APRIL 19, 1982

SOLID WASTE POLICY ALTERNATIVES COMMITTEE

Members

John Trout
Michael Sievers
Bob Harris
Paul Johnson
Gary Newbore
John Gray
Dave Phillips
Dick Howard
Ed Sparks
Kelly Wellington
Shirley Coffin

Staff

Norm Wietting
Dennis O'Neil
Doug Drennen
Terilyn Anderson
Dave Sturtevant
Evelyn Brown
Dan LaGrande
Jim Parr
Don Carlson
Jennifer Sims
Bev Bailey

Guests

John Lorenz, Metropolitan Disposal Corporation
Jamie Cozzetto, Metropolitan Disposal Corporation
John Hayes, The Oregonian
Dean Miller, Miller's Sanitary Service
Henry Hortenstine, Washington County SWAC
Jane Rhodes, Metro Councilor
Bob Oleson, Metro Councilor
Bob Breihof, PRRO's
Merle Irvine, Alexander's Dispos-Haul
Ken Scholes, Alexander's Dispos-Haul
John Charles, OEC
Jeanne Roy, League of Women Voters
Frank Deaver, SWAC

Solid Waste Policy Alternatives Committee April 19, 1982 Page 2

Mr. John Trout called the meeting to order. The minutes of the April 5, 1982 meeting were approved as written. Mr. Trout introduced Mr. John Gray, the newest member of SWPAC.

The first item on the agenda under old business was Alexander's Dispos-Haul Systems, Inc. franchise application. Teri Anderson briefly reviewed their application. Mr. Merle Irvine of Alexander's commented on the application and answered a question on ownership of the company.

The Committee voted unanimously by a voice vote to go over the amendments before going over the franchise applications. Dave Phillips gave a report on the subcommittee's recommendations. The subcommittee consisted of Mr. Phillips, Mr. Sandberg, Mr. Howard, and Mr. Sievers. The subcommittee looked at two major problem areas. These areas dealt with local solid waste ordinances and the issue of paying bills. The subcommittee recommended that the Committee forward these as amendments to the Regional Services Committee. The first amendment would add language to the Disposal Franchise Ordinance requiring franchisees to comply with local jurisdiction's rules, regulations and ordinances. The second amendment dealt with delinquent payments. Dennis O'Neil explained that staff wants to stay out of any disputes regarding delinquent payments.

Mr. Howard moved that SWPAC adopt the proposed amendments and recommend the adoption of same to the Council. Mr. Harris seconded the motion.

Roll call vote:

Ms.	Coffin:	Aye
Mr.	Howard:	Aye
Mr.	Harris:	Aye
Mr.	Johnson:	Aye
	Newbore:	Aye
Mr.	Phillips:	Aye
Mr.	Sievers:	Aye
Mr.	Sparks:	Aye
Mr.	Trout:	Aye
Mr.	Wellington:	Aye
Mr.	Gray:	Āye

The motion passed.

Mr. Newbore made a motion to recommend Alexander's franchise application to Council for approval. Mr. Wellington seconded the motion.

Solid Waste Policy Alternatives Committee April 19, 1982 Page 3

Roll call vote:

Ms. Coffin: Aye Mr. Howard: No Mr. Harris: Aye Mr. Johnson: Aye Mr. Newbore: Aye Mr. Phillips: No Mr. Sievers: Aye Mr. Sparks: Aye Mr. Trout: No Mr. Wellington: Aye Mr. Gray: Abstain

The motion passed.

The next application was Sunflower Recycling. Teri Anderson reviewed the application for the Committee. Sunflower is requesting several variances: 1) Section 7(2)(a) of the Disposal Franchise Ordinance. This requires a \$25,000 surety bond. Sunflower asks that no bond be required. 2) Metro requires \$500,000 public liability insurance. Sunflower currently has \$300,000 insurance. They would like their current amount to be acceptable. 3) Sunflower has asked for a variance to the franchise fee which is \$200/year. 4) They have asked for a variance to the user fee requirement. At the last meeting, the Committee had asked if they could recommend a lesser surety bond. Ms. Anderson reported that the Disposal Franchise Ordinance would have to be changed.

