#### BEFORE THE METRO COUNCIL

FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADOPTING THE	)	RESOLUTION NO. 13-4428
DISTRIBUTION OF THE POPULATION	)	
AND EMPLOYMENT GROWTH TO YEAR	)	Introduced by Councilor Kathryn
2040 TO TRAFFIC ANALYSIS ZONES IN	)	Harrington
THE REGION CONSISTENT WITH THE	)	
FORECAST ADOPTED BY ORDINANCE	)	
NO. 12-1292A IN FULFILLMENT OF	)	
METRO'S POPULATION COORDINATION	)	
RESPONSIBILITY UNDER ORS 195.036	)	

WHEREAS, ORS 195.025 designates Metro as the local government responsible for coordination of planning activities within the Metro district; and

WHEREAS, ORS 195.036 requires the designated local government responsible for coordination of planning activities in a region to establish and maintain a population forecast for the area within its boundary, and to coordinate the forecast with the other local governments within the boundary; and

WHEREAS, the Metro Council adopted a population and employment forecast for the region by Ordinance No. 11-1264B ("For the Purpose of Expanding the Urban Growth Boundary to Provide Capacity for Housing and Employment to the year 2030 and Amending the Metro Code to Conform") on October 20, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the distribution of forecasted population and employment accepted by this resolution reflects prior policy decisions made by the Metro Council to: (1) use land inside the UGB more efficiently in Ordinance No. 10-1244B, and (2) add land to the UGB in Ordinance No. 11-1264B; and

WHEREAS, Metro began the process of distribution of the forecasted population and employment in October 2010, by coordinating the distribution with the 25 cities and three counties portions of which lie within the Metro district; in the course of 24 months, Metro held 15 coordination meetings with local governments, by county; more than 25 meetings with individual cities and counties; and four meetings with the city of Vancouver and Clark County to share the results of preliminary distributions and to seek comments and suggestions to improve the accuracy of the distributions; and

WHEREAS, Metro made presentations to its advisory committees (MTAC, TPAC, JPACT and MPAC) on October 17, October 26, November 8 and November 14, 2012 respectively; and

WHEREAS, Metro incorporated many of the comments and suggestions to refine the distribution and provided a final 2035 distribution; and

WHEREAS, the Metro Council adopted a population and employment forecast for the year 2035 by Ordinance No. 12-1292A on November 29, 2012; and

WHEREAS, in consultation with local governments, Metro extrapolated the 2035 forecast distribution to the year 2040 for the purpose of ensuring an adequate horizon year for the 2014 Regional Transportation Plan update; and

WHEREAS, Metro incorporated the comments of local governments in the refinement of the 2040 distribution; now, therefore,

The Metro Council resolves that

- 1. The 2040 forecast distribution made to traffic analysis zones described in Exhibits A and B to this resolution and in the Staff Report dated April 30, 2013, shall serve as the basis for the 2014 Regional Transportation Plan update and associated demonstration of compliance with the Federal Clean Air Act.
- 2. The Chief Operating Officer shall make the distribution of population and employment available to each city and county in the district.

ADOPTED by the Metro Council this 16 day of May, 2013.

Tom Hughes, Council Pre

Approved as to form:

Alison Kean Campbell, Metro Attorney

## Exhibit A (Resolution No. 13-4428) 2040 Household Forecast Distribution (Reviewed by Local Jurisdictions)

(MetroScope "Gamma" TAZ Forecast)

Draft: April 2013 (source: Scen #1221)

Note: Jurisdiction geographies are approximate, and based on TAZ's.
Urban Reserves are tabulated outside the UGB.

