

## MINUTES OF THE METRO COUNCIL MEETING

Thursday, May 20, 2004  
Metro Council Chamber

Councilors Present: David Bragdon (Council President), Susan McLain, Rod Monroe, Rex Burkholder, Carl Hosticka, Rod Park, Brian Newman

Councilors Absent:

Council President Bragdon convened the Regular Council Meeting at 5:02 p.m.

### 1. INTRODUCTIONS

There were none.

### 2. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS

There were none.

### 3. CONSENT AGENDA

3.1 Consideration of minutes of the May 13, 2004 Regular Council Meetings.

3.2 **Resolution No. 04-3459**, For the Purpose of Authorizing the Chief Operating Officer to Amend the Outside Counsel Contract Regarding Environmental Issues Related to the Willamette Cove Property.

Motion:

Councilor Newman moved to adopt the meeting minutes of the May 13, 2004, Regular Metro Council and Resolution No. 04-3459.
--

Vote:

Councilors Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Park, Hosticka, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
--

### 4. ORDINANCES – SECOND READING

4.1 **Ordinance No. 04-1042A**, For the Purpose of Amending Metro Code Chapter 5.02 to Amend Disposal Charges and System Fees.

Motion:	Councilor McLain moved to adopt Ordinance No. 04-1042A.
Seconded:	Councilor Newman seconded the motion

Councilor McLain introduced the ordinance. She talked about the rate setting process. Council President Bragdon provided thanks to those who were involved in this process.

Council President Bragdon opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 04-1042A and noted that several public hearings had been held on this ordinance. No one came forward to testify. Council President Bragdon closed the public hearing.

Councilor McLain talked about the goals of the Rate Review Committee over the past several years. She explained that this program would be phased in.

Vote:

Councilors Park, Hosticka, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
--

4.2 **Ordinance No. 04-1048A**, For the Purpose of Amending Metro Code Chapter 7.01 To Increase the Amount of Additional Excise Tax Dedicated to Funding Metro's Regional Parks and Greenspaces Programs.

Motion:	Councilor Newman moved to adopt Ordinance No. 04-1048A.
Seconded:	Councilor Burkholder seconded the motion

Councilor Newman introduced the resolution. He talked about the history of parks funding. Councilor Monroe talked about the history of approving the bond for openspaces. They had promised that 6000 acres minimum would be purchased. We actually purchased 8000 acres. He spoke to the need to fund ongoing maintenance and operation of the land. They had been looking for a revenue source to support the purchases. He said solid waste excise tax would help fund the maintenance and operation of openspaces.

Council President Bragdon opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 04-1048A. No one came forward. Council President Bragdon closed the public hearing.

Councilor McLain said they had not rushed into what openspaces would be master planned first but recognized that the public wanted them to get moving on opening some of the land Metro had purchased. They had made a commitment to the solid waste industry to move forward and find permanent funding. They had made promises to the public in 1995, now was the time to show how Metro was going to start opening some of these spaces. Councilor Park said he would be supporting this resolution. His district has benefited from these openspaces. He spoke to regional equity. He was hopeful that we could open up more of these areas. He said they would also be looking at other funding sources besides solid waste.

Vote:

Councilors Park, Hosticka, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
--

4.3 **Ordinance No. 04-1052**, For the Purpose of Amending Metro Code Chapter 7.01.023 to Provide Dedicated funding for Metro's Tourism Opportunity and Competitiveness Account.

Motion:	Councilor Park moved to adopt Ordinance No. 04-1052.
Seconded:	Councilor Burkholder seconded the motion

Councilor Park said this ordinance would provide the opportunity to enjoy regional facilities. This ordinance would support the visitor development fund. The Council had not decided how this money would be put in place.

Council President Bragdon opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 04-1052.

Don Trotter, Metropolitan Exposition Recreation Commission (MERC) Commissioner, 777 NE Martin Luther King Jr Blvd Portland OR 97232 said he had not prepared remarks but commended the Council on this action. He urged approval.

Brian McCartin, Portland Oregon Visitors Association (POVA) 1000 SW Broadway Portland OR 97205 said they also commended the Council and supported the ordinance.

Larry McDuffee, Box 383 Oregon City OR felt this was disingenuous to expect solid waste industry to pay for the convention center. He did not support this ordinance.

Council President Bragdon closed the public hearing.

Councilor McLain explained why she could not support the ordinance. She felt they hadn't had enough discussion on this issue. She explained further the need for additional discussion. Second, they had dollars to cover their issues through 2006. Councilor Burkholder said he would be supporting this ordinance. He also thanked Council President Bragdon for his efforts on the parks issue. He said the Convention Center was in his district and he had spent a lot of time on the concerns of the Convention Center. It had been an economic boost to the 4 neighborhoods in the area. Councilor Monroe provided the history for the funding. He wouldn't be supporting this measure today. Councilor Hosticka said he was willing to support parks funding because there was clear idea of how the money would be spent. Unfortunately, he couldn't say the same for this funding. Council President Bragdon said he would be supporting this ordinance with conditions. He explained those conditions. Oregon Convention Center (OCC) had taken some interim steps to cut expenses this year. This was only one step and didn't close the gap. Those industries that had most benefited from the convention center were going to have to step up to the plate. This source of funding was less than ideal. They needed to have much more in depth conversations about how the money got spent. Councilor Park closed by talking about the competition of convention centers. He felt this was an investment to help get out of economic depression that we were in. We needed to start to attract conventions in advance. He urged support.

Vote:

Councilors Park, Burkholder, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 4 aye/3 nay, Councilors McLain, Hosticka, Monroe voted no, the motion passed.

**5. RESOLUTIONS**

5.1 **Resolution No. 04-3434**, For the Purpose of Endorsing the Final Conceptual Design Report for the Portland Mall Segment of the South Corridor Project.

Motion:	Councilor Newman moved to adopt Resolution No. 04-3434.
Seconded:	Councilor Hosticka seconded the motion

Councilor Newman provided a history of the project. He explained that there was need to deal with the downtown congestion. He explained the final conceptual design on the Portland Mall Segment. The Mayor's Committee had supported the recommendation unanimously. He felt the process had been really good and there had been unanimous support on all fronts. Councilor Monroe said this was exciting. He had been working on the South Corridor process for more than a decade. He spoke to Phase 2. He talked about the transit network in the region. It would allow people in the outer areas of the region to come downtown. This was a good day for all Portlanders.

Vote:

Councilors Park, Hosticka, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.

