## BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF RECOGNIZING THE )
MODEL SOLID WASTE FACILITY SITING )
ORDINANCE AS MEETING THE REQUIRE- )
MENTS OF CHAPTER 16 OF THE REGIONAL)
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN

RESOLUTION NO. 91-1415 A

Introduced by Rena Cusma, Executive Officer

WHEREAS, The Metropolitan Service District adopted Ordinance No. 88-266B, which adopted the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan; and

WHEREAS, Policy 16.2 of the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan states that "Each city and county shall provide appropriate zoning to allow planned solid waste facilities or enter into intergovernmental agreements with others to assure such zoning. Whether by outright permitted use, conditional use, or otherwise, appropriate zoning shall utilize only clear and objective standards that do not effectively prohibit solid waste facilities."; and

WHEREAS, A model solid waste facility siting ordinance has been developed by staff of the Metropolitan Service District and by a consultant team as one means to meet the intent of the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan, including Policy 16.2; and

WHEREAS, The model solid waste facility siting ordinance was extensively evaluated and revised as the result of reviews by the Land Use Subcommittee, the Solid Waste Technical Committee, the Solid Waste Policy Committee, as well as being circulated for comment to all city managers and planning directors of the cities and counties within the region, and circulated for comment to representatives of the solid waste industry; now, therefore,

#### BE IT RESOLVED,

- 1. The model solid waste facility siting ordinance attached hereto as Exhibit "A" conforms to the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan, including its policies, especially Policy 16.2.
- 2. A city or county that chooses to incorporate the provisions of the model solid waste facility siting ordinance into its zoning code shall be considered to have met the requirements of Policy 16.2 of the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan.
- 3. A city or county that adopts a substantially revised version of the model solid waste facility siting ordinance, or uses another means to satisfy Chapter 16 of the Regional Solid

Waste Management Plan will need to show that its approach meets the requirements of Policy 16.2, as provided in the Chapter.

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District this 24th day of October , 1991.

Tanya Collier, Presiding Officer

gl 1415

## BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF RECOGNIZING THE )
MODEL SOLID WASTE FACILITY SITING )
ORDINANCE AS MEETING THE REQUIRE- )
MENTS OF CHAPTER 16 OF THE REGIONAL)
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN

RESOLUTION NO. 91-1415

Introduced by Rena Cusma, Executive Officer

WHEREAS, The Metropolitan Service District approved adopted Ordinance No. 88-266B, which adopted the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan; and

WHEREAS, Policy 16.2 of the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan states that "Each city and county shall provide appropriate zoning to allow planned solid waste facilities or enter into intergovernmental agreements with others to assure such zoning. Whether by outright permitted use, conditional use, or otherwise, appropriate zoning shall utilize only clear and objective standards that do not effectively prohibit solid waste facilities."; and

WHEREAS, A model solid waste facility siting ordinance has been developed by staff of the Metropolitan Service District and by a consultant team as one means to meet the intent of the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan, including Policy 16.2; and

WHEREAS, The model solid waste facility siting ordinance was extensively evaluated and revised as the result of reviews by the Land Use Subcommittee, the Solid Waste Technical Committee, the Solid Waste Policy Committee, as well as being circulated for comment to all city managers and planning directors of the cities and counties within the region, and circulated for comment to representatives of the solid waste industry; now, therefore,

#### BE IT RESOLVED,

- 1. That The model solid waste facility siting ordinance attached hereto as Exhibit "A" meets the intent of conforms to the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan, including its policies, especially Policy 16.27
- 2. That A city or county which that chooses to incorporate the provisions of the model solid waste facility siting ordinance into its zoning code shall be considered to have met the requirements of Policy 16.2 of the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan.
- 3. That A city or county which chooses to that adopts a substantially revise revised version of the model solid waste facility siting ordinance, or uses another means to meet the purpose of satisfy Chapter 16 of the Regional Solid Waste

Management Plan will need to show that they meet its approach meets the intent requirements of Policy 16.2, as provided in the Chapter.

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1991.

Tanya Collier, Presiding Officer

gl 1415



## **METRO**

# Memorandum

2000 S.W. First Avenue Portland, OR 97201-5398 503/221-1646

To: Solid Waste Committee Members

From: John Houser, Council Analyst

Date: October 9, 1991

Re: Resolution No. 91-1415A, For the Purpose of Recognizing the Model Solid Waste Facility Siting Ordinance as Meeting the Requirements of Chapter 16 of the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan

Resolution No. 91-1415A is scheduled for consideration by the committee at the October 15 meeting.

#### Background

Resolution No. 91-1415A would adopt a model siting ordinance for the siting of solid waste facilities. At its May 7 meeting, the committee recommended Council adoption of the resolution. Prior to Council consideration of the resolution, Councilor Gardner and the General Counsel's office raised several issues concerning the drafting of the model siting ordinance. The resolution was rereferred to committee to have these issues addressed.

To assist the committee members in reviewing the issues addressed during the initial consideration of the resolution I am including several documents in the agenda packet, including:

- 1) a memo from Karla Forsythe, dated March 29, 1991, providing background information and identifying potential discussion issues,
- 2) a memo from Karla Forsythe, dated April 30, 1991, reviewing intial committee discussion of the resolution,
- 3) a memo from Chair Wyers, dated May 1, 1991, raising questions concerning the effect of the resolution on the siting of future solid waste facilities, and
- 4) the response of the General Counsel's office to questions raised by Councilor Gardner relating to the "options" proposed in the ordinance and local restrictions on facility ownership.

The agenda packet also includes a memo from Todd Sadlo, Senior Assistant Counsel, that outlines the types of amendments that have been proposed and the rationale for removing the options from the model ordinance.

### Issues and Questions

The committee may wish to address the following issues and questions:

- 1) Mr. Sadlo's memo notes that several "technical" and "style" amendments have been made. The committee may wish to ask for a brief explanation of the types of amendments made and their intent or effect.
- 2) Mr. Sadlo's memo notes that changes were made in response to correspondence from Oregon Waste Systems. The committee may wish to review the nature of these amendments.
- 3) Prohibition of conditions relating to facility ownership have been added to the model ordinance. The committee may wish to review the intent of this amendment.



## **METRO**

# Memorandum

2000 S.W. First Avenue Portland, OR 97201-5398 503:221-1646

TO:

Council Solid Waste Committee

FROM:

Karla Forsythe, Council Analyst

DATE:

March 29, 1991

RE:

Resolution No. 91-1415 (Agenda Item 2, 4/2/91 Solid Waste

Committee Meeting)

Under Policy 16.2 of the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan, "each city and county shall provide appropriate zoning to allow planned solid waste facilities or enter into intergovernmental agreements with others to assure such zoning. Whether by outright permitted use, conditional use or otherwise, appropriate zoning shall utilize only clear and objective standards that do not effectively prohibit solid waste facilities."

As a way of helping localities provide appropriate zoning, Planning and Development staff has coordinated development of a model zoning ordinance for localities to consider and adopt. By approving Resolution No. 91-1415, which will be considered by the Committee on April 2, 1991, the Council would be stating that the model ordinance meets the intent of the Plan.

Localities would not be required to adopt the model ordinance in order to meet Plan requirements. Other ways in which localities could comply with the Plan are addressed in Ordinance No. 91-393, also before the Committee at the April 2, 1991 meeting.

The basic question before the Committee is whether the model ordinance contains clear and objective standards that do not effectively prohibit solid waste facilities, in which case the model ordinance will be considered appropriate zoning to allow planned solid waste facilities.

## Summary of model ordinance

The model ordinance identifies 16 types of solid waste facilities which a locality might have to site. Under the structure of the model ordinance, a locality would classify a facility as a principal/primary, conditional, temporary or prohibited use. The ordinance leaves open the issue of which type of facility should fall into which category; this decision would remain with each locality (see Section 3, page 7).

RESOLUTION NO. 91-1415 March 29, 1991 Page Two

The model ordinance also lists specific criteria to be used in approving facilities. These are the "clear and objective standards", which include natural area impacts, vibration, site design, historic resources, operating impacts, signage, outdoor storage, litter, vector control, fire protection, traffic, floodplain, topography, geologic conditions, noise, odor, water, methane gas, and air quality.

The model ordinance also specifies information an applicant for solid waste facility siting must submit, and sets out the review procedure. Under Section 6. F., an applicant must prove that a facility complies with the ordinance, and is presumed to have done so if the application includes substantial evidence of compliance. The ordinance also sets out the procedure for setting conditions of approval, and what factors conditions of approval may address.

The bulk of the model ordinance is attributable to the appendices, which primarily contain DEQ regulations.

### Questions

- 1. Since solid waste facilities owned or franchised by Metro would be sited in accordnace with this model ordinance, does the Solid Waste Department concur that the standards are clear and objective?
- 2. In remarks to the Solid Waste Policy Advisory Committee, Stephanie Hallock from DEQ raised concerns about the consequences of detailed environmental review at both the local and DEQ level. Does the potential for duplicative review and/or inconsistent results raise issues for Metro?
- 3. Is there a potential for a locality to effectively prohibit solid waste facilities by the manner in which it establishes the underlying zoning under Section 3?
- c: Rich Carson, Planning and Development Director Bob Martin, Solid Waste Director Mark Turpel, Senior Regional Planner



## **METRO**

# Memorandum

2000 S.W. First Avenue Portland, OR 97201-5398 503/221-1646

TO:

Council Solid Waste Committee

FROM:

Karla Forsythe, Council Analyst

DATE:

April 30, 1991

RE:

Resolution No. 91-1415 - Interim Report

At the April 2, 1991 meeting, the Council Solid Waste Committee considered Resolution No. 91-1415, For the Purpose of Recognizing the Model Solid Waste Facility Siting Ordinance as Meeting the Requirements of Chapter 16 of the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan. After hearing a presentation from Planning and Development Department staff, the Committee discussed the Resolution but did not take a vote, pending further discussion at the May 7, 1991 Committee meeting.

Since the initial discussion on the Resolution took place a month ago, I have prepared this interim report to assist the Committee in reviewing issues raised during the previous discussion.

### Committee discussion/issues - April 2, 1991

Councilor McFarland indicated that she needed information showing that the proposed ordinance really accomplishes what is needed, and is not too loosely drafted.

Rich Carson, Planning and Development Director, said that when the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan was adopted, Metro agreed to provide a model facility siting ordinance. He said the model ordinance has received extensive review.

Councilor McLain inquired whether the model includes a timeline.

Mr. Carson explained that the backstop is the periodic review of each jurisdiction's comprehensive plan. Plans are reviewed for 24 cities and three counties, and a schedule has been established. He said Washington County has agreed to initiate the model ordinance immediately.

Councilor Gardner said that the definitions do not seem to include a facility which would handle commingled recyclables, or an organic composting facility. Mark Turpel, Senior Regional Planner, said

RESOLUTION NO. 91-1415 Interim Report Page Two

that the facilities were defined so each could definition could stand alone, but that facilities could be combined on one site. Mr. Carson noted that Metro has no authority over recycling centers, and that organic compost falls within mixed solid waste.

Councilor Gardner asked if the model ordinance provides standards similar in detail and complexity to other industrial uses. Mr. Carson said there is not a great deal of information about standards in this area, and that this ordinance could serve as a model for the Northwest. Mr. Turpel said staff's objective was to substantially narrow the discretion of localities. Staff decided problems could be avoided by referencing existing state standards.

Councilor Gardner said he was not comfortable including DEQ standards in this code, because it seems to blend land use and permitting. Mr. Carson said DEQ does not want localities making DEQ decisions. Mr. Turpel added that several jurisdictions are concerned because citizens are raising these health and safety issues, and are not satisfied when they are told DEQ will resolve them.

Councilor McFarland asked if there are so many options that a community could get out of siting a facility. Mr. Turpel responded that some localities have no available land. Mr. Carson said that the model has been drawn as narrowly as possible, but there is no quarantee that a way can't be found around it.

Councilor McFarland asked if it would be possible to rewrite the definitions section to address the concern about mixed solid waste composting.

Councilor McLain noted that section 7F, which allows a city or county to conduct a period performance review of a facility to determine whether it continues to comply with applicable standards, is drafted in permissive rather than mandatory language. Mr. Turpel said that if a community accepts a facility as a conditional use, it has the ability to go back and review the conditions and tighten them. Councilor McLain noted that implementation and review is part of effective model ordinance language.

Bob Martin, Solid Waste Director, indicated he had reviewed the standards and believes they are clear and objective.

Councilor McFarland opened the public hearing. Jeanne Roy, Recycling Advocates, requested that the committee amend definition

RESOLUTION NO. 91-1415 Interim Report Page Three

31

G, "mixed solid waste composting facility". She indicated her preference for source separated composting.

Councilor Gardner noted that language in Section 3 suggests appropriate zoning for solid waste facilities but does not require inclusion within a particular zone. He asked if a locality could exclude a facility even if it has industrial land. Mr. Turpel said localities will make Findings and Conclusions, which Metro will review. The ordinance sets out alternatives to consider.

The Committee discussed adopting mandatory language regarding local review of conditional uses. Larry Shaw, Legal Counsel, said that tightening the language would require periodic review. He said that the purpose behind using the word "may" is to limit local review to standards contained in the ordinance, and not to allow a locality to impose different standards. Mr. Turpel added that a locality could permit a facility outright, and that the conditional use process would be used if there are additional community concerns.

Councilor Gardner stated his concern that the complexity of the ordinance makes it possible to make the process so cumbersome that a facility without political support could not be sited, and that the ordinance could be used to not permit siting.

Councilor McFarland asked if staff could draft the amendments, and bring the model ordinance back to the committee for further discussion.

Mr. Carson indicated that would be possible. In response to Councilor Gardner, he said that under Oregon law, decisions must be made in 120 days. The model ordinance attempts to provide clear and objective standards which are achievable, and which can be addressed within the state-mandated time frame.

Councilor DeJardin agreed that the ordinance should not be moved from committee unless supported by a majority vote, and indicated his support for staff's work.

Councilors Gardner and McLain asked staff to look at zoning ordinances elsewhere in the region and the country, to see if others take the approach of incorporating permitting regulations.



## **METRO**

# Memorandum

2000 S.W. First Avenue Portland, OR 97201-5398 503:221-1646

TO:

Council Solid Waste Committee

FROM:

Judy Wyers, Chair

DATE:

May 1, 1991

RE:

Questions regarding Resolution No. 91-1415

At the May 7, 1991 Committee meeting, I plan to ask staff to address several questions regarding the above Resolution, by which the Council would recognize the model facility siting ordinance as meeting the intent of the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan. The Council would also be stating that a city or county which chooses to adopt the provisions of the model ordinance will have met the requirements of Plan Policy 16.2, which establishes a local government solutions policy.

Specifically, the policy states that each city and county shall provide appropriate zoning to allow planned solid waste facilities, or enter into intergovernmental agreements with others to assure such zoning. The policy further states that whether by outright permitted use, conditional use or otherwise, appropriate zoning shall utilize only clear and objective standards that do not effectively prohibit solid waste facilities.

The model ordinance now before the Committee was developed by staff so that localities can adopt a pre-existing model for clear and objective standards, rather than starting from scratch.

It has been my understanding that the Council adopted Policy 16.2 based on the assumption that localities would take affirmative steps to adopt zoning which allowed rather than prohibited these facilities. Concurrently, the Council agreed through Policy 16.2 that solid waste solutions developed at the local level will be given priority, as long as they are consistent with Plan policies.

Planning and Development staff has stated that Washington County will immediately begin work to implement this model ordinance. However, a facility issue with siting implications is before the Committee and Council at this time. The Council is being asked to first adopt a proposed solution which recommends sites in specific geographic areas, before localities have changed their zoning to accommodate solid waste facilities.

MEMORANDUM May 1, 1991 Page Two

Given my understanding of the situation, I will be asking Planning and Development staff to answer the following questions: what facilities are expected to be proposed over the next few years, and in what general locations? What steps will be taken to ensure that appropriate zoning is in place prior to the siting process? How will the model ordinance impact the process for planning transfer stations for the western part of the region?

c: Rich Carson Mark Turpel



Executive Officer Rena Cusma Metro Council

Tanya Collier

Presiding Officer District 9 Jim Gardner

Deputy Presiding Officer

Susan McLain

Lawrence Bauer

Richard Devlin

George Van Bergen

Ruth McFarland

Roger Buchanan District 10

David Knowles District 11

Sandi Hansen

District 12

District 3

District 1

District 2

District 4
Tom DeJardin
District 5

District 7

Judy Wyers District 8

## **METRO**

Karia

2000 SW First Avenue Portland, OR 97201-5398 (503) 221-1646 Fax 241-7417

June 20, 1991

The Honorable Tanya Collier Presiding Officer Metropolitan Service District 2000 S. W. First Avenue Portland, OR 97201-5398

Dear Presiding Officer:

Re:

Resolution No. 91-1415 (Model Solid Waste Facility Siting Ordinance)

This Office has reviewed the Model Ordinance in order to answer certain questions raised by Councilor Gardner.

In conducting that review, we have determined that the Ordinance contains numerous technical drafting errors which need to be corrected. These concerns are independent of the concerns raised by Councilor Gardner. We recommend that Resolution No. 91-1415 be re-referred by the Council to the Solid Waste Committee in order for these errors to be corrected in an appropriate forum.

We are independently responding to Councilor Gardner. At the time this matter is reconsidered by the Council Solid Waste Committee the issues raised by his question which we have determined are a matter of balancing policy and legal concerns may also be addressed.

I have discussed this recommendation with the office of the Executive Officer, and the Director of Planning & Development, and understand that they have no objection to the Council referring the matter back to the Council Solid Waste Committee in order for this Office to assist the department and the Committee in making the necessary corrections.

Yours very truly,

Daniel B. Cooper, General Counsel

gl1400

cc:

Rena Cusma Rich Carson



### **METRO**

Memorandum

2000 S.W. First Avenue Portland, OR 97201-5398 503 221-1646 COPY

Date:

June 20, 1991

To:

Councilor Jim Gardner

From:

Todd Sadlo, Senior Assistant Counsel

Regarding:

RESOLUTION NO. 91-1415, MODEL SOLID WASTE FACILITY

SITING ORDINANCE

This memo addresses your questions to Daniel B. Cooper, General Counsel, dated May 15, 1991, concerning the potential consequences of adopting Resolution No. 91-1415, establishing a Model Solid Waste Facility Siting Ordinance. Your questions are as follows:

- Could a local jurisdiction apply the standards in the model ordinance in a procedural or substantive way that would preclude a favorable siting decision for a politically unpopular solid waste facility?
- If a local jurisdiction conditioned approval of a facility on a particular form of facility ownership, would the conditional use approval be in compliance with the provisions of the model zoning ordinance? Would such a condition be legally sustainable?