Ms. Anderson explained, regarding the surety bond, that if the Committee still wanted the franchisee to obtain a surety bond, that it could cover only the cost of cleaning up the site. She said staff was satisfied with \$300,000 public liability insurance and also explained that if Sunflower receives more than ten cubic yards per week, the franchise would be subject to modification and review. Councilor Jane Rhodes said that waiving the surety bond would not be acceptable to the Council. The representative from Sunflower felt that \$500 would more than cover the cost of cleanup.

Mr. Dave Phillips moved approval of granting Sunflower's franchise application with requested variances, with the exception of the bond and that the bond amount be reasonable to cover the cost of cleanup. Staff would determine the amount, but it would be less than \$25,000. Mr. Wellington seconded the motion.

Roll call vote:

Ms. Coffin: Aye Mr. Howard: Aye

Solid Waste Policy Alternatives Committee April 19, 1982 Page 4

> Mr. Harris: Aye Mr. Johnson: Aye Mr. Newbore: Ave Mr. Phillips: Aye Mr. Sievers: Aye Mr. Sparks: Aye Mr. Trout: No Mr. Wellington: Aye Mr. Gray: Aye

The motion passed.

Teri Anderson then reviewed the application from Metropolitan Disposal Corporation..

Mr. Howard made a motion to approve MDC's application. Mr. Sparks seconded the motion.

Roll call vote:

Ms.	Coffin:	Aye
Mr.	Howard:	Aye
Mr.	Harris:	Aye
Mr.	Johnson:	Āye
Mr.	Newbore:	Aye
Mr.	Phillips:	Aye
Mr.	Sievers:	Aye
Mr.	Sparks:	Aye
Mr.	Trout:	No
Mr.	Wellington:	Aye
Mr.	Gray:	Ave

The motion passed.

The Committee moved on to new business, which was the Solid Waste budget for FY83. Don Carlson introduced himself and Jennifer Sims. Mr. Carlson gave a brief overview of the entire Metro proposed budget. He explained that there was \$39 million included in the proposed budget. He went on to say that there were nine funds; 4 Operating funds--General Planning, Zoo, and Solid Waste; two Capital funds--the Zoo and Solid Waste. There is a Debt fund dealing with Solid Waste and two Technical Assistance funds which are for Transportation and Criminal Justice. Mr. Carlson explained that there was a transfer from the Solid Waste Operating fund to the General fund for overhead services. The Operating fund for Solid Waste is about \$7.5 million, the Capital fund is about \$15.6 million, and the Debt fund is about \$0.8 million. All this is about \$24 million, which makes up about 61 percent of Metro's proposed \$39 million budget.

Solid Waste Policy Alternatives Committee April 19, 1982
Page 5

Mr. Carlson turned the meeting over to Doug Drennen. Mr. Drennen explained that Solid Waste Operations includes administration and operation of the disposal facilities, administration and enforcement of the franchise and ordinances, and facilities planning and waste reduction. He went over the handouts on the budget with the Committee. Mr. Drennen explained what each program contained.

After the presentation, the Committee and staff discussed the transfer of funds for overhead and priorities of the Solid Waste program. There was some discussion on disposal fee and user fee, and the bond sale for the Energy Recovery Facility. The bond sale is to repay the Solid Waste Capital fund. Councilor Rhodes said that if the Council decides not to go with the Energy Recovery Facility that there will be no bonds and the money which has already been spent will have to be paid back some other way.

Mr. Norm Wietting explained that we have provided a minimum budget knowing that we have three major decisions in the first six months of this fiscal year. Those decisions include Energy Recovery, or involvement in transfer stations, and Multnomah County's decision on Wildwood.

The Committee decided to have a special meeting on Friday, April 23, at 9:30 a.m., to discuss the budget in more detail. Mr. Trout suggested Metro go to the public and ask for a tax base.

The meeting was adjourned.

bb 5936B/D3

CENTRAL SERVICE COSTS.

THIS TYPE OF PLAN IS USED TO DISTRIBUTE THE COST OF SUPPORTIVE SERVICES PROVIDED BY CENTRALIZED DEPARTMENTS TO THE OPERATING DEPARTMENTS CONDUCTING FEDERAL PROGRAMS. EXAMPLES OF CENTRAL SERVICE COST ARE:

PROCUREMENT

PAYROLL

PERSONNEL

MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF SPACE

DATA PROCESSING

ACCOUNTING

BUDGETING

AUDITING

LEGAL

MAILROOM

MOTOR POOL

Under FMC 74-4, the costs of supporting centralized department services, either directly billed to operating departments or allocated through an allocation plan, can be charged to federal programs.

