BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF ALLOCATING) RESOLUTION NO. 88-952	
FEDERAL-AID URBAN REGIONAL)	
RESERVE FUNDS) Introduced by the	
) Joint Policy Advisory	
) Committee on Transportation	on

WHEREAS, Through Resolution No. 88-859 a major portion of the Federal-Aid Urban Regional Reserve was allocated to the three Counties; and

WHEREAS, A balance remains in the Reserve which can be allocated this fiscal year to qualifying project(s); and

WHEREAS, Three candidate projects have been submitted in competition for the Reserve funds; and

WHEREAS, The Transportation Improvement Program Subcommittee has reviewed the technical criteria considerations for the projects appearing in Exhibit A; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED,

- 1. That the Council of the Metropolitan Service District authorizes the transfer of \$495,035 from the Federal-Aid Urban Regional Reserve to: 238th/242nd Avenue I-84 to Division.
- 2. That the following projects and amounts are endorsed for use of the Counties' individual Federal-Aid Urban allocations:

Baseline Road	\$300,000
Murray Boulevard	300,000
Hall/McDonald Intersection	115,000
Tualatin-Sherwood/Edy Road	438,667
N. Main Reconstruction	484,000
238th/242nd Avenue	152,866
82nd Drive/Evelyn	819,574

3. That the Transportation Improvement Program be amended to incorporate these actions.

	4.	That	these	action	s are	consi	stent	with	the	Regi	onal	
Transport	atior	n Plan	updat	e and	Affir	native	Inter	gover	nmen	tal	Proje	ct
Review is	here	eby gi	ven.									

	A	DOPTE	D by	the	Council	of	the	Metropolitan	Service	District
this	28th	day	of _	Ju	1y			, 1988.		

Mike Ragsdale, Presiding Officer

BP/sm 9776C/545 06/28/88

EXHIBIT A
TECHNICAL CRITERIA RANKING

		Tualatin- Sherwood/Edy Road	238th/242nd Avenue	Boones Ferry Road		
		<u>Value</u> <u>Pts.</u>	<u>Value</u> <u>Pts.</u>	<u>Value</u> <u>Pts.</u>		
A.	1985 V/C	Medium 2 $740/900 = .82$	High 3 1094/900 = 1.22	High 3 940/1050 = .9		
в.	1985 Accident Rate State Average = 3.34/mvm	Low 1 2.5/mvm	Medium 2 3.5/mvm	Low 1 1.6/mvm		
c.	1985 VHD*	Low 1 0 hrs.	Low 1 2.2 hrs.	Low 1 2.2 hrs.		
D.	1998 V/C	High 3 930/900 = 1.03	High 3 1195/900 = 1.3	High 3 1200/1050 = 1.14		
Ε.	1998 VHD*	Low 1 2.3 hrs.	Medium 2 17.9 hrs.	Low 1 9.6 hrs.		
F.	1998 V/C>.9 Into Development Area	Yes))) 3	Yes))) 3	Yes))) 3		
G.	Recent Development Occurred	Yes)	Yes)	Yes)		
н.	Cost Per 2005 VMT	Low 1 \$8.7-9.7m/ 10.2m = \$.85- .95	Medium 2 \$3.0/6.8m = \$.44	High 3 \$1.9/6.1m = \$.31		
	TOTAL POINTS	12	16	15		

^{*} Not based on detailed analysis of intersection delay.

JAG:lmk
a:\fauexha

STAFF REPORT

Agenda Item No. 6.2

Meeting Date July 28, 1988

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 88-952 FOR THE PURPOSE OF ALLOCATING FEDERAL-AID URBAN REGIONAL RESERVE FUNDS

Date: June 21, 1988 Presented by: Andy Cotugno

PROPOSED ACTION

This Resolution would allocate Federal-Aid Urban (FAU) funds remaining in the Regional Reserve. The amount to be allocated is \$495,035 and is to be assigned to the project ranking highest using the JPACT criteria appearing in Exhibit A to the Resolution:

238th/242nd Avenue - I-84 to Division

The Transportation Improvement Program Subcommittee concurs in the ranking and selection of the above project.

The Resolution also endorses use of the Counties' individual FAU allocations to specific projects.