Resolution No. 91-1415 declares that the proposed model ordinance meets policy 16.2 of the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan (RSWMP). Policy 16.2 states that "Whether by outright permitted use, conditional use or otherwise, appropriate zoning shall utilize only clear and objective standards that do not effectively prohibit solid waste facilities."

#### Question No. 1: Answer and Discussion

The answer to your first question is yes, with the following explanation.

To begin, the only land use ordinance that a local jurisdiction would <u>not</u> be able to apply in a manner that would effectively prohibit a politically unpopular facility is an ordinance that would allow the facility outright in an available zone. The model ordinance applies approval criteria whether the facility is

Councilor Jim Gardner Page 2 June 20, 1991

a "permitted" use or subject to conditions, and therefore requires the exercise of discretion by a local jurisdiction. Discretion can always be exercised to deny an application, leading to prohibitive procedural delays or substantive preclusion of a facility. Moreover, even if a local jurisdiction approved an application, opponents can appeal a discretionary land use decision, potentially leading to the same result.

You have specific concerns regarding Options 1 and 4 in the model ordinance, in which a local jurisdiction would adopt DEQ permit requirements as land use approval criteria. As you know, this Office shares your concern that by including such an option in a "model" ordinance, Metro indicates its approval of standards that may be difficult to apply in the land use arena.

We cannot conclude that the use of pollution control permit requirements as land use approval standards violates policy 16.2 by effectively prohibiting facilities. There are, however, policy questions related to encouragement of the use of Options 1 or 4 that require an understanding of the legal context in which they would be applied.

The central concern with using pollution control permit requirements as land use approval criteria is that they were not designed for such use, and are therefore ill suited for the type of review to which discretionary land use decisions are subject. The DEQ permitting system was designed as a give-and-take negotiation between the applicant and agency administrators. The level of tests and studies required, modeling and equipment can vary greatly between similar applications and is often not as clear-cut and numerical as simply applying a formula. DEQ decisions can often be made administratively and would not be subject to a hearing unless appealed. Appeal of an administrative DEQ decision would be to the Environmental Quality Commission, then to the Court of Appeals and Oregon Supreme Court.

Discretionary land use decisions require notice to surrounding property owners and the opportunity for a hearing. Unless a solid waste facility is permitted outright, subject only to rigidly numerical site-design review standards, approval of a proposed site is a discretionary decision subject to notice and an opportunity for a hearing.

If Options 1 or 4 are utilized by a local government in any land use proceeding, the local government will need to determine which of the numerous statements and clauses in the Oregon Administrative Rules are approval criteria that apply to the proposal. It must then determine whether there is substantial

Councilor Jim Gardner Page 3 June 20, 1991

evidence in the record addressing all relevant criteria, and adopt appropriate findings demonstrating correct application of the findings to the criteria. The model ordinance requires the applicant to submit necessary information and to "identify and describe" compliance with various criteria, but there would still be a heavy burden on local officials and their staffs to correctly apply the DEQ standards.

Most jurisdictions do not have staff expertise in pollution control regulations, engineering and modeling. The initial decision-maker in land use proceedings, which is most often a planning commission or hearings officer, may be overwhelmed. Since the applicant is most likely the only source of extensive information regarding the compliance of the project with pollution control regulations, the decision-maker may get only half the story, and turn to the DEQ as the only potentially free source of information. Yet, as we know, DEQ is not interested in reviewing the application until a land use compatibility statement has been issued by the local government. (OAR 340-18-050)

Since the applicant will under most circumstances be required to demonstrate compliance with applicable pollution control statutes in applying for state permits, adopting the regulations as land use approval criteria is probably not a substantive hurdle to siting a facility. That means that an applicant with enough money for consultants and attorneys is likely to prevail in the end, even under Option 1 or 4 of the model ordinance. Transforming pollution control permit requirements into land use approval criteria may nevertheless impose a significant procedural hurdle, because it provides food for appeals and Opponents will be able to make many additional remands. allegations of error related to which administrative rules are approval criteria, which rules are relevant, whether there is substantial evidence to support the findings made, and whether the findings demonstrate compliance. It is more than just a duplication of the review afforded by DEQ, it is a different type of review, leading to potentially different and conflicting results. The analysis applied and conclusions drawn by DEQ may differ markedly from the analysis and conclusions of the local planning authority.

Furthermore, to avoid potential problems with improper delegation of authority, the model ordinance states that the administrative rules adopted as part of the ordinance will apply to an application, even if the DEQ or EPA has amended its rules. If a local government fails to scrupulously monitor state agency rule adoption, outdated state and federal rules may be imposed as land use standards applicable to a proposed facility.

Councilor Jim Gardner Page 4 June 20, 1991

A distrust of the DEQ, and state agency coordination rules that require a local jurisdiction to act first, are offered as justifications for Options 1 and 4 of the model ordinance. The result, however, is that local planners and decision-makers would be asked to review technical documents generally beyond their expertise, and justify their decisions through the land use appeals process. A local jurisdiction wishing to deny a facility will have greater opportunities to do so, and a "friendly" jurisdiction may be obstructed in its efforts to site a facility over the objections of opponents. While use of these options does not inherently offend policy 16.2 of the RSWMP, it provides a significant additional hurdle to an applicant attempting to construct a solid waste facility.

It should be noted that even if state agency regulations are not included as approval criteria in the model ordinance, local governments would be free to adopt such criteria anyway, and would still appear to be in conformance with Chapter 16 of the RSWMP. The policy concern is not, therefore, that a local government might adopt the model ordinance and succeed in using it to "effectively prohibit" facilities, but that there will be a perception that Metro believes that wholesale adoption of pollution control regulations as land use standards is a desireable way to review proposals to site solid waste facilities.

I hope the above discussion of the legal ramifications of a local government's use of Option 1 or 4 of the model ordinance aids in what is essentially a policy judgment by the Metro Council.

### Question No. 2: Answer and Discussion

The model ordinance would not allow a local jurisdiction to condition approval of a facility on a particular form of facility ownership. Section 7 of the ordinance states that "Conditions of approval shall be reasonably related to impacts of the facility and the requirements of this ordinance and provisions incorporated herein." None of the applicable criteria relate to facility ownership, and facility ownership does not appear to be rationally related to potential land use impacts of a facility. The answer to the first part of question 2 is therefore, no. A simple amendment to Section 7 would clarify this point by providing that: "In no instance may an approval authority impose as a condition for approval a requirement that a facility be publicly or privately owned." The second part of your question assumes that a local jurisdiction has accepted an application for development of a solid waste facility, and imposes as a condition of approval a requirement that the facility be either publicly or privately

Councilor Jim Gardner Page 5 June 20, 1991

owned. This would be an awkward way of imposing an ownership requirement because it would potentially require an applicant to expend considerable resources before being told that it must transfer ownership of the facility before the application can be approved. A jurisdiction could reach a similar result by adopting an ordinance stating that all, or specific types of solid waste facilities must be either publicly or privately owned.

Such a condition or ordinance would raise constitutional questions. First, a claim might be made that the jurisdiction has established two specific classes of individuals, public and private, and has denied one or the other "equal protection of the laws." (U.S. Const. amend. XIV) There does not appear to be a "suspect class" or "fundamental interest" involved in such a classification, so its appropriateness under the U.S. Constitution would be judged under a rational basis or minimum rationality test. See generally, U.S. Railroad Retirement Bd. v. Fritz, 449 US 166, 401 S Ct 453, 66 L Ed2d 368 (1980); New Orleans v. Dukes, 427 US 297, 303, 96 S Ct 2513, 49 L Ed2d 511 (1976); Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center, Inc, 473 US 432, 105 S Ct 3249, 87 L Ed2d 313, 320 (1985). Under this test, the burden on a challenger is to demonstrate that the classification does not have a "rational relationship to a legitimate state interest." Governments are given "wide latitude" when social or economic legislation is at issue, but will not be allowed to apply a "classification whose relationship to an asserted goal is so attenuated as to render the distinction arbitrary or irrational." Cleburne, supra, 87 L Ed2d at 320 In Cleburne, the court overturned application of a requirement that a mentally retarded group home obtain a special permit, when other boarding houses and hospitals were allowed in the same zone outright.

A similar claim under the Oregon Constitution would be that the ordinance denies equal "privileges and immunities" to a certain class of individuals. (Or. Const. art. I, sec. 20) Under the Oregon Constitution, courts weigh the stated governmental interest in treating classes of individuals differently, against the importance of the interest being infringed upon. See, e.g., Olsen v. State ex rel Johnson, 276 Or 9, 554 P2d 139, 145 (1976), Hunter v. State, 84 Or App 698, 701-702, 735 P2d 1225.

The constitutional implications of an ownership condition cannot be more fully established without knowing the governmental interest or public policy that is intended to be promoted by such a condition or restriction. Your memorandum does not provide such information, and I am hesitant to guess. Hopefully, the above discussion will provide you with the necessary framework for evaluating the propriety of an ownership requirement. If

Councilor Jim Gardner Page 6 June 20, 1991

there is a legitimate public policy goal, an ownership restriction helps to meet the goal, and the importance of the public goal outweighs the development interests infringed upon, the ownership interest would be upheld. If the model ordinance prohibits such a restriction then the matter will be clarified.

If you have further questions regarding this memorandum, please don't hesitate to contact me.

2017

cc: Rich Carson Karla Forsythe

## **METRO**

# Memorandum

Planning and Development 2000 S.W. First Avenue Portland, OR 97201-5398 (503) 221-1646

DATE:

October 1, 1991

TO:

Council Solid Waste Committee

FROM:  $\nu$ 

Richard Carson, Director, Planning and Development Department

SUBJECT:

Model Ordinance For Siting Solid Waste Facilities

On May 7, 1991, the Council Solid Waste Committee voted to recommend Council adoption of Resolution No. 91-1415. On May 15, 1991, Councilor Jim Gardner raised two legal questions in a memo to Daniel Cooper, General Counsel. On June 20, 1991, Daniel Cooper made a request to Tanya Collier, Presiding Officer, that Resolution 91-1415 be re-referred by the Council to the Solid Waste Committee to allow the Office of Legal Counsel to prepare technical amendments to the model ordinance.

A memo explaining the changes from Todd Sadlo, Office of General Counsel, to Judy Wyers, Chair of the Council Solid Waste Committee, dated September 30, 1991, is attached for your information. The Solid Waste Policy Committee, Solid Waste Technical Committee and the Land Use Subcommittee have recommended approval of the revised model ordinance for siting solid waste facilities.

The most significant change to the model ordinance was removal of the appendices (DEQ rules) and options 1, 2 and 4. The original options included:

- 1. DEQ rules as local, land use approval standards;
- 2. DEQ rules as informational requirements;
- 3. DEQ rules not included; and
- 4. A <u>hybrid</u> of the first three options where use of a particular option would be decided on a case by case basis for each of the fifteen facility types included in the model ordinance.

The revised model ordinance dated September 20, 1991, includes technical amendments suggested by the Planning and Development Department and the Office of General Counsel on September 11, 1991. These amendments were approved by the Solid Waste Policy Committee at their meeting on September 20, 1991.

Two versions of Resolution No. 91-1415A and the revised model ordinance are attached -- Clean drafts and versions showing the recommended changes (additions and deletions).

swmorev2.hsm



### **METRO**

## Memorandum

2000 S.W. First Avenue Portland, OR 97201-5398 503/221-1646

Date:

September 30, 1991

To:

Councilor Judy Wyers, Chair Council Solid Waste Committee

From:

Todd Sadlo, Senior Assistant Counsel

Regarding:

MODEL SOLID WASTE FACILITY SITING ORDINANCE

REVISIONS

Attached is a copy of the proposed Model Solid Waste Facility Siting Ordinance, and attendant Resolution, as revised by the Office of General Counsel. On May 7, 1991, the Council Solid Waste Committee recommended adoption of Resolution No. 91-1415, which recognized the original model as meeting the requirements of Chapter 16 of the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan. Councilor Gardner then requested a legal opinion regarding the potential impact of certain options included in the original draft. This Office also noted several technical problems with the draft and requested that review by the full Council be delayed until corrections could be made.

This Office completed its proposed amendments, and the revised draft was presented to the Land Use Subcommittee, Solid Waste Technical Committee, and Solid Waste Policy Committee. Several additional technical amendments were made through this process, and all three Committees approved the revised draft.

The following changes have been made to the draft originally approved by the Council Solid Waste Committee:

- Technical changes, many to Definitions;
- Style changes;
- Reorganization of some sections;
- 4. Removal of the "options" for incorporating state pollution control permit requirements as approval criteria (please see following explanation). As modified, a local jurisdiction may request that pollution control permit applications be submitted for informational purposes, but will not be independently

Councilor Judy Wyers, Chair Council Solid Waste Committee Page 2 September 30, 1991

reviewing the conformance of those applications to state permit requirements;

- 5. Changes to respond to written comments submitted by Jim Benedict (Oregon Waste Systems) in a letter dated May 6, 1991 (these can all be characterized as "technical" amendments);
- 6. Prohibition of conditions relating to facility ownership has been added to Section 7;
- 7. References to "approval criteria" have been deleted, because they may have led to a jurisdiction denying a permit based on failure of the applicant to meet a "criteria," rather than imposing a condition that would alleviate the concern. (See Simonson v. Marion County, LUBA No. 90-171, 06/21/91.) Modifications attempt to clarify that all of the standards can potentially be met through compliance with reasonable conditions; and
- 8. Other miscellaneous changes (please see draft).

#### Removal of "Options"

As submitted to the Council Solid Waste Committee, options 1 and 4 of the model ordinance would have allowed local jurisdictions to impose state and federal pollution control permit requirements as land use approval criteria. It is recommended that these options be removed, and they have been removed from the attached draft. The concern of this Office is that pollution control permit requirements were not designed to be used as land use approval standards. Several problems are inherent in the approach of options 1 and 4:

- \* Level of tests, modeling and equipment required by DEQ may vary from locally imposed requirements, creating confusion for the applicant and local administrators, and fueling appeals;
- \* Analysis applied and conclusions drawn by DEQ may differ markedly from the analysis and conclusions of the local planning authority, because land use review and pollution control permit review are substantially different procedures;
- \* It will be difficult for local jurisdictions to determine which of the numerous statements and clauses

Councilor Judy Wyers, Chair Council Solid Waste Committee Page 3 September 30, 1991

in the Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) are approval standards that apply to the proposal, fueling appeals;

\* How a local government views the standards and evidence would be reviewed by LUBA as a land use decision, and how DEQ views the standards and (potentially different) evidence will be reviewed by DEQ as a pollution control permit application. The potential for conflicting decisions is exacerbated by the potential lag in local adoption of updated rules, which could result in the local government applying standards that are in conflict with current DEQ permitting standards.

Under the attached draft, a local government can require that pollution control permit applications and other supplementary information be submitted with a land use application. Generally, by obtaining and complying with DEQ permit requirements, the applicant will be complying with conditions for issuance of a land use permit.

Option 2 of the proposed ordinance submitted to the Metro Solid Waste Committee would have used administrative rules to collect information so the local government could participate in state or federal agency actions regarding the proposed facility. The attached draft eliminates option 2 because local jurisdictions can require submittal of permit information as part of the land use application. If a local jurisdiction is interested in participating in state or federal permit proceedings, it should obtain updated copies of administrative rules at the time the application is received, rather than adopt in ordinance form administrative rules that may soon become outdated.

Please contact me if you have questions or concerns regarding the attached draft.

TSS 1028

Attachments

#### MODEL ORDINANCE 1 FOR 2 3 SITING SOLID WASTE FACILITIES 4 5 BEFORE THE [CITY COUNCIL/COUNTY COMMISSION] OF 6 7 [CITY/COUNTY], OREGON 8 9 AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 10 11 [ZONING ORDINANCE/COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 12 13 CODE OF [CITY/COUNTY], OREGON ORDINANCE NO. 14 REGARDING THE SITING AND USE OF CERTAIN SOLID WASTE FACILITIES 15 16 17 WHEREAS, [City/County] desires to provide for the siting of 18 19 certain solid waste facilities in a manner that protects the environment and the health, safety and welfare of its citizens; 20 21 and 22 WHEREAS, [City/County] has adopted a comprehensive plan that 23 24 addresses solid waste facilities. It provides: [quote relevant 25 language from local Plan]; and 26 WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Service District Regional Solid Waste 27 Management Plan states that "each city and county shall provide 28 29 appropriate zoning to allow planned solid waste facilities or enter into intergovernmental agreements with others to assure such 30 Whether by outright permitted use, conditional use or 31 otherwise, appropriate zoning shall utilize only clear and objec-32 tive standards that do not effectively prohibit solid waste 33 34 facilities; and 35 WHEREAS, [City/County] desires to fulfill its responsibility to 36 implement the Metro Regional Solid Waste Management Plan within 37 its jurisdiction; and 38 39 WHEREAS, [City/County] adopts the Findings and Conclusions in 40 Support of an Ordinance Regarding Solid Waste Facilities, attached 41 hereto and incorporated herein by reference; 42 43 NOW THEREFORE, {City/County} does ordain The [name of governing 44 45 body! ordains as follows: 46 47

48 49 50

#### Contents

1 2

3 4 5

6 7

8 9 10

11 12 13

14 15

16 17

18

23 24

25 26 27

28 29 30

31 32 33

34 35 36

37

38

39 40 41

42 43 44

45

46 47 48

49 50 Section 1. Section 2.

Solid Waste Facility Definitions General Definitions

Section 3. Solid Waste Facilities Allowed by Zone Section 4.

Approval Criteria and Development Standards

Application Contents Section 5.

Review Procedures and Burden of Proof Section 6. Conditions of Approval and Enforcement Section 7.

Section 8. Severability

Appendices 1 through 11

#### SECTION 1. Solid Waste Facility Definitions

- Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Collection\_Facility. facility that receives, sorts, temporarily stores, controls, and processes for safe transport hazardous materials waste from small quantity generators, each of which produces less than 100 kg (220 lbs.) of hazardous waste per month conditionally exempt generators, as that term is defined in ORS 465.003.
- Demolition landfill. A land disposal site for receiving, sorting and disposing only land clearing debris, including vegetation and dirt, building construction and demolition debris and inert materials, and similar substances.
- Household hazardous waste depot. A facility for receiving, sorting, processing and temporarily storing household hazardous waste and for preparing that waste for safe transport to an approved transfer, processing or disposal facilities authorized to receive, process, or dispose of such materials pursuant to federal or state law.
- Limited purpose landfill. A land disposal site for the receiving, sorting and disposing of non-hazardous solid waste material, including but not limited to asbestos, treated petroleum contaminated soil, construction, land clearing and demolition debris, wood, treated sludge from industrial processes, or other specific special waste material other than unseparated municipal solid waste.
- Material Resource recovery facility. A facility that receives and sorts mixed solid waste to separate from that waste material-that, after having-served-a useful purpose, still has useful physical or chemical properties, and to process, reuse, recycle the material for the same or other purpose or recover energy. A facility for receiving, temporarily storing and processing solid waste to obtain useful material or energy.