	Hous	ehold Estin	nates	2010	-40	Popu	lation Estin	nates	2010	0-40	Househo	old Size
Inside UGB:	2010	2035	2040	Change	% A.P.R.	2010	2035	2040	Change	%change	2010	2040
Beaverton	40,081	50,517	51,586	11,505	0.8%	89,803	112,608	114,991	25,188	28%	2.41	2.23
Cornelius	3,518	5,513	5,804	2,286	1.7%	11,869	18,102	19,057	7,188	61%	3.55	3.28
Damascus	3,527	11,916	13,249	9,722	4.5%	10,539	34,570	38,437	27,898	265%	2.91	2.90
Durham	558	586	590	32	0.2%	1,351	1,436	1,446	95	7%	2.48	2.45
Fairview	3,631	4,003	4,030	399	0.3%	8,920	9,207	9,269	349	4%	2.52	2.30
Forest Grove	7,492	10,379	11,069	3,577	1.3%	21,083	27,370	29,189	8,106	38%	2.85	2.64
Gladstone	4,187	4,876	5,030	843	0.6%	11,497	12,308	12,697	1,200	10%	2.53	2.52
Gresham	38,024	51,051	53,426	15,402	1.1%	105,594	126,716	132,612	27,018	26%	2.73	2.48
Happy Valley	4,435	10,410	11,180	6,745	3.1%	13,903	32,727	35,148	21,245	153%	3.15	3.14
Hillsboro	32,826	44,973	47,151	14,325	1.2%	91,611	114,323	119,859	28,248	31%	2.75	2.54
Johnson City	268	268	268	0	0.0%	566	564	564	-2	0%	2.11	2.10
King City	1,755	1,769	1,770	15	0.0%	3,111	3,172	3,174	63	2%	1.79	1.79
Lake Oswego	16,067	19,291	20,474	4,407	0.8%	36,619	44,305	47,021	10,402	28%	2.30	2.30
Maywood Park	300	306	300	0	0.0%	752	767	752	0	0%	2.51	2.51
Milwaukie	8,241	9,740	10,326	2,085	0.8%	20,291	22,729	24,097	3,806	19%	2.34	2.33
Oregon City	11,974	17,047	17,534	5,560	1.3%	31,859	45,214	46,505	14,646	46%	2.66	2.65
Portland	248,416	369,398	389,524	141,108	1.5%	583,776	789,370	832,378	248,602	43%	2.35	2.14
Rivergrove	123	124	124	1	0.0%	289	290	290	1	0%	2.35	2.34
Sherwood	6,476	7,269	7,321	845	0.4%	18,194	19,342	19,480	1,286	7%	2.88	2.66
Tigard	18,667	25,997	27,118	8,451	1.3%	48,035	60,213	62,809	14,774	31%	2.51	2.32
Troutdale	5,787	6,632	7,065	1,278	0.7%	15,962	16,983	18,092	2,130	13%	2.81	2.56
Tualatin	10,238	11,170	11,292	1,054	0.3%	26,054	28,484	28,795	2,741	11%	2.61	2.55
West Linn	10,252	11,988	12,342	2,090	0.6%	25,109	31,506	32,436	7,327	29%	2.64	2.63
Wilsonville	7,980	11,508	11,766	3,786	1.3%	19,509	28,475	29,113	9,604	49%	2.48	2.47
Wood Village	1,539	1,609	1,681	142	0.3%	3,878	4,630	4,838	960	25%	3.16	2.88
Uninc. Clackamas Co.*	34,597	43,919	45,485	10,888	0.9%	86,805	112,506	113,531	26,726	31%	2.50	2.50
Uninc. Multnomah Co.*	2,029	4,107	4,501	2,472	2.7%	5,991	11,033	12,091	6,100	102%	2.95	2.69
Uninc. Washington Co.*	71,170	100,069	102,461	31,291	1.2%	188,148	245,766	253,013	64,865	34%	2.67	2.47
TOTAL INSIDE UGB	594,158	836,436	874,467	280,309	1.3%	1,481,118	1,954,716	2,041,684	560,566	38%	2.49	2.33
Outside UGB:												
Clackamas Co. (part)**	44,951	66,392	71,812	26,861	1.6%	119,006	192,269	207,965	88,959	75%	2.65	2.90
Multnomah Co. (part)	3,873	4,365	6,464	2,591	1.7%	10,461	11,932	17,670	7,209	69%	2.70	2.73
Washington Co. (part)**	11,360	32,770	38,993	27,633	4.2%	30,451	80,686	96,008	65,557	215%	2.68	2.46
TOTAL OUTSIDE UGB	218,294	331,919	350,261	131,967	1.6%	585,281	892,835	941,836	356,555	61%	2.68	2.69
Tri-County Total	654,342	939,963	991,736	337,394	1.4%	1,641,036	2,239,603	2,363,327	722,291	44%	2.51	2.38

