

MINUTES OF THE METRO COUNCIL MEETING

Thursday, May 27, 2004
Metro Council Chamber

Councilors Present: David Bragdon (Council President), Susan McLain, Rod Monroe, Rex Burkholder, Rod Park, Brian Newman

Councilors Absent: Carl Hosticka (excused)

Council President Bragdon convened the Regular Council Meeting at 2:01 p.m.

1. INTRODUCTIONS

There were none.

2. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS

There were none.

3. ISSUES TO CONSIDER IN IMPLEMENTING A PAY-FOR-PERFORMANCE PROGRAM

Alexis Dow, Metro Auditor, provided a power point presentation on matters to consider on implementing a pay-for-performance program (a copy of which is found in the meeting record). She noted background, success factors, and inhibiting factors.

Councilor Burkholder asked about the value of pay for performance (PFP) if they couldn't offer financial compensation. Ms. Dow said she felt it was good. It was important to identify goals and provide feedback to the employee. You can do these things without having a PFP program. She spoke to motivators beyond money. A good human resource system will have good communication, guidance and regular feedback.

4. CONSENT AGENDA

4.1 Consideration of minutes of the May 20, 2004 Regular Council Meetings.

4.2 **Resolution No. 04-3451**, For the purpose of confirming the appointment of Sarah Barrett to the North Portland Rehabilitation and Enhancement Committee (NPREC).

4.3 **Resolution No. 04-3452**, For the purpose of reappointing Jean Estey-Hoops and Susan Landauer to Metro North Portland Rehabilitation and Enhancement Committee (NPREC).

Motion:

Councilor Newman moved to adopt the meeting minutes of the May 20, 2004, Regular Metro Council and Resolution Nos. 04-3451, 04-3452.

Vote:

Councilors Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Park, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.

5. ORDINANCES – FIRST READING

5.1 **Ordinance No. 04-1053**, For the purpose of Amending the FY 2003-04 Budget and Appropriations Schedule by Transferring \$250,256 from Contingency to Operating Expenses in the Zoo Operating Fund to allow the Zoo to recognize the cost associated with the Simulator and Butterfly exhibits; and declaring an emergency.

Council President Bragdon assigned Ordinance No. 04-1058 to Council.

6. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING

6.1 **Ordinance No. 04-1040**, For the Purpose of Amending the Metro Urban Growth Boundary, The Regional Framework Plan and the Metro Code to Increase the Capacity of the Boundary to Accommodate Growth in Industrial Employment.

6.2 **Ordinance No. 04-1041**, For the Purpose of Amending Metro's Regional Framework Plan to Better Protect the Region's Farm and Forest Land Industries and Land Base; and Declaring an Emergency.

Council President Bragdon explained the process for the public hearing and amendment process. Michael Jordan, Chief Operating Officer, provided a history of the process the staff had gone through to get to his recommendation. He noted the staff report, which he used as an outline for discussing his recommendation, this included background factors including the Urban Growth Report concerning land supply, statewide planning goals, application of Metro Policies, discussion of suitability factors for industry, fulfilling the need for industrial lands, study areas meeting at least one location factor, study areas excluded due to additional analysis, discussion of suitable alternatives analysis areas, additional areas considered for Urban Growth Boundary expansion, meeting Goal 1 requirements, completing periodic review, legal antecedents, anticipated effects, budget impacts and recommended action. Council President Bragdon also noted Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC) recommendation (a copy of which is included in the meeting record).

Council President Bragdon opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 04-1040.

Lou Ogden, City of Tualatin Mayor, 18880 SW Martinazzi Tualatin OR 97062 provided written testimony which he and his staff summarized. They were opposed to North Borland Road area and Norwood/Stafford (Tualatin South) area as industrial area and east of I-5 if this area were to be recommended. They were willing to support the quarry area with conditions. It was their job to work with the Council to come to a workable solution. He said they have 36% industrial in their incorporated area. He spoke to local control. He urged Council to support their community vision. He spoke to the MPAC recommendation, which supported removal of Tualatin, and also represented a policy shift for classification of soils. Councilor Newman spoke to need numbers and the state law constraints. He extended the opportunity for the Mayor to work with Council.