F. <u>Mixed construction and demolition debris recycling facility</u>. A facility that receives, temporarily stores, processes, and recovers recyclable material from mixed construction and demolition debris for reuse, sale, or further processing.

3.

G. Mixed Solid waste composting facility. A facility that receives, stores, and processes solid waste to separate out the recyclable and organic components of the waste and to biologically decompose the organic waste under aerobic or anaerobic conditions into a final product such as compost, mulch, etc. that can be stored, sold or used as a soil amendment or for other useful purposes. A facility that receives, temporarily stores and processes solid waste by decomposing the organic portions of the waste by biological means to produce useful products including, but not limited to, compost, mulch and soil amendments.

 H. Monofill. A land disposal site for receiving, sorting and disposing only one type of solid waste material or class of solid waste materials for burial, such as a facility which accepts only aspestos.

I. <u>Municipal solid waste depot</u>. A facility where sealed containers are received, stored up to 72 hours, staged, and/or transferred from one mode of transportation to another.

 J. <u>Small scale specialized incinerator</u>. A facility that receives, processes, temporarily stores, and burns a solid waste product as an accessory use to a permitted use, including incinerators for disposal of <u>medical</u> infectious wastes as part of a medical facility, but not including mass burn solid waste incinerators, <u>resource</u> refuse-derived fuel technologies, human or animal remains crematorium, or any energy recovery process that uses burns unseparated municipal solid waste.

K. <u>Solid waste facility</u>. Any facility or use defined in Section 1 of this ordinance. <del>A recycling drop box and a crematorium are not solid waste facilities.</del>

L. <u>Solid waste transfer station</u>. A facility that receives, processes, temporarily stores and prepares solid waste for transfer to large vehicles for transport to a final disposal site with or without material recovery prior to transfer.

M. Treatment and storage facility. A facility that receives, processes and stores hazardous materials and that complies with subject to regulation under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 USC §§ 6901-6987, for receiving, sorting, treating, and/or temporarily storing hazardous waste, and for processing such waste for safe transport to facilities authorized to receive, treat, or dispose of such materials pursuant to federal or state law.

Treatment and storage facilities do not include facilities for onsite disposal of hazardous waste.

N. Wood waste recycling facility. A facility that receives, temporarily stores, and processes untreated wood, which does not contain pressure treated or wood preservative treated wood, in the form of scrap lumber, timbers, or natural wood debris, including logs, limbs, and tree trunks, for reuse, recycling or energy recovery into products such as hog hogged fuel, fuel pellets, or fireplace logs. All raw material shall be untreated wood and shall not contain pressure treated or wood-preservative treated wood.

O. <u>Yard debris depot</u>. A facility that receives yard debris for temporary storage, awaiting transport to a processing facility—for processing.

P. Yard debris processing facility. A facility that receives, temporarily stores and processes yard debris into a soil amendment, mulch or other useful product through grinding and/or controlled biological decomposition.

#### SECTION 2. General Definitions

A. <u>Aerobic</u>. A-process that uses free atmospheric oxygen.

B. Anaerobic. A-process that does not allow the introduction of free atmospheric oxygen.

C. <u>Disposal</u>. The discharge, deposit, injection, dumping, spilling, leaking or placing of any solid waste or hazardous waste into or on any land or water so that such solid waste or hazardous waste or any constituent thereof may enter the environment or be emitted into the air or discharged into any waters, including ground water.

D. A. Footcandle. A unit of illumination. One footcandle is the intensity of illumination when a source of 1 candlepower illuminates a screen 1 foot away.

E. <u>Gravity (g)</u>. The attraction exerted by any mass-in-space upon any other mass, such as the pull exerted by the earth-on the moon or by the sun on the earth.

F. B Hazardous waste. Discarded, useless or unwanted material or residues in solid, liquid or gaseous state that cause or significantly contribute to an increase in mortality or an increase in serious irreversible or incapacitating reversible illness or pose a substantial present or potential hazard to human

health or the environment when improperly treated, stored, transported, disposed of, or otherwise managed. Has the meaning given that term in ORS 466.005.

G. C. Hog Hogged fuel. Fuel generated from wood or other waste that has been fed through a machine that reduces it to a practically uniform size of chips, or pellets.

H. D. Inert material. Solid waste material that remains materially unchanged by variations in chemical, environmental, storage, and use conditions reasonably anticipated at the facility.

Leachate. Liquid that has come into direct contact with solid waste and contains dissolved and/or suspended contaminants as a result of such contact.

Level of service (LOS). A measure of the overall comfort afforded to motorists as they pass through a roadway segment or intersection, based on such things as impediments caused by other vehicles, number and duration of stops, travel time, and the reserve capacity of a road or an intersection, (i.e., that portion of the available time that is not used). LOS generally is referred to by the letters A through F, with LOS E or F being generally unacceptable. LOS generally is calculated using the methodology in the Highway Capacity Manual, Special Report 209, by the Transportation Research Board (1985).

K. G. Lower explosive limit. The minimum concentration of gas or vapor in air that will propagate a flame at 25 degrees Celsius in the presence of an ignition source.

L. H. Mixed solid waste. Solid waste that contains recoverable or recyclable—and non-recyclable—materials and materials that are not capable of being recycled or recovered for further use.

M. Municipal solid waste. Solid waste primarily from residential, business, and institutional uses.

Non-attainment area. A geographical area of the State which exceeds any state or federal primary or secondary ambient air quality standard as designated by the Oregon Environmental Quality Commission and approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

O. <u>Primary-impact-area</u>. The area in which litter and illegally dumped waste a solid waste facility operator win[sic] remove. This area shall include primary routes to the facility within one-half mile of the facility, based on the traffic study, unless

3 4 5

warranted-based-on annual review of illegal dumping.

P- ₩ Processing. An activity or technology intended to

a greater distance is required by the approval authority or

- Processing. An activity or technology intended to change the physical form or chemical content of solid waste or recycled material including, but not limited to sorting, baling, composting, classifying, hydropulping, incinerating or shredding.
- Professional engineer. A professional engineer currently licensed to practice in the state of Oregon. The type of professional engineer may be specified in the ordinance, (e.g., civil, structural, acoustic, traffic, etc.).
- R. M. Recycled materials. Solid waste that is transformed into new products in such a manner that the original products may lose their identity.
- S. N. Recycling. The use of secondary materials in the production of new items. As used here, recycling includes materials reuse.
- T. Rural zone. A land use zone adopted by a unit of local government that applies to land outside a regional urban growth boundary.
- P. <u>Sealed container</u>. A receptable appropriate for preventing release of its contents, protecting its contents from the entry of water and vectors, and that will prevent the release of noxious odors if the contents are capable of emitting such odors.
- U. Significant vegetation. A tree exceeding 6 inches in diameter measured 4 feet above grade at the base of the tree or other vegetation more than 4 feet above grade, but not including blackberry or other vines or weeds.
- V. R. Soil amendment. A material, such as yard waste compost, added to the soil to improve soil chemistry or structure.
- W. S. Solid waste. All putrescible and non-putrescible wastes including but not limited to garbage, rubbish, refuse, ashes, waste paper and cardboard; sewage sludges, septic tank and cesspool pumpings or other sludge; commercial, industrial, demolition and construction wastes; metal or discarded machinery; discarded home and industrial appliances; manure; vegetable or animal solid and semi-solid waste, dead animals and other wastes. The term does not include hazardous waste. Has the meaning given that term in ORS 459.005.

Wetland. An area that is inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that, under normal circumstances, does support a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands are identified on the Goal 5 inventory of such features or, in the absence of such an inventory, are based on the Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands (1989).

#### SECTION 3. Solid Waste Facilities Allowed by Zone

## A. <u>Solid waste facilities as a [principal/primary] or</u> conditional use.

1. The following solid waste facilities are permitted as [principal/primary] uses in the [insert zones as determined by the local government], subject only to the applicable provisions of Sections 4 through 7 of this ordinance:

[List facilities allowed as a principal/primary use. Repeat as necessary for each zone or group of zones. It is suggested that all of the listed solid waste facilities be permitted in rural industrial/commercial and urban industrial zones and that smaller scale uses be permitted in land extensive commercial zones. In rural zones, an urban land use may be subject to statutory and Goal limits. Note: regulations of the underlying zone do not apply unless incorporated into this ordinance.]

2. The following solid waste facilities are permitted as conditional uses [or equivalent] in the [insert other zones as determined by City/County, subject only to the applicable provisions of Sections 4 through 7 of this ordinance:

 [List facilities allowed as a conditional use. Repeat as necessary for each zone or for groups of zones. It is suggested that all of the listed solid waste facilities not allowed pursuant to Section 3 A.1 be permitted subject to Section 3 A.2 in industrial and land extensive commercial zones. In rural zones, an urban land use may be subject to statutory and Goal limits. Note: other conditional use regulations do not apply to solid waste uses unless incorporated into this ordinance.]

- B. Accessory use solid waste facilities. The following solid waste facilities are permitted, subject to the applicable regulations of the zone, as an accessory use to a permitted or conditional use without being subject to the conditional use review:
  - Household hazardous waste depot, provided the facility is accessory to a public facility or to a use in an industrial zone.
  - 5. Small scale specialized incinerator, provided the facility does not accept more than 220 pounds per day of waste from off-site.
  - e. 3 Recycling drop boxes, provided they also comply with Section 4.G.5.
- C. <u>Multiple purpose solid waste facility</u>. A solid waste facility may include more than one kind of facility as defined in Section 1. An application that includes more than one kind of facility is permitted in a given zone only if all of the uses proposed in the facility are permitted in that zone. If any of the uses proposed are allowed only as a conditional use in the zone, then all of the uses proposed shall be considered conditional uses.
- D. Temporary solid waste facility. The following solid waste facilities may be approved as a temporary use in any zone without being subject to conditional use review if the use operates not more than three days per calendar month, subject only to the dimensional requirements of the underlying zone [e.g., setbacks and height] and the applicable provisions of Sections [4A, 4C through 4G, and 4J through 40] and the appropriate requirements of Sections 5 through 7:

[List facilities allowed. It is suggested that a demolition debris depot, household hazardous waste depot, yard debris depot, and plastics recycling depot be allowed as a temporary use in all zones. Local governments may want to prohibit temporary solid waste facilities in residential zones unless associated with a public use. The parts of Section 4 listed for temporary facilities are the ones most relevant to such a use. Local governments may want to subject such facilities to ether provisions of Section 4 other than those listed above.]

1

2

5 6 7

8 9 10

15 16 17

18

19

20 21 22

27

42

43

44

45

35

50

- Unless allowed by Sections 3.A through 3.D, a solid
- waste facility is prohibited.
- Notwithstanding Sections 3.A through 3.D above, the following solid waste facility [or facilities] [is/are] prohibited in the following zones:

[List specific solid waste facilities and zones where they are prohibited, such as open space zones, historic district zones, environmental or natural resource zones, etc.]

#### Approval-Criteria and Development Standards SECTION 4.

Table 1 lists which approval criteria and the development standards that apply to each kind of solid waste use defined in Section 1. If an application is for a facility that includes more than one kind of use, it is subject to the criteria and standards that apply to all uses in the facility.

In the left-hand column of Table 1 is a list of the solid waste facilities regulated by this ordinance. Across the top of the table are the subjects regulated by the ordinance. They are listed in the order in which they appear after the table. identify which criteria and the standards that apply to a given facility, identify the facility in the left-hand column and read across the row. A dot "." at the intersection of a row and column indicates that the facility listed in the left-hand column is subject to the approval criterion or standard at the top of the column. An "x" at the intersection of a row and column indicates that the facility listed in the left-hand column is not subject to the criterion or standard at the top of the column.

Some criteria and standards incorporate by reference state and federal regulations that are included as appendices to the ordinance or are incorporated by reference in those appendices. The [City/County] approval authority applies those state and federal regulations as though it is the state or federal agency responsible for administering them. 'ne approval authority uses the procedure in this ordinance that applies to the application for the solid waste facility in question rather than using the procedure provided in the state and federal regulations. review does not substitute for state or federal review required by regulations in the appendices, and local action does not bind state or federal agencies about matters of state or federal jurisdiction.

## TABLE 1 - APPROVAL CRITERIA-APPLICABLE TO FACILITIES

	Odd Josticii	Wetlands, habitat and natural area impacts	Vibration impacts	Landscaping and site design impacts	Historic resource impacts	Operating impacts	Signage impacts	Outdoor storage impacts	Litter impacts	Vector control impacts	Fire protection and explosion	Traffic circulation and access	Floodplain conditions	Topographic conditions	Geologic and soil conditions	Noise impacts 2(1)	Odor impacts <sup>2</sup> [2,3] —	Ground and surface water impacts-2[4,5,6,7]	Methane gas impacts 2[8]	Air quality impacts <sup>3</sup> [2,9,10] —
Resource	Proposed use																			
	Cond exmpt sm quan collect facil '																			
	Demolition landfill	•	•	•	•	٠		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
	Household hazardous waste depot	٠	X	•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	X	•	•	X	•
	Limited purpose landfill	•	•	•	•	٠	•		•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•
	Material recovery facility	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	X	•		X	•
	Mixed c/d debris recycling facility	•	•	•	٠	•	•		•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	X	•
	Mixed waste composting facility	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	·	•	•	X	•		X	•
	Monofill Solid	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
	Municipal solid waste depot	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	·	٠	•	X	•
	Small scale specialized incinerator	•	X	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	X	•	•	x	•
(	Solid waste transfer station	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	X	•
	Treat, storage & disposal facility	•	x	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	X	•	•	X	•
	Wood waste recycling facility	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	X	•
	Yard debris depot	•	x	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	x	•		X.	•
	Yard debris processing facility			1.															X	•
	applicable standard     x standard not applicable     standards for conditionally     incorporates local and standards are state or fodo	to or fo	doral n	egulatio	ons in	ppend	<del>ix num</del>	bor(s)	in brac			iture							¥	

4 a T,S,D facility also is subject to standards and criteria in appendix if

[Local governments can use the model ordinance in 4 ways: (1) with the appendices as approval criteria; (2) with the appendices as information requirements, (3) without the appendices, or (4) in combination. The appendices contain state or federal regulations that apply to some or all solid waste uses. They should be updated to keep current (see §6.6).

Option 1: The model ordinance is written assuming the appendices are approval criteria.

Option 2: The appendices can be used to collect information so that the local government can prepare to participate in state or federal agency actions regarding the proposed facility. To use the appendices as information requirements, modify the preceding paragraph, Sections 4.0 through 4.T, and Sections 6D and F to require information only.

Option 3: The appendices can be deleted, deferring to the responsible agency whether a proposed facility complies with them. To use option 3, delete the last paragraph in the text above, the .... Methane gas impacts" and "Air quality impacts" columns and the superscripts and bracketed numbers on Table 1, and Sections 4.R through 4.T and 6.G, and modify Sections 4.0 through 4.Q and Sections 6D and F to delete reference to the appendices.

Option 4: To use the model ordinance with a combination of approaches, modify the last paragraph in the text above and Table 1 to include a rule, notes or symbols to identify what appendices apply to what facilities and change Sections 6D and F accordingly. For instance, applicable standards could vary with the kind of facility using option 4. A local government could decide that all landfills and solid waste transfer stations should be subject to the standards in all the appendices. Or a facility could be subject to subject to only certain appendices; for instance, an incinerator could be subject to the air quality provisions in appendices 9 through 11, because it is likely to have air quality impacts, but not subject to noise or water quality standards in other appendices, because such impacts are not likely.

Or a facility could be subject to the appendices if it exceeds—a certain measure of land use intensity or has a certain impact on land resources, defined in terms of the number of vehicle trips generated by the facility, by the capacity of the facility, or by the area of the site to be developed. Therefore, a facility would be subject to standards in the appendices if it would generate more than 200 vehicle trips per day, would have a capacity of 200-cubic yards of material per day, or would involve development of an area of an acre or more.]

- 1. The applicant shall identify and describe the significance and functional value of wetlands on the site and protect those wetlands from adverse effects of the development. A facility complies with this standard if it complies with Section 4.A.l.a or b below:
  - a. The facility will not reduce the area of wetlands on the site, and development will be separated from such wetlands by a minimum of [60] feet, which shall be retained in its existing condition or enhanced for compatibility with the wetland. The setback may be reduced to as little as [x] feet if the applicant shows such lesser setback will not adversely affect the wetland, provided Section 4.C does not require more than the requested setback. Lack of adverse effect can be demonstrated by showing the following among other means:
    - (1) A natural condition such as topography, soil, vegetation or other feature isolates the area of development from the wetland;
    - (2) Impact mitigation measures will be designed, implemented, and monitored to provide effective protection against harm to the wetland from sedimentation, erosion, loss of surface or ground water supply, or physical trespass; and/or
    - (3) A lesser setback complies with federal and state permits or standards that will apply to state and federal permits, if required.
  - b. Where existing wetlands are eliminated by the facility, the applicant will develop or enhance an area of wetland on the site or in the same drainage basin that is at least equal to the area and functional value of wetlands eliminated.
- 2. The applicant shall provide appropriate plans and text that identify and describe the significance and functional value of natural features on the site [if identified in the Comprehensive Plan or the Goal 5 inventory or if in a natural resource zone or equivalent], and protect those features from impacts of the development or mitigate adverse effects that will occur. A facility complies with this standard if:
  - a. The site does not contain an endangered or threatened plant or animal species or a critical habitat for such species identified by federal or state

government [and does not contain significant natural features identified in the Comprehensive Plan if the local Comprehensive Plan includes an inventory and assessment of such features]; and

- b. The facility will comply with applicable requirements of the [natural resource zone] if one applies to the site; and
- c. The applicant will excavate and store topsoil separate from subsurface soil, and shall replace the topsoil over disturbed areas of the site not covered by buildings or pavement or will provide other appropriate medium for re-vegetation of those areas, such as yard debris compost; and
- d. The applicant will retain significant vegetation in areas that will not be covered by buildings or pavement or disturbed by excavation for the facility; will replant areas disturbed by the development and not covered by buildings or pavement with native species vegetation unless other vegetation is needed to buffer the facility; will protect disturbed areas and adjoining habitat from potential erosion until replanted vegetation is established; and will provide a plan or plans identifying each area and its proposed use; and
- e. Development associated with the facility will be set back from the edge of a significant natural area [identified by Comprehensive Plan] by a minimum of [60] feet, which and the setback area shall be retained in its existing condition or enhanced for compatibility with the natural area. The setback may be reduced to as little as [x] feet if the applicant shows such lesser setback will not adversely affect the natural area, provided Section 4.C does not require more than the requested setback. Lack of adverse effect can be demonstrated by showing the same sort of evidence as in Section 4.A.l.a above.
- B. <u>Vibration impacts</u>. The facility shall not cause vibrations that exceed 0.002g peak at a property line, except vibration from construction and from vehicles that leave the site and except for vibrations that last five minutes or less per day, based on a written statement certified by a professional engineer.
- C. Landscaping and site design impacts.
  - 1. Except as noted in Section 4.C.2, the facility shall comply with the setback requirements and height limits of the

underlying zone; provided, However, if the facility adjoins a commercial zone, the minimum setback shall be [100] feet; provided further, and if the facility adjoins a residential or open space zone, the minimum setback shall be [200] feet.

