BEFORE THE METRO COUNCIL

THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO EXECUTE) FOURTEEN (14) MULTI-YEAR) INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENTS FOR)	RESOLUTION NO. 94-1960
	Introduced by Rena Cusma, Executive Officer
WHEREAS, fourteen (14) Intergovernmen	ntal Agreements for the South/North
Alternatives Analysis/Draft Environmental Impac	ct Statement (AA/DEIS) are not
included in the 1993-94 fiscal year contracts list	st as amended on May 12, 1994,
and are multi-year, Intergovernmental Agreement	nts; and
WHEREAS, the Planning Department, pu	ırsuant to that decision, now
intends to pursue those Intergovernmental Agre	ements with the jurisdictions listed
in Attachment A; and	
WHEREAS, Section 2.04.033 (1) of the I	Metro Code requires the Council to
approve any Type "A" and multi-year contracts	; and
WHEREAS, this proposed action allows to	he Metro Council to approve
fourteen (14) Intergovernmental Agreements in	a single action;
Now, Therefore,	
BE IT RESOLVED,	
That the Metro Council, pursuant to Metro	ro Code Section 2.04.033 (1)
hereby authorizes the Executive Officer to exec	ute Intergovernmental Agreements
with the jurisdictions to complete the South/No	rth Study through Tiers I and II,
substantially as reflected in Attachment A (sam	ple contract) consistent with
budgets reflected in Attachment B.	
ADOPTED by the Metro Council this 26th day	of, 1994.

Judy Wyers, Presiding Officer

South/North AA/DEIS Clackamas County - #6 Metro Contract #903683

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR SOUTH/NORTH TRANSIT CORRIDOR STUDY ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS/DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into between Metro, a metropolitan service district organized under the laws of the State of Oregon and the 1992 Metro Charter, located at 600 N.E. Grand Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97232-2736, hereinafter referred to as METRO; and Clackamas County, located at 902 Abernethy Road, Oregon City, Oregon 97045, hereinafter referred to as CLACKAMAS COUNTY.

Recitals:

WHEREAS, METRO and CLACKAMAS COUNTY desire to jointly accomplish a special study of high capacity transit improvements in the South/North Corridor connecting Clackamas County Oregon and Clark County Washington, a study hereinafter known as the South/North Transit Corridor Study Alternatives Analysis/Draft Environmental Impact Statement, or South/North AA/DEIS; and

WHEREAS, METRO is empowered by ORS 268.330(2) to contract with any public agency to plan for the environmental assessment construction, preservation, improvement, operation or maintenance of any mass transit system having significant impact upon the development of the metropolitan area; and

WHEREAS, CLACKAMAS COUNTY has the authority under ORS Chapter 190.101 to enter into agreements with units of local government for the performance of any or all functions and activities that a party to the agreement, its officers, or agents have the authority to perform; and

WHEREAS, The participating jurisdictions include METRO, the Clark County Public Transportation Benefit Area Authority (C-TRAN), the Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council (RTC), City of Vancouver, Clark County, Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT), City of Portland, Tri-County Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon (Tri-Met), the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), Clackamas County, City of Milwaukie, Multnomah County, City of Oregon City and City of Gladstone; and

WHEREAS, Funding for the South/North AA/DEIS is intended to consist of contributions from the State of Washington, the State of Oregon, the Federal Transit Administration and other Oregon participant(s); and

WHEREAS, The South/North AA/DEIS Project Management Group, which is made up of one member from each participating jurisdiction, has adopted revenue and expenditure budgets of \$10,800,000 for the study; and

WHEREAS, The METRO Council and the C-TRAN Board of Directors have directed the regional participants to begin work on the South/North AA/DEIS; and

WHEREAS, On May 5, 1994 METRO and the participating agencies adopted Tier I and Tier II budget estimates that cumulatively exceed the secured study revenues by approximately \$2.4 million; and

WHEREAS, On April 21, 1994 the South/North Project Management Group adopted an approach to execute the South/North Tier I and Tier II intergovernmental agreements, of which this is one, before new study funds are secured to cover the projected shortfall; and

WHEREAS, The approach adopted by the South/North Project Management Group agreed that METRO and the participating agencies would prepare a funding plan following the conclusion of the Non-Priority Corridor Study by approximately September 1994 to cover the projected shortfall; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that in consideration of the mutual covenants herein set forth, METRO and CLACKAMAS COUNTY agree as follows:

Agreements:

1. Scope of Work

CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall perform the responsibilities and deliver the products indicated for each Task in both Tier I and Tier II Work Elements assigned to it in the South/North AA/DEIS Work Plan identified as Exhibit "A," which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference as part of this Agreement.

2. Term of Agreement

The term of the Agreement shall commence on January 1, 1994, and terminate on December 31, 1996, unless terminated earlier under the provisions of the Agreement.

3. Obligations of METRO

A. METRO is the lead agency and project manager for the South/North AA/DEIS and will serve as liaison with the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), State of Washington,

- State of Oregon, C-TRAN and other participating jurisdictions in all substantive and procedural matters relating to the study.
- B. METRO shall administer funding, including the local match component for the South/North AA/DEIS, including all revenues and expenditures and ensure prompt payment of all invoices upon approval as outlined in the method of payment section of this Agreement.
- C. METRO will promptly respond to requests by CLACKAMAS COUNTY for information and consultation regarding CLACKAMAS COUNTY's Scope of Work for the South/North AA/DEIS.
- D. METRO will reimburse CLACKAMAS COUNTY for expenses incurred in the performance of South/North AA/DEIS consultant and staff activities in accordance with the Scope of Work, budget and payment sections of this Agreement.
- E. Metro will lead an effort by all participating agencies to prepare a funding plan to secure the additional revenue to fund the full Project Budget in Table 1 of the Work Plan. Metro will present that funding plan to the South/North Project Management Group.

4. Obligations of CLACKAMAS COUNTY

- A. CLACKAMAS COUNTY will perform those tasks identified and assigned to CLACKAMAS COUNTY within the South/North AA/DEIS Work Plan (Exhibit A). Responsibilities and products in the Work Plan (Exhibit A) and any changes in the total Tier I, Tier II and non-priority corridor project budget amounts identified in Exhibit A, Table 1 (attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereto), may be changed by direction of the Project Management Group (PMG) in a vote as recorded in the minutes of the PMG. Modifications by the PMG will modify the individual work tasks of the Scope of Work of this Agreement and shall not exceed the total amount of this contract.
- B. CLACKAMAS COUNTY will participate as required in the project's public involvement activities as outlined in the Scope of Work, and provide support for the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) and Expert Review Panel (ERP).
- C. CLACKAMAS COUNTY will participate as outlined in the Scope of Work in the study's advisory committees, including the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), the Project Management Group (PMG) and the Steering Group.
- D. CLACKAMAS COUNTY will maintain detailed and accurate records of all funds expended and all work performed with regard to this Agreement, and shall make such records available to METRO for inspection at any reasonable time, as specified in section 6.A. and 6.B.

E. CLACKAMAS COUNTY will submit monthly invoices and progress reports describing progress and work completed. Reports will be itemized by agreed upon budget categories.