SUGGESTED BASES FOR COST DISTRIBUTION

FOLLOWING ARE SUGGESTED BASES FOR DISTRIBUTING JOINT COSTS OF CERTAIN CENTRAL-TYPE SERVICES TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS OR AGENCIES AND TO PROJECTS AND PROGRAMS UTILIZING THESE SERVICES. THE SUGGESTED BASES ARE NOT MANDATORY FOR USE IF THEY ARE NOT SUITABLE FOR THE PARTICULAR SERVICES INVOLVED. ANY METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION CAN BE USED WHICH WILL PRODUCE AN EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF COST. IN SELECTING ONE METHOD OVER ANOTHER, CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO THE ADDI-TIONAL EFFORT REQUIRED TO ACHIEVE A GREATER DEGREE OF ACCURACY.

TYPE OF SERVICE -

ACCOUNTING

AUDITING

BUDGETING

BUILDING LEASE MANAGEMENT

DATA PROCESSING

DISBURSING SERVICE

EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM ADMINISTRATION

INSURANCE MANAGEMENT SERVICE

MAIL AND MESSENGER SERVICE

MOTOR POOL COSTS INCLUDING AUTOMOTIVE MANAGEMENT

OFFICE SPACE USE AND RELATED COSTS (HEAT, LIGHT, JANITOR SERVICE, ETC.)

ORGANIZATION & MANAGEMENT SERV.

PAYROLL SERVICES

PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION

PRINTING & REPRODUCTION

PROCUREMENT SERVICE

LOCAL TELEPHONE

HEALTH SERVICES

FIDELITY BONDING PROGRAM

SUGGESTED BASES FOR ALLOCATION

TOTAL DOLLAR VOLUME OR NUMBER OF

TRANSACTIONS PROCESSED

DIRECT AUDIT HOURS

DIRECT HOURS OF IDENTIFIABLE

SERVICES OF EMPLOYEES OF CENTRAL BUDGET

NUMBER OF LEASES

SYSTEM USAGE

NUMBER OF CHECKS OR WARRANTS ISSUED

NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES CONTRIBUTING

DIRECT HOURS

NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS HANDLED OR EM-

PLOYEES SERVED

MILES DRIVEN AND/OR DAYS USED

SQ. FT. OF SPACE OCCUPIED

DIRECT HOURS

NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES

NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES

DIRECT HOURS, JOB BASIS, PAGES

PRINTED, ETC.

NUMBER OF TRANSACTIONS PROCESSED

NUMBER OF TELEPHONE INSTRUMENTS

NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES

EMPLOYEES SUBJECT TO BOND OR PENALTY

AMOUNTS

ALLOCATION WORKSHEET

1.	FUND	CTENERAL
2.	DEPARTMENT	FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION
3.	DIVISION	ACCOUNTING
4.	DESCRIPTION	Personnel Services
5.	TOTAL BUDGET	\$198,088
6.	LESS: UNALLOWE	D
	EXPLANAT	ION
7	LESS: ELIMINAT	TON
•	EXPLANAT	
8.	DIRECT COST	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9.	INDIRECT COST	4 198,088
10.	ALLOCATION DES	CRIPTION:
	Specific c	est allocation is based on # of transactions
	for 3	months (teb, July, Det) for their pagare
	Accts	roble and Payroll
	Pooled co	sts - were a spread on same basis
	as e	exec man personne!
	•	Spec pooled total.
	plan	15,077 1500 500 20%
	Sw	47,541 11410 58,431 50% 110,929 2853 113,782 57%
	7.00	198088 100%

	INITIALS	DATE	REFERENCE	
PREPARED BY			4-16-	83
CHECKED				_
APPROVED BY			KVIS	

3 month review)Total Total Total Feb, July, Oct 81 Total Payroll. Acct Pay Act Roble 827 129 General Fund-390 239 198 486 Planning Fund 332 100 6 Solid Waste 1532 24 84 231 3594 569 890 998 200 64.39 specific Costs costs 15,847 PLAN 8% Solid Whate 24 % 47,541 22 110929 56% Zoo 23 POOLED COSTS = \$23 171

