	18435	\$9401.85			
Oregon City	14770	\$7532.70			
Rivergrove	315	\$160.65			
West Linn	13660	\$6966.60			
Wilsonville	4285	\$2185.35	179615	255100	75485
MULTNOMAH CO (Unincorp.)	71239	\$36331.89			
Fairview	1910	\$974.10			
Gresham	55530	\$28320.30			
Maywood Park	825	\$420.75			
Portland	419810	\$214103.10			
Troutdale	7115	\$3628.65			
Wood Village	2585	\$1318.35	559014	562000	2986
WASHINGTON CO. (Unincorp.)	126027	\$64273.77			
Beaverton	36235	\$18479.85			
Cornelius	5130	\$2616.30			
Durham	785	\$400.35			
Forest Grove	12000	\$6120.00			
Hillsboro	31390	\$16008.90			
King City	2015	\$1027.65			
Sherwood	2910	\$1484.10			
Tigard	23335	\$11900.85			
Tualatin	11690	\$5961.90	251517	280000	28483
Local Assessment	990146	\$504974.46	990146	1097100	106954
Port of Portland		\$63121.81			
Tri-Met		\$63121.81			
TOTAL PROPOSED ASSESSMENT		\$631218.08			

tee meeting. Councilor Hansen, Chair of the Council Solid Waste Committee, explained the Committee had set the item over for discussion at the March 1 Committee meeting. The resolution would be considered by the Council after the Committee made its recommendation.

8.3 Consideration of Resolution No. 88-876, for the Purpose of Awarding a Contract for Construction of the Oregon Street Detour for the Oregon Convention Center
(Referred from the Convention Center Committee)

Councilor Cooper, Chair of the Council Convention Center Committee, reported the Committee had unanimously recommended the Council adopt the resolution.

Motion: Councilor Cooper moved the resolution be adopted. Councilor Waker seconded the motion.

Tuck Wilson, Convention Center Project Director, reported a hearing on the matter was scheduled before the City of Portland Council on March 23. He thanked Steve Dotterer, City of Portland Transportation Planner, for his assistance on the project.

In an unrelated matter, Councilor Kirkpatrick said she had received a phone call from a citizen who thought the Council had taken improper action when adopting Ordinance No. 88-242, an ordinance exempting the Oregon Street Detour Contract from certain Disadvantaged Business Enterprise program provisions. The Presiding Officer asked staff to look into the matter.

Vote: A vote on the motion resulted in all eleven Councilors present voting aye. Councilor Bonner was absent.

Resolution No. 88-876 was adopted.

8.4 Consideration of Resolution No. 88-871, for the Purpose of Providing for the Assessment of Dues to Local Governments for FY 1988-89 (Referred from the Intergovernmental Relations Committee)

Committee Chair Waker reported the resolution had been reviewed by the Intergovernmental Relations Committee and was unanimously recommended for adoption.

Motion: Councilor Waker moved, seconded by Tom DeJardin, to adopt Resolution No. 88-871.

In response to Councilor Van Bergen's question, Councilor Waker said Metro's authority to assess dues from local governments would expire in 1989 unless that authority was extended by the State Legislature.

Vote: A vote on the motion resulted in all eleven Councilors present voting aye. Councilor Bonner was absent.

Resolution No. 88-871 was adopted.

8.5 Consideration of Resolution No. 88-859, for the Purpose of Beginning Implementation of Allocation of Federal-Aid Urban and Interstate Transfer Funds (Referred from the Intergovernmental Relations Committee)

Councilor Waker, Committee Chair, explained the resolution had be forwarded from the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) with a unanimous recommendation. The Intergovernmental Relations Committee had also unanimously recommended adoption of the resolution.

Motion: Councilor Waker moved, seconded by Councilor Van Bergen, to adopt the resolution.

Vote: A vote on the motion resulted in all eleven Councilors present voting aye. Councilor Bonner was absent.

Resolution No. 88-859 was adopted.

8.6 Consideration of Resolution No. 88-860, for the Purpose of Establishing the Region's Priority Highway Project Improvements for Inclusion in the 1989-1994 ODOT Six-Year Highway Program (Referred from the Intergovernmental Relations Committee)

Committee Chair Waker announced the resolution had been unanimously recommended for adoption by JPACT and the Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The resolution reflected a regional consensus on projects to be included in the Six-Year Highway Program, he explained, and JPACT's consensus was that Metro identify priority projects and then seek funding for those projects.

Motion: Councilor Waker moved, seconded by Councilor Van Bergen, to adopt the resolution.

In response to Councilor Gardner's question, Councilor Waker explained the list of priority projects (Exhibit A to the resolution) had not been placed in any ranking order. Councilor Waker