- 2. Structures, exterior storage and processing areas, and vehicle maneuvering and parking are prohibited in setbacks required pursuant to Section 4.C.1 above, provided except that:
  - a. The approval authority may reduce the required setback if it finds that a lesser setback will not adversely affect the privacy, use, or visual character of existing uses on adjoining land, based on the scale and design of the use or structure(s), landscaping and buffers, or on the topography, vegetation, or other natural features of the site;
  - b. Minor building features such as eaves, chimneys, fire escapes, bay windows, uncovered stairs, wheelchair ramps, and uncovered decks no more than 3 feet above grade may extend up to 20 percent into a required setback;
  - c. Attached mechanical structures such as heat pumps, air conditioners, emergency generators, and water pumps may extend into a required setback except adjoining or across a street from an abutting residential zone;
  - d. Fences, walls, berms, landscaping, access drives, and an entry sign(s) are permitted in the setback; and
  - e. Notwithstanding the preceding, structures shall be situated so they comply with the Uniform Building Code adopted in Oregon.
- 3. Exterior building surfaces shall be finished. Metal used on the exterior of the building shall be anodized or painted; galvanized or coated steel shall not be left unpainted.
- 4. Buildings with walls containing more than 2,500 square feet above grade shall incorporate fascias, canopies, arcades, or multiple colors or building materials to break up large wall surfaces visually into areas of 1,000 square feet or less, unless it would be contrary to the purpose of the wall, such as for retaining earth or for structural support.
- 5. Attached mechanical structures and roof-mounted equipment shall be screened from view-from ground-level view

at adjoining public streets and property zoned residential or open space. Screening may include landscaping, sight obscuring fencing or other features.

- 6. The facility shall not cause glare or lights to shine off site in excess of 0.5 footcandles onto non-industrial zoned land, based on a written statement certified by a professional engineer.
- 7. Structures shall not obstruct scenic views or vistas identified in the Comprehensive Plan, although structures may be visible from off site.
- 8. Major activity areas of the site, such as loading and delivery areas, shall be oriented away from adjoining land zoned for residential or open space uses.
- At least 20 percent of the facility site shall be landscaped with living vegetation in an appropriate medium, such as yard debris compost. Landscaped areas shall have a permanent irrigation system equipped with automatic controls. Where landscaping is situated in required setbacks or adjoins buildings and other structures, it shall include evergreen species at least 6 feet above grade at planting and situated not farther apart than the radius of the crown of a mature specimen. The approval authority may waive or reduce the level of landscaping where necessary to allow sight distance for vehicular traffic, to enable views of signs or other features of the facility that should be visible to enhance the function of the facility, or to protect solar access to adjoining property. The approval authority may require larger or more numerous trees where necessary to reduce the potential adverse visual effects of a facility. Existing significant vegetation shall be retained, where feasible, and may substitute for other required vegetation. Landscaping in setbacks and parking lots counts toward the 20 percent.
- 10. All utilities will be underground; -provided, except that electric and telephone lines may be above ground to the extent if such features are above ground on adjoining land or land in the immediate vicinity.
- D. <u>Historic resource impacts</u>. The facility shall not adversely affect historic resources listed in the comprehensive plan [or inventory of historic resources adopted by [City/County]]. A facility complies with this standard if the site and adjoining land do not contain an identified historic resource and are not in an historic district. If the site or adjoining land contains such a resource, then the applicant shall show the facility design preserves the historic resource character.

# E. Operating impacts.

1 2

5

- 1. Exterior activities are prohibited between 10 p.m. and 7 a.m. daily; provided except that, vehicles may continue to enter and exit the site and maintenance may be conducted at all hours if they do not violate applicable provisions of Sections [4B, C.6 and 8, K.2 and O] during any hours.
- 2. For a solid waste transfer station, most solid waste may be stored in an open pit or floor inside a building for up to 24 hours or in a sealed container on the site for up to 72 hours. Separated recycled materials may be stored on the site for up to 30 days in unsealed containers.

# F. Signage impacts.

- 1. Signs shall comply with sign regulations of the zone, except as provided herein.
- 2. If the facility is open to the public, then—the applicant shall provide a sign(s) at the each public entrance(s) to the facility that is clearly legible and visible from the adjoining public road. The sign shall identify the name of the facility, the name and telephone number of the operator, and hours of operation of the facility. The entry sign(s) may be up to 32 square feet per side and up to 10 feet above grade, unless the zone allows larger signs. Directional information to orient drivers shall be included on the entry sign(s) or on interior signs.
- 3. A sign(s) describing recommended access routes to the facility, materials accepted, instructions for correct preparation of accepted materials, recycling services, and fees for disposing materials shall be posted at the facility. Signs that use recycled materials, including recycled plastic, are encouraged. Signs interior to the site shall be coordinated and consistent in appearance.
- 4. Signs that use recycled materials, including recycled plastic, are encouraged. Sign quality and appearance shall be appropriate to the character of the area, as determined by the approval authority.

# G. <u>Outdoor storage impacts</u>.

1. Outdoor-storage of mixed solid-waste or recovered materials is prohibited, except in a landfill or composting facility approved for that purpose, or unless a professional engineer certifies in writing that the material is chemically inert. Outdoor storage of hazardous materials is prohibited.

No mixed solid waste or recovered material shall be stored outside in unsealed containers, except:

- a. In a landfill or composting facility approved for that purpose;
- b. Solid waste or recovered material that is inert; or
- c. As otherwise allowed in this Section 4.G. In all circumstances, outdoor storage of hazardous waste is prohibited.
- 2. Source-separated materials other than yard debris and wood waste shall be stored in containers in an area enclosed on at least three sides and roofed; provided except that, in a rural zone, such materials shall be enclosed on any side visible from adjoining public or private property and roofed.
- 3. Wood waste, yard debris, and <del>compacted</del> solid waste in sealed containers may be stored outdoors if it complies with the applicable dimensional and design standards. Yard debris shall be removed from the site on at least a weekly basis.
- 4. Storage areas larger than 2 cubic yards for recovered materials shall be enclosed.
- 5. Recycling—Drop boxes for recyclable materials on the site of a solid waste facility shall be painted and maintained in good repair, shall be—situated on a paved surface; and shall be—emptied before collected items exceed the height of the box or within five days of becoming full. The applicant shall post a notice on any recycling drop box, stating that only domestic recyclable or reusable materials, such as paper, cardboard, glass, tin, aluminum, plastic and clothing are permitted. The notice shall also state that yard debris, appliances, or other large items that may be repairable, recyclable or reusable are prohibited, unless the box is designed for that purpose. The name and telephone number of the operator shall also be posted on the box.
- 6. Outdoor storage areas shall not be visible when viewed from a height of 5 feet at the edge of the property, except as provided above. A facility complies with this standard when outdoor storage is enclosed within a sight obscuring fence, wall, berm, or landscaping at least 6 feet high but not more than 10 feet high. A wood fence is sight obscuring when attached vertical or horizontal fence boards are separated by not more than 1/4-inch. A metal fence consisting of chain link or woven fabric is sight obscuring when water and insect resistant wood or plastic slats are

50 ·

inserted in the fence material so they are separated by not more than 3/8-inch. Landscaping is sight obscuring when it includes evergreen material at least 6 feet high and not more than 2 feet on center at planting.

# H. Litter impacts.

1. Except for a facility-receiving material exclusively in enclosed containers and not open to the public, or a facility involved exclusively in recycling, the applicant shall submit to [City/County] a plan-to-climinate litter in the primary impact area, unless a greater distance is specified pursuant to Section 4.H.l.b below. The operator shall be responsible for the cost of collecting, removing and disposing of litter and illegally dumped waste within the primary impact area. The plan must include at least the following:

a. For-a facility open-to the public, appropriate gates, signs and other traffic control devices to direct traffic to the facility along approved routes that avoid public-parks, residential and retail districts and major public attractions to the extent possible;

b. A delineation of the primary impact area in which the operator will remove litter and illegally dumped waste at the cost of the operator. The primary impact area shall extend at least 1/2 mile from the edge of the facility boundary along primary routes to the facility identified in the traffic study. Illegally dumped waste consists of material in excess of 2 cubic yards at a given location; litter-includes lesser amounts of material at a given location. The area within which the applicant is responsible for clean-up may be adjusted over-time based on where illegal dumping actually occurs;

c. The establishment of a patrol to remove litter at least twice each day, seven days each week along designated routes within the primary impact area;

d. Provisions for the removal of illegally dumped waste within the primary impact area within 24 hours of discovery;

e. For a-facility open to the public, provisions to make available written information that describes access routes to the facility, fees for wastes permitted at the facility, and recycling incentives; and

f.—For a landfill, a description of measures to be used to minimize blowing of litter from the site, such as periodic application of cover material, spraying with liquid, or use of portable fencing.

- 2. The operator shall take reasonable measures to assist the [City/County] to identify the source of illegal waste. If the [City/County] identities the source of the waste, the [City/County] may take measures to reimburse the operator for the cost of collection and removal of the waste.
- 1. For purposes of litter control, an area described as the "Primary Impact Area" shall be established around the proposed facility. The Primary Impact Area is the area within which litter and illegally dumped solid waste is presumed to be a result of the presence of a solid waste facility. Illegally dumped waste consists of solid waste in excess of two cubic yards at a given location, and litter includes lesser amounts of solid waste at a given location.
- 2. The Primary Impact Area shall extend at least one-half mile from the facility boundary along primary routes to the facility, as identified in the traffic study. The approval authority may expand the Primary Impact Area based on specific conditions or if otherwise warranted based on annual review of illegal dumping and litter patterns in the area.
- 3. Except as specified in subsection 5. of this section, the applicant shall submit to [City/County] a plan to eliminate litter in the Primary Impact Area. The plan shall include at least the following:
  - a. A proposed delineation of the Primary\_Impact Area.
  - b. Appropriate gates, signs and other traffic control devices to direct traffic to the facility along approved routes that, to the extent possible, avoid public parks, residential and retail districts and major public attractions;
  - c. Establishment of a patrol to remove litter along designated routes within the Primary Impact Area on a schedule that, in the opinion of the approval authority, is sufficient to prevent accumulation of litter;
  - <u>d.</u> <u>Provisions for the removal of illegally dumped</u> <u>waste within the primary impact area within 24 hours of</u> <u>discovery</u>;

- e. Provisions to make available written information that describes access routes to the facility, fees for wastes permitted at the facility, surcharges for delivery of uncovered loads, if appropriate, and recycling incentives; and
- f. For a landfill, a description of measures to be used to minimize blowing of litter from the site, such as periodic application of cover material, spraying with liquid, or use of portable fencing.
- 4. The facility operator shall be responsible for the cost of collecting, removing and disposing of litter and illegally dumped waste within the Primary Impact Area. In addition, the operator shall take reasonable measures to assist the [City/County] in identifying sources of illegal waste. If the [City/County] identifies a source of illegal waste, the [City/County] may take measures to reimburse the operator for the cost of collection and proper disposal of the waste.
- 5. The requirements of this Section 4.H. shall not apply to a facility that is not open to the public and receives waste only in sealed containers, or to any facility involved exclusively in recycling.
- I. <u>Vector control impacts</u>. For any facility where solid waste could sustain or attract rodents or insects, because of the solid waste in question or the environmental characteristics of the site, the applicant shall submit and implement a plan to reduce the potential for rodent and insect propagation using the best available technology methods designed to minimize nuisance conditions and health hazards.
- J. <u>Fire protection and explosion</u>. The facility shall comply with the Uniform Fire Code (UFC) as adopted by [City/County] and the Uniform Building Code (UBC) adopted in Oregon. Facilities that accept hazardous materials waste shall comply with UFC Article 80.
- K. Traffic circulation and access.
  - 1. Access requirements for a facility shall be based on the number and type of vehicle trips generated by the facility. The number of trips generated per day shall be based on the most recent version of the Trip Generation Manual of the Institute of Traffic Engineers; provided, except that the applicant may submit a trip generation study certified by a professional traffic engineer of other similar facilities as the basis for trip generation from by the proposed facility. If a proposed facility is not listed in the Trip Generation

Manual and a trip generation study of other similar facilities is not available, then the number and type of vehicle trips generated by the proposed facility shall be based on the figures for the use most similar to the proposed facility for which the Trip Generation Manual contains data.

- 2. The applicant shall identify designated routes for vehicular traffic generated by the proposed facility and shall provide written information to facility users describing and promoting use of those routes. Designated routes shall be selected to minimize traffic on nonarterial streets and shall not use include streets in residential zones if nonresidential streets provide access.
- 3. For a facility that generates more than 200 vehicle trips per day, the applicant shall submit a traffic study by a professional traffic engineer that shows the facility will not cause traffic volumes that exceed the capacity of the street based on the [street standards or holding capacity assumptions of the transportation master plan of [City/County]], or that cause any intersection affected by that traffic to have a Level of Service [E or] F. If the proposed facility will cause street capacity to be exceeded or create a level of service [E or] F at any intersection, the applicant shall propose street modifications acceptable to [City/County] to meet the requirements of this subsection. Unless otherwise provided by agreement with [City/County], all expenses related to street improvements necessitated by the proposed facility shall be borne by the applicant.
- 4. A facility in an urban zone shall provide for a deceleration/turn lane at proposed access points to separate facility-bound traffic from other traffic if deemed warranted by the traffic study required in Section 4.K.3. The lane shall accommodate at least two stacked vehicles and shall taper at a ratio of not less than 25:1 to match the standard roadway width.
- L. <u>Floodplain conditions</u>. The facility will comply with the applicable floodplain zone regulations of [cite City/County code or ordinance]. All solid waste stored in a floodplain zone shall be enclosed in a structure with a finished floor elevation at least 1 foot above the 100-year base flood elevation as determined by Federal Emergency Management Agency maps or by a survey by a professional land surveyor licensed in the state of Oregon.
- M. <u>Topographic conditions</u>. The facility shall comply with the [slope hazard] regulations of [cite City/County code or ordinance].

1 2

5

N. <u>Geologic and soil conditions</u>. The facility shall comply with the [geologic/soil hazard] regulations of [cite City/County code or ordinance].

# O. Noise impacts.

- 1. If the facility site is not in an industrial zone or does not exclusively adjoin land exclusively in an industrial zone, or if it adjoins a noise sensitive use, such as a residence, hospital, or school [or substitute specific sites identified in the Comprehensive Plan], then: a. the applicant shall submit to [City/County] a study by a professional acoustical engineer of expected noise levels at the facility site boundary, including at the site boundary adjoining any residential or noise sensitive use; and
- b. 2. In all instances, the applicant shall show operate the facility will not cause noise in excess of the in compliance with applicable noise standards in Appendix 1 in OAR Chapter 340, Division 35 [or cite more stringent [City/County] standards], based on accepted noise modeling procedures and worst case assumptions when all noise sources on the site are operating simultaneously [or other applicable [City/County] standard] and noise mitigation requirements if any, imposed by the approval authority as conditions for approval.
  - c. If the facility may exceed applicable noise standards, based on the results of the noise model, then the applicant shall submit a noise mitigation program prepared by a professional acoustical engineer that shows the facility will comply with the applicable noise standards as operated.
- 2. If the facility site is in an industrial zone, exclusively adjoins land exclusively in an industrial zone, and does not adjoin a noise sensitive use [identified in the Comprehensive Plan], then the applicant shall show the facility will not cause noise in excess of the noise standards in Appendix 1 [or more stringent [City/County] standards], based on a written statement certified by a professional acoustical engineer.
- 3. Outdoor amplified sound systems are prohibited.
- P. Odor impacts.
  - 1. The applicant shall demonstrate that the facility:

- a. Will incorporate the best practicable design and operating measures to reduce the potential for odors detectable off-site from such things as waste stored or being processed on site, spillage of waste, venting of dust, residual amounts of waste in operating areas of the site, and vehicle odors in stacking, maneuvering and staging areas—by—such means as listed—in—Appendix 2; and
- b. Will not cause unusual or annoying odors, considering the density of the surrounding population, the duration of the emissions, and other factors relevant to the impact of such emissions.
- Open burning of solid waste will not occur be allowed, unless:
  - a. Open burning is consistent with the standards in Appendix 3 of the ODEQ; or
  - b. The facility is outside the area where open burning is banned, and a permit is not required by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ).
- Q. Ground and surface water impacts.
  - 1. The applicant shall demonstrate that the facility shall will:
    - a. Collect all waste water from production, washing down of equipment and vehicles, and similar activities and discharge the water to a public sanitary sewer if:
      - (1) The sewer adjoins or can be extended to the site, based on applicable rules of the sewer service provider, and
      - (2) The sewer has the capacity to accommodate waste water from the facility as determined by the sewer service provider or by a professional civil engineer; or
    - b. Provide Incorporate an alternative sanitary waste disposal method that is or will be approved by ODEQ; or
    - c. Provide Incorporate an alternative waste disposal method that is consistent with the applicable water quality standards in Appendix 4 and will not cause drinking water supplies to violate the applicable water quality standards in Appendix 5; or

- d. Not provide water for production activities, vehicle washing, or sanitary waste and generate waste water, and will divert and/or contain storm water so that it does not enter solid waste on the site.
- 2. Where there is substantial evidence that the facility could cause the ground or surface water in the vicinity of the facility to violate applicable standards in Appendices 4 or 5, the approval authority may require an applicant to submit and implement a ground water self-monitoring program prepared by a professional civil engineer, which includes among other things:
  - a.— An ODEQ-certified laboratory analysis of existing ground water quality in the aquifer beneath and down gradient from the site. The analysis shall show each of the constituents for which there are standards in Appendix 5 complies with applicable standards in that appendix;
  - b. Drilling one or more ground-water monitoring wells to sample the uppermost aquifer within 500 feet of the boundary of the facility boundary, and providing certified laboratory analysis of water samples at least monthly to the [City/County]. If such a well or wells requires approval by the Oregon Department of Water Resources, then the applicant shall obtain such approval before a building permit is issued for the facility; and
  - c. A notification and corrective action plan in the event of ground water contamination. If any constituent is detected at statistically significant levels above a water quality standard, the applicant shall implement the plan.
- Prior to construction of the facility, the applicant shall obtain all required permits relating to discharges of waste water and storm water from the facility. The operator of the facility shall comply with all directives of state and federal agencies related to protection of ground and surface water resources potentially affected by the facility.
- 3. At the request of the approval authority, the applicant shall submit to the approval authority copies of any groundwater self-monitoring programs and analyses of potential surface and groundwater impacts related to the facility that are required to be submitted to the ODEQ.
- 3. 4. At the request of the approval authority, an applicant for a landfill, mixed waste compost facility, wood

waste recycling facility, yard debris depot or processing facility shall submit a copies of its leachate collection and treatment plan and program prepared by a professional civil engineer consistent with the applicable standards in Appendix 6. A leachate collection and treatment plan and program is not required if solid waste will be covered, enclosed or stored in containers so that storm water does not enter it for submittal to the ODEQ, if one has been required by the ODEQ.