Ed Truax, Council President, 18880 SW Martinazzi Tualatin OR 97062 spoke to traffic issues, water issues, Goal 14 soils factors, quality of life and livability issues as well as coordination efforts with Metro. He shared Tualatin's vision and asked Council to oppose the inclusion of Tualatin into the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB).

Doug Rux, Community Development Director, City of Tualatin, 18800 SW Martinazzi Tualatin OR 97062 noted that they had submitted both technical and legal information for the record (a

copy of which is found in the record). He talked about traffic, funding, and ability to serve issues. He commented on infrastructure issues as being inadequate. He noted the yield acreage. He addressed fair share issues. They supported the quarry site and he detailed conditions for their support. Councilor Newman said there had been much debate on the need number. He asked Mr. Rux if the Regional Economic Partnership had taken a position. Mr. Rux said they had not taken a position. He spoke to adjusting the need number. He felt that the 1200 acres need would be workable.

Jeff Condit, Miller Nash on behalf of the City of Tualatin 18880 SW Martinazzi Tualatin OR 97062 said he had submitted detailed written comments for the record. He highlighted those for the record. He questioned land that was excluded from the initial alternatives analysis. He felt that the Chief Operating Officer (COO) had done it backwards and explained further why he felt it was backward. He talked about Goal 2 and the Court of Appeals comment. He spoke to the need for partnership. They were willing to work with Metro but couldn't accept all three of the sites. Council President Bragdon talked about local control and the statewide requirements. The implementation lies with local governments and this was why the Council needed to coordinate with the city. Council President Bragdon noted City of Tualatin's partnership.

Councilor Park asked about the Borland Road recommendation and if this was a "not now" or "not ever". If conditions or criteria were different would they be supportive of this area? Mayor Ogden said yes, issues of transportation were the current preventor for development in this area. They wanted to participate in a long-term planning process for that area. They were accepting industrial area for the entire region. Councilor Park said one of the themes in the 2002 UGB decision was focusing on centers. He felt Mayor Ogden had indicated that they would be willing to participate in a longer term planning effort to grow the region.

Councilor McLain asked Mr. Rux about the MPAC package and how the Regional Economic Partners positioned themselves. Mr. Rux said he could not respond to this.

J Clayton Hering, 121 SW Morrison Suite 200 Portland OR 97204 commended the Council for the progress they had made. He spoke to quality rather than quantity. He addressed the development side. He talked about the competitive environment. He felt Council failed if they didn't take into consideration the quality side of the coin because of the lack of funds. They didn't have enough money to take a site that was underserved. The biggest problem they saw with some of the sites was the ability to service them with roads, utilities, etc. Council had to be careful that they took into consideration adjacency to the main thorough fairs and ability to serve. Council President Bragdon said he illustrated part of their dilemma. He said MPAC's recommendation had been to take sites that were not adjacent to thorough fairs and recommend sites that might not be close to highways. Mr. Hering said Council had to look to the future. The market place was very competitive, the closer to major highways the better.

Sparkle Fuller Anderson, 27480 SW Stafford Wilsonville OR 97070 talked about Councilor Newman's amendment. Council President Bragdon explained that these were proposed amendments. Councilor Newman had a proposed amendment to drop the Wilsonville area. Ms. Anderson said the area was not currently in Wilsonville but in Clackamas County. If Wilsonville doesn't want Wilsonville East, they didn't have to take it. She noted Evergreen was farmland. They need to take the land that was ruined first and leave the land that was farmable. She spoke to truck access in the Wilsonville East area. She said Evergreen was not on I-5 or I-205. She felt Wilsonville East met the criteria. Councilor Newman reiterated that his amendment was a proposed amendment. Everything was still in play. He encouraged that she speak to Clackamas County because they were currently opposed to Wilsonville East.