Population estimates extrapolated from the "2035 Reviewed TAZ Forecast Distribution" (MetroScope GAMMA HH Forecast)

<sup>(\*</sup> Note: derived as proportional estimate from the total county unincorporated)

<sup>(\*\*</sup> Note: urban reserves are tabulated with unincorp. county figures outside the UGB)

### Exhibit B (Resolution No. 13-4428) 2040 Employment Forecast Distribution (Reviewed by Local Jurisdictions)

(MetroScope "Gamma" TAZ Forecast)

Draft: April 2013

Note: Jurisdiction geographies are approximate, and based on TAZ's.

Urban Reserves are tabulated outside the UGB.

2010 Employment Geocode 2035 Jurisdiction Review 2040 Jurisdiction Review Inside UGB: Service Other Total Retail Service Other **Total** Retail Service Other Total Retail 11,041 21,539 51,841 14,640 Beaverton 19,261 14,254 33,282 75,358 36,377 28,634 27,822 79.651 711 1,680 3,084 1,880 7,931 1,767 2,086 4,958 8,811 Cornelius 693 1,611 4,440 **Damascus** 260 357 908 1,525 902 1,613 1,894 4,409 1,378 2,252 3,107 6,737 Durham 1 213 318 532 1 307 458 766 327 484 812 1 **Fairview** 236 497 1,878 2,611 558 3,293 3,724 7,575 613 3,655 4,045 8,313 1,747 **Forest Grove** 882 2,018 2,617 5,517 3.455 5,343 10,545 1.900 3.729 5,823 11,452 Gladstone 702 546 883 2,131 903 1,040 1,092 3,035 927 1,119 1,134 3,180 7,353 8,871 16,408 12,334 20,154 26,079 58,567 21,734 27,326 Gresham 32,632 13.134 62,194 789 1,842 1,982 **Happy Valley** 241 256 621 1,118 1,616 4,247 918 2,164 5,064 93,403 25,518 105,036 Hillsboro 9,584 14,449 34,227 58,260 12.152 55,733 12,725 27,459 64,852 Johnson City 0 0 8 8 0 0 8 8 0 0 8 8 137 269 64 470 173 511 137 821 175 555 152 882 **King City** 2,323 11,584 22,786 9,183 Lake Oswego 2,553 7,024 8,670 18,247 8,879 2,240 12,417 23,840 **Maywood Park** O 5 23 28 O 5 23 28 O 5 23 28 Milwaukie 1,403 3,527 6,658 11,588 1,944 5,751 7,712 15,407 2,031 6,336 7,746 16.113 **Oregon City** 3,081 3,727 7,580 14,388 5,418 6,990 10,077 22,485 5,754 7,481 10,429 23,664 **Portland** 65,150 139,111 170,053 374,314 76,134 218,142 214,176 508,453 78,595 230,220 222,394 531,209 Rivergrove 0 0 6 6 0 0 6 6 0 0 6 6 Sherwood 1,103 1,206 1,907 4,216 1,643 2,604 5,005 9,252 1,864 2,896 5,547 10,307 **Tigard** 9,072 11,901 16,196 37,169 10,764 23,818 19,650 54,232 10,910 25,929 20,115 56,954 **Troutdale** 1,272 493 2,361 4,126 2,039 2,357 5,615 10,011 2,161 2,643 6,179 10,983 **Tualatin** 4,372 6,140 12,460 22,972 5,066 8,868 21,305 35,239 5,405 9,412 22,777 37,594 **West Linn** 966 1,593 1.693 4,252 1,517 2,683 2,331 6,531 2,835 6,913 1.623 2.455 2,480 9,754 9,733 14,901 Wilsonville 4,839 17,073 3,536 14,150 27,419 3,853 10,673 29,427 **Wood Village** 1,261 242 531 2,034 1,783 1,158 1,489 4,430 1,870 1,298 1,607 4,775 Uninc. Clackamas Co. 11,506 13,302 20,330 45,138 15,519 26,628 25,761 67,908 16,236 28,473 26,586 71,295 Uninc. Multnomah Co. 109 377 396 882 749 1,658 2,367 4,774 869 1,861 2,559 5,289 Uninc. Washington Co. 5,929 13,844 17,097 36,870 8,659 23,012 9,331 25,297 34,828 31,142 62,813 69,456 **TOTAL INSIDE UGB** 141,387 254,779 356,866 753,032 182,518 437,886 498,034 1,118,439 190,920 469,233 529,840 1,189,993 **Outside UGB:** 8,182 24,964 **Clackamas County** 4,803 5,218 15,348 25.369 11.295 22.359 41.836 9.378 13.090 47,432 **Multnomah County** 361 479 1,513 2,353 384 876 1,945 3,205 470 1,072 2,091 3,633 **Washington County** 854 1,640 5,881 8,375 2.363 6,659 18,084 27,106 2,874 8,025 24,515 35,414