5.2 **Resolution No. 04-3440**, For the Purpose of Endorsing Metro’s Draft Goal 5 Phase 2 ESEE Analysis, Making Preliminary Decisions to Allow, Limit, or Prohibit Conflicting Uses on Regionally Significant Fish and Wildlife Habitat and Directing Staff to Develop a Program to Protect and Restore Regionally Significant Fish an Wildlife Habitat.

Motion:	Councilor Hosticka moved to adopt Resolution No. 04-3440.
Seconded:	Councilor McLain seconded the motion

Councilor Hosticka said this was a punctuation point marking the end of the second step in a long process to develop a protection program for fish and wildlife habitat. Today they would endorse the analysis. It was a continuous process but would not be finished until the end of this year. The second part of the resolution was to adopt the preliminary decision to which areas would allow, limit or prohibit conflicting uses. This was a process of adopting a map. The third step was developing a program. It was important to reiterate that any map that they adopted would be continuously revised. He spoke to the Chief Operating Officer’s recommendation. He said many advisory committees had reviewed the recommendation and had recommended amendments.

Council President Bragdon opened a public hearing on Resolution No. 04-3440.

Kevin Kohnstamm, 3002 NE Luray Cirrus Portland OR 97210 thanked the Council as well as Carol Krigger, Planning Department. He spoke to the map exhibit. He supported land use planning and the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB). He said they moved into northwest Portland several years ago. He spoke to the proposed map. He talked about the City of Portland’s e zoning. He suggested having Metro dovetail the existing e-zones. He talked about a mapping error, which was shown by his map. Councilor Newman asked if this was map change? Mr. Kohnstamm said yes. Councilor Hosticka said when people raised issues about mapping errors, he suggested Metro staff go out to the area, view it and report back to Metro. Mr. Kohnstamm talked about Metro’s website and that there were some errors.

Troy Clark, Columbia Slough Watershed Council, 2821 NE Klickitat Portland OR 97212 also provided a photograph on Cornfoot Road by NE 47<sup>th</sup> and NE Alderwood. The picture he provided was the Columbia Slough. He said there was about five feet from the bank. He made some suggestions about Cornfoot Road. His concern was that as they begin looking at protection now, he urged that we take the highest protection possible for this area. He suggested Council look to the future. We were here to protect.

Dorothy Cofield, Attorney for a private citizen, 4248 Galewood Suite 9 Lake Oswego, OR 97035 provided her written testimony and summarized that testimony. She had provided a packet of information about the property. She said this was being submitted for a map correction. She had talked with the Planning Department about the property. They had agreed that this was not a riparian area. She urged a process be put in place for map corrections.

Mary Rigert, for Jack & Maria Fazio concerning property on Fazio Way, 29244 NW Sauvie Island Portland OR 97231 said she was speaking for her family. She was pleased to hear that this was a preliminary map. She read her written testimony into the record. She also provided a map. Councilor Burkholder talked about his personal experience on the Fazio Farm.

Councilor McLain clarified they were not answering site-specific questions tonight. They understood the importance of each site.

Ann Gardner Schnitzer Investment Corp 3200 NW Yeon Portland OR 97210 provided written testimony and summarized that testimony.

Steve Pfeiffer, Perkins Cole, 1121 NW Couch St 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Portland OR 97209 said he was here to answer any question and was also here to give testimony on NAOIP. Goal 5 was state mandated but had local discretion. He said the first stage was science driven. He talked about the balance which belonged in the allow category. There may be other similarly situated properties. He urged Metro to start the analysis of the site. He then talked about program elements. He urged consideration of flexibility. He suggested there were many ways areas could be restored. He urged flexibility through a variety of ways. Second, he spoke to map corrections and affording some level of direction to local governments. Councilor Hosticka asked if he had seen the amendment prepared on the International Terminal (IT) site. Mr. Pfeiffer said he had and it did take care of his issue.

Richard Schmitz The Wildlife Society OSU Dept of Fisheries and Wildlife Nash Hall 100 Corvallis OR 97331 read his letter into the record and summarized those remarks.

Jennifer Thompson US Fish and Wildlife Service 2600 SE 98<sup>th</sup> Ave Portland OR provided written testimony and summarized that testimony.

Don Yon, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality 811 SW 6<sup>th</sup> Portland OR 97204 provided written testimony and summarized his testimony.

Marc Liverman NOAA Fisheries 525 NE Oregon St Portland OR 97223 thanked staff and council. The process had been long and arduous. He urged Council to support the amendments. He spoke to the assurance his agency could provide to Metro. He encouraged and supported the process. He spoke to the need for fine-tuning to get a specific program adopted. He talked about a sub-basin planning process. The ultimate assurance was the Endangered Species Act (ESA) listing. He felt the process was on the right track. Councilor Burkholder asked about the Willamette River protection. Mr. Liverman said they were in the process of working with urban areas. He spoke to grants to do restoration projects. They also would provide technical assistance. Councilor Hosticka summarized his testimony by saying that the program was a necessary step to meet the ESA program.

Jill Fugilister, Coalition for a Livable Future 310 SW 4<sup>th</sup> Suite 612 Portland OR 97204 provided written testimony and summarized that testimony. She said there were many in the audience who were wearing green ribbons. They were supporting higher protection. She asked those who supported this to stand.

Kassandra Griffin, Bicycle Transportation Alliance (BTA) PO Box 9072 Portland OR 97209 said they were a member of the Coalition for a Livable Future and she was here testifying with that panel. She explained the goals of the BTA. On behalf of their members, she was asking Council's support for the highest level of habitat protection. She said Portland was nationally recognized as the number one city in North America for bicycling. They got that way though the hard work of a lot of city officials, through non-profit organizations, and through the support of local citizenry who make the choice to bicycle because it was environmentally sensitive, a health conscious thing to do, and a nice way to get fresh air. They were protecting the environment by bicycling. She noted roads were one of the most environmental impacts in the region. Protecting high value

habitat attracts more people who will be on bikes more often giving nice habitats as destination attracts more people on to their bikes. She saw it as a collaborative and circular process. The more habitats they protected, the more people they get on the bikes, and the more the region will benefit environmentally from a variety of different modes. They believed that maintain a high value habitat within the urban area was critical to ongoing success of the region for bicycling, for livability and for sustainability (a copy of her testimony is included in the record).

Kelly Ross Home Builders Association 15555 SW Bangy Lake Oswego OR 97035 provided written testimony.