Maureen Larsen, Wilsonville East landowner 259 35 SW Stafford Wilsonville, OR 97070 said this area was not farmland. They owned a retail nursery. Because of the lack of water in Wilsonville they grew their stock in Hillsboro. Hillsboro had wonderful office campuses and quality jobs. The Wilsonville East land was not productive. She urged that this land be banked to create future jobs. Clackamas County was on record saying they needed high tech jobs. She didn't think trucks were an issue; this area was more likely to be high tech. This area could be used for high tech jobs.

Dave Volz, 22530 SW Erin Piper Tualatin OR 97062 provided written testimony and summarized it for the record. He spoke to the grass roots effort to not include the Tualatin area as industrial. He said there were many who did not agree. Councilor Newman commented on his remarks.

Bill Kenny, Coalition to Save the Valley 37535 Arbor Lake Drive Wilsonville OR said he asked Council to restore some language of 1.1-2, Rural Resource Lands. They had deleted a phrase at the end of the paragraph and shall not choose agriculture land south of the Willamette River or Pudding River. He had talked with a lot of planners. For thirty years this had been implicitly understood. The land was never considered to be part of the inventory. They thought that this issue had been significantly vetted in the public record. He urged Council to follow the City's recommendation.

Diane Yates 12995 NW Bishop Hillsboro OR 97124 provided written testimony and summarized her testimony for the record. She did not support including Helvetia as an industrial area.

Michelle Hascall, Helvetia Community Resident, 10219 Helvetia Rd Hillsboro OR 97124 provided written testimony from Dr Shirley Malcolm. She felt Helvetia served a purpose other than industrial use.

Gary Gentemann 11935 SW N Dakota St Tigard OR 97224 said he had spoken of 11 property owners who were supportive the Evergreen parcel and extending that area to the Sunset Highway. He felt it was a reasonable alternative to Tualatin and Helvetia. City of Hillsboro had expressed not going across Hwy 26.

Michael Robinson, Perkins Coie 1120 NW Couch 10th Floor Portland OR 97209 provided a written copy of his testimony. He urged MPAC recommendation on Title 4. He spoke to the principle differences between the Chief Operating Officer's recommendation and MPAC recommendation. He spoke to medical office issues. He spoke to planning processes that worked.

Delna Jones, Providence Health Care 14480 SW Chardonnay Tigard OR 97224 said she had presented a report concerning health care to the staff today. She summarized Dr. William Conerly's report. Councilor Newman said the reason Providence wanted this provision in Title 4 was so they could buy land below market rates. He asked she respond to this. Ms. Jones said the issue for Providence was to find locations that were accessible to patients and find jobs accessibility. They did need to look at costs as well as availability of land.

Council President Bragdon asked Mr. Robinson about access to other industry and which of those attributes were helpful to his industry. Mr. Robinson said transportation accessibility was a factor. They wanted to be near the folks that use medical facilities, near employers, transit, and accessibility. Councilor McLain said some were saying, what about hospitals that were closing? Mr. Robinson said when hospitals closed, there were still patients that needed to be served. Hospitals that closed had to do with management not with the lack of patients. Councilor McLain

asked about the need to have facilities. Ms. Jones responded they were talking about clinics not necessarily hospitals. Clinics needed to be easily accessible to clients. Mr. Robinson said they were trying to maintain status quo.

Paul Lee City of Wilsonville 30000 SW Town Center Loop East Wilsonville OR 97070 provided a written copy of his testimony. The City supported a hard edge.

Kathleen Newcomb 17515 Cheyenne Way Tualatin OR 97062 provided statistics for the record. She spoke to the Borland Road area and South of Tualatin area. She endorsed what others had said about the problems with including those two areas in the UGB. She was neutral about the quarry area. She spoke to the livability: buffers, lack of traffic, percent of land within the city and cost. She gave an example of capital costs for fixing up Borland area streets. Who was supposed to pay for this? This should be added to the criteria. She also mentioned water issues.