5. Compensation to CLACKAMAS COUNTY

- A. Total amount of this contract shall not exceed \$116,338, subject to the availability of revenue from funding sources (as described below in section 5.B. and 5.C.) and annual appropriations by METRO.
- B. As adopted by the South/North Project Management Group on May 5, 1994 and described in Table 1, Exhibit A, the project's expenditure budget for all participating agencies is approximately \$10,800,000, exceeding current revenues by approximately 2.4 million. On April 21, 1994, the South/North PMG agreed to authorize METRO to execute the South/North AA/DEIS intergovernmental agreements of which this is one, with the conditions that the IGAs: 1) allow METRO to ensure 100% compensation for allowable expenses incurred for private consultant services contracts with participating agencies, 2) allow METRO to ensure payment of invoices for all other allowable expenses by participating agencies up to approximately 72% of their agency budgets, until 3) the South/North Project Management Group agrees upon a plan to fund the projected shortfall and METRO and/or other participating agencies secure those new funds, at which time 4) METRO will provide written notice that a greater percentage up to the total contract amount will be reimbursed.
- C. CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall be compensated for 100% of actual work performed as indicated in the Scope of Work up to \$84,577. This represents 100% of the identified private consultant services contracts and approximately 72% of other allowable expenses for the agency budget as described in section 5.D., below. Further compensation up to the total amount of this contract requires written notice from the Project Manager that a greater percentage of actual work performed will be reimbursed. This notice shall be based on receipt of written confirmation of additional revenues from the revenue sources.
- D. The Agency Budget attached and incorporated herein as Exhibit B prescribes the amounts that this agency will be reimbursed for its work under the Work Plan. Exhibit B restates the budget for work performed under the existing Pre-AA agreement for the period July 1 through December 31, 1993, and the agency budget for reimbursement under this agreement for the period following January 1, 1994.

6. Method of Payment

A. For work completed, CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall send METRO monthly invoices accompanied with the description of the work performed totalling one hundred percent

(100%) of the actual costs CLACKAMAS COUNTY has incurred up to the limits specified in Exhibit B, Budget Summary. CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall submit the invoice in a format specified by METRO. These invoices shall document services provided by CLACKAMAS COUNTY itemized by task and Work Element as specified in the Scope of Work and supported by documentation for reimbursable costs. METRO will review invoices for consistency with the Scope of Work and this Agreement.

B. All costs charged to the project shall be supported by properly executed payrolls, time records, invoices, contracts or vouchers evidencing the nature and relationship to Work Elements in the Scope of Work for any such charges as further detailed herein. For direct salary costs and fringe benefits, invoice documentation must consist of time sheets listing hours worked by Work Element identified in the Scope of Work and a calculation of the applicable hourly payroll rate and fringe benefits earned based on actual time worked. Time sheets and other applicable fringe benefit information must be retained for inspection.

For direct non-salary costs, invoice documentation must consist of copies of invoices of costs, including but not limited to services performed by contractors, reproduction, computer and communication expense, postage, telephone, supplies and transportation. Major items of equipment required for the tasks identified in the Scope of Work may be purchased with METRO's advance written approval and will be included in the Agreement as direct costs. The cost of specialized items of equipment will be limited to the amount of depreciation during the period of use as ascertained at the completion of the Study. Such items of equipment must be used primarily on, and required for, work incident to this Agreement, and must be of reasonable cost.

Direct costs will also include reasonable travel expenses that are directly related to production of a specific product in the Scope of Work, including meals, lodging, transportation, and incidental expenses for personnel while away from their headquarters overnight. Reimbursement for travel expenses shall be made in conformance with the established reimbursement policy of the agency claiming such expenses. Reimbursement of consultant travel expenses shall be in accordance with the contract with the consultant.

If CLACKAMAS COUNTY uses a project allocation system, CLACKAMAS COUNTY may submit project reports in lieu of time sheets and invoices, provided that the project allocation report consists of, at a minimum, the following elements: date, description (vendor name, employee name), reference number and cost.

An overhead rate may be used for portions of direct costs provided that the overhead rate is adjusted to the actual costs at least annually, and provided that no costs billed as part of the overhead rate are also billed directly. The overhead rate adjustment shall be reflected in an invoice at least annually.

CLACKAMAS COUNTY's invoice shall contain a statement signed by CLACKAMAS COUNTY's Project Manager certifying that the costs have been incurred in the performance of the Scope of Work.

C. METRO will compensate CLACKAMAS COUNTY directly for each invoice after METRO has received reimbursement from funding sources consistent with section 5, above. METRO shall coordinate reimbursement requests and payments.

7. Project Managers

The overall coordination and direction of the Project shall be provided by METRO's Project Manager. METRO's Project Manager is Leon Skiles. CLACKAMAS COUNTY's Project Manager is Rod Sandoz. Any change of Project Manager by METRO or CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall be noticed in writing to the other party.

8. Notices

All notices provided for hereunder shall be in writing and sufficient if deposited in the United States mail, postage prepaid, to the parties addressed as indicated below:

METRO :

Leon Skiles
METRO Planning Department
600 NE Grand Avenue
Portland, OR 97232-2736

CLACKAMAS COUNTY

Rod Sandoz Clackamas County 902 Abernethy Road Oregon City, OR 97045

9. Liability and Indemnity

CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall indemnify METRO for and hold METRO harmless from all claims arising out of the negligent acts or omissions caused by CLACKAMAS COUNTY or CLACKAMAS COUNTY's officers, employees, or agents, subject to the provisions of the Oregon Tort Claims Act and the Oregon Constitution. CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall be liable to METRO for any damage to METRO's property or injury to METRO's officers, employees, or agents caused by CLACKAMAS COUNTY, subject to the provisions of the Oregon Tort Claims Act and the Oregon Constitution.

METRO shall indemnify CLACKAMAS COUNTY for, and hold CLACKAMAS COUNTY harmless from, all claims arising out of the negligent acts or omissions caused by METRO or METRO's officers, employees, or agents, subject to the provisions of the Oregon Tort Claims Act and the Oregon Constitution. METRO shall be liable to CLACKAMAS COUNTY for any

damage to CLACKAMAS COUNTY's property or injury to CLACKAMAS COUNTY's officers, employees, or agents caused by METRO subject to the provisions of the Oregon Tort Claims Act and the Oregon Constitution.

10. Termination for Default

CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall be deemed to be in material breach if it fails to comply with any provisions of this Agreement or if its progress in performance of its obligations is so unsatisfactory that contract performance of the Scope of Work of this Agreement is seriously impaired. Prior to termination under this provision, METRO shall provide CLACKAMAS COUNTY with written notice of default and allow CLACKAMAS COUNTY thirty (30) days within which to cure the defect. In the event CLACKAMAS COUNTY does not cure the defect within thirty (30) days, METRO may terminate all or any part of this Agreement for default. CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall be paid the contract price only for services performed in accordance with the manner of performance set forth in this Agreement.

CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall be liable to METRO for all reasonable costs and actual damages incurred by METRO as a result of a termination for default.

If, after notice of termination, the parties agree or a court finds that CLACKAMAS COUNTY was not in default or that the default was excusable, such as a strike, fire, flood, or other event that is not the fault of, or is beyond the control of CLACKAMAS COUNTY, METRO may allow CLACKAMAS COUNTY to continue work, or may treat the termination as a termination for convenience, in which case the rights of the parties shall be the same as if the termination had been for METRO's convenience.