Employment estimates extrapolated from the "2035 Reviewed TAZ Forecast Distribution" (MetroScope GAMMA HH Forecast)

82,573

163,364

789.129

51,793

99.793

142,581

193,447 456,716 540,422 1,190,586

294,167

49,398

147,405 262,116 379,608

31,393

**TOTAL OUTSIDE UGB** 

**Tri-County Total** 

(source: Scen #1221)

110,010

157,815

581.410 1.276.472

323,890

56,065

203,642 491,420

<sup>(\*</sup> Note: derived as proportional estimate from the total county unincorporated)

<sup>(\*\*</sup> Note: urban reserves are tabulated with unincorp. county figures outside the UGB)

#### **STAFF REPORT**

IN CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 13-4428, FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADOPTING THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE POPULATION AND EMPLOYMENT GROWTH TO YEAR 2040 TO TRAFFIC ANALYSIS ZONES IN THE REGION CONSISTENT WITH THE FORECAST ADOPTED BY ORDINANCE NO. 11-1264B IN FULFILLMENT OF METRO'S POPULATION COORDINATION RESPONSIBILITY UNDER ORS 195.036

Date: April 30, 2013 Prepared by: Gerry Uba, x1737

#### **BACKGROUND**

Oregon land use law (ORS 195.036; 195.025) requires Metro to coordinate its regional population forecasts with local governments inside the urban growth boundary for use in updating their comprehensive plans, land use regulations and other related policies. In 2009, Metro created a population and employment growth forecast for the seven-county region<sup>1</sup> for the next 50 years. One of the ways Metro coordinates the population and employment forecast is to conduct a localized distribution of the 2009 forecast after an urban growth boundary decision cycle is completed.

On November 29, 2012, Metro Council adopted the Distribution of the Population and Employment Growth to Year 2035 to the traffic analysis zones in the region (Ordinance No. 12-1292A). The distribution information is essential for local and regional planning, such as updating local comprehensive plans (through periodic review), local transportation system plans, and the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The information is used by Metro for corridor planning, regional transportation planning, and the urban growth report for informing the urban growth boundary decision. Although there is no legal requirement for school districts and special districts to coordinate their forecast with Metro, the distribution information will be useful to school districts for enrolment forecasting and facility planning, and to special districts in the region, such as water, sewer and fire districts, in updating their facility plans and emergency preparedness plans. The information is also helpful to TriMet in forecasting future ridership and mapping travel patterns, enabling the agency to better plan for frequency of MAX and bus service and future routes.