Justin Healy, Real Urban Geographics, 820 NW 6<sup>th</sup> Suite 202 Portland OR 97209 provided written testimony. He provided some examples on white boards of land he was talking about. One of the concerns was they didn't know what these impact meant. Councilor Park talked about his concerns. What was his feeling on land that hadn't been zoned or master planned? Did they have the same level of concern? Mr. Healy said yes they were concerned about these lands as well. Councilor Hosticka commented about the pictures on the white board. We have a long way to go before we can make estimates on what the actual impacts are.

Sam Chase, Community Development Network 2627 NE Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd Portland OR 97212 provided written testimony. He talked about his work with affordable housing. He was trying to make his project as affordable as possible. He wanted to make it work and protect fish and wildlife habitat. He spoke to thinking creatively and finding ways to mitigate property. He encouraged people to realize that the rules to protect our environment could seem difficult but if you take the time, you can find ways to protect the environment. He felt this went hand in hand with affordable housing strategies. Councilor Burkholder asked about his project and if they had to reduce the number of units in order to comply. Mr. Chase said no, the number of the units was established but restrictions were on how they could develop those units.

Sue Marshall, Tualatin Riverkeepers 16507 SW Roy Rogers Rd Sherwood OR 97140 provided written history and summarized her testimony. She said a lot of time and effort was put into developing the goal of the program. She commented on the amendments, yes on Bragdon #1, on Bragdon #2 they had concerns, Bragdon #3 they were not supportive of.

Beverly Bookin, Commercial Real Estate Economic Coalition (CREEC) 1020 SW Taylor St Portland OR 97210 provided written testimony of her comments.

Bob Salinger, representing Meryl Reddish Audubon Society of Portland 5152 NW Cornell Rd Portland OR 97212 spoke to the biodiversity of animals in the region. He gave an example of a mallard and her ducklings being run over by a car. He talked about the species list and that we were losing some of those species everyday. He felt that Metro Council had an opportunity today to make sure things didn't get worse. He asked them to protect the last best places. He urged adoption of a strongest Goal 5 program.

Bonnie Sheffner, 722 NW 24<sup>th</sup> Portland OR 97210 summarized her written testimony.

Michael Carlson, Clackamas Basin River Council PO Box 1869 Clackamas OR 97015 talked about the basin membership. He was also a member of Water Resource Policy Advisory Committee (WRPAC). The Council developed partnerships with landowners to make things better. They were interested in the Clackamas Basin Watershed and what it provided. They recommended adopting Hosticka Amendment #1 because it was the best chance to meet Metro's future vision. He spoke to the Future Vision. They also encouraged protection of drinking water.

Third, they supported protecting Metro's investments of openspaces. The WRPAC/GOAL5 Technical Advisory Committee (Goal5Tac) recommendation would provide the best protection. Fourth, the program provided a baseline protection for fish and wildlife.

Linda Blauer, Johnson Creek Watershed 6232 SE 158<sup>th</sup> Portland OR 87236 shared a map. All of the properties were built with protection in mind but the density was not diminished by the restrictions.

Joe Blowers 2050 SW 78<sup>th</sup> Portland OR 97225 said he was here as a landowner with a mature Douglas fir forest. He spoke to the value of wildlife on his property. He chose not to develop his property. He urged stronger protection of his property. He also urged that they asked his neighbors to do the same.

Steve Mullinax 4648 SW 39<sup>th</sup> Dr Portland OR 97221 provided written testimony and summarized that testimony.

Donna Matrazzo, Audubon Society of Portland 5151 Cornell Rd Portland OR 97210 summarized a letter from Mike Houck, a copy of which is included in the meeting record.

Liath Armentrout spoke for Richard Armentrout 21095 S Wisteria West Linn OR talked about their property. Her life had been enriched by nature. She urged following the stewardship to protect the land. She felt it was important to have access to this type of land. She had been blessed by growing up with this land.

Jim Labbe Audubon Society of Portland 5151 NW Cornell Portland OR 97210 read his testimony into the record. He gave some examples, which highlighted some of the issues that the Goal5Tac/WRPAC sought to address. Councilor Newman suggested he emailing the rest of his examples.

Michelle Bussard 7237 SE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave Portland OR 97202 provided a map and written testimony for the record.

Jeff Uebel 581 SW 4<sup>th</sup> St Portland OR 97080 did not testify.

Shirley Craddick Buttes Conservancy 4275 SE Augusta Loop Gresham OR 97030 read her letter into the record.

Jim Leeman, filling in for Gail Snyder Friends of Forest Park PO Box 2413 Portland OR 97208 provided written testimony and summarized Ms. Snyder's testimony. He also represented several other groups. They urged highest level of protection of upland areas. They needed to encourage builders to build in a way to preserve habitat. He spoke to planting more flowers and trees.

Tom Wolf, Trout Unlimited 22875 NW Chestnut, Hillsboro OR 97124, supported Councilor Hosticka Amendment #1. He recommended it because it gave higher protection to uplands. They weren't given enough protection. There was also language that allowed for prohibit language on site-specific areas. There was a report that said salmon lingered in the Portland area for up to four months. In creating this program, as we recovered the salmon population in the northwest, we needed to protect these areas where salmon could hide. He closed with a quote, David James Duncan, left the Oregon of the nineties to go to the Oregon of the sixties. He hoped in designing the Goal 5 program we had a lot to protect, a strong program would give us the best benefit.

Ron Garst, 26340 SW Grahams Ferry Rd Portland OR did not testify.

Alice Ellis Gant, Take Back Tigard 10947 SW Chateau Tigard OR 97224 talked about threatened species in the Tigard area and that their existence was in jeopardy. She talked about the development impacts on the species. Shortsighted planning caused degradation of all habitat and a reduction of the value of properties (he provided a narrative and picture which was included in the record)

Linda Lane, 4911 SW 59<sup>th</sup> Portland OR 97221 said she was a member of Fans of Fanno and a property owner. Fanno Creek was healthier now than it was years ago. She urged helping them protect Fanno Creek. She urged strongest protection for upland areas.

Christine Perala 2229 SW Market Portland OR 97214 did not testify

Sue Biekle Tigard Biodiversity Project 11755 SW 114<sup>th</sup> Tigard OR 97223 provided written testimony and summarized it for the record.

Councilor Monroe talked about his history with Fanno Creek.

Loretta Pickerell Friends of Goal 5 26370 SW 45<sup>th</sup> Dr Portland OR 97070 did not testify.

