

MINUTES OF THE METRO COUNCIL MEETING

Thursday, February 10, 2005
Portland Community College – Rock Creek Campus Bldg 9, Rm 122C

Councilors Present: David Bragdon (Council President), Susan McLain, Robert Liberty, Rex Burkholder, Carl Hosticka, Rod Park, Brian Newman

Councilors Absent:

Council President Bragdon convened the Regular Council Meeting at 5:38 p.m. He noted that the Metro Council was at the PCC Rock Creek Campus tonight.

1. INTRODUCTIONS

Councilor Hosticka recognized Councilor Cathy Stanton, Beaverton City Councilor.

2. WELCOME FROM PCC DISTRICT PRESIDENT, PRESTON PULLIAM

President Pulliam was unable to attend.

3. PCC ROCK CREEK CAMPUS PRESIDENT BILL CHRISTOPHER ADDRESS TO THE COUNCIL

Bill Christopher, PCC Campus President, said they were honored to have the Metro Council here. He said this was one of three campuses. PCC Rock Creek were on the urban-rural divide. He talked about the college. He said PCC served five counties in the regional area. At one time they had served 100,000 people. He talked about their budget cuts and needing to continue to provide services to the community. The building for this meeting was built with bond money. This building housed student services and the library as well as meeting rooms for the community. Having Metro Council meet here allowed the Community Planning Organizations (CPOs) and neighborhood committees to address the Council in their own backyard. He talked about the growth in Washington County. He recognized some of the partnerships PCC had, which were essential to getting the job done. Three current partnerships included Tualatin Parks and Recreation District, Washington County, Washington County Historical Museum. He talked about sharing resources with their partners. He thanked the council for providing this opportunity.

4. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS

Councilor Hosticka recognized Councilor Kathy Stanton, Beaverton City Councilor.

5. COMMUNICATION FROM CPO 1, 6, 7 AND 8, AND BETHANY NEIGHBORHOOD COALITION

Lori Waldo, Bethany Neighborhood Coalition, thanked the Council for providing this opportunity. She spoke to issues in the Bethany area such as annexation and transportation. More and more people were coming to the coalition meetings to find out what was going on. She talked about the purpose of the Bethany Coalition. She said she had been inspired by Council President Bragdon's state of the region speech as well as the 2005 priorities. She talked about the need for a direct voice in designing their future in unincorporated counties. She said there was a need to

empower citizens to control their own future. She challenged the Council to help citizens help develop their own identity. She thanked the Council for their time and passion.

Council President Bragdon asked about types of services they would like to have in her area. Many of the services she had spoken about were provided by cities. Ms. Waldo concurred with his remarks. Council President Bragdon asked how she thought the annexation would play out? Ms. Waldo said many citizens wanted their own identity. They didn't want the same look and feel as downtown Beaverton. Her challenge was to find a way to make her own town center look and feel like the Bethany community. She felt they wanted to have their own city. Councilor Liberty asked if there was interest in incorporating Bethany as a city? Ms. Waldo said they would be having a meeting soon to talk about this issue. She said the coalition had not opposed annexation. They had talked about the need for their own sense of identity. Councilor Hosticka urged that they get started now. He gave the example of Bull Mountain and their feelings that it might be too late.

Bruce Bartlett, CPO 1 Chair, described the boundaries of the CPO and stated that the Cedar Mills residents were more active than the Cedar Hills residents. He said he had never received as many unsolicited comments about anything as he had about the WalMart coming in, not even for annexation. He emphasized what other speakers had said. He talked about the rapid pace of school growth and the difficulties of not having legal means to enforce school size, and the lack of planning tools. The transportation infrastructure was largely built out. Road widening projects were very invasive. When a road was upgraded, it had a negative impact on people. He said the issue of annexation had been significant for CPO 1. They had talked with Washington County and Beaverton. He was cautiously in favor of annexation. He said many of the things citizens were asking of the county were city services. He pointed out that with the addition of Bonnie Slope and Bethany areas, Metro was adding land that was far away from a city. Washington County had made it desirable for developers to develop in the unincorporated Washington County. His fear was that these areas were destined for poor development and planning. He expressed concern that city residents were subsidizing unincorporated county areas. He said density was a very contested issue with citizens. He felt that the smells from the houses so close together could degrade livability. He also noted parking issues. Councilor Liberty talked about the density experience in his area. There were different ways of arranging things on the ground so there weren't the same consequences. Councilor Burkholder talked about his experience living on a small lot in a very family oriented neighborhood. He talked about the population influx and dealing with change. Mr. Bartlett described the growth in his area, the zoning issues and the need to build communities. There was a need to have infrastructure rather than just houses.