- 4. 5. An applicant for a household hazardous waste collection facility depot, hazardous waste treatment and storage facility, material recovery facility, solid waste depot or transfer station shall submit and implement a plan and program prepat.red by a professional civil engineer to collect, pretreat and dispose waste water from the floor or operating area of such facility and to prevent surface water from mixing with solid waste spills.
- 5. 6. The applicant shall submit and implement a plan prepared by a professional civil engineer to reduce the amount of waste water caused by hosing down equipment, tipping areas, platforms and other facility features, such as by using high pressure/low flow washing systems, compressed air or vacuum equipment for cleaning.
- 6. 7. The applicant shall submit and implement a plan prepared by a professional civil engineer or landscape architect to collect storm water from all impervious areas of the site and to dispose of properly manage storm water. The applicant shall comply with state and federal regulations governing storm water discharges, and obtain required storm water discharge permits in a timely fashion. To the extent consistent with a storm water discharge permit issued for the facility, storm water shall be disposed managed in the following manner:
  - a. Storm water disposal shall comply with the storm drainage master plan of the [City/County/USA] [and with applicable basin-wide storm water management plans, such as the Johnson Creek or Tualatin River Storm Water Management Plans], as determined by the [City/County Engineer/USA].
  - b. If there is not a storm drainage master plan for the area of the facility, then storm water shall be discharged to a storm sewer if it is available or can be extended to the site, [based on the applicable rules of the storm sewer service provider,] and if it has adequate capacity to accommodate storm water from the

site, as determined by [the sewer service provider or] a professional civil engineer or landscape architect.

- c. If a storm sewer with adequate capacity is not available, then the applicant shall:
  - (1) Retain storm water on-site; and/or
  - (2) Detain storm water on-site and discharge it from the site at no greater rate than before development of the facility; or
  - (3) Discharge storm water at full rate to public drainage features, such as a roadside ditch or regional drainage facility, if there is adequate capacity to accommodate it as determined by a professional civil engineer or landscape architect. Where the discharging water at full rate would exceed the capacity of downstream drainage features, then the applicant shall:
    - (a) Provide a detention pond or ponds to contain water in excess of the system's capacity; and/or
    - (b) Identify improvements to downstream drainage features necessary to accommodate the increased volume or rate of flow without adversely affecting adjoining property and either:
      - (i) Provide such improvements before operation of the facility, or
      - (ii) Contribute necessary funds to the [City/County/USA] so that the [City/County/USA] can undertake such improvements.
    - (c) If off-site improvements are required so to accommodate storm water from the site, can be accommodated, then, before prior to issuance of a building permit for the facility, the applicant and the [City/County/USA] shall execute an agreement to pay back the applicant for the cost of improvements to the extent those improvements exceed the storm drainage needs generated by the facility.

[Effective November 1991, ODEQ will require a discharge permit for industrial storm water drainage control. That permit or the regulations for that permit may substitute for the specific provisions above.]

- Except as otherwise provided by the storm drainage master plan of the [City/County/USA], the collection and disposal system shall be sized to accommodate peak flows from a 25-year storm event, based on the flow from the area that includes the site and the basin that drains onto it, assuming permitted development of that area, as determined by a professional civil engineer or landscape architect.
- Before storm water is discharged from the site or into the ground, the applicant will direct it through features to remove sediment, grease and oils, and water soluble materials in the water. Such features shall comply with the storm drainage standards of the [City/County/USA].
- 9. 10 The applicant shall submit and implement a plan prepared by a professional civil engineer or landscape architect to reduce the potential for erosion along natural and constructed drainageways and across slopes during and after construction.
- 10. II. For a landfill, the approval authority may require that the applicant shall—submit a copy of its closure plan as prepared for submittal to the ODEQ that is consistent with the standards in Appendix 7 and that shows surface and ground water will be protected against pollution—after the facility is-closed.

# R. Methane gas impacts.

- 1. The applicant shall submit a statement from a professional engineer that the facility will not cause generate significant quantities of methane gas emissions; or
- 2. The applicant shall submit and implement a methane gas control program prepared by a professional engineer that shows describes how:
  - a. The facility will not cause generate methane gas in excess of 25 percent of the lower explosive limit for methane in facility structures or in excess of the lower explosive limit at the facility boundary;—and
  - b. The gas shall be collected and vented, incinerated, or put to or prepared for a productive use; and

- c. Methane will be measured in structures and at the facility boundary, consistent with the standards in Appendix 8 applicable ODEO standards.
- S. Air quality impacts. A facility shall not cause detrimental air quality impacts. A facility complies with this standard ift the applicant obtains all required Air Contaminant Discharge Permits and the facility is operated in conformance with all applicable ODEQ air quality standards and requirements.
  - 1. For a facility that includes an incinerator, the facility will comply with air quality standards in Appendix 9:
  - 2. For a facility for-which an ODEQ Air Contaminant
    Discharge Permit is required, the facility will comply with
    the standards in Appendix 10; and
  - 3. All-facilities-shall comply with the standards in Appendix 2.
- T. Transfer, Treatment and Storage and Disposal facilities (Hazardous Waste). The applicant for a proposed a transfer treatment, and storage, and disposal facility shall comply with the standards in Appendix 11 Oregon Administrative Rules Chapter 340, Division 120, and any other applicable state or federal law, by obtaining all state and federal permits necessary for operation of the facility.

## SECTION 5. Application Contents

- A. In addition to submitting application forms provided by the [City/County], the applicant shall describe at least the following features of the proposed facility:
  - Capacity and projected life.
  - 2. The population or industries to be served.
  - 3. The amount of solid waste that is expected to be accommodated at the facility from the population or industries to be served, including maximum daily and monthly amounts and average annual volume and weight of waste to be received.
  - 4. For a landfill, planned future uses of the site after closure.

- 5. The quantity of each type of waste stream projected to be accommodated at the facility. Examples of waste streams include domestic waste, commercial and institutional waste, industrial waste, construction and demolition waste, agricultural waste, sewage sludge, and contaminated clean-up materials, etc.
- 6. The operating characteristics of the facility, including equipment used, hours of operation, and volume, distribution, and type of traffic associated with the use, and a traffic study if required by Section 4 of this ordinance.
- 7. The kind or kinds of facility or facilities proposed, based on the definitions in Section 1.
- B. Unless waived by the [planning director] pursuant to Section 6.D.3, the application shall include: The applicant shall submit the following information as part of the application, unless the [planning director] finds that, given the scale and nature of the facility, a requested item will not materially aid the approval authority in reviewing the proposal, and the item is not otherwise required to be submitted under this ordinance.
  - 1. A written description of the location of the site with respect to known or easily identifiable landmarks and access routes to and from the area the facility will serve.
  - 2. A legal description of the tract or tracts to be used for the facility.
  - 3. Except for an accessory facility, a map or maps showing the location of the site, existing and approved land uses within a minimum [250]-foot radius of the boundary of the site inside the regional urban growth boundary or within a minimum [500]-foot radius of the site outside the regional urban growth boundary; public water supply wells, surface waters, access roads within that radius; historic sites, areas of significant environmental concern or resources, or significant environmental features identified in the comprehensive plan within the applicable radius; other existing or approved man-made or natural features relating to the facility; and a north arrow, bar scale, and drawing date.
  - 4. Except for an accessory use or temporary facility, an aerial photograph of the site and the area within the relevant radius with the boundary of the site outlined.
  - 5. Except for an accessory or temporary facility, a map or maps showing the existing topography of the site with contour intervals not to exceed 2 feet if slopes are less than

5 percent, not to exceed 5 feet if slopes are more than 5 percent, and not to exceed 10 feet if slopes are more than 20 percent; natural features of the site including water bodies and wetlands; the boundary of the 100-year floodplain based on Federal Emergency Management Agency data; public easements of record; man-made features including buildings, utilities, fences, roads, parking areas, and drainage features; boundaries of existing waste disposal areas and soil borrow areas, if any; locations of borings, piezometers, monitoring wells, test pits, water supply wells, and facility monitoring or sampling points and devices; a benchmark; and a north arrow, bar scale, and drawing date.

- 6. For a landfill, data regarding average annual and monthly precipitation and evaporation and prevailing wind direction and velocity, based on data from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration or other federal or state agency, or from on-site measurements.
- 7. For a landfill, information regarding minimum, maximum and average annual flow rates and monthly variations of streams on the site, based on stream gaging data collected by the US Geological Service or other federal or state agency supplemented with reliable site specific data as available.
- 8. A map or maps showing and describing the type and size of existing vegetation on the site, and identifying vegetation to be removed and retained.
- 9. A grading plan showing site elevations when grading is completed, including any modifications to drainage channels and any required retaining walls or other means of retaining cuts or fills.
- 10. A site plan showing proposed structures, signs, parking, outdoor storage, landscaping, berms, fencing, and other features of the facility.
- 11. Responses to the applicable <del>criteria</del> standards of Section 4 of this ordinance.
- 12. If other local, state or federal permits are required for construction and operation of the proposed facility, then:
  - a. The applicant shall submit a copy of such permit(s); or
  - b. The applicant shall submit:

- (1) A schedule for submitting the required applications; a description of the requirements of the laws and regulations applicable to such other local, state or federal permits; a summary of how the applicant proposes to comply with the requirements; a list of which regulations require local land use approval; and a list of potentially conflicting local, state or federal standards; and
- (2) A copy of any application filed for another local, state or federal permit for the proposed facility within 10 working days after it is filed with the local, state or federal agency; and
- (3) A copy of any written correspondence or published notice from the local, state or federal agency regarding that application within 10 working days after the applicant receives that correspondence or notice from the local, state or federal agency.

# SECTION 6. Review Procedures and Burden of Proof

- A. Before filing an application pursuant to this ordinance, an applicant shall submit to [City/County] a request for a pre-application conference pursuant to [incorporate relevant section of the local ordinance], unless waived by the [planning director].
- B. Before accepting an application as complete, the [planning director] may decide additional expertise is warranted to evaluate it due to exceptional circumstances, the complexity of the proposed facility, or its potential impacts. The [planning director] may hire a professional engineer with the necessary expertise to make a written evaluation of specific application elements required pursuant to the ordinance.
  - 1. The written evaluation shall be available no later than 30 days after the applicant submits a deposit to pay for the work. Within 10 days after the written evaluation is available, the [planning director] shall determine whether the application is complete and advise the applicant in writing accordingly, listing any additional information required to make the application complete.
  - 2. The [planning director] shall draft a work program and estimate the cost of hiring a professional engineer with the necessary expertise for the written evaluation and shall advise the applicant of that cost, which shall not exceed

- [10] times the application fee [or other reasonable limit] unless approved by the applicant. The applicant shall deposit a sum equal to the estimated cost of such services before the application is deemed complete. If the cost of such services is less than estimated, [City/County] shall refund any excess to the applicant. If the cost of such services is more than estimated, [City/County] shall bill the applicant for such additional cost; provided, the cost of such services shall not exceed [110%] of the estimated cost unless the applicant or the [City/County] agrees in writing to assume such additional cost.
- 3. This provision does not authorize the [City/County] to collect money from an applicant for independent evaluation of ongoing operations or periodic performance review of a facility. A fee may be required pursuant to Section 7.F before renewal, but not at time of application or approval.
- c. Except as provided in Section 6.B, within 10 working days after receipt of an application, [City/County] shall determine whether the application is complete. If [City/County] determines the application is not complete, [City/County] shall send the applicant a written statement explaining why the application is not complete and listing criteria and standards for which information is not provided or is not responsive. If [City/County] determines an application is complete, it shall send the applicant a written statement to that effect.
- D. An application for a solid waste facility under this ordinance is complete if any written evaluation required under Section 6.B. has been completed, and if, in the opinion of the planning director, :
  - 1. It The application includes substantial evidence that the proposed facility will or can comply with the applicable approval criteria and development standards in Section 4 and the appendices incorporated therein, and any written evaluation required under Section 6B is completed or conditions that may be necessary to ensure compliance; or
  - 2. It The application includes substantial evidence that it is possible, likely and reasonable that the proposed facility will or can is likely to comply with the applicable approval criteria and development standards in Section 4, and the appendices incorporated therein, identifies that any necessary evidence not yet submitted, and provides a reasonable schedule for its submission, and any written evaluation required under Section 6B is completed;

[If the local land use regulations do not authorize the planning director to exercise discretion to determine whether an application includes substantial evidence, then subsections 1 and 2 above should be revised so that an application is deemed complete if it contains information that addresses the applicable approval criteria and development standards in Section 4 and the appendices incorporated therein, and any written evaluation required under Section 6B is completed.]

{To incorporate the appendices as information guidelines instead of as criteria, Sections 6 D. 1 and 2 could read:

- 1. It includes substantial evidence that the proposed facility will or can comply with the applicable approval criteria and development standards in Section 4 and includes information regarding the applicable appendices incorporated therein; or
- 2. It includes substantial evidence that it is possible, likely and reasonable that the proposed facility will or can comply with the applicable approval criteria and development standards in Section 4, identifies that evidence, and provides a schedule for its submission and includes information regarding the applicable appendices incorporated therein.]
- 3. It The application includes the requirements of information required to be submitted under Section 5 of this ordinance, except to the extent waived by the [planning director/approval authority] determines certain requirements of Section 5 are inapplicable or are unwarranted given the scale and nature of the proposed facility.
- E. [City/County] shall provide public notice and an opportunity for submission of written information and/or for a public hearing to consider compliance with the terms of this ordinance for any matter involving the exercise of factual, policy, or legal judgment. [Note: If the 1991 legislature amends ORS 197 to allow exercise of some judgment without public notice and hearing, then Section E-should be amended accordingly as required under [state law or local Code hearing requirements].
- F. An applicant for a solid waste facility bears the burden of proving enly—that a facility complies with this ordinance—and provisions it incorporates by reference. The following presumptions and procedures apply when evaluating compliance with that burden of proof.
  - 1. An applicant is rebuttably presumed to bear have met the burden of proof if the application includes substantial evidence that the facility complies will comply with the

applicable criteria and standards for establishment of the facility in Section 4 and the appendices incorporated therein and conditions proposed by the [planning director/ approval authority] to insure such compliance.

- 2. Substantial evidence can be rebutted only by evidence of equal or greater probative value. For instance, testimony from a professional engineer about a given subject in which an engineer has expertise may be rebutted only by testimony or evidence from another professional engineer or a person similarly qualified about that subject. Testimony from an expert witness regarding matters relevant to the expertise of the witness cannot be rebutted by testimony from a non-expert witness. This subsection does not limit what may be introduced as testimony; it affects the weight to be accorded that testimony.
- 3. If evidence of equal probative value is offered that a given facility does and does not comply with a given criterion or standard or that a proposed condition is or is not adequate to ensure compliance, then the approval authority shall weigh the evidence, identify which evidence it accepts as the basis for its decision, and explain why that evidence is accepted and why the contrary evidence is rejected.
- 4. The approval authority shall issue a all necessary land use compatibility statements to the applicant or to applicable local, state, or federal agencies, and a final decision with appropriate findings, conclusions and conditions of approval if, after the appropriate review process, it finds there is substantial evidence that the facility complies with all applicable provisions in Sections 4 and 5 and the appendices incorporated therein of this ordinance [and [City/County] laws incorporated by reference], subject to appropriate conditions, and that such evidence was not effectively rebutted and does not need to be supplemented.
- 5. If, after a public hearing [or another initial level of review; for instance, the close of the public record following public notice and an opportunity to file written comments], the approval authority finds that:
  - a. There is substantial evidence that the facility complies with some applicable provisions in Sections 4 and 5 and the appendices incorporated therein, of this ordinance and that such evidence was not rebutted and does not need to be supplemented to resolve disputes, and

- b. There is not substantial evidence that the facility complies with one or more applicable provisions in Sections 4 and 5 and the appendices—incorporated therein of this ordinance, or that such—evidence necessary for approval was rebutted or requires augmenting to resolve disputes, and
- c. It is possible, likely and reasonable that the applicant will provide new the remaining necessary substantial evidence regarding provisions identified pursuant to Section 6.F.5.b within six months [or 1 year if the local code prohibits re-application for a denied project for 1 year], then the approval authority shall:
  - (1) Issue a written final decision approving the proposed facility in concept that, among other things:
    - (a) Identifies standards with which the application complies and provide findings and conclusions showing why it complies, based on substantial evidence in the record, and subject to appropriate conditions of approval;
    - (b) Identifies evidence the applicant must submit to show the proposed facility complies with other applicable provisions of this ordinance, imposes a schedule for its submission, and includes any requirements pursuant to Section 6.B above; and
    - (c) Describes how that substantial evidence will be reviewed, including any public notice and hearing requirements.
  - (2) Issue a all necessary land use compatibility statements to the applicant or to applicable local, state, or federal agencies.
- 6. The approval authority shall issue a final decision that denies the application if, after the appropriate review process, it finds that:
  - a. The record does not contain substantial evidence that the facility complies with all applicable provisions in Sections 4 and 5 and the appendices incorporated therein, of this ordinance or could comply given the imposition of conditions, in which case the decision shall identify the section(s) about which the record does not contain substantial evidence; or

14

20

21

22

23 24 25

26

27

28

33

34

35

36

37 38 39

50

- There is more persuasive and at least equally substantial evidence contrary to evidence that the proposed use complies with applicable standards in Sections 4 and 5 and the appendices incorporated therein of this ordinance or could comply given the imposition of conditions, in which case the decision shall identify the provisions for which evidence against the facility overwhelmed the evidence in favor, and
- The applicant declines to supplement the record regarding standards identified pursuant to Sections 6.F.6.a and b. above, or it is not possible, likely, and reasonable that substantial evidence necessary to address standards identified pursuant to Sections 6.F.6.a and b. above will be available within six months after the date of the decision [or 1 year if the local code prohibits re-application for a denied project for 1

{Or, to incorporate the appendices as information-guidelines instead of as criteria and standards, Sections 6.F. 1 and 4 through 6-should be-amended to-delete reference-to-the appendices as -part of the burden of proof. For instance, Section 6.F.1 could read:

-An applicant is rebuttably presumed to bear the burden of proof if the application includes substantial evidence that the facility complies with the applicable criteria and standards-for the facility in Section 4-and includes information regarding the appendices incorporated therein.