John LeCavalier 1622 SE 55<sup>th</sup> Portland OR 97215 provided written testimony which he summarized.

Jill Tellez 9280 SW 80<sup>th</sup> Portland OR 97223 provided written testimony.

Pat Whiting, CPO 4-M 8122 SW Spruce Tigard OR 97223 talked about her history. She had introduced the ESA in Oregon. Tom McCall had appointed her to a special task force. She didn't think that it was Tom McCall's vision to allow development on natural areas. She urged strictly limiting wetland areas particularly in the Fanno Creek and Ash Creek wetlands. Councilor Hosticka asked her to comment on drawing lines concerning the town centers. She said the sensitive lands in the urban area should be protected. She talked about Washington Square parking lot as land to be part of a high-rise commercial development rather than existing wetlands. Councilor Hosticka said he was involved in the Washington Square planning and that we needed to strictly limit the wetland. Ms. Whiting said Ash Creek was a water quality stream. Councilor Monroe said he had served with Ms. Whiting in the legislature.

John Frewing 7110 Lola Lane Tigard OR 97223 did not testify.

Chris Mongrain Emerson Hardwood 2279 NW Front Ave Portland OR 97209 provided written testimony but did not testify.

Frank Lolicht Jr 11338 SW Cottonwood Lane Tigard OR 97223 said he owned property in northwest Portland, in Tigard and in Beaverton. He read an article from the Tribune. He asked Council President Bragdon if that was an accurate statement. Council President Bragdon felt it was accurate. Mr. Lolicht asked if they had notified the landowners and looked at each of the properties. Paul Garrahan, Metro Assistant Attorney, said he didn't think that they trespassed on any person property. Council President Bragdon asked if staff would answer his questions.

Jere Retzer, 5118 SW Alford St Portland OR said he lived in a conservation zone. Most of the Crestwood Neighborhood was environmentally zoned. It was good property value. Their



neighborhood had worked hard to restore the environment. They had worked with Metro and the City of Portland to restore Ash Creek. He spoke to flooding issues. He urged support of Hosticka's Amendment #1 for highest protection. He suggested using caution when allowing right of way. He suggested looking at utilities as well. Environmental protection had been good for us. He suggested looking at the issues of storm water and using public education as a tool to encourage protecting the environment.

John Brolin, Rivers Foundation of America, 3619 SE Milwaukie Ave Portland OR 97202 did not testify.

Cheryl Hummon, Defenders of Wildlife, 1880 Willamette Falls Dr #200 West Linn OR 97068 provided written testimony but did not testify.

Janelle St Pierre, 2205 SE Iron St Apt C Portland OR 97202 did not testify.

Brad Baugher, Fans of Fanno Creek, 5052 SW Nevada Ct Portland OR 97219 said he was here representing Fans of Fanno Creek. He said in light of their years working on creek side restoration projects and thousands of volunteer hours on the watershed, they were in support of the testimony of the Tualatin Riverkeepers and the Audubon Society. He urged adoption of the strictest protection for healthy streams by limiting or prohibiting development in key areas. Focus should be placed on areas that connect natural systems, forming continuous viable stretches with important natural functions. He was most concerned about stream corridors, flood plains, habitats of concern, the upland forest and forest canopy. We need to protect the natural values that we still have. They were important to livability. He urged using the highest level of protection approach to the lands under consideration.

Caroline Skinner, Audubon Society of Portland, 2420 NW Quimby #14 Portland OR 97210 did not testify but provided written comments.

Walt Mintkeski, 6815 SE 31<sup>st</sup> Portland OR 97202 read his testimony He supported Hosticka Amendment #1.

Gary Rydant, Johnson Creek Watershed Council, 6605 SE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave Portland OR 97202 provided written testimony.

Lauren Johnson, Portland Waldorf School, 2300 SE Harrison Milwaukie OR 97222 did not testify.

Stark Ackerman, Columbia Corridor, 1800 SW 5<sup>th</sup> Portland OR 97201 provided written testimony and summarized it for the Council.

Gerritt Rosenthal, 7205 SW Norwood Tualatin OR 97062 provided written testimony and summarized his testimony. He spoke to mapping issues and inaccuracies.

John Gibbon, 9822 SW Quail Post Portland OR 97219 said he was a real estate developer and property owners. He represented himself. They designated his lot as an upland habitat. He was glad about that. 25 years ago City of Portland allowed his subdivision to be developed with requirement that native vegetation would be preserved. He had been working on naturally landscaping his land. He hoped that this process didn't result in so much restriction of the property that they couldn't change some of the habitat. He talked about tree cutting issues in his neighborhood. He provided written testimony. There were a lot of community associations that

were doing protection of lands. He urged, don't change the good job that those associations were doing. Councilor Hosticka said there were areas that had closed tree canopies with houses underneath. Should they recognize there was difference between these areas and those without houses? Mr. Gibbon said he didn't have a good answer. They had made a determined effort to preserve those trees. He urged that Metro do this in some manner that responded to the community association. Let them continue to do this job and try to help them do a good job. He submitted his written testimony for the record (see green comment card).

Larry McDuffee, McDuffee Homes LLC Box 383 Oregon City OR quoted Genesis. He talked about protecting property by takings. He felt if it was left to the voters there might be a very different effect than what Metro would approve. He quoted the Bible. He reminded that God created nature. Property was the control and right of the owner. He was a homebuilder. He said there were a lot of restrictions already. He spoke to erosion of freedom. He urged picking the lowest form of regulation, none. Take advantage of parks and those who have the interest in protecting their land.

Kathy Shearin, 1916 NE 9<sup>th</sup> Ave #1 Portland OR 97212 read her letter into the record.

Jack Orchard, Ball Janik representing OHSU, 1100 One Main Place 101 SW Main Portland OR 97204 noted a copy of Steve Stadum, OHSU Counsel's letter which was provided for the record. He summarized those remarks. Council President Bragdon said they agreed with their remarks that they needed to have that conversation. Councilor McLain said some of the issues brought up were program level decisions. Council President Bragdon asked about the west campus. Mr. Orchard said it was OGI, primate center, gene therapy facility and other private sector developments by the campus.

Councilor McLain spoke to non-regulatory programs for protection.

Greg Malinowski, Malinowski Farms, 13450 NW Springville Rd Portland OR 97229 provided his written testimony. He recommended Hosticka Amendment #1. Conservation was cheaper than restoration. You needed to include the whole tree canopy.