ALLOCATION BASED ON SAME SPREAD AS EXEC 40% 9508 PLAN 11410 48% SW 12% 700 32 33



Funa:

OPERATING

Department: SOLID WASTE

DEPARTMENT PURPOSE (General Statement of Goals & Objectives)

DEPARTMENT PROGRAMS

- 4. Clackamas Transfer and Recycling Center
- 5. Transfer Station Planning
- 6. Landfill Siting
- 7. Waste Reduction

MAJOR OBJECTIVES FOR YEAR

To provide a convenient, environmentally and economically sound facility to receive solid waste generated in the southern portion of the Metro district, when Rossman's Landfill closes, and to provide recycling of source separated material.

As a result of existing landfills reaching capacity, provide facilities between the point of generation and a disposal site for the purpose or reducing hauling costs; achieving lowest total system costs and to provide flow control.

To select and obtain permits for the most environmentally sound site for use as a new sanitary landfill considering protection of public health, safety and welfare.

To maximize waste reduction by providing a variety of supportive services to existing and future recycling efforts and by providing recycling opportunities at Metro facilities.

To demonstrate a publicly acceptable and feasible alternative for the recovery of yard debris in the Portland metropolitan area. Based on a final evaluation of this project to design and recommend a viable long-term program for recovery and processing of yard debris in the Metro region.



Fund

OPERATING

Department: SOLID WASTE

DEPARTMENT PURPOSE (General Statement of Goals & Objectives)

Metro's Solid Waste Department is responsible for regional solid waste planning and implementation.

Department Goals:

- 1. Reducing the amount of solid waste generated, top priority
- 2. Recycling and reuse is the best use of solid waste rather than mechanical processing or landfilling
- 3. The processing of solid waste for the recovery of energy and materials is a better option for disposal than landfilling

DEPARTMENT PROGRAMS

MAJOR OBJECTIVES FOR YEAR

1. General Solid Waste

To provide overall administration and support services to all of Solid Waste's programs and coordination with Metro Council.

To ensure an optimum number of environmentally sound and economically feasible disposal facilities to service commercial haulers and the general public; to establish disposal rates in the public interest and to enforce provisions of the Metro Code.

2. Energy Recovery Facility

To provide a facility to maximize energy production from solid waste and reduce dependency on land-filling.

To develop sufficient local community acceptance and support of Metro's Resource Recovery Facility and to ensure proper permits are granted and the ongoing operation into the local community.

3. St. Johns Landfill

To operate the St. Johns Landfill in an environmentally sound and economical manner in accordance with existing permits and conditions; to achieve maximum use of the site as a sanitary landfill and finish the site for future use according to an agreement with the City of Portland.

To provide for the efficient recovery of methane gas generated at the St. Johns Landfill for energy utilization by industry and to provide maximum revenue to Metro.



Materials & Services, Capital Outlay, General Expense Fiscal Year 1983

Fund: Solid Waste-Operating

Department: Solid Waste

Division:

	inco riscar real 1905				Division:				
ACCOUNT #	ITEM	CURRENT BUDGET	CHANGE	REQUESTED AMOUNT	JUSTIFICATION				
	Transfer to Debt Service			385,800 \	129,400 - SW117 St. Johns Expansion 88,100 - SW118 Site Development during Construction 72,800 - St. Johns Rates 95,500 - CTRC Rates				
	Transfer to General Fund			598,400	469,000 - User Fee 92,400 - St. Johns 37,000 - CTRC				
	Transfer to Capital Improvement Fund	•••		344,000	St. Johns Final Cover				
	Contingency			298,014					
				1,626,214					
				·					
				·					

