Materials following this page were distributed at the meeting.

OREGON TRANSPORTATION FORUM DRAFT TRANSPORTATION FUNDING AND POLICY PROPOSAL ("STRAW MAN") for Discussion at the September 10, 2014 Stakeholder Meeting

Oregon's roads are crumbling. Freight movement faces serious bottlenecks. Many bridges need reinforcement to withstand earthquakes. Our public transit agencies are unable to keep up with demand for service. Some rural communities do not have easy access to essential services. Many Oregonians are unable to safely walk or bicycle in their neighborhoods. Past transportation decisions have failed to adequately consider impacts on public health and the environment. Federal funding is more and more tenuous, and instead of financing new projects, a large portion of current funds must pay off earlier investments.

While transportation is not an end in itself, a safe and reliable transportation system provides a critical foundation for our prosperity and quality of life. It is our responsibility to invest in a better transportation system, immediately and over the long run, to ensure the health and economic wellbeing of our state's residents and communities.

It is for these reasons that the following proposal is being considered.

GOALS OF THE PROPOSAL

- Put Oregonians to work creating cutting-edge multimodal transportation networks to connect people to jobs, attract new talent, and compete on a global scale.
- Address costly and time-consuming freight bottlenecks and improve connections to ports and freight yards to better serve agriculture, forestry, manufacturing and other key Oregon industries.
- To keep goods and people moving safely and reliably, maintain the transportation system in a state of good repair and increase its resiliency to natural disasters.
- Improve public health and air quality by making our neighborhoods walkable and bikeable and improving access to transit.
- Serve all Oregonians in every part of the state without regard to age, race, disability, or income.
- Reduce transportation-related pollution, preserve our natural environment, and make our transportation system more resilient to the impacts of climate change.

THE STRAW MAN APPROACH

Each proposal below has a brief explanation of the proposal, editorial comments and a location for each organization to indicate whether they believe the proposal should be included, modified, or excluded from a 2015 proposal. If it is decided that the proposal should be included, it will be prioritized based upon a ranking from 1 (low priority) to 4 (high priority). OTF Members and participants are strongly encouraged to provide alternatives or refinements to the proposals contained below if they do not meet the needs of your organization. The Straw Man proposals below come from the work groups established at the OTF February meeting and from input over the last several months and do NOT REPRESENT ANY FORMAL

DECISION OF THE OTF. They represent a starting point to determine what proposals, if any, should be forwarded to the Legislature and whether they will be submitted to the OTF membership for formal support.

PRINCIPLES

- FUND ALL MODES: There is an urgent need to provide adequate funding for all transportation modes that move passengers and freight in order to support economic prosperity, community livability, and environmental quality.
- FIX IT FIRST: The State of Oregon's first priority should be to maintain, rehabilitate and operate existing transportation facilities before building new ones.
- PROVIDE RELIABLE FUNDING: Stable and predictable revenues are critical to support ongoing road operations and maintenance as well as transit service enhancements.
- SHARE COSTS FAIRLY: The State of Oregon should raise revenue from system users, as appropriate, based on the benefits they derive or the costs they impose on the system.
- PRESERVE LOCAL OPTIONS: Addressing our transportation needs will require new funding at all levels of government. Accordingly, the Legislature should remove existing restrictions on local and regional revenue-raising authority and avoid enacting new limitations or pre-emptions.

PROPOSALS

FIX-IT: Increase funds to safely operate and maintain the existing transportation system with improved reliability and efficiency

 Prevent loss of revenue and purchasing power of highway funds by indexing taxes and fees to inflation.

Comments: This form of indexing alleviates the need for legislative action in order to counter the impact of inflation on the purchasing power of highway revenues. It would be applied to both heavy and light vehicles (trucks and cars) and therefore would meet the cost responsibility requirement of the constitution.