Barbara Hilty, 254 NW Maclezy Blvd Portland OR said she was a landscape designer and had a degree in natural resources. She talked about development that destroyed habitat (a copy of her written testimony is included for the record). She added, as a landscape designer, she worked to protect the environment. She urged adopting the highest standards.

William Hofford, PO Box 222 Portland OR 97207 did not testify but provided written testimony.

Virginia Hodgeon, Portland State University 1717 SW Park #1309 Portland OR 97201 read her testimony into the record.

George Hutchinson, 6613 N. Seneca Portland OR said he lived near the big ditch. Many trains went by this area but there was still a lot of green. He spoke to old growth trees being cut down in his area. It was a terrible loss. He suggested focusing on if there was any loss that there ought to be something mitigating to make up for it. We must have no net loss of habitat life in this region. He felt we needed a much more protective and meaningful Goal 5 protection program than Metro was offering. He urged focusing on restoration.

Martha Johnston, East Columbia Neighborhood Association, 2604 NE Multnomah Portland OR 97232 provided written comments for the record. She was upset about Metro not getting the word

out to the property owners. There were errors in mapping. There were a lot of things that hadn't been answered.

Ole Olsen, 3993 S. Kenthorde West Linn OR 97068 provided written testimony. He talked about cleaning out a creek and improving his property with compost. He gave Metro an outline of some of the procedures. He felt they weren't notifying people or involving people in that area enough. He said the City of West Linn had notified him to stop improving his property. He felt they were taking a conservation easement. He started the Rockwood Neighborhood Association. He offered his help.

Carol Chesarek, 13300 NW Germantown Rd Portland OR 97231 provided her testimony into the record.

Logan Ramsey, 3026 NW Skyline Blvd Portland OR 97229 said he was an effected property owner. He felt that they had heard from special interest groups primarily tonight instead of effected property owners He lived in Area 26. Forest Park had over 6000 acres and most of the land in the west hills was already publicly owned. Under the relative abundance required in Goal 5, private property was less significant. He didn't think this planning effort was being mandated by the state. They were not required to adopt a regulatory approach, he urged adopting a non-regulatory approach.

Chuck Eichten, 12916 NW Creekview, Portland OR did not testify but provided written comments (see blue comment card).

Jerry Ward, Ward Architectural Firm, 7409 SW Folton Park Blvd Portland OR provided written testimony for the record. He showed a visual of one of the houses he had design. He could not build this house with the proposed protections. He talked about the impact of e-zoning limits.

Bridget Beattie Clouds Hill Farm and Friends of Upper Golf Creek, 7277 SW Barnes Rd Portland OR 97225 supported Goal 5 highest protection. She had six acres that developers were constantly wanting to develop. Two of her six acres were a wildlife conservation area. She ran a camp for children to help them learn about habitat protection. She supported Hosticka Amendment #1. She said Tom McCall supported preservation efforts. She felt that sometimes staff didn't listen. She felt Metro was doing the best they could, she urged, just keep doing it better. She also provided her comments in writing (see blue comment card).

Craig Brown, 16074 SW 103rd Ave Tigard OR 97224 said he was in the housing industry. He was concerned about the proposed Goal 5. He was concerned about the consideration given to the individual property owner. He didn't think everyone should be forced to have the same parameters. There were a lot of areas that were improving. We have to make room for people to live in this environment. He felt that the environment was improving more than it was given credit. He urged less restriction. He felt that Metro was shirking their responsibility in provide affordable housing. He provided many of his comments in writing (see green comment card).

Testimony recorded individually:

Scott L. Schroeder, Friends of Tualatin River National Wildlife Refuge, PO Box 1306. Sherwood, OR 97140 provided his written testimony, which is included in the record.

Cheryl Neill, 12115 NW Skyline Blvd. Portland, OR 97231 said she supported the highest standards of protection for regional fish and wildlife habitat. Her point of view was well

represented by members from Coalition for a Livable Future and Audubon Society present today. They were more articulate but Cheryl lived very close to this issue.

Council President Bragdon closed the public hearing.

Motion to amend:	Councilor Newman moved to amend Resolution No. 04-3440 with Newman Amendment #1 (a copy of which is found in the public record)
Seconded:	Councilor McLain seconded the motion

Councilor Newman explained that this had to do with the native plants list. He spoke to concerns he kept hearing about the restrictiveness of native plants list. He felt a better approach was to have a narrow list of noxious plants and have a native list that was encouraged. He talked about homeowners in his area that had a variety of plants that weren't on the native plants list. This approach directed staff to look into that problem and give different alternatives. Councilor Burkholder said this was a direction to staff to take a look at strategies. It was having staff going off to investigate this issue and come back with pluses and minuses. Councilor Newman said this was an amendment to Exhibit C. He further explained what it would require staff to do. Councilor Park suggested starting with the State's regulatory list instead of reinventing the wheel. Councilor McLain reminded that everything they were amending tonight was direction to staff. She spoke to the integration with Title 3 and its importance.

Vote to amend:	Councilors Park, Hosticka, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
----------------	--

Motion to amend:	Councilor Hosticka moved to amend Resolution No. 04-3440 with Hosticka Amendment #1, bullet 1 (a copy of which is found in the meeting record).
Seconded:	Councilor McLain seconded the motion

Councilor Hosticka said this was the recommendation from WRPAC/GOAL5TAC and was a group of amendments. He spoke to the first point, more protection for parks; they would be given the highest level of protection. Some activities may occur such as building a trail. This would result in no loss but would improve the ecological functioning in the area. There was no objection to leaving this in the amendment. Councilor Park asked for clarification on strictly limit versus slightly limit. Councilor Newman asked if this was the approach that the Tualatin Basin took? Councilor Hosticka said they used the concepts but not the exact words.