Fiscal Year 1983

DEPARTMENT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

FUND Solid Waste- Operating

DEPARTMENT Solid Waste

		1	DIVISIONS	OR PROGRA	AMS			TOTAL
CATEGORY	General S. W.	ERF	Transfer Stations	Landfill Siting	St. Johns	CIRC	Waste Reduction	DEPARTMENT
REVENUE								
User Fee (1.33)	734,255				93,100	,	322,645	1,150,000
User Fee(.35) 1/1/83	150,000							150,000
Franchise Fee	750							. 750
Carry Over	300,000	80,000		•				380,000
Disposal Fees 15% St. Johns					4,169,093	831,126	18,550	5,018,769
Transfer from Capital		345,915	138,037	204,595		31,235		719,782
EPA Grant							59,000	59,000
Salvage Revenue			*);		3,500	2,000		5,500
Interest	60,000		·					60,000
	1,245,005	425,915	138,037	204 595	4,265,693	864,361	400,195	7,543,801
TOTAL REVENUE	1,245,005	423,313	130,037	204,393	4,200,095	004,301	400,193	7,343,601
EXPENDITURES								
Personnel Services	217,151	141,385	67,127	59,835	180,703	76,026	118,091	860,318
Materials & Services	107,840	284,530	70,910	131,760	3,500,690	624,600	272,104	4,992,434
Capital Outlay	2,000	-0-	-0- .	13,000	8,600	31,235	10,000	64,835
General Expense	918,014	-0-	-0-	-0-	575,700	132,500	-0-	1,626,214
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	1,245,005	425,915	138,037	204,595	4,265,693	864,361	400,195	7,543,801

Solid Waste-Operating

PROGRAM: General Solid Waste

PROGRAM PURPOSE (General Statement of Goals & Objectives)

General Solid Waste is responsible for regional solid waste planning and implementation.

Program Goals: 1) reducing the amount of solid waste generated, top priority; 2) recycling and reuse is the best use of solid waste rather than mechanical processing or landfilling; and 3) the processing of solid waste for the recovery of energy and materials is a better option for disposal than landfilling.

Disposal

Plan:

To administer and enforce the Solid Waste Disposal Franchise Ordinance Franchise: including rate setting, franchise monitoring, and enforcement leading to compliance.

Administration: To provide overall administration and support service to all Solid Waste's programs and coordination with the Metro Council.

Facilities Implementation

To continue development and updating the cost of the solid waste system, for the purpose of evaluating alternatives and maintaining long-term financial planning including administering capital funds.

SUBPROGRAM ,

Disposal Franchise

Evaluate Rate Proposals

Monitor/Audit Franchisees

Enforcement

New Franchises

Ordinance Amendments

Administration

1. Budget

.2. Solid Waste Management Plan

MAJOR OBJECTIVES FOR YEAR

Set rates for CTRC and St. Johns Landfill by December 1982. Set rates for other landfills. Set rates for two limited purpose landfills within 3 months after rate adjustment requests are received.

Determine compliance with financial and other requirements of ordinance and franchise conditions.

Detect unauthorized disposal sites and other prohibited activities. Enforce compliance with franchise ordinance.

Evaluate applications for new franchisees when received.

Evaluate administration and enforcement program. Recommend any necessary changes in Ordinance by May 1983.

- 1. To effectively and efficiently monitor the FY83 budget.
- 2. To develop the Solid Waste Department's budget for FY84.
- 1. Obtain Council adoption of Updated Solid Waste Management Plan.



Fund:

Solid Waste-Operating

PROGRAM: General Solid Waste

SUBPROGRAM

General

Facilities Implementation Plan

Prepare FIP - Management Plan

Administer Capital Funds

Annual Update of Cost and Schedules

MAJOR OBJECTIVES FOR YEAR

- To establish and maintain Internal Task Responsibilities and Critical Task Schedule.
- 2. To establish Internal Organization, Monitoring and Reporting Channels.
- 3. To establish and maintain Procedures for Monitoring Design, Construction and Operational Contracts, State-Federal Grant/Loan Contracts.
- 4. To perform Sensitivity Analysis of Implementation Schedule for Various Solid Waste Components.
- 5. To establish and maintain Accounting/Billing Procedures for Commercial Charge Accounts.
- 6. To establish Uniform Disposal Fee.

Prepare report summarizing cost impacts of providing solid waste facilities.

Secure coordination of construction activities and investments of capital funds and coordinate with DEQ loans and grants for CTRC, Wildwood, and Transfer Stations.

Continue: to update cost factors and landfill capacities to monitor cost and schedules of solid waste landfills.