11. Termination for Convenience

METRO or CLACKAMAS COUNTY may terminate all or part of this contract upon determining that termination is in the public interest. Termination under this paragraph shall be effective upon delivery of written notice of termination to METRO or CLACKAMAS COUNTY. Upon termination under this paragraph, CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall be entitled to payment in accordance with the terms of the contract for contract work completed before termination, and to payment for all reasonable contract close-out costs. Within thirty (30) days after termination pursuant to this paragraph, CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall submit itemized invoice for all unreimbursed work within the Scope of Work of this Agreement completed before termination and all close-out costs actually incurred by CLACKAMAS COUNTY. METRO shall not be liable for any costs invoiced later than thirty (30) days after termination unless CLACKAMAS COUNTY can show good cause beyond its control for the delay.

12. Applicable Laws

This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Oregon; provided, however, that Chapter 81.104 of the Revised Code of Washington shall also apply and in the event of any conflict between Chapter 81.104 RCW and the Oregon laws, Chapter 81.104 RCW shall control.

All applicable provisions of ORS chapters 187 and 279, and all other terms and conditions necessary to be inserted into public contracts in the State of Oregon, are hereby incorporated as if such provision were a part of this Agreement, including but not limited to ORS 279.015 to 279.320 and 279.555.

Specifically, it is a condition of this Agreement that contractor and all employers working under this Agreement are subject to employers under the Oregon Worker's Compensation Law and shall comply with ORS 656.017 which requires them to provide worker's compensation for all their subject workers.

13. Documents are Public Property

All records, reports, data, documents, systems and concepts, whether in the form of writings, figures, graphs, or models which are prepared or developed in connection with the South/North AA/DEIS shall become public property. All work products provided by METRO pursuant to this Agreement shall be made available to CLACKAMAS COUNTY, and all work products provided by CLACKAMAS COUNTY pursuant to this Agreement shall be made available to METRO.

14. Project Records

Comprehensive records and documentation relating to the Scope of Work in the South/North AA/DEIS project shall be maintained by METRO, CLACKAMAS COUNTY and all of their contractors.

Each party shall establish and maintain books, records, documents, and other evidence of accounting procedures and practices, sufficient to properly reflect all direct and indirect costs of whatever nature claimed to have been incurred and anticipated to be incurred for the performance of this Agreement. To facilitate the administration of the project, separate accounts shall be established and maintained within METRO's existing accounting system or set up independently. Such accounts are referred to herein collectively as the "Project Account." CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall charge to a Project Account all eligible costs of the project. Costs in excess of the latest approved budget, not performed in accordance with the Scope of Work or attributable to actions which have not received the required approval of METRO, shall not be considered eligible costs.

15. Consultant Selection

Upon request by the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT), METRO and all other participating jurisdictions shall include a representative appointed by WSDOT on the Selection Committee for all agreements. C-TRAN shall notify METRO of its intent to contract in a timely manner. METRO shall be responsible for notifying WSDOT of those contracting opportunities prior to the initiation of the selection process. Notification of such agreement opportunities shall be made to:

Mr. Leon Skiles
Planning Department
METRO
600 NE Grand Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97232-2736
503/797-1725

16. Audits, Inspections, and Retention of Records

METRO, the Washington State Auditor, C-TRAN, the Washington State Department of Transportation, the State of Oregon Secretary of State, the Oregon Department of Transportation the Federal Transit Administration and any of their representatives, shall have full access to and the right to examine, during normal business hours and as often as they deem necessary, all of CLACKAMAS COUNTY's and METRO's records with respect to all matters covered by this Agreement. Such representatives shall be permitted to audit, examine and make excerpts or transcripts from such records, and to make audits of all contracts, invoices, materials, payrolls and other matters covered by this Agreement. All documents, papers, time sheets, accounting records and other materials pertaining to costs incurred in connection with the project shall be retained by CLACKAMAS COUNTY and METRO and all of their contractors for three years from the date of completion of the project, or expiration of the grant agreement, whichever is later, to facilitate any audits or inspection.

A final determination of the allowability of costs charged to the project may be made on the basis of an audit or other review. METRO shall notify CLACKAMAS COUNTY of any disallowed amounts stating the reasons therefor. Any funds paid to CLACKAMAS COUNTY in excess of the amount to which CLACKAMAS COUNTY is finally determined to be entitled under the terms of this Agreement constitute a debt to METRO, and shall be returned by CLACKAMAS COUNTY to METRO.

17. <u>Independent Contractor</u>

CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall be deemed an independent contractor for all purposes, and the employees of CLACKAMAS COUNTY or any of its contractors, subcontractors, and the

employees thereof, shall not in any manner be deemed to be the employees of METRO. As such, the employees of CLACKAMAS COUNTY, its contractors, and subcontractors shall not be subject to any withholding for tax, social security, or other purposes by METRO, nor shall such contractor, subcontractor, or employee be entitled to sick leave, pension benefits, vacation, medical benefits, life insurance, workers or unemployment compensation of the like from METRO.

18. Compliance With Laws and Regulations

METRO and CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall adhere to all applicable federal, state, and local laws, regulations and policies including, but not limited to those included in "Exhibit C, Federal Requirements," and those related to Workers' Compensation, those in FTA's regulation called the "common rule" and its attachments, those of the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act, and those relating to equal employment opportunity, nondiscrimination, and affirmative action including, but not limited to, those regulations implementing Executive Order No. 11246 of the President of the United States and Section 402 of the Vietnam Readjustment Act of 1973. METRO and CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall adhere to all safety standards and regulations established by METRO for work performed on its premises or under its auspices.

19. Subcontract Inclusions

CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall include language substantially similar to the language contained in exhibit C, Federal Requirements of this Agreement in all subcontracts entered into pursuant to this Agreement.

20. Copyright, Patent Rights, Trademarks, and Trade Secrets

CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall hold METRO harmless, indemnify and pay the entire cost of defending any claim or suit brought against METRO for alleged infringement of a copyright, patent, trademark, or trade secret based on work products supplied by CLACKAMAS COUNTY or infringements caused by CLACKAMAS COUNTY subject to the limits of the Oregon Tort Claims Act and the Oregon Constitution.

METRO shall hold CLACKAMAS COUNTY harmless, indemnify and pay the entire cost of defending any claim or suit brought against CLACKAMAS COUNTY for alleged infringement of a copyright, patent, trademark, or trade secret based on work products supplied by METRO or infringements caused by METRO subject to the limits of the Oregon Tort Claims Act and the Oregon Constitution.

21. Subcontractors and Assignments

Neither METRO nor CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall assign any of their respective rights acquired hereunder without obtaining prior written approval from the other party. Any attempted assignment of this Agreement without the written consent of both parties shall be void. Neither CLACKAMAS COUNTY nor METRO by this Agreement incurs any liability to third persons for payment of any compensation provided herein to METRO or CLACKAMAS COUNTY except as provided under the terms of this Agreement.