Jonathan Schlueter, Westside Economic Alliance 10200 SW Nimbus Portland OR 97223 provided written testimony and summarized that testimony. Council President Bragdon asked if they thought the need number was accurate. Mr. Schlueter said they needed to provide enough acreage to meet the need. Councilor McLain asked him to address the issue of existing clusters, nine of the eleven were on the Westside. Mr. Schlueter said Cornelius lended itself to the nursery industry, food processing sector, timber products businesses and some of the shipping businesses.

Greg Specht 15400 SW Millikan Way Beaverton OR 97006 said he was a commercial real estate developer. You could not tell a user or a tenant what to accept. He urged keep users in mind, when expanding the boundary. Users need large, flat, readily serviceable to transportation areas. He was Chair of the Governor's Industrial Lands Advisory Committee, we identified the 25 shovel ready sites, five were in the Portland area. Significantly, none of them were on the I-5 spine. We came to the conclusion that we needed to consider land south of the Willamette River. As you look at the sites, consider the needs of the tenant and the requirements of the users and then do a cost benefit analysis. Please consider the sites south of the Willamette River. Councilor Burkholder said there was an intense competition for land with good freeway access. Did his committee discuss providing support for governments like Metro to protect land for industrial and not be converted to commercial? How do you keep it from turning into the next super-mall? Mr. Specht said they did not speak about this issue specifically but he talked about the old Burns Bros. site. They coordinated that redevelopment. He spoke to extenuating circumstances. As an industrial developer they had long lamented the conversion of industrial to other uses, the primary culprit was housing demand. There had been an awful lot of conversion in the past. There were provisions that could be placed on property that would prevent it for conversion. Some of the past conversions had extenuating circumstance. If they went south, he felt they could put restrictions on future sites. He was focused on the 100-acre plus sites that would be attractive to the national site selectors. He felt protection could be done in perpetuity with land that was brought in.

Jay Cosnett 1246 SW Borland Rd West Linn OR 97068 provided written testimony opposing the North Borland road expansion.

Jeff Bauman, Public Works Director, City of Wilsonville 30000 SW Town Center Coop Wilsonville OR 97070 said he provided comments at the May 6th meeting on ground water issues in Wilsonville East. He said there was a MTAC conversation about ground water, which he felt that there was some confusion. He referenced a 90-foot water table drop. He provided written testimony clarifying this issue. Councilor Burkholder asked about how much the water table dropped before it began to rise again. Mr. Bauman said in Wilsonville the water table dropped about four feet per year. Once they had the additional water source it had reversed 10 years of

decline. Councilor McLain asked about change in capacity if these tables were coming back up. Mr. Bauman talked about winter and summer usage in the past and the current average. Councilor McLain said they were still using 1 million gallons from the new sources. Mr. Bauman said the old source had been turned off. They were not using the wells. All water usage was from the new source. Councilor Park asked about the Department of Water Resources intent to grant long-term water rights on an ongoing basis, what was the guarantee to farmers from the City of Wilsonville? Mr. Bauman said the properties that currently have water rights could fully use those rights. If you added existing water rights together, they add up to about 1 million gallons a day. Future water rights were the policy question he was asking.

Councilor Park asked Mr. Spect, when did you allow non-industrial uses in industrial sites? Mr. Specht asked if he was referring to medical uses. Mr. Specht said he did not have a problem with medical use because it was not a big user of land. If you were referring to retail or housing, that was not helpful, it did not preserve the land for its intended use.

Hal Keever W & H Pacific 9755 SW Barnes Portland OR 97225 said they were asked to do a detailed cost analysis on specific sites. They looked at the cost associated with eight sites. He spoke to the matrix in the report and noted a package he had submitted for the record. Councilor Burkholder asked about the disparity in the numbers in Mr. Keever's report and numbers submitted previously that were very different from his. As an example he mentioned Mr. Rux's testimony that the cost in Borland Rd. area was 40 million. Mr. Keever spoke to the disparity being dependent upon the assumptions that were made.