The proposed Resolution No. 13-4428 will adopt the Distribution of the Population and Employment forecast to year 2040 to the traffic analysis zones in the region. Metro's adoption of the 2040 forecast distribution will serve as the basis for RTP update and associated demonstration of compliance with the Federal Clean Air Act. The RTP will be updated in 2014. Public hearing is not required for the adoption of the resolution.

#### Methodology of the growth forecast distribution

The growth forecast distribution is based on policy and investment decisions and assumptions that local elected leaders and the Metro Council have already approved and adopted, including the seven-county

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Clark, Clackamas, Columbia, Multnomah, Skamania, Washington, and Yamhill counties

forecast, existing zoning, adopted plans, the Regional Transportation Plan adopted on June 10, 2010, and urban and rural reserves.

The first stage of the coordination process involves Metro and local government staff working together to refine the buildable land inventory (BLI) methodology to ensure the accuracy of zoning and growth capacity assumptions. Attachment 1 contains names of local jurisdiction staff involved in the population and employment coordination. The methodology takes into account land that cannot be built on due to environmental constraints and right of way, as well as capacity from vacant buildable lands, new urban areas<sup>2</sup>, prospective urban growth boundary expansions into designated urban reserves, redevelopment and infill. As a result of this exercise, the region now has an updated 30-year capacity estimate that reflects the input and review from local government staff.

The geography used for this analysis is the Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ). To provide more detail than the previous growth distribution, the number of TAZs used was increased from 2,013 to 2,162. The TAZ is the geographic unit that serves as the building block of Metro's primary forecasting tools (the travel demand model and MetroScope). By dividing the region into 2,162 TAZs, the accuracy of the travel demand model as well as all other aspects of transportation planning are improved. The TAZ-level data also assist land use planners in updating comprehensive plans and zoning, and conducting other types of land use analysis, including neighborhood level analysis.

In the second stage of the distribution coordination process, land use and transportation models are used to match demand (the seven-county forecast) with supply (the BLI). After extensive review of Metro's initial distributions with local governments' staff, the final product is the 2035 and 2040 distributions of forecast households and jobs to TAZs, cities and unincorporated areas in the region.

Further analyses of both the 2035 and 2040 distribution data reveal future trends that regional and local planners should bring to the attention of their decision makers.

#### Involvement of local governments and Metro advisory committees

As stated earlier, staff representatives of all local governments in the region were involved during the entire duration of the project, by assisting to refine land supply calculation methods, and review and comment on the capacity estimates and distribution of the population and employment forecast to years 2035 and 2040. In addition, the Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee (TPAC), Metro Technical Advisory Committee (MTAC), Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC) and the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) reviewed and provided comments on the land supply/capacity estimates and the matching of the capacity estimates with population and employment forecast that resulted in the TAZ level and local government level distribution of the population and employment forecast before it was adopted by the Metro Council.

#### **ANALYSIS/INFORMATION**

#### 1. Known Opposition

Washington County and the City of Beaverton provided written comments emphasizing the need for a better understanding of residential housing demand and preferences and redevelopment. In

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Areas added to the urban growth boundary that does not yet have urban zoning.

response, Metro staff identified additional research possibilities. Depending on funding availability, this research could inform the next Urban Growth Report and forecast distribution.

#### 2. Legal Antecedents

The distribution of the growth forecast to year 2040 satisfies Metro's obligations under the Federal Clean Air Act and the state coordination requirement -- ORS 195.025 and 195.036. Local governments are encouraged to use the coordinated forecast distribution as part of their Transportation System Plans, otherwise they are expected to present their alternate local forecast and methodology to the Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee for review and comment.