22. Quality of Work

CLACKAMAS COUNTY agrees that all work shall be completed in a manner consistent with standards prevailing in the industry for similar work. In this regard, CLACKAMAS COUNTY will make every effort to understand METRO's intent with respect to the quality of work expected for this project, and to undertake its work accordingly. Time of performance will be a critical factor in the success of this effort. CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall make every effort to comply with the Scope of Work during its performance of activities under this Agreement's time lines.

23. Reports

Publication of all reports shall give credit to the funding parties (the Federal Transit Administration, the State of Oregon, the State of Washington, Metro, C-TRAN and Tri-Met). The following statement will be included in each report:

"Preparation of report has been funded in part by the Federal Transit Administration, the State of Oregon, the State of Washington, Metro, C-TRAN, and Tri-Met. The opinions, findings and conclusions expressed in this report are those of the authors and are not necessarily those of the Federal Transit Administration, the State of Oregon, the State of Washington, Metro, C-TRAN, or Tri-Met."

24. Labor and Material

CLACKAMAS COUNTY shall provide and pay for all labor, materials, equipment, tools, water, heat, utilities, transportation, and other facilities and services necessary for the proper execution and completion of all tasks identified in the Scope of Work, all at no cost to METRO other than the compensation provided in this Agreement.

25. Agreement Modifications

Either party may request changes in these provisions. Such changes which are mutually agreed upon shall be incorporated as written amendments to this Agreement. No variation or alteration of the terms of this Agreement shall be valid unless made in writing and signed by authorized representatives of the parties hereto.

26. Severability

If any covenant or provision in this Agreement shall be adjudged void, such adjudication shall not affect the validity, obligation, or performance of any other covenant or provision which in itself is valid, if such remainder would then continue to conform with the terms and requirements of applicable law and the intent of this contract.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the parties have executed this Agreement on the day and year first written above.

CLACKAMAS COUNTY
Ву:
Name:
Title:
Date:
APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Ву:
Name:
Title:
Date:
•

B: Agency Budgets

C: Federal Requirements

903-683.IGA/bc

				Environment Consultant		Financial	EAD			
Task	Metro	BRW	SMSA	Services	Design Images	Analysis	Consultant Services	Tri-Met	City of Portland	ODOT
Non Priority Carridor	\$80,000		\$68		· .	<u> </u>	-	\$1,664		
Tieri										
Mänagement	\$100,000		\$14,188			***************************************		\$59,822		
Public Involvement	\$16 0,000				•	•		•	\$30,000	
Description of Alternatives	\$250,000	\$41,669	\$1,138		\$100,000		\$407,000	\$249,271	\$15,000	\$100,000
S.E.E. Analysis	\$170,000	\$26,250	\$6,465	<u> </u>		ì	•	\$22,066	\$10,000	\$4,352
Transportation Analysis	\$163,861	\$42,089	\$1,600					\$21,817	\$5,000	\$5,037
Financial Analysis	\$30,000		\$32,000			\$30,000	•	\$9,941	\$5,000	• - • •
Evaluation	\$120,000		\$19,583					\$184,624	\$5,000	\$2,000
Tier Totali	\$993,861	\$110,008	\$ 74,972		\$100,000	\$30,000	\$407,000	\$547,541	\$70,000	\$111,389
Tierli										
Management	\$220,000			\$250,000				\$103,149		•
Public Involvement	\$316,761			\$100,000				\$4,051	\$50,000	
Description of Alternatives	\$300,000			\$100,000			\$793,000	\$481,320	\$24,000	\$118,975
S.E.E. Analysis	\$700,000			\$820,000				\$40,622	\$35,000	\$9,290
Transportation Analysis	\$500,000			\$300,000				\$35,416	\$20,000	\$9,290
Financial Analysis	\$120,000			\$100,000	·			\$31,081		\$20,047
Evaluation	\$80,000	<u> </u>		\$80,000				\$80,024	\$10,000	\$2,000
#Tieril/Total	\$2,236,761			\$1,750,000			\$793,000	\$775,663	\$139,000	\$159,602
Total	\$3,310,622	\$110,008	\$75,040	\$1,750,000	\$100,000	\$30,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,324,868	\$209,000	\$270,991
Funded	\$2,406,788	\$110,008	\$75,040	\$1,750,000	\$100,000	\$30,000	\$1,200,000	\$963,165	\$151,941	\$197,008

Total \$10,787,994

Total Consultants/Contingency/ERP \$3,922,762

Sub-Total Agency Staff \$6,865,232

Secured Revenue \$8,400,000

Total Consultants/ERP \$3,409,048

Sub-Total: Revenue for Agency Expenditures \$4,990,952

Percent Consultant and ERP Funded

100% **Percent Agency Expenditures Funded** 72.70%

Total New Revenue Needed \$2,387,994

28.43%

	7	ς						٠.	to the	
Task	Multnomah Co.	City of Milwaukie	Clackamas Co.	Oregon City	Gladstone	Port of	WSDOT	O TOAN	Olask Os	City of
Non-Briority eartists	G 0.	Milwaukie	- CO.	Oregon City	Glaustone	Portland \$35,854	Olympia ERP	. C-IRAN	Clark Co.	Vancouver
Tier						430,00			\$1,220	\$1, 100
Management	\$1,272	\$4,375	\$4,568	\$6,438	\$3,940		\$35,000	\$18,730	\$2,080	\$1,251
Public Involvement		\$14,000	\$16,136	\$5,630	\$1,290	•	****	\$27,392	\$8,160	\$7,476
Description of Alternatives	\$7,708	\$12,833	\$23,361	\$9,540	\$2,500			\$30,848	\$1,500	\$1,827
S.E.E. Analysis	\$1,500	\$6,720	\$8,032	\$2,595	\$1,375			\$5,598	\$1,860	\$2,751
Transportation Analysis	\$500	\$280	\$3,654	\$710	\$690			\$40,953	\$4,380	\$3,325
Financial Analysis	\$500	\$840	\$1,176	\$650			•	\$2,951	\$1,860	\$1,100
Eyaltration	\$1,920	\$1,400	\$3,911	\$1,340	\$1,000			\$10,440	\$15,880	\$11,676
Ter Total	\$13,400	\$40,448	\$60,838	\$26,903	\$10,795		\$35,000	\$136,912	\$35,720	\$29,406
Tierli										
Management	\$4,277	\$840	\$3,023	\$5,400	\$1,375		\$109,000	\$36,822	\$6,160	\$8,251
Public Involvement	\$3,200	\$10,000	\$21,275	\$8,495	\$2,320	··		\$122,740	\$12,000	\$20,625
Description of Alternatives	\$6,097	\$21,800	\$11,253	\$3,030	\$2,300			\$57,437	\$3,080	\$4,125
S/E/E/Analysis	\$5,254	\$22,200	\$10,208	\$6,580	\$3,690	····		\$130,350	\$7,000	\$8,251
Transportation Analysis	\$1,949	\$19,560	\$3,278	\$2,690	\$1,720		•	\$50,985	\$7, 000	\$16,500
Financiai/Analysis	\$968	\$1,960	\$2,953	\$1,000			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$12,274	\$6,160	\$4,125
Evaluation	\$851	\$2,000	\$3,510	\$6,490	\$850		<u>-</u>	\$35 <u>,427</u>	\$10,000	\$20,625
Tierlittotal	\$22,596	\$78,360	\$55,500	\$33,685	\$12,255		\$109,000	\$446,035	\$51,400	\$82,502
Total	\$35,996	\$118,808	\$116,338	\$60,588	\$23,050	\$35,854	\$144,000	\$582,947	\$88,340	\$113,008
Funded .	\$ 26,169	\$86,372	\$84,577	\$44,047	\$ 16,757	\$26,065	\$144, 000	\$ 423,796	· \$64,222	\$ 82,156