Vote to amend:	Councilors Park, Hosticka, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
----------------	--

Motion to amend:	Councilor Hosticka moved to amend Resolution No. 04-3440 with Hosticka Amendment #1, bullets 2, 3 and 5. (a copy of which is found in the public record)
Seconded:	Councilor McLain seconded the motion

Councilor Hosticka explained each bullet. Councilor Monroe asked if Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC) recommended this change? Councilor Burkholder asked about unmapped

headland waters. Councilor Hosticka explained what this meant. Councilor McLain said we knew upland areas and intermittent streams had value. When these were found, we would have direction to staff. Councilor Newman said he would not be supporting the next four bullets. They had given direction to staff to bring back a recommendation that was balanced. He wasn't comfortable with going too far from the Chief Operating Officer's (COO's) recommendation. In most instances mapped areas would have protection. He also wanted to preserve as much creativity for the local governments. He saw Metro's job as a base level of protection. Councilor Park echoed a lot of Councilor Newman's comments. He said, to say that we were going to do this at this level, didn't make sense. He would also not support the next four. Council President Bragdon said he would be voting no on this amendment and several of the other points. He was voting to stick with the COO and his staff recommendation. He talked about balancing the economic, social, environmental and ecological needs of the region. He favored MPAC's and Metro Technical Advisory Committee's (MTACs) recommendations. He thought there was a question of equity and justice. He felt the COO's recommendation was a very strong program and he was proud of that. Councilor Burkholder said he felt the COO's recommendation brought value because it was not a one size fits all recommendation. He supported the recommendations from WRPAC/GOAL5TAC. Councilor McLain said she would also be supporting the four next bullet points. She felt the COO's recommendation was a middle of the road recommendation. She felt these four bullets took into account higher protection and did consider economic consequences. Councilor Monroe suggested that they vote on all four bullets in a block. Councilor Hosticka objected and talked about impact areas. Councilor Hosticka closed by explaining further their recommendation. He talked about ghost streams.

Vote to amend:

Councilors Hosticka, Burkholder, McLain voted in support, Councilors Monroe, Newman, Park and Council President Bragdon voted no. The vote was 3 aye/4 nay, the motion failed.

Motion to amend:	Councilor Hosticka moved to amend Resolution No. 04-3440 with Hosticka Amendment #1, bullet 4 concerning impact areas. (a copy of which is found in the public record)
Seconded:	Councilor McLain seconded the motion

Councilor Hosticka explained this point. Council President Bragdon said he would be opposing this amendment as well. He didn't see enough difference between impact areas and the entire region. He talked about non-regulatory tools. Councilor McLain said she had some concerns that we were in an Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) with the Tualatin Basin group. This was an area where you were not limiting your tools, this was not a final decision but it allowed the Tualatin Basin approach to be part of the spectrum. She would be supporting this amendment, this was an intermediate step. Council President Bragdon said if impact areas were voted to lightly limit, did that change the notice requirements? Paul Garrahan, Metro Assistant Attorney, said most lightly yes. Those property owners should get a notice because the property owners might be subject to a regulatory program. Council President Bragdon summarized Mr. Garrahan's comments. Mr. Garrahan said if lightly limit remains, property owners would be noticed. Councilor Monroe said he would be supporting this amendment and felt that these property owners should be notified. Councilor Park asked about the required notification timeline. Mr. Garrahan said there was a 45-day requirement before making the decision. Councilor McLain said they had just dealt with a notification with industrial land and ended up giving notification to the majority. She felt they should be noticed anyway. If we believed in restoration and educational tools we should be notifying property owners. Council President Bragdon asked how many property owners were involved. Chris Deffebach said there were about 15,000 acres.

Vote to amend:

Councilors Hosticka, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe voted aye, Councilors Newman, Park and Council President Bragdon voted against the motion. The vote was 4 aye/3 nay, the motion passed.
---

Motion to amend:	Councilor Hosticka moved to amend Resolution No. 04-3440 with Hosticka Amendment #1 concerning WRPAC recommendations C& D. (a copy of which is found in the public record)
Seconded:	Councilor McLain seconded the motion

Councilor Hosticka detailed all of the WRPAC recommendations. Councilor Newman asked about voting separately. Councilor Burkholder said he felt it helped that this was our legislative intent and was giving direction to staff.

Vote to amend:

Councilors Park, Hosticka, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
--

Motion to amend:	Councilor Hosticka moved to amend Resolution No. 04-3440 with Hosticka Amendment #1, WRPAC recommendation H. (a copy of which is found in the public record)
Seconded:	Councilor McLain seconded the motion

Councilor Hosticka explained the amendment. Councilor McLain agreed with Councilor Hosticka's comments. Councilor Park said the Council was about to enter into a strategic planning process and that this might be better to be included in that process. Councilor Newman said he would be voting no because of similar reasons to Councilor Park. Council President Bragdon agreed, he would be voting no and explained his vote.

Vote to amend:

Councilors Hosticka, McLain, Monroe voted in support of the motion. Councilors Newman, Burkholder, Park and Council President Bragdon voted against the motion. The vote was 3 aye/ 4 nay, the motion failed.
---

Motion to amend:	Councilor Hosticka moved to amend Resolution No. 04-3440 with Hosticka Amendment #2. (a copy of which is found in the public record)
Seconded:	Councilor McLain seconded the motion.

Councilor Hosticka said he felt the evidence in the record was sufficient to support the International Terminal site designation. Were there other similarly situated sites that should receive the same treatment? Councilor Burkholder said he was supportive of this idea but was concerned about how it was written. He felt that the site was highly altered habitat site. It was not the economic value. He talked about what was special about this site. He was concerned about the words similar situated. Councilor Hosticka talked about the site as a marine activity. They were trying to recognize that it was in a special area and it outweighed its resource values. He was trying to recognize the site as special. Councilor Burkholder cautioned that similarly situated must be very carefully defined. Councilor Hosticka explained that they wanted staff to recognize this site and identifying similarly situated sites. Councilor Park asked did the International Terminal have a legal definition? Council President Bragdon said it was a specific site. It was the name of a place. Councilor Hosticka asked Counsel, was it specific enough to only apply to this specific area? Mr. Garrahan said yes. Councilor Newman said he would be supporting this

amendment. Council President Bragdon said he was supportive as well. His hesitation was singling out a specific site at this point in the process. He felt the allow designation was right. Councilor McLain said she would support it as well but had concerns about similarly situated. Councilor Hosticka said the treatment did not apply to similarly situated sites. They were asking staff to report back to them. Councilor Burkholder asked what happened if this area was rezoned? Mr. Garrahan responded that it could be something that could be addressed in the program. Councilor Burkholder said if the character changed they should have the options of taking a different action. Mr. Jordan said the 2040 Growth Concept was not a static universe. Mr. Garrahan further addressed Councilor Burkholder question.

Vote to amend: Councilors Park, Hosticka, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.

Motion to amend:	Council President Bragdon moved to amend Resolution No. 04-3440 with MPAC Amendment #1. (a copy of which is found in the public record)
Seconded:	Councilor McLain seconded the motion

Council President Bragdon explained the amendment and MPAC’s recommendations (a copy of which may be found in the meeting record).

Vote to amend: Councilors Park, Hosticka, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.