		•	n falling behind, ner than on build		s of this increase ilities.	ed revenue wo	uld be on
☐ SUPF	PORT	modify [☐ EXCLUDE		PRIORITY	(Rate 1 <i>low</i>	′ – 4 <i>high</i>)
			_		ower of highy the automobi		y indexing
taxes due not resolv only prev requirem	to increased we the proble went reduction went of the co	d fuel efficion of non-perm of revenuments. In stitution.	ency of the fleet paying highway i he owed by auto	until a road users, nor do mobiles as a g for inflatio	e to prevent furt user charge can l es it impact truc class under the c n, the point of inc l operations.	be fully implen ok taxes as the i cost responsibi	nented. It does ndexing will lity
☐ SUPF	PORT	modify [☐ EXCLUDE		PRIORITY	(Rate 1 <i>low</i>	– 4 high)
m hi co	nillion/yea ighways a ould be ac	ar increa and roady complisi	se for main ways. (50/30 ned by adopt	tenance at /20 split) ion of the	structure by and preservation. For illustrated following: I in the DMV Co	ion of state/ tive purpos	county/city es only, this
	42 million	cioi incu ic	e structure rec	ommenace	Thi the Diffy 60	st of betvices i	Judy (2013)
		_	ation fee from 0 to 35 cents -				
	otal: \$305.		o to 33 cents	Ψ133.3 IIIII	1011		
-	Revenue to esponsibilit		nicle registratio	on fee and g	as tax include l	heavy/light ve	ehicle cost
2009. It p maintena would use	preserves the nce funds to	e usual 50/ all state, co	30/20 split betwounty and city o	veen state/c perators of t	ted by the Jobs a ounty/city, prov he road and high ns that keeps its	iding much nee nway system. E	eded ach jurisdiction
☐ SUPF	PORT	modify [☐ EXCLUDE		PRIORITY	(Rate 1 <i>low</i>	– 4 high)

• Provide \$22.6 million per biennium for Cascades AMTRAK service.

Comments: This proposal requests state general funds to coused to operate and maintain Oregon's portion of the Cascacto \$6.8 million from custom license plate revenue and \$3.16 other non-road equipment.	des AMTRAK servic	e. This amount is in addition
☐ SUPPORT ☐ MODIFY ☐ EXCLUDE	PRIORITY	_ (Rate 1 <i>low</i> – 4 <i>high</i>)
 Provide \$75 million per biennium of state elderly and disabled transit. 	e funds to cover	r the service cost of
Comments: This proposal is intended to provide stability to services and provide a nexus for transit related state planning has significant responsibility for providing services to vulnesservices comes from the General Fund.	ng efforts. The ratio	onale is that the state already
☐ SUPPORT ☐ MODIFY ☐ EXCLUDE	PRIORITY	_ (Rate 1 <i>low</i> – 4 <i>high</i>)

ENHANCE: Increase funds to support economic development and livability by enhancing the existing transportation system

Adopt a 5-cent gas tax increase with an equivalent increase in truck taxes for a state and local "Enhance" program to improve and expand the transportation system. Fifty percent would be directed to the ODOT "Enhance" program for projects of state significance and fifty percent would be distributed according to the existing formula for federal Surface Transportation Program (STP) funds that are distributed to metropolitan regions and to cities and counties outside metropolitan regions for projects of regional and local significance (alternatively, the city and county portions could be distributed through the ACTs). Funds would be focused on improvements to highways, streets and roads and to other modes to the extent permitted under constitutional restrictions on the use of highway funds. Metropolitan areas and localities may choose to allocate funds to projects of statewide significance since these projects also provide substantial regional and local benefit.

Comments: This proposal generates \$133.5 million per year. The proposal maintains a 50/50 split between an ODOT "Enhance" program for projects of statewide significance and a regional/local "Enhance"

program managed by metropolitan areas and cities and cou and ACTs).	nties outside metro	opolitan areas (or by MPOs
□ SUPPORT □ MODIFY □ EXCLUDE	PRIORITY	_ (Rate 1 <i>low</i> – 4 <i>high</i>)
Increase funds to enhance non-highway in Connect Oregon multi-modal funding level the 2015-17 biennium. Funds would be a capital projects that involve one or more transportation: air; marine; freight rail; and pedestrian.	el to \$100 millions of the following the fol	on in lottery bonds for and loans to support g modes of
Comments: This is a short-term step to be taken while we trust Fund analogous to the Highway Trust Fund, with dedicand freight investments.		
□ SUPPORT □ MODIFY □ EXCLUDE	PRIORITY	_ (Rate 1 <i>low</i> – 4 <i>high</i>)

Increase funds to enhance non-highway modal infrastructure by establishing a Multi-Modal Trust Fund analogous to the Highway Trust Fund. This would increase the *Connect Oregon* multi-modal funding level to \$198 million per biennium by dedicating 18% of lottery funds to the program. 50% of funds would be used for non-highway freight projects under the traditional *Connect Oregon* model, i.e. grants and loans to improve the movement of freight through capital projects that involve one of the following modes of transportation: air; marine; and rail other than passenger rail. 50% would be committed to non-highway passenger projects and operations and would be used to provide grants and loans to facilitate the movement of people through capital projects (or operations of a transit system) that involve one or more of the following modes of transportation: public transit, including operations; passenger rail; bicycle; and pedestrian.