		WSDOT Clark	Contingency	
Task	RTC	Co.	(5%)	Total
Non Priority Carridge	\$7,74 9		\$6,383	\$134,038
Tierl				
Management	\$24,983	\$500	\$13,857	\$291,004
Public Involvement	\$10,800		\$14,044	\$294,928
Description of Alternatives	\$66,257	\$6,116	\$66,328	\$1,392,896
S,E,E,Analysis	\$25,032	\$5,000	\$14,980	\$314,575
Transportation Analysis	\$52,500	\$2,700	\$17,455	\$366,551
Financial Analysis	\$8,000	•	\$6,201	\$130,219
Evaluation	\$22,925		\$20,085	\$421,783
Tier i Total	\$210,497	\$14,316	\$152,950	\$3,211,956
Tierll				
Management	\$25,800	\$1,050	·\$38,757	\$813,904
Public involvement	\$16,500		\$34,398	\$722,365
Description of Alternatives	\$112,600	\$11,210	\$102,511	\$2,152,738
S.E.E. Analysis	\$24,800	\$7,000	\$91,512	\$1,921,757
Fransportation Analysis	\$89,000	\$5,000	\$53,119	\$1,115,507
Financial Analysis	\$8,300		\$15,443	\$324,311
Evaluation	\$41,000		\$18,639	\$391,416
Tierizyotali	\$318,000	\$24,260	\$354,381	\$7,442,000
Total	\$536,246	\$38,576	\$513,714	\$10,787,994
Funded	\$389,845	\$28,044		\$8,400,000



Procurement Review Summary

To: Procurement and	d Contracts Division		•.	Vendor
From .		Date 4/27/94		TRI-MET
Department Plan	nning	Cultura		TIO NE HOLLADAY
Division HCT	-	Subject	<u> </u>	PORTLAND OR 97232
110110	Lene Badrick	Bid	× Contract	Vendor no.
Talo	Thackston) jt. Analyst	RFP	Other -	Contract no. 90 3678
	1540			it Corridor Study
Expense		Alter	cnatives Anal	ysis/DEIS Statement
Procurement [Personal/profession	nal services Serv	rices (L/M)	Construction XXIGA
Revenue	Budget code(s)	•	Price basis	Term
Contract	140 122200 5	24190 45.510	Unit	Completion
Grant		•	Total	Annual
Other	· ·	•	Other	X Multi-year**
	This project is listed in 199_3199_4_budg		<u> </u>	1-1-94
			Payment required	Beginning date
4/94 budg amendment	<u> </u>	Type A	Lump sum	12/31/96
Resolution	n attchd-mult	L ^{ly} ear	Progress paym	ents Ending date
Total commitment	Original amount		•	\$ 1,324,868
	Previous amendments	·		\$ 0
	This transaction	• :	•	\$1,324868
	Total			\$1,324868
	A. Amount of contract	to be spent fiscal year_	<u> </u>	\$
	B. Amount budgeted f	or contract		\$
	C. Uncommitted/discre	etionary funds remainin	g as of	\$
Approvals		Al his		
Division manager		Department director		Labor
Fiscal	<u> </u>	Budget		Risk
Legal	•			



Procurement Review Summary

	•			
To: Procurement an	d Contracts Division			Vendor
From .	. ~	Date 4/27/94	1	CITY OF PORTLAND
Division HCT Name Dar	nning lene Badrick n Thackston)	Subject Bid	X Contract	1120 SW 5+RAVE, RM 70. PORTLAND, OR 97204 Vendorno.
Titla	gt. Analyst	RFP	Other	Contract no. 903679
Extension 1-74-0	1590			nsit Corridor Study
Expense Procurement	Personal/profession	nal services Se	rvices (L/M)	Construction XXIGA
Revenue Contract Grant Other 4/94 budg amendment Resolution	This project is listed in 199_3199_4_ budg	get.	Price basis Unit Total Other Payment require Lump sum Progress pay	Beginning date
Total commitment	Previous amendment This transaction Total A. Amount of contract B. Amount budgeted	t to be spent fiscal year	· ·	\$ 209,000 \$ 0 \$ 209,000 \$ 209,000 \$
Approvals	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		lyn.	
Division manager		Department director	1	Labor
Fiscal	 ·	Budget		Risk
l egal				



Procurement Review Summary

To: Procurement and	d Contracts Division	•		Vendor
From .	• .	Date 4/27/94		ODOT (REGION 1)
Department plan	nning	Subject		9002 SE MCLOUGHLIN
	lene Badrick n Thackston)	Bid	X Contract	MIL WAUKIE OR 97222 Vendor no.
Title	gt. Analyst	RFP	Other	Contract no. 90 3680
Extension -1-740		Purpose South	h/North Trans rnatives Anal	it Corridor Study ysis/DEIS Statement
Expense				
Procurement [Personal/profession	al services Services	vices (L/M)	Construction XXIGA
Revenue	Budget code(s) 140 122200 53	24100 4/	Price basis	Term
Contract	122200 32	24190 456 30	Unit	Completion
Grant	•	•	. 🔀 Total	Annual
Other		Other		× Multi-year**
	This project is listed in 1993 -1994 budg	the et.	Payment required	1-1-94
4/94 budg	<u> </u>	Type A Lump sum		Beginning date
amendment	n attchd-mu		Progress paym	<u>12/31/96</u> ents Ending date
Total commitment		<u> </u>		\$ 270,991
. •	Previous amendments			\$ 0
	This transaction			\$ 270 991
	Total	·		\$ 270 991
•	A. Amount of contract	to be spent fiscal year_	<u> </u>	\$
	B. Amount budgeted for	-		\$
C. Uncommitted/discretionary funds remaining as of			g as of	\$
Approvals		Malag	•	
Division manager		Department director		Labor
Fiscal		Rudant		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•	Budget		Risk
Legal	_			



Procurement Review Summary

	•			<u></u>	<u> </u>
To: Procurement and	d Contracts Division			Vendor	
From		Date 4/27/94		MULTNO	MAH COUNTY
Department Plan	nning	Subject			E 190H
Division HCT				PORTLA	ND OR 97233
1101110	lene Badrick	Bid	X Contract	Vendor no.	:
Title .	t. Analyst	RFP	Other	Contract no.	90 3681
Extension 1740	1590		h/North Trans		
Emana	<u> </u>	Alter	rnatives Anal	ysis/DEIS	Statement
Expense Procurement	Personal/profession	nal services Serv	rices (L/M)	Construction	ADI
Revenue	Budget code(s)	•	Price basis		Term
Contract	140 122200 5	24190 45600	Unit		Completion
Grant			Total		Annual
Other		<u> </u>	Other		X Multi-year**
	This project is listed in 199_3199_4_ budg	the			
		· ·	Payment required	•	1-1-94 Beginning date
4/94 budg amendment		Type A	Lump sum	<i>:</i>	_12/31/96
Resolution	n attchd-mult	Tyegr 	Progress payme	ents	Ending date
Total commitment	Original amount		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ 35,9	96
	Previous amendments	5	•	\$ 0	
	This transaction		•	\$ 35,99	6
	Total			\$ 35,99	6
	A. Amount of contract	to be spent fiscal year_		\$	
	B. Amount budgeted f	•		\$	
C. Uncommitted/discretionary funds remaining as of				\$	
Approvals		M 1-			
Division manager		Department director	A	Labor	
·	<u> </u>				•
Fiscal		Budget		Risk	
Legal			•		