Liles Garcia, CPO 6 representative, said he had been involved with CPO 6 for many years. He provided some ideas concerning planning issues from the citizens that he represented. He explained where CPO 6 was located. The top issue he heard was density. He talked about building units and parking problems. High density was impacting parking. He then spoke to school funding. It was an issue that they were all involved in. Citizens would like to have a Metro wide noise ordinance for consistency. He said parks and open spaces was also a concern. He felt the opening of Cooper Mountain would help in this area. He talked about infill and having infrastructure put in place before development. Finally, he spoke to the annexation effort. Councilor Liberty asked about noise issues. Mr. Garcia felt that noise was increasing in both the urban and rural areas.

Mary Manseau, CPO 7 representative, welcomed the Metro Council to CPO 7. They were in CPO 7 right now. She commented on the growth in the area and the need for planning (a copy of her remarks is included in the meeting record). They believed development would occur rapidly. She

talked about a subdivision approval before the master planning had occurred. They welcomed grant monies for planning. She urged that the Metro Council join with Washington County to address planning. She addressed the Metro Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) funding and the one project in their area that had made the cut list. She felt there was a need for planning in the Bethany area. Further, there was a need for an update to the transportation plan. She then addressed this issue of schools. They asked for Metro's help in this area. There was also a need for additional parks. She urged another open space bond measure. She suggested there was a need for adequate infrastructure.

Councilor Newman addressed school overcrowding, which was an issue all around the region. He invited her to attend a work session meeting next week on impacts of growth on schools.

Councilor Liberty thanked Ms. Manseau for her comments. He asked how much land was needed for a school? Councilor McLain responded, 40 acres. Councilor Liberty said he thought that was a lot of land. He asked if there had been discussions about having multiple uses for that space. Ms. Manseau responded that she wasn't sure that conversation had occurred but she was all for it. Councilor Park reviewed the process for funding transportation projects, which was a lengthy one. Councilor McLain pointed out that CPO 1 and 7 had been areas that had been studied when considering future implications of growth in this area.

Henry Oberhelman, CPO 8 representative, said his CPO was to the west of PCC Rock Creek. It was a rural CPO with a strong natural resource protection emphasis (a copy of his remarks is included in the meeting record). He advocated regional planning. He asked for support in protecting and restoring the quietness of their community. Councilor McLain said they dealt with noise comments and complaints. Councilor Park addressed the process for extending the jurisdictional boundary to allow them to vote on Metro issues. He said Mr. Oberhelman had mentioned urban reserves and the need for additional tools. He asked what suggestions he might have? Mr. Oberhelman responded that he didn't have the answers but knew there was a need. He then addressed the need for economic development.

Laine Young, Orenco Neighborhood Association, passed out maps of her area, Orenco. She shared with the council some of the issues in the old Orenco Township. She spoke to the golf course and the potential development in that area. The reason she was here was to say that the golf course was the last open space in their area. Councilor Hosticka asked about creek areas. Ms. Young showed where those areas were on the map. Councilor Liberty asked what was the maximum amount of change that could occur? Councilor McLain shared where the Title 3 Clean Water Service areas were on the map. Ms. Young said they were making an effort to keep the site zoned open space. She spoke to the need to offer a balance of open spaces with housing rather than just single family dwellings. Council President Bragdon wondered about system development charges and how those worked in her area. Ms. Young continued by asking about the status of a park bond in 2006. Councilor Hosticka said they were working under the assumption that they would have a bond measure on the ballot in 2006. He provided a history of the last bond measure. He also talked about the impact of Measure 37. He urged them to get involved. Councilor McLain thanked the Council for listening. Councilor Newman asked about the underlining zoning. Ms. Young said yes, this issue was currently before the Planning Commission and they were in conversations with the city council as well. Councilors further addressed issue of the possibilities of an open space bond measure.

6. CONSENT AGENDA

6.1 Consideration of minutes of the February 3, 2005 Regular Council Meetings.

Motion:

Councilor Newman moved to adopt the meeting minutes of the February 3, 2005 Regular Metro Council.

Vote:

Councilors Burkholder, McLain, Liberty, Park, Newman, Hosticka and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.

7. ORDINANCES – FIRST READING

7.1 Ordinance No. 05-1072, For the Purpose of amending the FY 2004-05 budget and appropriations schedule Accepting \$850,000 of Federal Funds from the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) for a Regional Travel Options Marketing Campaign; recognizing \$150,000 of the New Grant Funds to increase the Materials and Services Budget of the Planning Department to Hire Consultants to Develop and Implement the Marketing Campaign; transferring \$54,655 of TriMet Grant Funds from Contracted Services to Personal Services to add 1.0 FTE Regional Travel Options Program Manager (Manager 1); and Declaring an Emergency

Council President Bragdon assigned Ordinance No. 05-1072 to Council.