Ford:

Solid Waste-Operating

PROGRAM: Energy Recovery Facility

PROGRAM PURPOSE (General Statement of Goals & Objectives)

Energy Recovery Facility

To assist Metro Council in review of Energy Recovery Project, maintain a field office in Oregon City, analyze disposal and/or use option for ash residue and determine the route and funding source for the steam pipeline.

Energy Recovery Facility - Council Project Review Process

To assist the Metro Council in providing a comprehensive, thorough review of the Energy Recovery Project proposal prior to decision.

Energy Recovery Facility - Oregon City Field Office

Maintain the field office as the information center for the CTRC and ERF, and as the focus of the community outreach program; seek approval of necessary local permits for the project.

Energy Recovery Facility - Ash Residue

To further develop a market or use of the ash residue and/or secure a site to place material that would be cost effective to the system if the decision is made to proceed with FRE.

40

SUBPROGRAM

Energy Recovery Facility

1. Council Project Review Process

Oregon City Field Office

1. Operate and Maintain Field Office

MAJOR OBJECTIVES FOR YEAR

- 1. Complete information package for Council review and decision on Energy Recovery Facility.
- 2. Provide an independent review of the project to the Council.
- Provide presentations and consultations by project team of the project to the Council.
- 1. Maintain "hotline" for information on CTRC and ERF.
- 2. Continue liaison with local governments and community groups.
- 3. Provide information to local news media.
- 4. Coordinate with other Metro departments on solid waste program.
- 5. Provide photographic record of project development.
- 6. Coordinate development of first source hiring program.
- 7. Provide monthly reports on ERF as required by conditional use permit.

Funu.

Solid Waste-Operating

PROGRAM: Energy Recovery Facility

Oregon City Field Office (cont.)

- 8. Coordinate with construction management program as it affects local community.
- 9. Continue local schools program.
- 10. Provide signs for all stages of construction.
- 11. Provide educational program on "how to use CTRC" for haulers and citizens.

Ash Residue

- 1. Market Analysis
- 2. Identify Potential Sites for Disposing of Ash

Continue to work with local industry to develop use of material.

Identify existing gravel pits and evaluate impacts on site specific basis based on cost, environmental soundness and as part of overall system. Field test for certain sites may be required.

F

Solid Waste-Operating

PROGRAM: St. Johns Landfill

PROGRAM PURPOSE (General Statement of Goals & Objectives)

St. Johns Landfill

To operate the St. Johns Landfill in an environmentally sound and economical manner in accordance with existing permits and conditions to achieve maximum use of the site as a sanitary landfill, determine the feasibility of mining methane gas and develop an end use plan for the St. Johns Landfill.

St. Johns Landfill-Operation- To operate the St. Johns Landfill in an environmentally sound and economical manner in accordance with

existing permits and conditions.

St. Johns Landfill-End Use- In conjunction with developing the procurement options and implementations of the methane gas project develop conceptual plan for the end use of St. Johns Landfill.

St. Johns Landfill-Methane Gas- To develop for approval by Council a total implementation and procurement package including an energy sales agreement.*

SUBPROGRAM

St. Johns Landfill - Operation

1. Gatehouse operations

- 2. Expansion Area and Area 1
- 3. Tree Study
- Coordination with the City of Portland
- 5. New Disposal Rate at St. Johns Landfill

MAJOR OBJECTIVES FOR YEAR

- To administer operation contracts (i.e., Genstar yard debris, recycling) at the St. Johns Landfill.
- 2. Operation of the gatehouse and maintenance and improvements to financial control systems.
- 3. To implement two billing improvements add a battery/generator power supply for the data storage console and a direct computer link from St. Johns to Metro.
- 4. To complete several planned improvements (e.g., wash rack flood light, improvement of gatehouse area electrical and telephone lines; improvement of front area lawn drainage, final cover and grass for 20-26 acres of Area 2 and final cover on the balance of the 53 acre Area 2).
- 1. To monitor and maintain the expansion area and expansion area.
- 1. To complete a growing experiment and study of growing trees on Area 1.
- 1. To coordinate with the City of Portland regarding matters of the St. Johns Landfill.
- 1. To determine a new disposal rate for the St. Johns Landfill.

^{*}Procurement options will be developed and approved in conjunction with city of Portland.



Fun. Solid Waste - Operating

PROGRAM: St. Johns Landfill

St. Johns Landfill - Operation, cont

St. Johns Landfill Perimeter 1. Drain

To determine the necessity of a perimeter drain for the St. Johns Landfill.