Procurement Review Summary

				<u> </u>	
To: Procurement an	nd Contracts Division			Vendor	
From		Date 4/27/94	1	CITY OF MILWAUKIE	
	nning	Subject		P.D. BOX 22009	
	lene Badrick n Thackston)	Bid	X Contract Other	MILWAUKIE OR 97222 Vendorno.	
Title Asst. M	gt. Analyst	٠٠٠٠		Contract no. 903682	
Extension 1740	1590	Purpose Sout	ch/North Tran	sit Corridor Study Llysis/DEIS Statement	
Expense					
Procurement	Personal/professio	nal services Se	rvices (L/M)	Construction XXIGA	
Revenue	Budget code(s) 140 122200 5	524190 4 <u>6</u> 570	Price basis	Term	
Grant Other		•	Unit Total	Completion Annual	
Outer	This project is listed i	n the get.	Other Payment require	Multi-year** d	
4/94 budg amendment Resolutio		Type A	Lump sum Progress pay	12/31/96	
Total commitment	Original amount			\$ 118,808	
•	Previous amendment	ls .		\$ 0	
•	This transaction	•		\$ 118 808	
	Total			\$ 118 808	
•	A. Amount of contrac	t to be spent fiscal year	·	<u>\$</u>	
•	B. Amount budgeted	for contract		<u>\$</u>	
	C. Uncommitted/disc	retionary funds remaini	ng as of	_ \$	
Approvals	····	Mah	A		
Division manager		Department director	100	Labor	
Fiscal		Budget		Risk	
Legal		• .			



Procurement Review Summary

	. •			
To: Procurement and	d Contracts Division	•		Vendor
From .		Date 4/27/94		CLACKAMAS COUNTY
Department Plan	nning	Subject		902 AREENETHY ROAD
Division HCT		Bid	(m)	OREGON CITY, OR 97045
· ·	lene Badrick n Thackston)	RFP	X Contract	Vendor no.
Titla	gt. Analyst	U ucc	Other	Contract no. 90 3 68 3
Extension -1-740	1590	Purpose Sout	h/North Trans	it Corridor Study
Expense	`	Alte	rnatives Anal	ysis/DEIS Statement
Procurement [Personal/profession	nal services Serv	vices (L/M)	Construction XXIGA
Revenue	Budget code(s)	0.42.0.0.1.7.0.0	Price basis	Term
Contract	140 122200 5	24190 45390.	Unit	Completion
Grant	•		. 🔀 Total	Annual
Other		· ·	Other	X Multi-year**
	This project is listed in 199 <u>3</u> -199 <u>4</u> budg	n the get.	Payment required	1-1-94
4/94 budg	X Yes	Туре А	Lump sum	Beginning date
amendment Resolution	n attchd-mult	lweg _r	Progress paym	12/31/96 ents Ending date
Total commitment	Original amount	•		\$ 116,338
	Previous amendments	s ·		\$ 0
	This transaction			\$ 116 338
	Total			\$ 116.338
		to be spent fiscal year_	_	\$
	B. Amount budgeted t			\$
		etionary funds remainin	g as of	\$
	•	- W	9 43 01	
Approvals	· .	Mch	\	
Division manager		Department director	7	Labor
Fiscal	·································	Budget		Risk
Legal	<u> </u>	••	•	



Procurement Review Summary

To: Procurement and	d Contracts Division			Vendor
Department Plan Division HCT	nning	Date 4/27/94 Subject		CITY OF OREGON CITY 320 WARNER MILNE ROA OREGON CITY OR 97045
(for Karen	lene Badrick Thackston)	RFP	X Contract Other	Vendor no. Contract no. 903684
Extension 1740	1590	Purpose South Alter	n/North Trans cnatives Anal	it Corridor Study ysis/DEIS Statement
Expense Procurement	Personal/profession			Construction XXIGA
Revenue Contract Grant Other	Budget code(s) 140 122200 5	24190 <i>45</i> 610 	Price basis Unit X Total Other	Term Completion Annual Multi-year**
4/94 budg amendment Resolution	This project is listed in 199 <u>3</u> -199 <u>4</u> budg	et. Type A	Payment required Lump sum Progress paym	1-1-94 Beginning date
Total commitment	Original amount Previous amendments This transaction	•		\$ 60,588 \$ 0 \$ 1.0,588
:	Total A. Amount of contract B. Amount budgeted for	•		\$ 60,588 \$
	C. Uncommitted/discre	etionary funds remaining	g as of	\$
Approvals Division manager		Department director	y-	Labor
Fiscal Legal		Budget		Risk



Procurement Review Summary

						· . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
To: Procurement and	d Contracts Division			•	Vendor		
From .		Date 4/	27/94		CITY	OF GLAT	STONE
Department plan	nning	Subject	•	•	525	PORTLAND	
Division HCT					GLADS	TONE OR	9 70 27
110110	lene Badrick	Bid		X Contract	Vendor no.	2005	
Title	Thackston)	RFP		Other	Contract no.	903683	 5
Extension 1740	- .	Purpose		/North Trans			
	•		Alter	natives Anal	ysis/DEI	S Statement	
Expense							
Procurement [Personal/profession	nal services	Servi	ces (L/M)	Construction	[™] IGA	•
Revenue	Budget code(s)		_	Price basis		Term	
Contract	140 122200 5	24190 <i>45</i>	5470	Unit		Completion	•
Grant			-	X Total	•	Annual	
Other	 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Other	•	X Multi-year**	
	This project is listed in 199 3 -199 4 budg	the					
• •	<u>رم</u> .			Payment required	i	1-1-94 Beginning date	
4/94 budg. amendment		Type A		Lump sum		_12/31/96	5
Resolution	n attchd-mult	Twegr .		Progress payn	nents	Ending date	
Total commitment	Original amount	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			\$. 23,0	50	
	Previous amendments	S			<u>\$</u> 0		
	This transaction			• •	\$ 23.0	50	•
	Total				\$ 270	50	
	A. Amount of contract	to be spent fi	scal year_		\$		
	B. Amount budgeted f	or contract		·	\$		
	C. Uncommitted/discre	etionary funds	s remaining	as of	\$		***
Approvals		1	1 / 0				
Division manager	·	Department	director	11	Labor	<u> </u>	
Final	·						
Fiscal		Budget			Risk		
Legal		•			•	•	