#### 3. Anticipated Effects

Adoption of the distribution of population and employment forecast at a localized-level will encourage local governments to use distribution information to conform their land use and transportation plans to recent regional policies adopted by the Metro Council.

#### 4. Budget Impacts

The FY 2012/2013 budget included resources for staff in the Research Center and the Planning and Development Department to work on this project.

#### **RECOMMENDED ACTION**

Staff recommends that the Metro Council accept and adopt the distribution of the 2009 population and employment forecast to year 2040 (included in Resolution No. 13-4428 as Exhibits A and B) as fulfillment of Metro's responsibilities to use the information as the basis of its air quality conformity determination for updating the Regional Transportation Plan in 2014.

# ATTACHMENT 1 (Staff Report to Resolution No. 13-4428) LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND AGENCY STAFF INVOLVED IN THE 2035 AND 2040 FORECAST DISTRIBUTION PROCESS

Cities	Staff
City of Beaverton	Laura Kelly, Robert McCracken, Jeff Salvon, Steven Sparks, Doug Taylor
City of Cornelius	Dick Reynolds
City of Damascus	Steve Gaschler, John Morgan, Erika Palmer, Bob Short
City of Durham	
City of Fairview	Lindsey Nesbitt
City of Forest Grove	Jon Holan, Dan Riordan
City of Gladstone	Larry Conrad
City of Gresham	Erin Aigner, Jonathan Harker, Brian Martin, Ann Pytynia
City of Happy Valley	Jason Tuck, Michael Walter
City of Hillsboro	Colin Cooper, Doug Miller, Don Odermott, Dan Rutzick
City of Johnson City	
City of King City	Keith Liden
City of Lake Oswego	Denny Egner, Erica Rooney, Sarah Selden
City of Maywood Park	
City of Milwaukie	Li Alligood, Kenny Asher, Katie Mangle
City of Oregon City	Tony Konkol, Christina Roberts-Gardner, Laura Terway
City of Portland	Tom Armstrong
City of Rivergrove	
City of Sherwood	Julia Hajduk, Michelle Miller
City of Tigard	Darren Wyss
City of Troutdale	Rich Faith, Elizabeth McCallum
City of Tualatin	Colin Cortes, Cindy Hahn, Aquilla Hurd-Ravich, Alice Rouyer
City of West Linn	Sara Javronok, Chris Kerr, John Sonnen
City of Wilsonville	Chris Neamtzu, Stephan Lashbrook, Daniel Pauly, Dan Stark
City of Wood Village	Bill Peterson
Counties	Staff
Clackamas County	Sarah Abbott, Larry Conrad, Martha Fritzie, Shari Gilevich, Clay Glasgow, Cindy Hagen,
,	Scott Hoelscher, Diedre Landon, Mike McAllister, Simone Rede, Michael D. Walden
Multnomah County	Chuck Beasley
Washington County	Andy Back, Steve D. Kelley
Agencies	Staff
Oregon Employment Dept.	Lynn Wallis
Dept. of Land Conservation	Anne Debbaut, Jennifer Donnelly, Darren Nichols, Lynn Wallis
& Development	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Oregon Dept. of	Mai Chi, Kirsten Pennington, Lidwien Rahman, Lainie Smith
Transportation	
Port of Portland	John Boren, Tom Bouillion
Metro	Roger Alfred, Sonny Conder, Jim Cser, Chris Deffebach, Mike Hoglund, Robin McArthur,
	Cindy Pederson, Ted Reid, Maribeth Todd, Gerry Uba, John Williams, Dennis Yee
Neighboring Cities <sup>1</sup>	
Canby	Bryan Brown, Matilda Deas
Sandy	Tracy Brown

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Consultation / information exchange with SW Washington Regional Transportation Council, City of Vancouver and Clark County, Washington.