TPAC has reviewed the proposed allocation and recommends approval of Resolution No. 88-952.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

In February, 1988, the Federal-Aid Urban Regional Reserve amounted to \$3,480,142. Through Resolution No. 88-859, the Reserve was allocated so that each County received at least a 75 percent "minimum allocation" based upon population (75 percent of the funds allocated based upon population, 25 percent by region priority):

	Population	Percent	75% Minimum "Guideline"
Washington County Clackamas County Multnomah County	251,991 179,260 139,210	44.2 31.4 24.4	\$1,153,667 819,574 636,866
Balance TOTAL	570,461	100.0	$\frac{870,035}{\$3,480,142}$

A portion of the balance (\$375,000) was additionally allocated to:

Technical Assistance Program	\$ 75,000
PE for Westside Bypass	100,000
PE For Sunrise Corridor	100,000
PE for Gresham Parkway	100,000

Retained in the Reserve was \$495,035 which was to be allocated at a later date pending selection of candidate projects for its use. Three projects have been recommended by the local jurisdictions for use of this Reserve through their respective transportation committees:

- 1. Tualatin-Sherwood/Edy Road.
 Washington County Transportation
 Coordinating Committee (WCTCC)
- 2. 238th/242nd Avenue I-84 to Division. East Multnomah County Transportation Committee (ECTC)
- 3. Boones Ferry Road, Unit 2. Clackamas Transportation Coordinating Committee (CTCC)

Exhibit A to the Resolution depicts the technical rankings of the candidates.

Additional actions by the above committees approved the use of the Counties' individual Federal-Aid Urban allocations consisting of:

WASHINGTON COUNTY:

	Baseline Road 10th to Murray Begin PE/DEIS	\$	300,000
	Murray Boulevard Old Scholls Ferry to Allen Begin PE/EA		300,000
	Hall/McDonald Intersection Cover Shortfall		115,000
	Tualatin-Sherwood/Edy Road Project Cover part of \$4,000,000 shortfall		438,667
	TOTAL	\$1	,153,667
MULT	NOMAH COUNTY:		
	N. Main Reconstruction Division to Powell	\$	484,000
	238th/242nd Avenue	•	152,866
	TOTAL	\$	636,866
CLAC	KAMAS COUNTY:		
	82nd Drive/Evelyn	\$	819,574

Metro Council July 28, 1988 Page 2

because of a desire to reduce the workload of Councilors. The resolution would reduce the size of some committees, would eliminate the Planning & Development Committee, and would reassign Councilors to the committees. The resolution also established a subcommittee of the Intergovernmental Relations Committee. He reported the Internal Relations Committee had reviewed the resolution at its meeting of July 28 and had unanimously recommended its adoption.

Motion: Councilor Van Bergen moved, seconded by Councilor DeJardin, to adopt the resolution with the understanding the Urban Growth Boundary Subcommittee of the Intergovernmental Relations Committee would submit to the Council a written statement of the subcommittee's purpose.

Vote: A vote on the motion resulted in all ten Councilors present voting aye. Councilors Coleman and Gardner were absent.

The motion carried and the resolution was unanimously adopted.

4. EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMMUNICATIONS

None.

6. CONSENT AGENDA

Motion: Councilor Kirkpatrick moved to approve the items listed on the Consent Agenda. Councilor DeJardin seconded the motion.

Vote: A vote on the motion resulted in all ten Councilors present voting aye. Councilor Coleman and Gardner were absent.

The motion carried and the following items were approved:

- 6.1 Minutes of July 7 and June 23, 1988
- Resolution No. 88-952, for the Purpose of Allocating Federal-Aid Urban Regional Reserve Funds
- Resolution No. 88-961, Extending the Locational Adjustment Filing Deadline to September 2, 1988, for a Petition from Six Corners Land Investment, LTD.
- 7. ORDINANCES, FIRST READING

Agenda	Item	No	4	5.1	
Meeting	Date	a Aug	ust	25,	1988

MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

July 28, 1988

Councilors Present:

Mike Ragsdale (Chair), Corky Kirkpatrick (V. Chair), Tanya Collier, Larry Cooper, Tom DeJardin, Gary Hansen, Sharron Kelley, David Knowles, George Van Bergen and Richard Waker

Councilors Absent:

Elsa Coleman and Jim Gardner

Others Present:

Dan Cooper, General Counsel

Presiding Officer Ragsdale called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m.

1. INTRODUCTIONS

None.

2. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

Councilor Van Bergen said he had received a letter from the Housing Authority of the County of Clackamas dated July 21, 1988, concerning proposed solid waste rate increase which would be considered by the Council on August 11. He submitted the letter to the Clerk for the record.

3. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATIONS

Notice of a Review of Metropolitan Exposition-Recreation Resolution No. 8 Concerning Personnel Rules

The Presiding Officer announced the Executive Officer had requested Council review of the resolution recently adopted by the Commission which had established Personnel Rules for the Commission. He said he would place the matter on the August 11 Council agenda and at that meeting he would request the Council confirm the appointment of a task force, comprised of the Council Convention Center Committee, to review the matter and make a recommendation to the Council.

3.1 Consideration of Resolution No. 88-964, for the Purposze of Reorganizing Council Standing Committees

The Presiding Officer announced he had introduced the resolution because of the recent appointment of Councilor Coleman, because the work of the Planning & Development Committee was complete, and