Procurement Review Summary

To: Procurement and	d Contracts Division	•		Vendor	
From		Date 4/27/94		PORT OF	- PORTLAND
Department Plan	nning			P.O. Box	•
Division HCT		Subject			ND OR 97208
	lene Badrick n Thackston)	Bid	X Contract	Vendor no.	
Title	gt. Analyst	☐ RFP	Other	Contract no.	98 3686 ·
Extension _1-740	-	Purpose Sout	h/North Trans	it Corrid	or Study
Expense		Alte	rnatives Anal	ysis/DEIS	Statement
Procurement [Personal/profession	nal services Services	vices (L/M)	Construction	×3 IGA
Revenue	Budget code(s)	. cila-	Price basis		Term
Contract	140 122200 5	24190 464 10	Unit		Completion
Grant			. X Total	•	Annual
Other	 		Other		✓ Multi-year**
	This project is listed in 199 3 -199 4 budg	the et.	Payment required		1-1-94
4/94 budg		Type A	Lump sum		Beginning date
amendment					_12/31/96
Resolution	n attchd-mult	. -y ear	Progress payme	enis	Ending date
Total commitment	Original amount			\$ 35,65	,4
•	Previous amendments			<u>\$</u> 0	
•	This transaction		•	\$ 35,85	4
	Total			\$35,85	54
	A. Amount of contract	to be spent fiscal year_		\$	
	B. Amount budgeted for	or contract		\$	
	C. Uncommitted/discre	etionary funds remainin	g as of	\$	
Approvals		Al- L	,		•
Division manager		Department director	p~	Labor	
Fiscal	•	Budget		Risk	<u> </u>
L egal		•			



Procurement Review Summary

	•			
To: Procurement an	d Contracts Division			Vendor
From		Date 4/27/94		C-TRAN
Division HCT Name Dar (for Kare Title Asst. M	lene Badrick n Thackston) gt. Analyst	Subject Bid RFP	× Contract Other	P.D. BOX 2529 VANCOUVER, WA 98668-252 Vendor no. Contract no. 90 3687
Extension -1-740	<u> 1590 </u>	Purpose South	North Trans	sit Corridor Study Lysis/DEIS Statement
Expense Procurement	Personal/profession	al services Serv	ices (L/M)	Construction XXIGA
Revenue Contract Grant Other 4/94 budg amendment	Budget code(s) 140 122200 52 This project is listed in 199 3 -199 4 budg X Yes No attchd-mu to	the et. Type A	Price basis Unit Total Other Payment required Lump sum Progress paym	Beginning date
Total commitment	Original amount	year		\$ 582, 947
	Previous amendments	•		\$ 0
	This transaction			\$ 582,947
	Total			\$ 582,947
	A. Amount of contract	to be spent fiscal year_		<u>\$</u>
	B. Amount budgeted for	or contract		\$
•	C. Uncommitted/discre	tionary funds remaining	as of	\$
Approvals Division manager		Department director	f	Labor
Fiscal	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<i>y</i>	Labor
i isual		Budget	•	Risk
Logol		•		



Procurement Review Summary

To: Procurement an	d Contracts Division	•		Vendor	
From	•	Date 4/27/94		CLAR	K COUNTY
Division HCT Name Dar (for Kare	lene Badrick n Thackston) gt. Analyst	Alte	Contract Other h/North Trans rnatives Ana.	VANCOU Vendor no. Contract no.	RANKLIN STREET VER WA 98668 963698 dor Study
Revenue Contract Grant Other 4/94 budg amendment Resolutio	Budget code(s) 140 122200 5 This project is listed in 199_3 -199_4 budget X Yes No. AttChd-mu te	the get.	Price basis Unit Total Other Payment required Lump sum Progress payr		Term Completion Annual Multi-year** 1-1-94 Beginning date 12/31/96 Ending date
Total commitment	B. Amount budgeted	to be spent fiscal year_		\$ 88,3 \$ 0 \$ 88,3 \$ 88,3 \$ \$	
Approvals Division manager		Department director	fr-	Labor	
Fiscal		Budget		Risk	,
Legal					



Procurement Review Summary

		<u> </u>			•
To: Procurement ar	nd Contracts Division			Vendor	
From	•	Date 4/27/94		CITY OF	VANCOU UER
Department Pla	nning	Subject		P.O. BOX 1	•
(for Kare	lene Badrick n Thackston)	Bid	X Contract Other	Vendor no.	R WA 98668 3689
Extension -1-740	1590	Purpose Sout	h/North Tran	sit Corridor	Study
Expense Procurement	Personal/profession		vices (L/M)	. Construction XX	
Revenue Contract Grant Other	Budget code(s) 140 122200 5 This project is listed in 199 3 -199 4 bud	n the	Price basis Unit Total Other Payment require	×	n Completion Annual Multi-year** -1-94
4/94 budg amendment Resolutio	X Yes] Туре A	Lump sum Progress pay	Begi	nning date 2/31/96 ng date
Total commitment	Original amount		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ 113,008	
	Previous amendment This transaction Total	is	•	\$ 0 \$ 113,008 \$ 113,008	
	B. Amount budgeted	t to be spent fiscal year for contract retionary funds remainin		\$ - \$ - \$	
Approvals		Al he	w	•	 .
Division manager	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Department director		Labor	
Fiscal		Budget		Risk	
l egal	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			



Procurement Review Summary

To: Procurement and	Contracts Division	•		Vendor		
From .		Date 4/27/94		SW WAS	HINGTON .	RTC
	ning	Subject	·	13510	FFICERS'	ROW
Name Darl	ene Badrick Thackston)	Bid RFP	X Contract Other	Vendor no.	VER, WA	
	rt. Analyst			•	903690	· · ·
Extension -1.740	7590 	Purpose South	n/North Trans rnatives Anal	it Corric	dor Study S Statemen	t
Expense						
Procurement [Personal/profession	al services Serv	vices (L/M)	Construction	≅NBI	٠
Revenue	Budget code(s)		Price basis		Term	
Contract	140 122200 52	24190 456 90	Unit		Completion	
Grant			. 🔀 Total	•	Annual	
Other			. Other		X Multi-year*	•
••	This project is listed in 199 <u>3</u> -199 <u>4</u> budge	the et.	Payment required	i	1-1-94	
4/94 budg. amendment	<u> </u>	Type A	Lump sum	·	Beginning date	6
Resolution	attchd-mu ti	<u> </u>	Progress paym	ents	Ending date	
Total commitment	Original amount			\$ 536,	J46	•
	Previous amendments		•	<u>\$</u> 0	· · · · ·	
	This transaction			\$ 536	246	· ·
•	Total		•	\$ 530,	246	
·	A. Amount of contract	to be spent fiscal year_	<u> </u>	\$		
	B. Amount budgeted for	or contract		\$		
	C. Uncommitted/discre	tionary funds remaining	g as of	\$		***
Approvals		Al las	? ·			····
Division manager		Department director		Labor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Fiscal	·	Budget	<u>:</u>	Risk	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Logal						

STAFF REPORT

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 94-1960 FOR THE PURPOSE OF AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO EXECUTE FOURTEEN (14) MULTI-YEAR INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENTS FOR SOUTH/NORTH AA/DEIS PROJECTS.

