BEFORE THE METRO COUNCIL

FOR THE PURPOSE OF	
SELECTING THE METRO COUNCIL	RESOLUTION NO. 93-1801
APPOINTEES TO THE FUTURE)
VISION COMMISSION, AS) Introduced by
REQUIRED BY THE 1992	Councilor Susan McLain
METRO CHARTER	j

WHEREAS, on November 3, 1992, the voters of the Metro district approved the 1992 Metro Charter; and

WHEREAS, Section 5 (1) of the 1992 Metro Charter requires the Metro Council to, between January 15, 1995 and July 1, 1995, adopt a "Future Vision"; and

WHEREAS, the 1992 Metro Charter, requires the Metro Council to appoint a Future Vision Commission to develop and recommend a proposed "Future Vision" by a date the Council sets; and

WHEREAS, the Charter states, the Future Vision Commission "shall be broadly representative of both public and private sectors, including the academic community, in the region. At least one member must reside outside the Metro area"; and

WHEREAS, on February 25, 1993, the Council approved Resolution 93-1755B which provided for the recruitment of potential members of the Future Vision Commission by means of the simultaneous process of application and nomination; this process called for the Council Planning Committee to screen, recruit and interview those candidates of interest and make a final recommendation to the Metro Council; and

WHEREAS, according to Resolution 93-1755B, the Future Vision Commission will be composed of a total of 15 members, seven of which include: a) two members of the Metro Council, appointed by the Metro Presiding Officer; b) two local government officials,

appointed by the Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC); c) one resident of the State of Washington, appointed by the Governor of the State of Washington; d) one resident of the State of Oregon that resides outside the Metro boundary, appointed by the Governor of the State of Oregon; and e) one representative of the academic community, appointed by the Metro Council; and

WHEREAS, The remaining eight members are to be appointed by the Metro Council to collectively represent the following perspectives: a) infrastructure/technical/transit; b) livability; c) land development; d) financial; e) the arts; f) human services; g) neighborhoods; and h) natural resources; and

WHEREAS, following the close of the recruitment period on April 2, the Metro Council membership reviewed the qualifications of all candidates for a one month review period and on May 4, 1993 informally selected finalists; and

WHEREAS, with the approval of the Planning Committee, a formal Interview Panel was appointed by the Presiding Officer to conduct interviews of the selected finalists; those interviews were conducted on May 17 and 21, 1993; now, therefore

BE IT RESOLVED,

1. That the Interview Panel recommends appointment of the nine individuals and two alternates listed in Exhibit A as the Metro Council appointees to the Future Vision Commission. The Commission Chairperson and the remaining members appointed by other means (e.g. the Governors of Washington and Oregon, Metro Presiding

Officer, and the Metro Policy Advisory Commission) will be identified in a later resolution.

- 2. That alternates to the Commission will have full participatory standing in Commission deliberations, which includes the right to vote in the absence of any Future Vision Commission member. For purposes of voting, alternates will vote on an alternating basis.
- 3. That Future Vision Commission members will serve at the pleasure of the appointing authority until such time as the work of the Commission is completed. Failure to perform duties or consistent lack of attendance at Commission meetings may be considered grounds for replacement. Vacancies on the Commission will be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.

ADOPTED by the Metro Council this 27th day of May, 1993.

Judy Wyers, Presiding Officer

GR 93-1801.res 5/25/93

METRO COUNCIL APPOINTEES TO THE FUTURE VISION COMMISSION

Members:

Ron Correnti
Judy Davis
Leonard Frieser
Mike Houck
Wayne Lei
Robert Liberty
Peggy Lynch
Rod Stevens
Robert Textor

Alternates:

Ted Spence Fred Stewart

STAFF REPORT

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 93-1801, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SELECTING THE METRO COUNCIL APPOINTEES TO THE FUTURE VISION COMMISSION, AS REQUIRED BY THE 1992 METRO CHARTER

Date: May 25, 1993

Presented by: Gail Ryder

PROPOSED ACTION: The 1992 Metro Charter requires the Metro Council to appoint a Future Vision Commission (FVC) to develop and recommend a proposed "Future Vision" by a date to be set by the Council. This resolution is the second of three resolutions providing for selection of the commission. Resolution 93-1755B, which was adopted on February 25, 1993, provided the procedure for selection of the commission. This resolution appoints those members selected by the Council. A final resolution will appoint the Commission Chair and list those appointments made by other appointing authorities.

The full 15 member Commission is to include: two Metro Councilors, appointed by the Presiding Officer; two local government officials, appointed by the Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC); two residents from outside the Metro boundary, appointed respectively by the Governor's of Oregon and Washington; one member of the academic community, appointed by the Metro Council; and eight members selected by the Metro Council to collectively represent the following perspectives: infrastructure/technical/transit; livability; land development; financial; the arts; human services; and neighborhoods.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Following passage of Resolution 1755B, recruitment packets were prepared and mailed to local government jurisdictions, neighborhood associations, corridor associations, Chambers of Commerce, the federal and state legislative delegations, the League of Women Voters, the Portland City Club, the Washington County Public Affairs Forum and other interested organizations. In early March, advertisements for recruitment of applicants and nominees were placed in area newspapers. The due date for the application period was April 2.

Upon the close of the application period, 73 applications or nominations were received. During the ensuing month, the Metro Council membership informally reviewed the qualifications of the applicants and nominees and made additional nominations. In early May, an informal group of Councilors selected a list of twenty finalists.

Following discussions with the Planning Committee, a formal Interview Panel was appointed by the Presiding Officer. This panel offered interviews to the twenty finalists on May 17. Eighteen

interviews were held that day. One of the original finalists removed his name from consideration and another was unable to attend due to a scheduling conflict. A decision was made to hold an additional interview on May 21 for the finalist unable to attend earlier and for a new twentieth finalist that was nominated by the Interview Panel. Those two interviews took place on May 21 and a final slate of eleven individuals was recommended by the panel. All applicants were asked the following questions:

- 1) Why are you willing to devote 18 months to serve on the Future Vision Commission?
- 2) What are the three most vital issues that should be addressed by the Future Vision Commission?
- 3) How would you transform the Future Vision Plan into reality?

The first two questions were furnished to each candidate prior to the interview, the third was asked extemporaneously at the interview. Additional questions were allowed as time permitted within the fifteen minute interview.

This recommended slate includes representation from the academic community, the eight other Metro appointments, and two alternates to the Commission. The alternates are intended to be fully participatory in all meetings, which includes voting status in the absence of any commission member. For purposes of voting, alternates vote on an alternating basis. Members serve at the pleasure of the appointing authority and may be removed for cause by the appointing authority. Vacancies to the commission will be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.

The final resolution completing the appointment process will identify the Commission Chairperson, the appointee's of the Governor's of Oregon and Washington, the MPAC appointees, and the appointed members from the Metro Council that are appointed by the Metro Presiding Officer.