David Dodds, Mayor of West Linn 18431 Old River Dr West Linn OR 97068 said they should be in receipt of a letter from the City of West Linn a week ago. City Council and Mayor were opposed to the North Borland Road area. He supported Councilor Newman's proposed amendment and MPAC's recommendation. He spoke to infrastructure difficulties, low capture rates, cut up parcels, conflicting uses and transportation issues. West Linn was concerned with all of these issues but their overriding concern was about livability and quality of life in West Linn. He spoke to rural separation in communities. He urged Metro to work with the communities and reduce the amount of acreage in the needs figures. All three of the communities in the areas were opposed to the Borland area as industrial. He urged that Council follow MPAC recommendation to remove this site from consideration. He spoke to existing vacancy issues and that needs figures did not incorporate this land.

Robert Ruedy, 14185 SW 100th Ave Tigard OR 97224 provided his written testimony for the record but did not testify.

Charlotte Lehan, Mayor of Wilsonville, 29786 SW Lehan Wilsonville OR 97070 provided written testimony for the record. She supported the MPAC's recommendation. She added that she did not think that Donald or Aurora wanted to be annexed. She then spoke to the Burns Bros. truck site, which had always been commercial. It was never industrial. She then addressed the I-5 corridor. She was concerned that we were using this as criteria. The criteria had been "next to a freeway". She then spoke to EFU lands. She was concerned that in the recommendation there was as much EFU as there was. She felt that bringing in EFU should be done rarely and should be done with local support and Metro support. She felt that this was what the MPAC compromise was trying to get to.

Kristina Traffas, Save Frog Pond Community Coalition 28579 SW Wagner St Wilsonville OR 97070 provided written testimony and summarized her testimony for the recorded.

Blaire Anderson 16172 SE Keller Rd Clackamas OR 97015 did not testify.

Doris Wehler 6855 SW Boeckman Wilsonville OR 97070 said with the MPAC recommendation they had a unique opportunity. MPAC compromise supported the jurisdictions. She spoke to the public relations issues. The public was trusting Council to take the comments to heart. She urged removal of North Borland, Tualatin and Frog Pond sites. Councilor McLain said they were listening but they were trying to be fair and equitable to all citizens of the region. They were being asked to make some decision and until the laws were changed, they must comply with those laws.

Greg MackLean, 12995 NW Bishop Rd Hillsboro OR 97124 said he was an owner of a livestock breeding farm in the Helvetia area provided written testimony and summarized that testimony for the record. Councilor Park said he felt that he had well stated some of the problems that this Council was facing. It was a matter of time. It was time for citizens to go to Salem to have a conversation to change the laws. Any pressure they could put on the State representatives to change the situation would be helpful. Mr. MackLean said he understood their dilemma; we can't be all things to everyone. The end result was that Oregon was not the Oregon he grew up with.

Council President Bragdon closed the public hearing. He then asked Councilors to introduce their amendments. It was noted that Councilor Hosticka, although not present, had proposed amendments to bring forward on his return.

Councilor Monroe said Councilor Hosticka would be in support of the MPAC recommendation to delete MPAC's recommended areas. He asked for further details on MPAC's recommendation giving latitude to local governments on what was allowed on industrial lands.

Councilor McLain asked about formal motions. President Bragdon noted formal motions would take place next week; today was simply for introduction and discussion. Councilor McLain went on record to ask staff to take a look at the need number. She suggested that she might have amendments to Cornelius, Evergreen and Tualatin.

Councilor Newman talked about the multitude of public testimony. He noted his proposed amendments. He said the first amendment was the need number. The second amendment had to do with the revised expansion area. He detailed his amendment which reduced the need number and added some area to the Evergreen area but would take out Borland and Wilsonville East. He said they would be working hard to have resolve.