Motion to amend:	Councilor Bragdon moved to amend Resolution No. 04-3440 with Bragdon Amendment #1. (a copy of which is found in the public record)
Seconded:	Councilor McLain seconded the motion

Council President Bragdon spoke to his amendment concerning vesting. He urged support.

Vote to amend: Councilors Park, Hosticka, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.

Motion to amend:	Councilor Bragdon moved to amend Resolution No. 04-3440 with Bragdon Amendment #2. (a copy of which is found in the public record)
Seconded:	Councilor Monroe seconded the motion

Council President Bragdon spoke to the town center amendment. Councilor McLain explained her concerns and said she would be voting no on this. Councilor Burkholder didn’t support this amendment as well. Councilor Newman said he would be supporting this motion. He had spoken with some mayors in his district. He felt town centers should not be treated differently. Councilor Hosticka said he would not be supporting this amendment and explained why. Councilor Park said he would be supporting this. The size was important but regional centers and town centers were important dependent upon the size of the town.

Vote to amend: Councilors Park, Monroe, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion, Councilors Burkholder, McLain and Hosticka voted no. The vote was 4 aye/3 nay, the motion passed.

Motion to amend:	Councilor Bragdon moved to amend Resolution No. 04-3440 with Bragdon Amendment #4. (a copy of which is found in the public record)
Seconded:	Councilor Hosticka seconded the motion

Chris Deffebach, Planning Department, explained the amendment. Councilor Park asked about E and suggested removing the word native. Council President Bragdon accepted this as a friendly amendment in keeping with Councilor Newman previous amendment. Councilor Burkholder had a concern about the word road. Councilor Hosticka shared the same concern. He was concerned it opened the door too wide. He suggested eliminating the words having to do with roads, etc. Council President Bragdon accepted that as a friendly amendment.

Vote to amend:	Councilors Park, Hosticka, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
----------------	--

Motion to amend:	Councilor Bragdon moved to amend Resolution No. 04-3440 with Bragdon Amendment #5. (a copy of which is found in the public record)
Seconded:	Councilor McLain seconded the motion

Council President Bragdon explained his amendment and urged support.

Vote to amend:	Councilors Park, Hosticka, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
----------------	--

Motion to amend:	Councilor Hosticka moved to amend Resolution No. 04-3440 with MPAC Amendment on page 7. (a copy of which is found in the public record)
Seconded.	Councilor Newman seconded the motion

Councilor Hosticka talked about the MPAC conversation and recommended approval.

Vote to amend:	Councilors Park, Hosticka, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
----------------	--

Councilor Burkholder suggested that we spend energy in map corrections. Councilors Hosticka and McLain agreed with Councilor Burkholder's comments. Councilor McLain said inventory map correction and continuing ongoing map changes both must be dealt with.

Council President Bragdon saluted staff for all of the hard work as well as the other six councilors. Councilor Park acknowledged staff and Councilors Hosticka and McLain's efforts. He was hopeful that the all of the fears citizens had been having about this issue, the end product would bear out all of the pain. Councilor Hosticka echoed councilors comments. He also thanked the other members of the council, they had been highly engaged in the process.

Vote on the Main motion:	Councilors Park, Hosticka, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
--------------------------	--



**6. CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER COMMUNICATION**

Michael Jordan, Chief Operating Officer, echoed his appreciation of all of the staff's work.

**7. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATION**

Councilor Hosticka will be in China next week. He noted his potential amendments on Industrial lands and that Councilor Monroe will bring them forward and introduce them next week at Council.

**8. ADJOURN**

There being no further business to come before the Metro Council, Council President Bragdon adjourned the meeting at 10:55 p.m.

Prepared by

Chris Billington  
Clerk of the Council

**ATTACHMENTS TO THE PUBLIC RECORD FOR THE MEETING OF MAY 20, 2004**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Doc Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>	<b>Doc. Number</b>
3.1	Minutes	5/13/04	Metro Council Meeting Minutes of May 13, 2004	052004c-01
5.2	Letter	5/19/04	To: Metro Council From: Jill Fuglister and Ron Carley, Coalition for a Livable Future Re: concerned the Option 2B doesn't protect highest value riparian habitat on Goal 5 program	052004c-02
5.2	Letter and attachments	5/19/04	To: Metro Council From: Ann Gardner, Schnitzer Investment Group Re: support amendment for International Terminals site to an allow designation on Goal 5 program, attachments include letter from Paul Fishman Principle Ecologist for Fishman Environmental Services and site figures, photos and maps	052004c-03
5.2	Letter and detailed comments	5/19/04	To: Metro Council From: Bill Wyatt Executive Director, Port of Portland Re: support modified Option 2B allowing development for International Terminal site	052004c-04
5.2	Letter	5/19/04	To: Metro Council From: Martha Mitchell, Clear Water West Inc. Re: Metro's program identifies and develops protective mechanisms for dynamic landscape processes that sustain upland, instream and stream corridor wildlife habitats	052004c-05
5.2	Email	5/19/04	To: Metro Council From: Steve Johnson, PhD - property owner along Johnson Creek Re: urged increase protection in stream corridors	052004c-06
5.2	Letter	5/17/04	To: Metro Council From: Mayor Katz, City of Portland Re: City of Portland Goal 5 comparison to their program	052004c-07
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Dorothy Gage Re: Tigard property offering a open space for Goal 5 protect	052004c-08
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Steven Abel, Stoel Rives Attorney at Law Re: vesting of development rights general comments	052004c-09
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Steven Abel, Stoel Rives Attorney at Law Re: River View Cemetery and Goal 5	052004c-10
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Steven Abel, Stoel Rives Attorney at Law Re: ProLogis property and Goal 5	052004c-11