The appendices of this ordinance should be amended to include changes-to-regulations-cited-herein-at-the-earliest-practicable opportunity. If a regulation included in an appendix is amended by state or federal agencies and the appendix is not amended when an application for a facility is deemed complete, then the regulation included in the appendix shall apply to the facility. [Subsection G-is-deleted-if-appendices are not-adopted; seep. 10.]

### SECTION 7. Conditions of Approval and Enforcement

The approval authority may approve an application for a facility subject to conditions of approval. Conditions of approval shall be reasonably related to impacts of the facility and—the requirements of this ordinance and provisions incorporated herein. In no instance may an approval authority impose as a condition of approval a requirement that a facility be publicly or privately owned. All facilities approved pursuant to this ordinance shall be subject to a condition requiring that

## SOLID WASTE COMMITTEE REPORT

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 91-1415A, FOR THE PURPOSE OF RECOGNIZING THE MODEL SOLID WASTE FACILITY SITING ORDINANCE AS MEETING THE REQUIREMENTS OF CHAPTER 16 OF THE REGIONAL SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Date: October 16, 1991 Presented by: Councilor DeJardin

<u>Committee Recommendation:</u> At the October 15 meeting, the Committee voted unanimously to recommend Council adoption of Resolution No. 91-1415A. Voting in favor: Councilors DeJardin, Gardner, McFarland, McLain and Wyers.

Committee Issues/Discussion: Resolution No. 91-1415A would adopt a model siting ordinance for the siting of solid waste facilities. A local jurisdiction would be in compliance with Chapter 16 of the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan (RSWMP) relating to facility siting if it adopted the model ordinance.

The Solid Waste Committee approved an amended model ordinance at its May 7 meeting. But, prior to consideration by the full Council, the Office of General Counsel and Councilor Gardner raised several legal and drafting issues concerning the proposed model ordinance.

Councilor Gardner raised three issues: 1) the legality and appropriateness of the compliance "options" in the ordinance under which local jurisdictions would adopt several sets of DEQ administrative rules as a part of the siting process, 2) the relative level of flexibility that the ordinance would provide local jurisdictions to deny the siting of a facility, and 3) whether the ordinance would permit a jurisdiction to attach facility ownership criteria as a condition for siting approval. The Office of General Counsel shared Councilor Gardner's concern relating to the required adoption of DEQ standards under the ordinance and also requested time to prepare several technical and organizational amendments to the proposed ordinance.

As a result, the resolution was rereferred to the Solid Waste Committee.

The model ordinance has been substantially revised, including the following significant amendments:

1) Elimination of the compliance options that included adoption of various sets of DEQ administrative rules. The Office of General Counsel advised that requiring adoption of such rules would create a "double jeopardy" situation for applicants, administratively and legally blur the separate state and local approval processes, use state permit requirements to make a local

land use decision and create numerous opportunities for the appeal of local siting decisions.

2) Clearly provide that a local jurisdiction may not require a particular type of ownership as a condition for siting approval.

The committee requested the Office of General Counsel to review the nature of the amendments to the model ordinance. The committee felt comfortable with the technical and organizational amendments and Councilor Gardner indicated that the major amendments discussed above had addressed most of his earlier concerns.

To assist the Council in reviewing the historical development of the resolution, the agenda packet includes the following documents:

- 1) a memo from Karla Forsythe, dated March 29, providing background information and identifying potential discussion issues
- 2) a memo from Karla Forsythe, dated April 30, reviewing initial committee discussion of the resolution
- 3) a memo from Councilor Wyers, dated May 1, raising questions concerning the effect of the resolution on the siting of future solid waste facilities
- 4) the response of the Office of General Counsel to questions raised by Councilor Gardner relating to the "options" proposed in the ordinance and local restrictions on facility ownership
- 5) a memo from Todd Sadlo, Senior Assistant Counsel, outlining the amendments to the model ordinance and explaining the rationale behind removing the compliance "options" from the ordinance.



# **METRO**

# Memorandum

2000 S.W. First Avenue Portland, OR 97201-5398 503/221-1646

TO:

Dan Cooper, General Counsel

FROM:

Councilor Jim Gardner

RE:

Resolution No. 91-1415, For the Purpose of Recognizing the Model Solid Waste Facility Siting Ordinance as Meeting the Requirements of Chapter 16 of the Regional

Solid Waste Management Plan

DATE: .

May 15, 1991

As you are aware, the Solid Waste Committee has recommended that the Council adopt Resolution No. 91-1415. This resolution would authorize local jurisdictions to adopt an appended model zoning ordinance as a means of complying with their requirement to provide zoning for solid waste facilities based on clear and objective standards. The suggested standards incorporate detailed permitting regulations adopted and administered by DEQ.

- I have two questions which relate to the potential consequences of adopting this resolution and the model zoning ordinance:
- 1. Could a local jurisdiction apply the standards in the model ordinance in a procedural or substantive way that would preclude a favorable siting decision for a politically unpopular solid waste facility?
- 2. If a local jurisdiction conditioned approval of a facility on a particular form of facility ownership, would the conditional use approval be in compliance with the provisions of the model zoning ordinance? Would such a condition be legally sustainable?
- I would appreciate a written answer at your earliest opportunity.
- cc: Council Solid Waste Committee
  Rich Carson, Planning and Development Director



# **METRO**

# Memorandum

2000 S.W. First Avenue Portland, OR 97201-5398 503/221-1646

TO:

Tanya Collier, Presiding Officer

FROM:

Jim Gardner, Councilor

RE:

Request to postpone Council consideration of Resolution

No. 91-1415 and Ordinance No. 91-393A

DATE:

May 15, 1991

On April 2, 1991, the Solid Waste Committee voted to recommend Council adoption of Ordinance No. 91-393A. The ordinance amends Chapter 16 of the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan to identify options for implementing local government facility siting standards.

On May 7, 1991, the Solid Waste Committee voted to recommend Council adoption of Resolution No. 91-1415, which recognizes the model solid waste facility siting ordinance as one of the options. It appears that these two matters should be scheduled for consideration by the Council on the same agenda, since they deal with related topics.

Normally these items would be scheduled for consideration by the Council at its May 23, 1991 meeting. However, I have requested a legal opinion regarding Resolution 91-1415 (see attached memorandum). I request that you defer scheduling these matters until the response to my memorandum is distributed to and reviewed by Councilors.

Thank you for considering this request.

cc: Council Solid Waste Committee
Rich Carson, Planning and Development Director

1	MODEL ORDINANCE
2	FOR
3	SITING SOLID WASTE FACILITIES
4	
5	
6	BEFORE THE [CITY COUNCIL/COUNTY COMMISSION] OF
7	[CITY/COUNTY], OREGON
8	
9	
10	AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE )
11	
12	[ZONING ORDINANCE/COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT )
13	CODE] OF [CITY/COUNTY], OREGON ) ORDINANCE NO.
14	REGARDING THE SITING AND USE OF )
15	CERTAIN SOLID WASTE FACILITIES )
16	
17	
18	WHEREAS, [City/County] desires to provide for the siting of
19	certain solid waste facilities in a manner that protects the
20	environment and the health, safety and welfare of its citizens;
21	and
22	
23	WHEREAS, [City/County] has adopted a comprehensive plan that
24	addresses solid waste facilities. It provides: [quote relevant
25	language from local Plan]; and
26	
27	WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Service District Regional Solid Waste
28	Management Plan states that "each city and county shall provide
29	appropriate zoning to allow planned solid waste facilities or
30	enter into intergovernmental agreements with others to assure such
31	zoning. Whether by outright permitted use, conditional use or
32	otherwise, appropriate zoning shall utilize only clear and objec-
33	tive standards that do not effectively prohibit solid waste
34	facilities;" and
35	
36	WHEREAS, [City/County] desires to fulfill its responsibility to
37	implement the Metro Regional Solid Waste Management Plan within
38	its jurisdiction; and
39	- Julius
40	WHEREAS, [City/County] adopts the Findings and Conclusions in
41	Support of an Ordinance Regarding Solid Waste Facilities, attached
42	hereto and incorporated herein by reference;
43	nototo una incorporatoa nototn zi reference,
44	NOW THEREFORE, The [name of governing body] ordains as follows:
45	non inexproxe, the [name of governing body] ordains as follows:
45	Contents
47	COUPERIOR
48	Section 1. Solid Waste Facility Definitions
48 49	Section 1. Solid waste racility belief tons Section 2. General Definitions
50	Section 2. General Delinitions Section 3. Solid Waste Facilities Allowed by Zone
J 0	Decetal 1. Dotty urbe lacities vitomen by polic

Section 4. Development Standards
Section 5. Application Contents
Section 6. Review Procedures and Burden of Proof
Conditions of Approval and Enforcement
Section 8. Severability

# SECTION 1. Solid Waste Facility Definitions

A. <u>Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Collection Facility</u>. A facility that receives, sorts, temporarily stores, controls, and processes for safe transport hazardous waste from conditionally exempt generators, as that term is defined in ORS 465.003.

B. <u>Demolition landfill</u>. A land disposal site for receiving, sorting and disposing only land clearing debris, including vegetation and dirt, building construction and demolition debris and inert materials, and similar substances.

C. <u>Household hazardous waste depot</u>. A facility for receiving, sorting, processing and temporarily storing household hazardous waste and for preparing that waste for safe transport to facilities authorized to receive, process, or dispose of such materials pursuant to federal or state law.

 D. <u>Limited purpose landfill</u>. A land disposal site for the receiving, sorting and disposing of solid waste material, including but not limited to asbestos, treated petroleum contaminated soil, construction, land clearing and demolition debris, wood, treated sludge from industrial processes, or other special waste material other than unseparated municipal solid waste.

E. Resource recovery facility. A facility for receiving, temporarily storing and processing solid waste to obtain useful material or energy.

F. <u>Mixed construction and demolition debris recycling facility</u>. A facility that receives, temporarily stores, processes, and recovers recyclable material from mixed construction and demolition debris for reuse, sale, or further processing.

G. <u>Solid waste composting facility</u>. A facility that receives, temporarily stores and processes solid waste by decomposing the organic portions of the waste by biological means to produce useful products including, but not limited to, compost, mulch and soil amendments.

H. Monofill. A land disposal site for receiving, sorting and disposing only one type of solid waste material or class of solid

N.waste materials for burial, such as a facility which accepts only asbestos.

 I. <u>Municipal solid waste depot</u>. A facility where sealed containers are received, stored up to 72 hours, staged, and/or transferred from one mode of transportation to another.

J. <u>Small scale specialized incinerator</u>. A facility that receives, processes, temporarily stores, and burns a solid waste product as an accessory use to a permitted use, including incinerators for disposal of infectious wastes as part of a medical facility, but not including mass burn solid waste incinerators, refuse-derived fuel technologies, human or animal remains crematorium, or any energy recovery process that burns unseparated municipal solid waste.

K. <u>Solid waste facility</u>. Any facility or use defined in Section 1 of this ordinance.

 L. <u>Solid waste transfer station</u>. A facility that receives, processes, temporarily stores and prepares solid waste for transport to a final disposal site, with or without material recovery prior to transfer.

M. Treatment and storage facility. A facility subject to regulation under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 USC §§ 6901-6987, for receiving, sorting, treating, and/or temporarily storing hazardous waste, and for processing such waste for safe transport to facilities authorized to receive, treat, or dispose of such materials pursuant to federal or state law. Treatment and storage facilities do not include facilities for onsite disposal of hazardous waste.

N. <u>Wood waste recycling facility</u>. A facility that receives, temporarily stores and processes untreated wood, which does not contain pressure treated or wood preservative treated wood, in the form of scrap lumber, timbers, or natural wood debris, including logs, limbs, and tree trunks, for reuse, recycling or energy recovery into products such as hogged fuel, fuel pellets, or fireplace logs.

O. <u>Yard'debris depot</u>. A facility that receives yard debris for temporary storage, awaiting transport to a processing facility.

P. <u>Yard debris processing facility</u>. A facility that receives, temporarily stores and processes yard debris into a soil amendment, mulch or other useful product through grinding and/or controlled biological decomposition.

illuminates a screen 1 foot away.

uniform size of chips, shreds, or pellets.

466.005.

D.

F. <u>Level of service (LOS)</u>. A measure of the overall comfort afforded to motorists as they pass through a roadway segment or intersection, based on such things as impediments caused by other

Leachate.

Inert material.

as a result of such contact.

vehicles, number and duration of stops, travel time, and the reserve capacity of a road or an intersection, (i.e., that portion of the available time that is not used). LOS generally is referred to by the letters A through F, with LOS E or F being

generally unacceptable. LOS generally is calculated using the methodology in the *Highway Capacity Manual*, Special Report 209, by the Transportation Research Board (1985).

G. <u>Lower explosive limit</u>. The minimum concentration of gas or vapor in air that will propagate a flame at 25 degrees Celsius in the presence of an ignition source.

Footcandle. A unit of illumination. One footcandle is the

Hazardous waste. Has the meaning given that term in ORS

Hogged fuel. Fuel generated from wood or other waste that

Solid waste material that remains materially

Liquid that has come into direct contact with

has been fed through a machine that reduces it to a practically

unchanged by variations in chemical, environmental, storage, and

solid waste and contains dissolved and/or suspended contaminants

intensity of illumination when a source of 1 candlepower

use conditions reasonably anticipated at the facility.

H. <u>Mixed solid waste</u>. Solid waste that contains recoverable or recyclable materials, and materials that are not capable of being recycled or recovered for further use.

I. <u>Municipal solid waste</u>. Solid waste primarily from residential, business, and institutional uses.

J. <u>Non-attainment area</u>. A geographical area of the State which exceeds any state or federal primary or secondary ambient air quality standard as designated by the Oregon Environmental Quality Commission and approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

1 K. <u>Processing</u>. An activity or technology intended to change the physical form or chemical content of solid waste or recycled material including, but not limited to sorting, baling, composting, classifying, hydropulping, incinerating or shredding.

L. <u>Professional engineer</u>. A professional engineer currently licensed to practice in the state of Oregon. The type of professional engineer may be specified in the ordinance, (e.g., civil, structural, acoustic, traffic, etc.).

M. Recycled materials. Solid waste that is transformed into new products in such a manner that the original products may lose their identity.

N. <u>Recycling</u>. The use of secondary materials in the production of new items. As used here, recycling includes materials reuse.

O. <u>Rural zone</u>. A land use zone adopted by a unit of local government that applies to land outside a regional urban growth boundary.

P. <u>Sealed container</u>. A receptacle appropriate for preventing release of its contents, protecting its contents from the entry of water and vectors, and that will prevent the release of noxious odors if the contents are capable of emitting such odors.

 Q. <u>Significant vegetation</u>. A tree exceeding 6 inches in diameter measured 4 feet above grade at the base of the tree or other vegetation more than 4 feet above grade, but not including blackberry or other vines or weeds.

R. <u>Soil amendment</u>. A material, such as yard waste compost, added to the soil to improve soil chemistry or structure.

S. <u>Solid waste</u>. Has the meaning given that term in ORS 459.005.

 T. <u>Urban zone</u>. A land use zone adopted by a unit of local government that applies to land inside a regional urban growth boundary.

 U. <u>Wetland</u>. An area that is inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that, under normal circumstances, does support a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands are identified on the Goal 5 inventory of such features or, in the absence of such an inventory, are based on the Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands (1989).

# 7 8 9 10

- 11 12 13 14 15
- 16 17 18 19 20
- 21 22
- 23 24 25 26
- 27 28 29
- 30 31 32 33
- 34 35 36 37

38

39 40 41

42

43

44 45 46

- SECTION 3. Solid Waste Facilities Allowed by Zone
- Solid waste facilities as a [principal/primary] or conditional use.
  - The following solid waste facilities are permitted as [principal/primary] uses in the [insert zones as determined by the local government], subject only to the applicable provisions of Sections 4 through 7 of this ordinance:

[List facilities allowed as a principal/primary use. Repeat as necessary for each zone or group of zones. is suggested that all of the listed solid waste facilities be permitted in rural industrial/commercial and urban industrial zones and that smaller scale uses be permitted in land extensive commercial zones. rural zones, an urban land use may be subject to statutory and Goal limits. Note: regulations of the underlying zone do not apply unless incorporated into this ordinance.

The following solid waste facilities are permitted as conditional uses [or equivalent] in the [insert other zones as determined by City/County, subject only to the applicable provisions of Sections 4 through 7 of this ordinance:

[List facilities allowed as a conditional use. Repeat as necessary for each zone or for groups of zones. It is suggested that all of the listed solid waste facilities not allowed pursuant to Section 3 A.1 be permitted subject to Section 3 A.2 in industrial and land extensive commercial zones. In rural zones, an urban land use may be subject to statutory and Goal limits. Note: other conditional use regulations do not apply to solid waste uses unless incorporated into this ordinance.

- Accessory use solid waste facilities. The following solid waste facilities are permitted, subject to the applicable regulations of the zone, as an accessory use to a permitted or conditional use without being subject to the conditional use review:
  - Household hazardous waste depot, provided the facility is accessory to a public facility or to a use in an industrial zone.
  - Small scale specialized incinerator, provided the facility does not accept more than 220 pounds per day of waste from off-site.

C. <u>Multiple purpose solid waste facility</u>. A solid waste facility may include more than one kind of facility as defined in Section 1. An application that includes more than one kind of facility is permitted in a given zone only if all of the uses proposed in the facility are permitted in that zone. If any of the uses proposed are allowed only as a conditional use in the zone, then all of the uses proposed shall be considered conditional uses.

D. Temporary solid waste facility. The following solid waste facilities may be approved as a temporary use in any zone without being subject to conditional use review if the use operates not more than three days per calendar month, subject only to the dimensional requirements of the underlying zone [e.g., setbacks and height] and the applicable provisions of Sections [4A, 4C through 4G, and 4J through 40] and the appropriate requirements of Sections 5 through 7:

[List facilities allowed. It is suggested that a demolition debris depot, household hazardous waste depot, yard debris depot, and plastics recycling depot be allowed as a temporary use in all zones. Local governments may want to prohibit temporary solid waste facilities in residential zones unless associated with a public use. The parts of Section 4 listed for temporary facilities are the ones most relevant to such a use. Local governments may want to subject such facilities to provisions of Section 4 other than those listed above.]

# E. Prohibited solid waste facility.

prohibited in the following zones:

 Unless allowed by Sections 3.A through 3.D, a solid waste facility is prohibited.
 Notwithstanding Sections 3.A through 3.D above, the

following solid waste facility [or facilities] [is/are]

[List specific solid waste facilities and zones where they are prohibited, such as open space zones, historic district zones, environmental or natural resource zones, etc.]

1 2

 Table 1 lists the development standards that apply to each kind of solid waste use defined in Section 1. If an application is for a facility that includes more than one kind of use, it is subject to the standards that apply to all uses in the facility.