Councilor Burkholder asked about Noyer Creek area. Councilor Newman said it was not on the COO's recommendation but in MPAC's recommendation. He commented that 39% was industrial in his district. Industrial land and jobs were a benefit to the region. He noted the motion about the Urban Growth Report and the assumed redevelopment and infill rate for industrial and commercial land. He felt the need number could go up to 37% for redevelopment and infill for industrial land and commercial land which was currently 50% could get higher efficiency and reuse rates also. He said he wanted to ask the staff to calculate a new need number. It was not an amendment to the COO's recommendation.

Councilor Monroe said he was cautiously nervous about changing the numbers to reduce the need. He wanted to make sure these numbers were justifiable and logical. Councilor Burkholder said he had a discussion with Mr. Yee about the methodology and with legal staff about the strength of support to make that change. They were statistically valid and legally supportable.

Councilor Park said almost everything in the Chief Operating Officer’s recommendation was in play, other than the quarry. There was some land in Oregon City and Wilsonville that might need to be rezoned. Council President Bragdon said those were covered under Councilor Newman’s amendment. Councilor Park said he would recommend an amendment to leave in the Springwater area. The concept planning that Gresham had done had indicated it was industrial.

Council President Bragdon said Mr. Cooper was looking at some of the original Evergreen conditions. There may be some changes coming forward in terms of electricity or natural gas issues.

President Bragdon noted that Ordinances No. 04-1040 and 04-1041 would be brought forward to the June 3, 2004 at 2:00 p.m.

7. RESOLUTIONS

4.4 **Resolution No. 04-3458**, For the Purpose of Granting an Easement to the City of Cornelius For Non-Park Use Through Metro Property Located at North 29th/Hobbs Road.

Motion:	Councilor McLain moved to adopt Resolution No. 04-3458.
Seconded:	Councilor Newman seconded the motion

Councilor McLain detailed the resolution. She urged support for the easement. Councilor Burkholder said he felt it was a small amount of money. He suggested documenting what we were getting in value in exchange for this. He spoke to the design of the road and storm water issues. They shouldn’t allow degradation of the property. He urged using our green streets design. Councilor McLain said she would support this direction. We did buy the property and we should make sure we were following some of our own models, like green streets.

Motion to amend:	Councilor Burkholder moved to amend Resolution No. 04-3458 by adding a condition of granting this easement, a requirement of this project was to not discharge any storm water directly into Council Creek without treatment and recommend use of green street guidance.
Seconded:	Councilor McLain seconded the motion

Councilor Newman asked about the use of swales or other approaches. Councilor Burkholder clarified the main point was not to allow storm water discharge without treatment. Councilor Park asked about treatment in the green street guidelines for peak run off, would there be a metered amount or storage before it went into the system? Councilor Burkholder said he felt this was a guideline and should be added as a friendly amendment. Councilor McLain said it was covered in the original motion.

Councilor McLain felt it was very important to go on record that when we give easements there was value in those easements and we wanted to make sure we were protecting our properties and the uses of our properties. It was important to partner with our neighboring cities and counties. This was a partnering we were doing in good faith.

Vote to amend:	Councilors Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Park, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.
----------------	--

Vote on the Main Motion:

Councilors Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Park, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.

7.1 **Resolution No. 04-3455**, For the Purpose of Acknowledging the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan Contingency Plan and Directing Staff to Conduct Additional Outreach and Analysis on Select Contingency Strategies

Motion:	Councilor Park moved to adopt Resolution No. 04-3455.
Seconded:	Councilor Newman seconded the motion