<b>Item</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Doc Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>	<b>Doc. Number</b>
5.2	Email	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Linda Gray Re: concerns about their forested property on River Road in Hillsboro	052004c-12
5.2	Letter	5/19/04	To: Metro Council From: Peter Teneau Re: supports Goal5Tac recommendation	052004c-13
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Fred Nussbaum & Nan Evans Re: Goal 5 program increased protection	052004c-14
5.2	Email	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Gil Kelley Re: Recognition of existing local Goal 5 program	052004c-15
5.2	Email	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Steve Mullinax Re: Protect continuous buffers of viable habitat along stream corridors	052004c-16
5.2	Email	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: John LeCavalier Re: support testimony of Audubon Society of Portland and Tualatin Riverkeepers on Goal 5	052004c-17
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Steve Stadum General Counsel for OHSU Re: hospital campus Goal 5 concerns	052004c-18
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Robert Van Brocklin, Stoel Rives Re: Goal 5 process/mapping issues related to Joseph Angel Property	052004c-19
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Peter Lavigne President of Rivers Foundation of the Americas Re: create strong protections	052004c-20
5.2	Picture	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Troy Clark Re: Cornfoot Road	052004c-21
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Dorothy Cofield, Attorney RE: ESEE draft report/mapping erro on 25020 SW Garden Acres Rd.	052004c-22
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Chris Mongrain, Emerson Hardwood Group Re: expressed concern about impact of option 2B on property	052004c-23
5.2	Testimony	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Gerritt Rosenthal Re: supports increased protection	052004c-24
5.2	Maps	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Kevin and Amy Kohnstamm Re: property at 3002 NE Luray Circus Portland	052004c-25
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Beverly Bookin, CREEC Re: provided input from a business perspective concerning Goal 5	052004c-26

<b>Item</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Doc Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>	<b>Doc. Number</b>
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Todd Sheaffer, NAIOP Board Member Re: concerns about impact of Goal 5 work on specific properties	052004c-27
5.2	Letter and maps	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Ben Peterson Prologis Re: concerns about impacts on their property in the Columbia Corridor	052004c-28
5.2	Amendments	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Individual Councilors Re: Amendments to Resolution No. 04-3440	052004c-29
5.2	Memo	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Chris Deffebach, Planning Department Re: Addendum to Staff Report for Resolution No. 04-3440 relating to the Phase II ESEE analysis report, ESEE recommendation, and program direction	052004c-30
5.2	Letter and map	5/17/04	To: Metro Council From: Jack & Maria Fazio Re: Fazio Property in NE Portland	052004c-31
5.2	Testimony	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Richard Schmitz Oregon Chapter of the Wildlife Society Re: strong Goal 5 measure for protection	052004c-32
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Gregory Aldrick Manager Dept of Environmental Quality of Oregon Re: water quality assistance and comments on Metro's Goal 5 efforts	052004c-33
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Kassandra Griffin BTA Re: supporting Option 1A of Goal 5	052004c-34
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Steven Shain VP of Zidell Companies Re: three large sites owned by company and incorrect modeling	052004c-35
5.2	Letter and attachments	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Ole Olsen Re: Bullets concerning testimony on Title 3, Title 8, Title 10 etc	052004c-36
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Tony Reser SIOR Re: setbacks more restrictive than City of Portland	052004c-37
5.2	Comment Card	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Caroline Skinner Re: supporting Goal 5 protection	052004c-38
5.2	Comment Card	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Bridget Beattie Re: Goal 5 comments	052004c-39
5.2	Comment Card	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Chuck Eichten Re: Green image of Oregon	052004c-40

<b>Item</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Doc Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>	<b>Doc. Number</b>
5.2	Comment Card	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: John Gibbon Re: encourages non-regulatory methods	052004c-41
5.2	Comment Card	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Craig Brown Re: concerned with private property rights	052004c-42
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Logan Ramsey Re: adopt least restrictive regulations	052004c-43
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Walt Mintkeski Re: protect highest value habitat areas across all conflicting use categories	052004c-44
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Donna Matrazzo, testifying on behalf of Mike Houck Re: recommended policies fall short in connectivity and ecological functions	052004c-45
5.2	Power Point	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Jim Labbe Re: effects of development on destabilizing slopes	052004c-46
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Greg Malinowski Re: wetlands inside UGB valued lower than same land outside UGB	052004c-47
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Sue Marshall, Tualatin Riverkeepers Re: Support of Goal 5 TAC/WRPAC committee recommendation, Hosticka amendment #1	052004c-48
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Cheryl Hummon, Defenders of Wildlife Re: give highest protection and give incentives for conservation	052004c-49
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Justin Healy, Real Urban Geographics Re: better define Option 2B limitations on vacant land	052004c-50
5.2	Testimony notes	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Barbara Hilty Re: support highest protection possible	052004c-51
5.2	Testimony	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: John T. Gibbon Re: conditional support of Goal 5 policies	052004c-52
5.2	Flyer	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Alice Ellis Gant Re: example of building in environmental zone	052004c-53
5.2	Testimony	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Shirley Craddick, Butte Conservancy Re: Supports highest standard of protection	052004c-54

<b>Item</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Doc Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>	<b>Doc. Number</b>
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Carol Chesarek Re: supports strongest protections for wildlife/riparian habitats and Hosticka's amendment #1	052004c-55
5.2	Letter & Map	5/16/04	To: Metro Council From: Sue Beilke, Biodiversity Project of Tigard Re: supports highest level of protection possible	052004c-56
5.2	Testimony & Map	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Michelle Bussard, Johnson Creek Watershed Council Re: supports highest standards of protection	052004c-57
5.2	Letter & Map	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Stark Ackerman, Columbia Corridor Association Re: balance environmental and economic values	052004c-58
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Kelly Ross, Home Builders Association Re: negative impact on housing land supply of environmental restrictions	052004c-59
5.2	Testimony	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Gary Rydout, Johnson Creek Watershed Council Re: supports stronger protection for upland habitat areas	052004c-60
5.2	Testimony	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Bonnie Shoffner Re: support for highest level of protection, Option 1a	052004c-61
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Kathy Shearin Re: support for protection of fish & wildlife habitat	052004c-62
5.2	Letter	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Gail Snyder & Leta Winston, Friends of Forest Park Re: support for strong protection program	052004c-63
5.2	Testimony	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Jill Tellez Re: supports limiting development to protect stream corridors and prevent flooding	052004c-64
5.2	Testimony, Letter, Map	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Jerry Ward Re: criticism of process and proposed regulations	052004c-65
5.2	Flyer, Newspaper article	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Pat Whiting Re: opposes development in floodplain areas	052004c-66
5.2	Email	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: William Hofford Re: support for Option 1a, highest level of protection	052004c-67

<b>Item</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Doc Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>	<b>Doc. Number</b>
5.2	Testimony	5/20/04	To: Metro Council From: Scott Schroeder, Friends of Tualatin River National Wildlife Refuge Re: support for adopting highest level of protection	052004c-68
5.2	Letter	5/12/04	To: Metro Council From: Kemper McMaster, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Re: supports TAC/WRPAC recommendation	052004c-69
5.2	Report	5/20/04	Public Comment Report, Metro, May 2004	052004c-70