Comments: This proposal represents the concept forwarded as *Connect Oregon Plus* during the 2013 legislative session. It includes transit operation as an eligible expenditure in addition to air, marine, rail, transit, bike and pedestrian capital projects and divides the overall program evenly between freight and passenger investments. It establishes a Multi-Modal Trust Fund to allow for long-term planning and scheduling of projects on a systematic basis analogous to the Highway Trust Fund. It is generally

	_	_	cated stream of lottery d Iternative dedicated reve	_	nitude will be difficult, and mbination of sources.
	SUPPORT	MODIFY \square	EXCLUDE	PRIORITY	_ (Rate 1 <i>low</i> – 4 <i>high</i>)
	plus an ed transfer d	quivalent inco of road miles	rease in truck taxes	for a progran	nents to better align
that the "orphogovers become Howe maint anticipation to the control of the contro	hose affected lan highways" nments. Locane freight correver, such trantenance and eipated that a p	by the roadway he segments of stall governments and idors in practice sfers are not free thancement of the rogram of this so	nave decision making aut tate highway that function of find themselves respo . Jurisdiction transfers of quent, mostly because of the transferred asset. This	chority over it. The more like urban in more like urban in sible for local rotan realign respond the inability of the proposal seeks the ten years to define the more than the like in the inability of the sproposal seeks the ten years to define the more than the like in	arterials to local ads and streets that have sibility and authority. e receiving entity to pay for o eliminate that obstacle. It is etermine whether it is still
	SUPPORT	MODIFY \square	EXCLUDE	PRIORITY	_ (Rate 1 <i>low</i> – 4 <i>high</i>)
effic	iency and sustainab Develop a	effectivenes ility of the tr 10-year mul	ansportation syst	n service deli em ation needs as	ivery and the safety ssessment to establish
	consisten	t statewide b	to operate, maintai asis. This will serve e Legislatures.	_	e the system on a for funding proposals to
quant	ification of co	sts and benefits a	=		elearly defined system needs and chat allows policy makers to make
	SUPPORT	MODIFY \square	EXCLUDE	PRIORITY	_ (Rate 1 <i>low</i> – 4 <i>high</i>)
	Recomme	end that state	transportation "pe	eople moving"	planning efforts (a)

include findings regarding how each mode should best interconnect with

other modes to maximize use of system resources and (b) evaluate the impact of the plans' findings on other transportation modes.

	This proposal attempts to alleviate siloing effect of modal connectivity in a systemic and holist	-	ng so that it allows for a fuller
☐ SUPPOR	RT MODIFY EXCLUDE	PRIORITY	_ (Rate 1 <i>low</i> – 4 <i>high</i>)
	ntivize the co-location of ODOT and l ities as appropriate.	ocal governme	nt road maintenance
	This proposal provides retention of savings fro cilitate and encourage such efficiencies.	om co-location of fa	cilities of different government
☐ SUPPOR	RT MODIFY EXCLUDE	PRIORITY	_ (Rate 1 <i>low</i> – 4 <i>high</i>)
	port the Road User Charge voluntary on to transition from a voluntary pro		
operational ir	This would express OTF's support for the volumn 2015. It would also express OTF's support to program to a tax method.	-	0 1 0
☐ SUPPOR	RT MODIFY EXCLUDE	PRIORITY	_ (Rate 1 <i>low</i> – 4 <i>high</i>)
gree impl	ct funding and policy approaches that enhouse gas reduction in the state's u lementation of those plans, and direct sidered as part of required land use a	rban areas, ass t that carbon e	sist with the missions be
	This proposal builds on existing statutory direction light vehicles.	ction to Oregon MF	Os to address greenhouse gas
☐ SUPPOR	RT	PRIORITY	_ (Rate 1 <i>low</i> – 4 <i>high</i>)
· Prov	vide up to \$20 million per biennium o	of state general	funds for a youth

access to transit program.