Councilor Park summarized the resolution. Amendments to the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan (RSWMP) in April 2003 established a contingency planning process to evaluate and recommend strategies to reach the 2005 recovery goal of 62 percent if sufficient progress was not being made. As of the end of 2002, the region's recovery rate was 54 percent. Based on past recovery trends, it is highly unlikely the region will meet the 2005 recovery goal without increased efforts. Metro Council directed staff to convene a contingency planning work group to identify recycling policies to increase recovery. The work group set out recommendations - collectively called the Contingency Plan. MPAC and local government feedback supported the further development of Contingency Strategies #1 (C&D load recovery) and #2 (business recycling standards). In addition, Metro Council Solid Waste and Recycling Liaisons recommended further developing Contingency Strategy #3 (dry waste load recovery) in conjunction with Construction and Demolition (C&D) load recovery, for the following reasons: First, it may facilitate the timely phase-out or reduction in the Regional System Fee Credit Program. Second, processing requirements for all dry waste loads would place the same recovery standard on all facilities that accept Metro region waste. Third, it eliminated the potentially confusing process for developing definitions for construction and demolition loads; and result in more consistent methods for monitoring and enforcement. He said passage of this resolution would establish a work group to develop the program details of Strategies #1 & #3. The results of the work group would be presented to Council for consideration in summer/fall 2004. Approval of the resolution would direct staff to work with local governments and stakeholders to develop and evaluate strategies for increased business recycling. With Council approval, any new standards may be incorporated into the updated Regional Solid Waste Management Plan. He urged support.

Councilor Burkholder asked Councilor Park to discuss the discussion on organics. Mike Hoglund, Director of Solid Waste & Recycling, said the contingency plan came up with four recommendations, but they had three before them today. They said stay the course with the current organics program but bring it back in a year and then re-evaluate it to see if there was an opportunity to expand on the program.

Vote:

Councilors Park, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.

8. CONTRACT REVIEW BOARD

8.1 **Resolution No. 04-3447**, For the Purpose of Authorizing the Chief Operating Officer to Enter Into an Office Lease Between Metro and the City of Portland, Bureau of General Services, For the Community Policing Center Located at the Metro Regional Center

Motion:	Councilor Burkholder moved to adopt Resolution No. 04-3447 with a change to 2005 (error in the resolution).
Seconded:	Councilor Monroe seconded the motion

Councilor Burkholder explained the resolution. He recommended approval.

Vote:

Councilors Park, Newman, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.
--

8.2 **Resolution No. 04-3461**, For the Purpose of Entering into Agreements with U.S. Dept of Agriculture and Ducks Unlimited for the Restoration of Open Space Property and Authorizing the Chief Operating Officer to Release a Request for Bids and Execute the Contract.

Motion:	Councilor McLain moved to adopt Resolution No. 04-3461.
Seconded:	Councilor Newman seconded the motion

Councilor McLain talked about the resolution and the leverage of support by working with these partners. She urged support.

Vote:

Councilors Park, Newman, Burkholder, McLain, Monroe and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.
--

9. CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER COMMUNICATION

Michael Jordan, COO, said Andy Cotugno, Planning Director, offered his help on Councilor amendments. Mr. Cotugno suggested releasing the amendments to the public on Tuesday afternoon. Council President Bragdon asked if they could take these up at the retreat. He suggested having a packet by Wednesday morning. Councilor McLain explained that some of her amendments weren't going to happen without other amendments. She said they needed to know the other amendments. Mr. Jordan said their attempt was to get as many as they knew about ahead of time. He thanked Council for their work at yesterday's retreat.

10. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATION

Councilor Park asked about guidance for the amendments. Council President Bragdon said they would take motions related to the need number first. Councilor Newman asked if his amendment would be better handled as one or three. Dan Cooper, Metro Attorney, said setting the need number and picking the land to meet the need was a good process to follow. He talked about what happened if the need number was out of balance. Council could go back to revisit the need number. Councilor McLain said it was important to have this decision set us up for future decisions. She spoke to centers, what were we doing to make these centers right? She suggested talking to these issues when proposing amendments.

11. ADJOURN

There being no further business to come before the Metro Council, Council President Bragdon adjourned the meeting at 5:15 p.m.