In the left-hand column of Table 1 is a list of the solid waste facilities regulated by this ordinance. Across the top of the table are the subjects regulated by the ordinance. They are listed in the order in which they appear after the table. To identify the standards that apply to a given facility, identify the facility in the left-hand column and read across the row. A dot "." at the intersection of a row and column indicates that the facility listed in the left-hand column is subject to the standard at the top of the column. An "x" at the intersection of a row and column indicates that the facility listed in the left-hand column is not subject to the standard at the top of the column.

Some criteria and standards incorporate by reference state and federal regulations that are included as appendices to the ordinance or are incorporated by reference in those appendices. The [City/County] approval authority applies those state and federal regulations as though it is the state or federal agency responsible for administering them. The approval authority uses the procedure in this ordinance that applies to the application for the solid waste facility in question rather than using the procedure provided in the state and federal regulations. Local review does not substitute for state or federal review required by regulations in the appendices, and local action does not bind state or federal agencies about matters of state or federal jurisdiction.

## A. <u>Wetlands, habitat and natural area impacts</u>.

- 1. The applicant shall identify and describe the significance and functional value of wetlands on the site and protect those wetlands from adverse effects of the development. A facility complies with this standard if it complies with Section 4.A.l.a or b below:
  - a. The facility will not reduce the area of wetlands on the site, and development will be separated from such wetlands by a minimum of [60] feet, which shall be retained in its existing condition or enhanced for compatibility with the wetland. The setback may be reduced to as little as [x] feet if the applicant shows such lesser setback will not adversely affect the

# Table 1 – Development Standards Applicable to Facilities

Proposed use	Code section	Wetlands, habitat and natural area impacts	Vibration impacts	Landscaping and site design impacts	Historic resource impacts	Operating impacts	Signage impacts	Outdoor storage impacts	Litter impacts	Vector control impacts	Fire protection and explosion	Traffic circulation and access	Floodplain conditions	Topographic conditions	Geologic and soil conditions	Noise impacts	Odor impacts	Ground and surface water impacts	Methane gas impacts	Air quality impacts
Conditionally exempt small quantity collection facility												,·								
Demolition landfill																				
Household hazardous waste depot																				
Limited purpose landfill																				
Mixed construction/demolition debris recycling facility																				
Monofill										<b>***</b>	***									
Municipal solid waste depot																				
Resource recovery facility	_																			
Small-scale specialized incinerator																				
Solid waste composting facility																				
Solid waste transfer station																				
Treatment and storage facility																				
Wood waste recycling facility								**		₩										
Yard debris depot										***										
Yard debris processing facility																				
Applicable standards  Standards not applicable  Standards will be developed in	the	fut	ure																	

wetland, provided Section 4.C does not require more than the requested setback. Lack of adverse effect can be demonstrated by showing the following among other means:

- (1) A natural condition such as topography, soil, vegetation or other feature isolates the area of development from the wetland;
- (2) Impact mitigation measures will be designed, implemented, and monitored to provide effective protection against harm to the wetland from sedimentation, erosion, loss of surface or ground water supply, or physical trespass; and/or
- (3) A lesser setback complies with federal and state permits, or standards that will apply to state and federal permits, if required.
- b. Where existing wetlands are eliminated by the facility, the applicant will develop or enhance an area of wetland on the site or in the same drainage basin that is at least equal to the area and functional value of wetlands eliminated.
- 2. The applicant shall provide appropriate plans and text that identify and describe the significance and functional value of natural features on the site [if identified in the Comprehensive Plan or the Goal 5 inventory or if in a natural resource zone or equivalent], and protect those features from impacts of the development or mitigate adverse effects that will occur. A facility complies with this standard if:
  - a. The site does not contain an endangered or threatened plant or animal species or a critical habitat for such species identified by federal or state government [and does not contain significant natural features identified in the Comprehensive Plan if the local Comprehensive Plan includes an inventory and assessment of such features];
  - b. The facility will comply with applicable requirements of the [natural resource zone] if one applies to the site;
  - c. The applicant will excavate and store topsoil separate from subsurface soil, and shall replace the topsoil over disturbed areas of the site not covered by buildings or pavement or provide other appropriate medium for re-vegetation of those areas, such as yard debris compost;

- d. The applicant will retain significant vegetation in areas that will not be covered by buildings or pavement or disturbed by excavation for the facility; will replant areas disturbed by the development and not covered by buildings or pavement with native species vegetation unless other vegetation is needed to buffer the facility; will protect disturbed areas and adjoining habitat from potential erosion until replanted vegetation is established; and will provide a plan or plans identifying each area and its proposed use; and
- e. Development associated with the facility will be set back from the edge of a significant natural area [identified by Comprehensive Plan] by a minimum of [60] feet, and the setback area shall be retained in its existing condition or enhanced for compatibility with the natural area. The setback may be reduced to as little as [x] feet if the applicant shows such lesser setback will not adversely affect the natural area, provided Section 4.C does not require more than the requested setback. Lack of adverse effect can be demonstrated by showing the same sort of evidence as in Section 4.A.l.a above.
- B. <u>Vibration impacts</u>. The facility shall not cause vibrations that exceed 0.002g peak at a property line, except vibration from construction and from vehicles that leave the site and except for vibrations that last five minutes or less per day, based on a written statement certified by a professional engineer.
- C. Landscaping and site design impacts.
  - 1. Except as noted in Section 4.C.2, the facility shall comply with the setback requirements and height limits of the underlying zone. However, if the facility adjoins a commercial zone, the minimum setback shall be [100] feet, and if the facility adjoins a residential or open space zone, the minimum setback shall be [200] feet.
  - 2. Structures, exterior storage and processing areas, and vehicle maneuvering and parking are prohibited in setbacks required pursuant to Section 4.C.1 above, except that:
    - a. The approval authority may reduce the required setback if it finds that a lesser setback will not adversely affect the privacy, use, or visual character of existing uses on adjoining land, based on the scale and design of the use or structure(s), landscaping and buffers, or on the topography, vegetation, or other natural features of the site;

- b. Minor building features such as eaves, chimneys, fire escapes, bay windows, uncovered stairs, wheelchair ramps, and uncovered decks no more than 3 feet above grade may extend up to 20 percent into a required setback;
- c. Attached mechanical structures such as heat pumps, air conditioners, emergency generators, and water pumps may extend into a required setback, except adjoining or across a street from an abutting residential zone;
- d. Fences, walls, berms, landscaping, access drives, and an entry sign(s) are permitted in the setback; and
- e. Notwithstanding the preceding, structures shall be situated so they comply with the Uniform Building Code adopted in Oregon.
- 3. Exterior building surfaces shall be finished. Metal used on the exterior of the building shall be anodized or painted; galvanized or coated steel shall not be left unpainted.
- 4. Buildings with walls containing more than 2,500 square feet above grade shall incorporate fascias, canopies, arcades, or multiple colors or building materials to break up large wall surfaces visually into areas of 1,000 square feet or less, unless it would be contrary to the purpose of the wall, such as for retaining earth or for structural support.
  - 5. Attached mechanical structures and roof-mounted equipment shall be screened from ground-level view at adjoining public streets and property zoned residential or open space. Screening may include landscaping, sight obscuring fencing or other features.
  - 6. The facility shall not cause glare or lights to shine off site in excess of 0.5 footcandles onto non-industrial zoned land, based on a written statement certified by a professional engineer.
  - 7. Structures shall not obstruct scenic views or vistas identified in the Comprehensive Plan, although structures may be visible from off site.
- 8. Major activity areas of the site, such as loading and delivery areas, shall be oriented away from adjoining land zoned for residential or open space uses.

- At least 20 percent of the facility site shall be landscaped with living vegetation in an appropriate medium, such as vard debris compost. Landscaped areas shall have a permanent irrigation system equipped with automatic controls. Where landscaping is situated in required setbacks or adjoins buildings and other structures, it shall include evergreen species at least 6 feet above grade at planting and situated not farther apart than the radius of the crown of a mature The approval authority may waive or reduce the level of landscaping where necessary to allow sight distance for vehicular traffic, to enable views of signs or other features of the facility that should be visible to enhance the function of the facility, or to protect solar access to The approval authority may require adjoining property. larger or more numerous trees where necessary to reduce the potential adverse visual effects of a facility. Existing significant vegetation shall be retained, where feasible, and may substitute for other required vegetation. Landscaping in setbacks and parking lots counts toward the 20 percent.
- 10. All utilities will be underground, except that electric and telephone lines may be above ground if such features are above ground on adjoining land or land in the immediate vicinity.
- D. <u>Historic resource impacts</u>. The facility shall not adversely affect historic resources listed in the comprehensive plan [or inventory of historic resources adopted by [City/County]]. A facility complies with this standard if the site and adjoining land do not contain an identified historic resource and are not in an historic district. If the site or adjoining land contains such a resource, then the applicant shall show the facility design preserves the historic resource character.

#### E. Operating impacts.

- 1. Exterior activities are prohibited between 10 p.m. and 7 a.m. daily except that vehicles may continue to enter and exit the site and maintenance may be conducted at all hours if they do not violate applicable provisions of Sections [4B, C.6 and 8, K.2 and 0] during any hours.
- 2. For a solid waste transfer station, most solid waste may be stored in an open pit or floor inside a building for up to 24 hours or in a sealed container on the site for up to 72 hours. Separated recycled materials may be stored on the site for up to 30 days in unsealed containers.

### F. Signage impacts.

- 1. Signs shall comply with sign regulations of the zone, except as provided herein.
- 2. If the facility is open to the public, the applicant shall provide a sign(s) at each public entrance to the facility that is clearly legible and visible from the adjoining public road. The sign shall identify the name of the facility, the name and telephone number of the operator, and hours of operation of the facility. The entry sign(s) may be up to 32 square feet per side and up to 10 feet above grade, unless the zone allows larger signs. Directional information to orient drivers shall be included on the entry sign(s) or on interior signs.
- 3. A sign(s) describing recommended access routes to the facility, materials accepted, instructions for correct preparation of accepted materials, recycling services, and fees for disposing materials shall be posted at the facility. Signs interior to the site shall be coordinated and consistent in appearance.
- 4. Signs that use recycled materials, including recycled plastic, are encouraged. Sign quality and appearance shall be appropriate to the character of the area, as determined by the approval authority.

#### G. Outdoor storage impacts.

- 1. No mixed solid waste or recovered material shall be stored outside in unsealed containers, except:
  - a. In a landfill or composting facility approved for that purpose;
  - b. Solid waste or recovered material that is inert; or
  - c. As otherwise allowed in this Section 4.G. In all circumstances, outdoor storage of hazardous waste is prohibited.
- 2. Source-separated materials other than yard debris and wood waste shall be stored in containers in an area enclosed on at least three sides and roofed except that, in a rural zone, such materials shall be enclosed on any side visible from adjoining public or private property and roofed.
- 3. Wood waste, yard debris, and solid waste in sealed containers may be stored outdoors if it complies with the

applicable dimensional and design standards. Yard debris shall be removed from the site on at least a weekly basis.

- 4. Storage areas larger than 2 cubic yards for recovered materials shall be enclosed.
- 5. Drop boxes for recyclable materials on the site of a solid waste facility shall be painted and maintained in good repair, situated on a paved surface and emptied before collected items exceed the height of the box or within five days of becoming full. The applicant shall post a notice on any recycling drop box, stating that only domestic recyclable or reusable materials, such as paper, cardboard, glass, tin, aluminum, plastic and clothing are permitted. The notice shall also state that yard debris, appliances, or other large items that may be repairable, recyclable or reusable are prohibited, unless the box is designed for that purpose. The name and telephone number of the operator shall also be posted on the box.
- 6. Outdoor storage areas shall not be visible when viewed from a height of 5 feet at the edge of the property, except as provided above. A facility complies with this standard when outdoor storage is enclosed within a sight obscuring fence, wall, berm, or landscaping at least 6 feet high but not more than 10 feet high. A wood fence is sight obscuring when attached vertical or horizontal fence boards are separated by not more than 1/4-inch. A metal fence consisting of chain link or woven fabric is sight obscuring when water and insect resistant wood or plastic slats are inserted in the fence material so they are separated by not more than 3/8-inch. Landscaping is sight obscuring when it includes evergreen material at least 6 feet high and not more than 2 feet on center at planting.

#### H. Litter impacts.

- 1. For purposes of litter control, an area described as the "Primary Impact Area" shall be established around the proposed facility. The Primary Impact Area is the area within which litter and illegally dumped solid waste is presumed to be a result of the presence of a solid waste facility. Illegally dumped waste consists of solid waste in excess of two cubic yards at a given location, and litter includes lesser amounts of solid waste at a given location.
- 2. The Primary Impact Area shall extend at least one-half mile from the facility boundary along primary routes to the facility, as identified in the traffic study. The approval authority may expand the Primary Impact Area based on

specific conditions or if otherwise warranted based on annual review of illegal dumping and litter patterns in the area.

- 3. Except as specified in subsection 5. of this section, the applicant shall submit to [City/County] a plan to eliminate litter in the Primary Impact Area. The plan shall include at least the following:
  - a. A proposed delineation of the Primary Impact Area.
  - b. Appropriate gates, signs and other traffic control devices to direct traffic to the facility along approved routes that, to the extent possible, avoid public parks, residential and retail districts and major public attractions;
  - c. Establishment of a patrol to remove litter along designated routes within the Primary Impact Area on a schedule that, in the opinion of the approval authority, is sufficient to prevent accumulation of litter;
  - d. Provisions for the removal of illegally dumped waste within the primary impact area within 24 hours of discovery;
  - e. Provisions to make available written information that describes access routes to the facility, fees for wastes permitted at the facility, surcharges for delivery of uncovered loads, if appropriate, and recycling incentives; and
  - f. For a landfill, a description of measures to be used to minimize blowing of litter from the site, such as periodic application of cover material, spraying with liquid, or use of portable fencing.
- 4. The facility operator shall be responsible for the cost of collecting, removing and disposing of litter and illegally dumped waste within the Primary Impact Area. In addition, the operator shall take reasonable measures to assist the [City/County] in identifying sources of illegal waste. If the [City/County] identifies a source of illegal waste, the [City/County] may take measures to reimburse the operator for the cost of collection and proper disposal of the waste.
- 5. The requirements of this Section 4.H. shall not apply to a facility that is not open to the public and receives waste only in sealed containers, or to any facility involved exclusively in recycling.

- I. <u>Vector control impacts</u>. For any facility where solid waste could sustain or attract rodents or insects, because of the solid waste in question or the environmental characteristics of the site, the applicant shall submit and implement a plan to reduce the potential for rodent and insect propagation using methods designed to minimize nuisance conditions and health hazards.
- J. <u>Fire protection and explosion</u>. The facility shall comply with the Uniform Fire Code (UFC) as adopted by [City/County] and the Uniform Building Code (UBC) adopted in Oregon. Facilities that accept hazardous waste shall comply with UFC Article 80.

## K. Traffic circulation and access.

- 1. Access requirements for a facility shall be based on the number and type of vehicle trips generated by the facility. The number of trips generated per day shall be based on the most recent version of the Trip Generation Manual of the Institute of Traffic Engineers, except that the applicant may submit a trip generation study certified by a professional traffic engineer of other similar facilities as the basis for trip generation by the proposed facility. If a proposed facility is not listed in the Trip Generation Manual and a trip generation study of other similar facilities is not available, then the number and type of vehicle trips generated by the proposed facility shall be based on the figures for the use most similar to the proposed facility for which the Trip Generation Manual contains data.
- 2. The applicant shall identify designated routes for vehicular traffic generated by the proposed facility and shall provide written information to facility users describing and promoting use of those routes. Designated routes shall be selected to minimize traffic on nonarterial streets and shall not include streets in residential zones if nonresidential streets provide access.
- 3. For a facility that generates more than 200 vehicle trips per day, the applicant shall submit a traffic study by a professional traffic engineer that shows the facility will not cause traffic volumes that exceed the capacity of the street based on the [street standards or holding capacity assumptions of the transportation master plan of [City/County]], or that cause any intersection affected by that traffic to have a Level of Service [E or] F. If the proposed facility will cause street capacity to be exceeded or create a level of service [E or] F at any intersection, the applicant shall propose street modifications acceptable to [City/County] to meet the requirements of this subsection. Unless otherwise provided by agreement with [City/County],

3 4 .

5

10 11 12

13

14 15

21 22 23

24

20

25 26 27

28 29 30

31

43 44

38

45 46 47

48 49

50

all expenses related to street improvements necessitated by the proposed facility shall be borne by the applicant.

- A facility in an urban zone shall provide for a deceleration/turn lane at proposed access points to separate facility-bound traffic from other traffic if deemed warranted by the traffic study required in Section 4.K.3. The lane shall accommodate at least two stacked vehicles and shall taper at a ratio of not less than 25:1 to match the standard roadway width.
- Floodplain conditions. The facility will comply with the applicable floodplain zone regulations of [cite City/County code or ordinance]. All solid waste stored in a floodplain zone shall be enclosed in a structure with a finished floor elevation at least 1 foot above the 100-year base flood elevation as determined by Federal Emergency Management Agency maps or by a survey by a professional land surveyor licensed in the state of Oregon.
- Topographic conditions. The facility shall comply with the [slope hazard] regulations of [cite City/County code or ordinance].
- Geologic and soil conditions. The facility shall comply with the [geologic/soil hazard] regulations of [cite City/County code or ordinance].
- Noise impacts. 0.
  - If the facility site is not in an industrial zone or does not exclusively adjoin land in an industrial zone, or if it adjoins a noise sensitive use, such as a residence, hospital, or school [or substitute specific sites identified in the Comprehensive Plan] the applicant shall submit to [City/County] a study by a professional acoustical engineer of expected noise levels at the facility site boundary, including at the site boundary adjoining any residential or noise sensitive use.
  - In all instances, the applicant shall operate the facility in compliance with applicable noise standards in OAR Chapter 340, Division 35 [or cite more stringent [City/County] standards], and noise mitigation requirements if any, imposed by the approval authority as conditions for approval.
  - Outdoor amplified sound systems are prohibited.

# P. Odor impacts. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 2. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34 35

36 37

38 39

40 41

42 43

44 45

46

47

48

49 50

- The applicant shall demonstrate that the facility:
  - Will incorporate the best practicable design and operating measures to reduce the potential for odors detectable off-site from such things as waste stored or being processed on site, spillage of waste, venting of dust, residual amounts of waste in operating areas of the site, and vehicle odors in stacking, maneuvering and staging areas; and
  - Will not cause unusual or annoying odors, considering the density of the surrounding population, the duration of the emissions, and other factors relevant to the impact of such emissions.
- Open burning of solid waste will not be allowed, unless: `
  - Open burning is consistent with standards of the ODEQ; or
  - The facility is outside the area where open burning is banned, and a permit is not required by ODEQ.