Comments: This proposal would provide funding to maschool by taking public transit, increasing student succe activities, and work. Transit agencies can use the funds to needed to transport students quickly and dependably, as	ess by providing bett to support increased	er access to school, after school transit service that might be
☐ SUPPORT ☐ MODIFY ☐ EXCLUDE	PRIORITY	(Rate 1 <i>low</i> – 4 <i>high</i>)
 Remove the legislative limitation on lo allowed to be set at a rate no higher th 		
Comments: Current state law restricts the adoption of that of the state vehicle registration fee. Whenever the sincreases accordingly.	· ·	G
☐ SUPPORT ☐ MODIFY ☐ EXCLUDE	PRIORITY	(Rate 1 <i>low</i> – 4 <i>high</i>)

DRAFT 09/10/2014 – NOT ENDORSED OR APPROVED BY ANYONE Draft Straw Man under review by the Oregon Transportation Forum

	Road Funding	Non-Road Funding
Fix-It: Safely operate and maintain the existing transportation system with improved reliability and efficiency	 Index the Highway Trust Fund for fuel efficiency and inflation to avoid continued loss of purchasing power. Increase Highway Trust Fund Revenues by approximately \$300 million per year Distribute to ODOT/Counties/Cities 50/30/20 (\$150 million/\$90 million/\$60 million) Increase Small City allotment program from \$1 million to \$2 million from the City share Example revenue sources (comparable to JTA): Implement the reforms recommended in the DMV Cost of Services Study (September 23, 2013) - \$42 million Increase Vehicle Registration Fee from \$43 to \$68 - \$130 million Increase Gas Tax from 30 to 35-cents - \$133.5 million All sources maintain heavy/light vehicle cost responsibility 	 Provide \$22.6 million per biennium to continue operation of the Cascades AMTRAK service between Eugene and Vancouver, BC Increase the state funding contribution to transit services for the elderly and disabled from approximately \$10 million per year to \$75 million per year. Provide up to \$20 million per biennium of state general funds for a youth access to transit program.
Enhance: Upgrade the transportation system to meet goals for economic development and livability	Increase the gas tax and weight-mile tax 5-cents for an expanded multi-modal "Enhance" program • Distribute 50% to expand the ODOT "Enhance" program and supplement existing state and federal funding sources for improvements of state significance. • Distribute 50% to establish a complimentary regional and local "Enhance" program to supplement and integrate with existing federal Surface Transportation Funds (STP) distributed to metropolitan planning organizations and cities and counties outside MPOs for projects of regional and local significance. • Consider consolidating funds to cities and counties outside MPOs to be managed as a multi-modal, multi-jurisdictional "Enhance" program by the ACTs. Increase the gas tax and weight-mile tax by 1-cent for a 10-year pilot "Orphan Highway" program to facilitate the transfer of road jurisdiction between ODOT and local	Restore Connect Oregon funding to the \$100 million per biennium level: • Dedicate funding to passenger and freight improvements. Establish a long-term plan for a Multi-Modal Trust Fund to expand the program through a dedication of 18% of lottery proceeds and establish it on an on-going basis to be administered for non-highway, multi-modal improvements with continuity and consistency similar to the Highway Trust Fund.

DRAFT 09/10/2014 – NOT ENDORSED OR APPROVED BY ANYONE Draft Straw Man under review by the Oregon Transportation Forum

	governments
	governments.
Policy:	Direct the development of a 10-year multi-modal strategic transportation needs
	assessment to serve as the basis for future funding proposals.
Implement	Recommend that state transportation "people moving" planning efforts (a) include finding a grandiant because the state of a state of the state
policy/programs to improve the efficiency	findings regarding how each mode should best interconnect with other modes to maximize use of system resources and (b) evaluate the impact of the plans' findings on
and effectiveness of	other transportation modes.
transportation service	 Incentivize the co-location of ODOT and local government road maintenance facilities.
delivery and the	Support the Road User Charge voluntary program and advocate for legislative action
safety and sustainability of the	to transition to a required program.
transportation system	Enact policies to further advance implementation of plans for greenhouse gas
	reduction.
	 Remove the legislative limitation on locally adopted vehicle registration fees allowed to be set at a rate no higher than the state vehicle registration fees.