Metro Council Meeting
05/27/04
Page 11
Prepared by

Chris Billington
Clerk of the Council

ATTACHMENTS TO THE PUBLIC RECORD FOR THE MEETING OF MAY 27, 2004

Item	Topic	Doc Date	Document Description	Doc. Number
3	Power Point Presentation	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Alexis Dow, Metro Auditor Re: PFP Program Considerations	052704c-01
4.1	Minutes	5/20/04	Metro Council Regular Meeting Minutes of May 20, 2004	052704c-02
6.1	Amendment	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Brian Newman Re: Amendment to Ordinance No. 04-1040	052704c-03
6.1	Testimony	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Chris Barhyte, Tualatin City Council Re: Opposition to expansion in Tualatin and Borland Rd. area	052704c-04
6.1	Resolution	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: City of Wilsonville Re: In the Matter of Proposed Adoption of Metro Ordinance 04-1040 Amending the Urban Growth Boundary to Accommodate Industrial Employment	052704c-05
6.1	Testimony	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Sparkle Anderson Re: Opposition to the substitution of the Evergreen area for Wilsonville East	052704c-06
6.1	Memorandum and Testimony	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Jeff Bauman, Public Works Director, City of Wilsonville Re: Groundwater in Wilsonville East	052704c-07
6.1	Letter	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Jeff Condit, Miller Nash LLP on behalf of the City of Tualatin Re: City's Legal Analysis of the Proposed Amendments	052704c-08
6.1	Testimony	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Jay Cosnett Re: 11 Reasons to Remove the Borland Road North from the Urban Growth Boundary	052704c-09
6.1	Testimony	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Maureen Larsen Re: Support of inclusion of Wilsonville East into the Urban Growth Boundary	052704c-10
6.1	Testimony	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Dr. Shirley S. Malcolm Re: Opposition to inclusion of the Helvetia area into the Urban Growth Boundary	052704c-11
6.1	Memorandum	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Lydia Neill, Principal Regional Planner, Metro Re: MPAC Recommendations to the Urban Growth Boundary	052704c-12
6.1	Table	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Kathy	052704c-13

			Newcomb, City of Tualatin Re: Fiscal Impact Analysis on Urban Reserve Areas 2, 47, 48 and 49	
6.1	Letter	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Michael Robinson, Perkins Coie Re: Title 4 Regulations	052704c-14
6.1	Testimony	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Robert Ruedy Re: A comprehensive land use review process	052704c-15
6.1	Testimony	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Robert Ruedy Re: Questions and comments from May 6 Public Hearing	052704c-16
6.1	Testimony	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Ed Truax, Tualatin City Councilor Re: Opposition to inclusion of Tualatin into the Urban Growth Boundary	052704c-17
6.1	Testimony	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Diana Yates Rd: Opposition to inclusion of Helvetia into the Urban Growth Boundary	052704c-18
6.1	Summary Report	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: William B. Conerly Re: Health Care and the Economy	052704c-19
6.1	Testimony	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Charlotte Lehan, Mayor of Wilsonville Re: Support of Metro Policy Advisory Committee Recommendations	052704c-20
6.1	Testimony	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Greg Mecklem Re: Opposition to inclusion of Helvetia into the Urban Growth Boundary	052704c-21
6.1	Report and Resolutions	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Lou Ogden, Mayor of Tualatin Re: Ordinance No. 04-1040	052704c-22
6.1	Testimony	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Jonathan Schlueter, Executive Director, Westside Economic Alliance Re: Business perspective on the recommended sites for the expansion of the Urban Growth Boundary for industrial purposes	052704c-23
6.1	Testimony	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Dave Volz Re: Opposition to expansion in Tualatin	052704c-24
6.1	Testimony	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Kristina and Gary Traffas Re: Opposition to expansion into Wilsonville East	052704c-25
6.1	Report	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Hal Keever, W&H Pacific Re: Infrastructure Cost Analysis for Industrial Lands	052704c-26
6.1	Table	5/27/04	To: Metro Council From: Hal Keever, W&H Pacific Re: Industrial Lands Cost Summary	052704c-27

Metro Council Meeting

05/27/04

Page 14