May 10, 1994

Presented by: Andrew Cotugno

PROPOSED ACTION

Authorize the execution of intergovernmental agreements between Metro and the following jurisdictions and agencies for the South/North Transit Corridor Study and the extension of an IGA with the Port of Portland: Tri-Met, City of Portland, Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), Multnomah County, City of Milwaukie, Clackamas County, Oregon City, City of Gladstone, C-TRAN, Clark County, City of Vancouver, Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council (RTC) and the Washington State Department of Transportation.

The agreements recognize Metro as the lead agency for the South/North Transit Corridor Study and the manager of all project funds. The agreements outline responsibilities and products assigned to the participating jurisdictions and the reimbursement that they will receive from the project through Metro.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

- 1. Priority Corridor Selection. In April, 1993, Metro Council and RTC adopted joint resolution 93-1784 which established the South/North Transit Corridor as the region's next priority for a high capacity transit (HCT) study. The priority corridor designation was the conclusion of Phase One of the I-205/Milwaukie and the I-5/I-205 Portland/Vancouver Preliminary Alternatives Analyses (Pre-AA).
- 2. Initiation of AA/DEIS. In June 1993, Metro submitted an application to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to advance the South/North Corridor into Alternatives Analysis and asked FTA to issue its notice of intent to publish an environmental impact statement for the South/North Corridor. The request separated the South/North Transit Corridor Study into two tiers: Tier I to narrow the range of alternatives to be studied in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) and Tier II to prepare the DEIS and to select a locally preferred alternative. Tier I was described as containing much of the work that had previously been included within Phases Two and Three of the Pre-AAs. FTA approved the Preliminary Work Plan in September 1993 and issued its notice of intent to publish a DEIS in the Federal Register in October 1993.

3. Funding the Study. Committed resources of \$8.4 million include approximately \$2.6 million in Federal E-4 funds, \$2.0 million in State of Oregon Lottery Funds, \$2.5 million in Washington State High Capacity Transit Account funds, \$.63 million in C-TRAN funds, \$.4 million in residual I-205/Milwaukie Pre-AA Federal funds, and over \$112,000 in local match from Oregon jurisdictions remaining from the Pre-AAs.

On May 5, 1994 the South/North Project Management Group (PMG) adopted a revised budget for the study totaling \$10,787,994. This budget includes all Tier I and II tasks as well as completion of an Action Plan for the I-205 Corridor.

4. Intergovernmental Agreements. All agencies and jurisdictions participating in the South/North Study, except the City of Gladstone and Oregon City, had Pre-AA IGAs with Metro that were effective through September 1993. Locally, work on the South/North Transit Corridor Study was initiated in July 1993 and was conducted using the Pre-AA IGAs that were extended through December 1993. Revenue IGAs between Metro and C-TRAN and Metro and ODOT were executed effective July 1993 and February 1994, respectively. Another IGA between Metro and WSDOT that provides for sharing the costs of funding the Expert Review Panel was executed in November 1993.

The proposed IGAs provides for the continuation of work on the South/North Study through the conclusion of Tier II and the selection of a locally preferred alternative in mid-1996. The IGAs are in compliance with the South/North Work Plan approved by the PMG on May 5, 1994. This Work Plan defines all the work elements and tasks needed to complete Tier I and Tier II of the study and defines the responsibilities and products assigned to each agency and jurisdiction for each task in the study. The Work Plan is the scope of work for each of the proposed IGAs.

Prior to the adoption of the new budget, Metro worked and with each jurisdiction to identify only the essential tasks and expenditures needed to complete Tier I and II. This budget is broken down by each agency and major consultant contact and includes a five-percent contingency. Over \$500,000 in savings were identified and excluded from the adopted budget.

Further, the IGAs provide a mechanism for acknowledging the study's revenue shortfall of approximately \$2.4 million. Consistent with an approach approved by the South/North PMG on April 15, 1994, the IGAs approve a complete budget for each jurisdiction. For Tri-Met and Metro, the IGAs assure that 100% of all identified consultant contract obligations and Metro's existing IGA with WSDOT to fund support for the Expert Review Panel are funded. For all other expenses within the IGAs (e.g. staff, overhead, materials and unidentified services) Metro can ensure at this time that only 72.7% of those costs are funded.

Under the PMG's approach and described within the IGAs, following the conclusion of the Action Plan for the I-205 Corridor (approximately September 1994) Metro and the jurisdictions will prepare a funding plan to cover the \$2.4 million revenue shortfall and submit it to the PMG for approval. Additional funding sources include the State of Oregon, the State of Washington, Federal E-4 grants and contributions from local jurisdictions.

Table I below identifies the budgets for each jurisdiction's proposed IGA, consistent with Attachment A (the total revised study budget as adopted by the PMG). Attachment B provides an example of the contract language consistent with all new IGAs with slight modifications for ODOT, Tri-Met, Oregon City and Gladstone.

Table 1

	•			
•	Pre-AA IGA 7/1	This IGA	Total	Funded Portion of
Agency	12/31/93	1/1/93-3/31/96	7/1/93-3/31/96	Total
Tri-Met	\$224,878	\$1,099,990	\$1,324,868	\$963,165
Tri-Met Engineering Consultant		\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000
City of Portland	\$10,000	\$199,000	\$209,000	\$151,941
ODOT	\$22,072	\$248,919	\$270,991	\$197,008
Multnomah Co.	\$2,838	\$33,158	\$35,996	\$26,169
City of Milwaukie	\$7,608	\$111,200	\$118,808	•
Clackamas Co.	\$18,021	•	\$116,338	· •
Oregon City	\$7,287	\$53,301	•	\$84,577
Gladstone	\$750	\$22,300	\$60,588	\$44,047
Port of Portland	. \$10,854	•	\$23,050	. \$16,757
C-TRAN	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$25,000	\$35,854	\$26,065
Clark Co.	\$41,521	\$541,426	. \$582,947	\$423,796
	\$15,920	\$72,420	\$88,340	\$64,222
City of Vancouver	\$3,002	\$110,006	\$113,008	\$82,156
RTC	\$16,246	\$520,000	\$536,246	\$389,845
WSDOT Clark Co.	\$2,216	\$36,360	\$38,576	\$28,044

Note: Gladstone and Oregon City ISAs would be effective 7/1/93-3/31/96. Port of Portland IGA would be an extension of the Pre-AA IGA

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

The Executive Officer recommends approval of Resolution No. 94-1960.

T:\CLERICAL\SNIGAS.RPT

PLANNING COMMITTEE REPORT

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 94-1960 AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO EXECUTE FOURTEEN (14) MULTI-YEAR INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENTS FOR THE SOUTH/NORTH AA/DEIS PROJECTS

Date: May 23, 1994 Presented By: Councilor Monroe

<u>Committee Recommendation:</u> At the May 19, 1994 meeting, the Planning Committee voted 5-0 to recommend Council adoption of Resolution No. 94-1960. Voting in favor: Councilors Kvistad, Gates, Monroe, Moore, and Washington. Absent: Councilors Gardner, Devlin, and McLain.

Committee Issues/Discussion: Andy Cotugno, Planning Director, presented the staff report. He explained that this action authorizes the execution of fourteen intergovernmental agreements between Metro and several local jurisdictions for the South/North AA/DEIS Projects. Metro will act as the lead agency for the South/North Transit Corridor Study and as project manager.

There was no committee discussion.