# Q. Ground and surface water impacts.

- The applicant shall demonstrate that the facility will: 1.
  - Collect all waste water from production, washing down of equipment and vehicles, and similar activities and discharge the water to a public sanitary sewer if:
    - The sewer adjoins or can be extended to the site, based on applicable rules of the sewer service provider, and
    - (2) The sewer has the capacity to accommodate waste water from the facility as determined by the sewer service provider or by a professional civil engineer; or
  - Incorporate an alternative sanitary waste disposal method that is or will be approved by ODEQ; or
  - Incorporate an alternative waste disposal method that is consistent with applicable water quality standards and will not cause drinking water supplies to violate applicable water quality standards; or

- d. Not generate waste water, and will divert and/or contain storm water so that it does not enter solid waste on the site.
- 2. Prior to construction of the facility, the applicant shall obtain all required permits relating to discharges of waste water and storm water from the facility. The operator of the facility shall comply with all directives of state and federal agencies related to protection of ground and surface water resources potentially affected by the facility.
- 3. At the request of the approval authority, the applicant shall submit to the approval authority copies of any groundwater self-monitoring programs and analyses of potential surface and groundwater impacts related to the facility that are required to be submitted to the ODEQ.
- 4. At the request of the approval authority, an applicant for a landfill, mixed waste compost facility, wood waste recycling facility, yard debris depot or processing facility shall submit copies of its leachate collection and treatment plan and program prepared by a professional civil engineer for submittal to the ODEQ, if one has been required by the ODEQ.
- 5. An applicant for a household hazardous waste depot, hazardous waste treatment and storage facility, material recovery facility, solid waste depot or transfer station shall submit and implement a plan and program prepared by a professional civil engineer to collect, pretreat and dispose waste water from the floor or operating area of such facility and to prevent surface water from mixing with solid waste spills.
- 6. The applicant shall submit and implement a plan prepared by a professional civil engineer to reduce the amount of waste water caused by hosing down equipment, tipping areas, platforms and other facility features, such as by using high pressure/low flow washing systems, compressed air or vacuum equipment for cleaning.
- 7. The applicant shall submit and implement a plan prepared by a professional civil engineer or landscape architect to collect storm water from all impervious areas of the site and to properly manage storm water. The applicant shall comply with state and federal regulations governing storm water discharges, and obtain required storm water discharge permits in a timely fashion. To the extent consistent with a storm water discharge permit issued for the facility, storm water shall be managed in the following manner:

- a. Storm water disposal shall comply with the storm drainage master plan of the [City/County/USA] [and with applicable basin-wide storm water management plans, such as the Johnson Creek or Tualatin River Storm Water Management Plans], as determined by the [City/County Engineer/USA].
- b. If there is not a storm drainage master plan for the area of the facility, then storm water shall be discharged to a storm sewer if it is available or can be extended to the site, [based on the applicable rules of the storm sewer service provider,] and if it has adequate capacity to accommodate storm water from the site, as determined by [the sewer service provider or] a professional civil engineer or landscape architect.
- c. If a storm sewer with adequate capacity is not available, the applicant shall:
  - (1) Retain storm water on-site; and/or
  - (2) Detain storm water on-site and discharge it from the site at no greater rate than before development of the facility; or
  - (3) Discharge storm water at full rate to public drainage features, such as a roadside ditch or regional drainage facility, if there is adequate capacity to accommodate it as determined by a professional civil engineer or landscape architect. If discharging water at full rate would exceed the capacity of downstream drainage features, the applicant shall:
    - (a) Provide a detention pond or ponds to contain water in excess of the system's capacity; and/or
    - (b) Identify improvements to downstream drainage features necessary to accommodate the increased volume or rate of flow without adversely affecting adjoining property and either:
      - (i) Provide such improvements before operation of the facility, or
      - (ii) Contribute necessary funds to the
        [City/County/USA] so that the

[City/County/USA] can undertake such improvements.

If off-site improvements are required to accommodate storm water from the site, prior to issuance of a building permit for the facility, the applicant and the [City/County/USA] shall execute an agreement to pay back the applicant for the cost of improvements to the extent those improvements exceed the storm drainage needs generated by the facility.

- Except as otherwise provided by the storm drainage master plan of the [City/County/USA], the collection and disposal system shall be sized to accommodate peak flows from a 25-year storm event, based on the flow from the area that includes the site and the basin that drains onto it, assuming permitted development of that area, as determined by a professional civil engineer or landscape architect.
- Before storm water is discharged from the site or into the ground, the applicant will direct it through features to remove sediment, grease and oils, and water soluble materials Such features shall comply with the storm in the water. drainage standards of the [City/County/USA].
- The applicant shall submit and implement a plan prepared by a professional civil engineer or landscape architect to reduce the potential for erosion along natural and constructed drainageways and across slopes during and after construction.
- For a landfill, the approval authority may require that the applicant submit a copy of its closure plan as prepared for submittal to the ODEQ.
- Methane gas impacts. R.
  - The applicant shall submit a statement from a professional engineer that the facility will not generate significant quantities of methane gas emissions; or

The applicant shall submit and implement a methane gas control program prepared by a professional engineer that describes how:

50

The facility will not generate methane gas in excess of 25 percent of the lower explosive limit for methane in facility structures or in excess of the lower explosive limit at the facility boundary;

- b. The gas shall be collected and vented, incinerated, or put to or prepared for a productive use; and
- c. Methane will be measured in structures and at the facility boundary, consistent with applicable ODEQ standards.
- S. <u>Air quality impacts</u>. A facility shall not cause detrimental air quality impacts. A facility complies with this standard if the applicant obtains all required Air Contaminant Discharge Permits and the facility is operated in conformance with all applicable ODEQ air quality standards and requirements.
- T. Treatment and Storage facilities (Hazardous Waste). The applicant for a proposed treatment and storage facility shall comply with Oregon Administrative Rules Chapter 340, Division 120, and any other applicable state or federal law, by obtaining all state and federal permits necessary for operation of the facility.

# SECTION 5. Application Contents

- A. In addition to submitting application forms provided by the [City/County], the applicant shall describe at least the following features of the proposed facility:
  - 1. Capacity and projected life.
  - 2. The population or industries to be served.
  - 3. The amount of solid waste that is expected to be accommodated at the facility from the population or industries to be served, including maximum daily and monthly amounts and average annual volume and weight of waste to be received.
  - 4. For a landfill, planned future uses of the site after closure.
  - 5. The quantity of each type of waste stream projected to be accommodated at the facility. Examples of waste streams include domestic waste, commercial and institutional waste, industrial waste, construction and demolition waste, agricultural waste, sewage sludge, and contaminated clean-up materials.

- 6. The operating characteristics of the facility, including equipment used, hours of operation, and volume, distribution, and type of traffic associated with the use, and a traffic study if required by Section 4 of this ordinance.
- 7. The kind or kinds of facility or facilities proposed, based on the definitions in Section 1.
- B. The applicant shall submit the following information as part of the application, unless the [planning director] finds that, given the scale and nature of the facility, a requested item will not materially aid the approval authority in reviewing the proposal, and the item is not otherwise required to be submitted under this ordinance.
  - 1. A written description of the location of the site with respect to known or easily identifiable landmarks and access routes to and from the area the facility will serve.
  - 2. A legal description of the tract or tracts to be used for the facility.
  - 3. Except for an accessory facility, a map or maps showing the location of the site, existing and approved land uses within a minimum [250]-foot radius of the boundary of the site inside the regional urban growth boundary or within a minimum [500]-foot radius of the site outside the regional urban growth boundary; public water supply wells, surface waters, access roads within that radius; historic sites, areas of significant environmental concern or resources, or significant environmental features identified in the comprehensive plan within the applicable radius; other existing or approved man-made or natural features relating to the facility; and a north arrow, bar scale, and drawing date.
  - 4. Except for an accessory use or temporary facility, an aerial photograph of the site and the area within the relevant radius with the boundary of the site outlined.
  - 5. Except for an accessory or temporary facility, a map or maps showing the existing topography of the site with contour intervals not to exceed 2 feet if slopes are less than 5 percent, not to exceed 5 feet if slopes are more than 5 percent, and not to exceed 10 feet if slopes are more than 20 percent; natural features of the site including water bodies and wetlands; the boundary of the 100-year floodplain based on Federal Emergency Management Agency data; public easements of record; man-made features including buildings, utilities, fences, roads, parking areas, and drainage features; boundaries of existing waste disposal areas and

soil borrow areas, if any; locations of borings, piezometers, monitoring wells, test pits, water supply wells, and facility monitoring or sampling points and devices; a benchmark; and a north arrow, bar scale, and drawing date.

- 6. For a landfill, data regarding average annual and monthly precipitation and evaporation and prevailing wind direction and velocity, based on data from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration or other federal or state agency, or from on-site measurements.
- 7. For a landfill, information regarding minimum, maximum and average annual flow rates and monthly variations of streams on the site, based on stream gaging data collected by the US Geological Service or other federal or state agency supplemented with reliable site specific data as available.
- 8. A map or maps showing and describing the type and size of existing vegetation on the site, and identifying vegetation to be removed and retained.
- 9. A grading plan showing site elevations when grading is completed, including any modifications to drainage channels and any required retaining walls or other means of retaining cuts or fills.
- 10. A site plan showing proposed structures, signs, parking, outdoor storage, landscaping, berms, fencing, and other features of the facility.
- 11. Responses to the applicable standards of Section 4 of this ordinance.
- 12. If other local, state or federal permits are required for construction and operation of the proposed facility:
  - a. The applicant shall submit a copy of such permit(s); or
  - b. The applicant shall submit:
    - (1) A schedule for submitting the required applications; a description of the requirements of the laws and regulations applicable to such other local, state or federal permits; a summary of how the applicant proposes to comply with the requirements; a list of which regulations require local land use approval; and a list of potentially conflicting local, state or federal standards; and

- (2) A copy of any application filed for another local, state or federal permit for the proposed facility within 10 working days after it is filed with the local, state or federal agency; and
- (3) A copy of any written correspondence or published notice from the local, state or federal agency regarding that application within 10 working days after the applicant receives that correspondence or notice from the local, state or federal agency.

#### SECTION 6. Review Procedures and Burden of Proof

- A. Before filing an application pursuant to this ordinance, an applicant shall submit to [City/County] a request for a pre-application conference pursuant to [incorporate relevant section of the local ordinance], unless waived by the [planning director].
- B. Before accepting an application as complete, the [planning director] may decide additional expertise is warranted to evaluate it due to exceptional circumstances, the complexity of the proposed facility, or its potential impacts. The [planning director] may hire a professional engineer with the necessary expertise to make a written evaluation of specific application elements required pursuant to the ordinance.
  - 1. The written evaluation shall be available no later than 30 days after the applicant submits a deposit to pay for the work. Within 10 days after the written evaluation is available, the [planning director] shall determine whether the application is complete and advise the applicant in writing accordingly, listing any additional information required to make the application complete.
  - 2. The [planning director] shall draft a work program and estimate the cost of hiring a professional engineer with the necessary expertise for the written evaluation and shall advise the applicant of that cost, which shall not exceed [10] times the application fee [or other reasonable limit] unless approved by the applicant. The applicant shall deposit a sum equal to the estimated cost of such services before the application is deemed complete. If the cost of such services is less than estimated, [City/County] shall refund any excess to the applicant. If the cost of such services is more than estimated, [City/County] shall bill the applicant for such additional cost; provided, the cost of such services shall not exceed [110%] of the estimated cost

 unless the applicant or the [City/County] agrees in writing to assume such additional cost.

- 3. This provision does not authorize the [City/County] to collect money from an applicant for independent evaluation of ongoing operations or performance review of a facility. A fee may be required pursuant to Section 7.F before renewal, but not at time of application or approval.
- C. Except as provided in Section 6.B, within 10 working days after receipt of an application, [City/County] shall determine whether the application is complete. If [City/County] determines the application is not complete, [City/County] shall send the applicant a written statement explaining why the application is not complete and listing standards for which information is not provided or is not responsive. If [City/County] determines an application is complete, it shall send the applicant a written statement to that effect.
- D. An application for a solid waste facility under this ordinance is complete if any written evaluation required under Section 6.B. has been completed, and if, in the opinion of the planning director,
  - 1. The application includes substantial evidence that the proposed facility will comply with the applicable development standards in Section 4 or conditions that may be necessary to ensure compliance; or
  - 2. The application includes substantial evidence that the proposed facility is likely to comply with the applicable development standards in Section 4, identifies any necessary evidence not yet submitted, and provides a reasonable schedule for its submission;
- [If the local land use regulations do not authorize the planning director to exercise discretion to determine whether an application includes substantial evidence, then subsections 1 and 2 above should be revised so that an application is deemed complete if it contains information that addresses the applicable development standards in Section 4 and the appendices incorporated therein, and any written evaluation required under Section 6B is completed.]
  - 3. The application includes information required to be submitted under Section 5 of this ordinance, except to the extent waived by the [planning director/approval authority].

- E. [City/County] shall provide public notice and an opportunity for submission of written information and/or for a public hearing to consider compliance with the terms of this ordinance as required under [state law or local Code hearing requirements].
- F. An applicant for a solid waste facility bears the burden of proving that a facility complies with this ordinance. The following presumptions and procedures apply when evaluating compliance with that burden of proof.
  - 1. An applicant is rebuttably presumed to have met the burden of proof if the application includes substantial evidence that the facility will comply with the standards for establishment of the facility in Section 4 and conditions proposed by the [planning director/ approval authority] to insure such compliance.
  - 2. Substantial evidence can be rebutted only by evidence of equal or greater probative value. For instance, testimony from a professional engineer about a given subject in which an engineer has expertise may be rebutted only by testimony or evidence from another professional engineer or a person similarly qualified about that subject. Testimony from an expert witness regarding matters relevant to the expertise of the witness cannot be rebutted by testimony from a non-expert witness. This subsection does not limit what may be introduced as testimony; it affects the weight to be accorded that testimony.
  - 3. If evidence of equal probative value is offered that a given facility does and does not comply with a given standard or that a proposed condition is or is not adequate to ensure compliance, the approval authority shall weigh the evidence, identify which evidence it accepts as the basis for its decision, and explain why that evidence is accepted and why the contrary evidence is rejected.
  - 4. The approval authority shall issue all necessary land use compatibility statements to the applicant or to applicable local, state, or federal agencies, and a final decision with appropriate findings, conclusions and conditions of approval if, after the appropriate review process, it finds there is substantial evidence that the facility complies with all applicable provisions of this ordinance [and [City/County] laws incorporated by reference], subject to appropriate conditions, and that such evidence was not effectively rebutted and does not need to be supplemented.

- 5. If, after a public hearing [or another initial level of review; for instance, the close of the public record following public notice and an opportunity to file written comments], the approval authority finds that:
  - a. There is substantial evidence that the facility complies with some applicable provisions of this ordinance and such evidence was not rebutted and does not need to be supplemented to resolve disputes;
  - b. There is not substantial evidence that the facility complies with one or more applicable provisions of this ordinance, or evidence necessary for approval was rebutted or requires augmenting to resolve disputes; and
  - c. It is likely that the applicant will provide the remaining necessary substantial evidence within six months [or 1 year if the local code prohibits re-application for a denied project for 1 year], the approval authority shall:
    - (1) Issue a written final decision approving the proposed facility in concept that, among other things:
      - (a) Identifies standards with which the application complies and provide findings and conclusions showing why it complies, based on substantial evidence in the record, and subject to appropriate conditions of approval;
      - (b) Identifies evidence the applicant must submit to show the proposed facility complies with other applicable provisions of this ordinance, imposes a schedule for its submission, and includes any requirements pursuant to Section 6.B above; and
      - (c) Describes how that substantial evidence will be reviewed, including any public notice and hearing requirements.
    - (2) Issue all necessary land use compatibility statements to the applicant or to applicable local, state, or federal agencies.
- 6. The approval authority shall issue a final decision that denies the application if, after the appropriate review process, it finds that:

- a. The record does not contain substantial evidence that the facility complies with all applicable provisions of this ordinance or could comply given the imposition of conditions, in which case the decision shall identify the section(s) about which the record does not contain substantial evidence; or
- b. There is more persuasive and at least equally substantial evidence contrary to evidence that the proposed use complies with applicable standards of this ordinance or could comply given the imposition of conditions, in which case the decision shall identify the provisions for which evidence against the facility overwhelmed the evidence in favor, and
- c. The applicant declines to supplement the record regarding standards identified pursuant to Sections 6.F.6.a and b. above, or it is not likely that substantial evidence necessary to address standards identified pursuant to Sections 6.F.6.a and b. above will be available within six months after the date of the decision [or 1 year if the local code prohibits re-application for a denied project for 1 year].

# SECTION 7. Conditions of Approval and Enforcement

- A. The approval authority may approve an application for a facility subject to conditions of approval. Conditions of approval shall be reasonably related to impacts of the facility, the requirements of this ordinance and provisions incorporated herein. In no instance may an approval authority impose as a condition of approval a requirement that a facility be publicly or privately owned. All facilities approved pursuant to this ordinance shall be subject to a condition requiring that landscaping, air and water quality structures and devices, signs, structures, paved areas, and other features of the facility be maintained in good condition and that such features be replaced if they fail to survive or are rendered ineffective over time.
- B. Conditions of approval may require an applicant to submit a written statement or permit from state or federal agencies responsible for administering a regulation to which the proposed facility is subject, if the record does not contain such a statement or permit.
  - 1. Such a condition may fulfill provisions of Sections 4.0 through 4.T that the facility comply with state or federal regulations, subject to a further condition that the applicant submit a written statement or permit showing the

proposed facility complies with the applicable state or federal regulation before a building permit is issued for the facility; and

- 2. Such a condition shall require appropriate review and allow modification of the decision and conditions of approval regarding the application if a state or federal permit substantially changes a proposed facility from what was approved by [City/County] in ways relevant to applicable provisions of Section 4.
- C. All facilities approved pursuant to this ordinance shall comply with applicable state and federal regulations as a condition of approval. Approval of a facility pursuant to this ordinance does not preclude imposition of more stringent state or federal regulations adopted after the effective date of this ordinance.
- D. Any facility that is required to obtain a franchise or license from the Metropolitan Service District (Metro) shall obtain the franchise or license and provide a copy of it to [City/County] before a [building/occupancy] permit is issued for the facility.
- E. [City/County] shall enforce the conditions of approval pursuant to [cite the relevant local law]. If Metro issues a franchise or license for the facility, [City/County] shall send to Metro a copy of any written correspondence or notices [City/County] sends to the applicant regarding enforcement of conditions of approval. Metro may remedy violations of conditions of approval regarding the facility and charge the franchisee or licensee for the cost of such remedial action unless provided otherwise in the franchise or license.
- F. [City/County] may periodically conduct a performance review of an approved facility to determine whether it continues to comply with the criteria and standards then applicable and to modify conditions of approval that apply to the facility so that it continues to comply. The approval authority shall specify the time for any performance review. [City/County] may impose a fee for performance review.

/////