7.2 Ordinance No. 05-1073, For the Purpose of Amending the FY 2004-05 Budget and Appropriations Schedule recognizing \$48,820 in Grant funds and private contributions for specific projects in the Zoo Operating Fund; adding \$487,820 to Revenue and Operating Expenses in the Zoo Operating Fund; and Declaring an Emergency.

Council President Bragdon assigned Ordinance No. 05-1073 to Council.

8. ORDINANCES – SECOND READING

8.1 Ordinance No. 05-1071, For the Purpose of amending the FY 2004-05 budget and appropriations schedule recognizing \$10,000 in grant funds; transferring \$79,640 from the regional parks operating fund contingency; increasing operating expenditures in the regional parks operating fund by \$89,640; authorizing 1.0 FTE receptionist position; and declaring an emergency.

Motion:	Councilor McLain moved to adopt Ordinance No. 05-1071.
Seconded:	Councilor Hosticka seconded the motion

Councilor McLain introduced the ordinance and explained the budget impacts and the anticipated effect of the ordinance. She urged support.

Council President Bragdon opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 05-1071. There were none. Council President Bragdon closed the public hearing.

Vote:

Councilors Park, Hosticka, Burkholder, McLain, Newman, Liberty and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.

9. RESOLUTIONS

9.1 **Resolution No. 05-3536**, For the Purpose of Establishing the Regional Housing Choice Task Force and its Duties and Responsibilities, and Appointing its members

Motion:	Councilor Burkholder moved to adopt Resolution No. 05-3536.
Seconded:	Councilor Liberty seconded the motion

Councilor Burkholder said this resolution followed up on the charge that had been given by the Council. He explained that they would be looking at housing supply and affordable housing. He spoke to the product that they hoped to come out of this task force. He said Metro was playing a convener role. It was a sunseted program but they would be requesting a budget extension. He urged an aye vote. Councilor Liberty said having housing choices was essential for the health of the region. He hoped in addition to producing more information we could use this as an opportunity to meet the goals in the Functional Plan as well as getting more choices on the ground. Councilor Hosticka reported that Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC) passed a resolution to help facilitate this task force's work. Councilor Park appreciated the work Councilors Liberty and Burkholder had done on this issue. He said it was also about housing affordability as well as affordable housing. Councilor Liberty talked about the issue of housing choices taking different forms. Council President Bragdon applauded the councilors for their work on this issue. He was hopeful this would lead to results on the ground.

Vote:

Councilors Park, Hosticka, Burkholder, Newman, McLain, Liberty, and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
--

9.2 **Resolution No. 05-3545**, For the Purpose Endorsing the Regional Water Supply Plan Update and the Amendments to the Intergovernmental Agreement Forming the Regional Water Providers Consortium.

Motion:	Councilor McLain moved to adopt Resolution No. 05-3545.
Seconded:	Councilor Park seconded the motion

Councilor McLain introduced the resolution and explained that this was the first amendment to the intergovernmental agreement (IGA). There were 23 water providers who had helped with this update. This took care of Metro's charter responsibilities for having a water supply plan. She spoke to what the plan covered including emergency preparedness. It was an important part of the types of services that needed a regional perspective. Councilor Newman said he supported this partnership. He asked about Metro's dues? Councilor McLain said it was written generally because there were all types of providers. They agreed to have Metro provide in-kind services as part of Metro's fee. Councilor Liberty asked what their budget was? Andy Cotugno, Planning Director, said it was about \$50,000. Council President Bragdon said this was a great example of having Metro's added value.

Vote:

Councilors Park, Hosticka, Burkholder, Newman, McLain, Liberty, and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 7 aye, the motion passed.
--

10. CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER COMMUNICATION

Metro Council Meeting

02/10/05

Page 6

Michael Jordon, COO, had he had nothing to say.

11. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATION

Councilor Burkholder reported on Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) meeting that morning and the results of the meeting. He announced a joint public hearing on Metro Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) next Thursday February 17 at 5:00 p.m. in the Council Chamber.

11. ADJOURN

There being no further business to come before the Metro Council, Council President Bragdon adjourned the meeting at 7:35 p.m.

Prepared by

Chris Billington
Clerk of the Council

ATTACHMENTS TO THE PUBLIC RECORD FOR THE MEETING OF FEBRUARY 10,
2005

Item	Topic	Doc Date	Document Description	Doc. Number
5	Testimony	2/10/05	To: Metro Council From: Mary Manseau, CPO 7 Representative Re: Concerns for their CPO	021005c-01
5	Testimony	2/10/05	To: Metro Council From: Henry Oberhelman, CPO 8 Re: Comments compiled by his CPO to share with the Council	021005c-02