St. Johns Landfill - End Use

Product

1. Prepare conceptual plan relating the end use of St. Johns to methane gas system.

Develop cooperation with City of Portland a list of potential uses for St. Johns Landfill. Prepare landscape/conceptual plan.

St. Johns Landfill - Methane Gas

1. Develop procurement options

2. Obtain (either royalty or direct agreement) energy sales contract to quarantee revenue to continue project.

List options and work with city staff to evaluate and presentation for Council and recommend alternative implementation strategy for project to assure greatest return on project.

> Issue RFP for full service/royalty payment package or enter into negotiations for the purpose of selling energy with potential markets.

> > *i* :



. d: Solid Waste-Operating
PROGRAM: Clackamas Transfer & Recycling Center

PROGRAM PURPOSE (General Statement of Goals & Objectives)

To construct, start-up and operate a convenient, environmentally and economically sound facility (Clackamas Transfer and Recycling Center) to receive solid waste generated in the southern portion of the Metro district, when Rossman's Landfill closes, and to provide recycling of source separated material.

SUBPROGRAM

- 1. Manage Construction of Facility 1.
- 2. Select Operations Contractor
- 3. Coordinate Start-up Gatehouse
- 4. Start-up Gatehouse
- 5. Establish Rate
- 6. Manage operations contract
- 7. Manage gatehouse

MAJOR OBJECTIVES FOR YEAR

- 1. To assure construction proceeds within cost, on schedule and according to specifications.
- 2. To coordinate the transition from the construction contract to operations contract.
- 1. To select and execute a contract for the operations of the CTRC.
- 1. To coordinate the transfer operations with St. Johns Landfill.
- 1. To establish operational procedures for gate operation.
- 2. Hire personnel
- 1. To establish disposal rate for the CTRC.
- 1. To manage and administer the operations contract
- 1. To manage the gatehouse in an effective and efficient manner.



Fu. .

Solid Waste-Operating

PROGRAM: Transfer Station Planning

PROGRAM PURPOSE (General Statement of Goals & Objectives)

To work in conjunction with local agencies and private haulers to site transfer stations to provide service as determined by these groups and present Council with alternatives to implement and construct the west station either by exclusive franchise or by Metro.

SUBPROGRAM

1. West Station

- . Siting and evaluation
- . Design/Construction

2. East Station

. Siting and evaluation

MAJOR OBJECTIVES FOR YEAR

Activities

To work with local agencies and private haulers to evaluate potential sites and to obtain approval and permits.

To proceed with design and construction of a west station upon a decision by Council. Project at this point is funded by capital.

To work with local agencies and private haulers to determine service needs and to proceed with general siting as required.



.id: Solid Waste - Operating

PROGRAM:

Landfill Siting

PROGRAM PURPOSE (General Statement of Goals & Objectives)

To obtain land use authorization from Multnomah County for the Wildwood landfill followed by additional studies leading to a preliminary design and operation plan.

SUBPROGRAM

Land use authorization from Multnomah County

Other permits

Further technical studies and preliminary design

Site ownership or lease arrangements

Public involvement

MAJOR OBJECTIVES FOR YEAR

Positive hearings officer's recommendation by August. Favorable county commissioners' action by late fall. Favorable ruling by LCDC Land Use Board of Appeals by Spring, 1983 if opponents appeal County authorization.

Other permits and easements (DEQ, Greenway, Corps of Engineers, Highway, etc.) obtained or applied for during FY 1983.

Consultant selected to perform these tasks after a favorable ruling by Multnomah County Commissioners. Geotechnical and other studies completed and preliminary design underway by mid-spring 1983.

Negotiations begun for most favorable site ownership or lease arrangements.

Public kept currently and accurately informed about landfill siting development.



Fund:

Solid Waste-Operating

PROGRAM: Waste Reduction

PROGRAM PURPOSE (General Statement of Goals & Objectives)

To increase the Metropolitan Region's:

- a) Awareness of recycling significance.
- b) Participation rate.
- c) Amount of material collected.

SUBPROGRAM

Residential recycling

- a) Curbside pick up
- b) Monthly project
- c) Cooperative marketing
- d) Drop off centers

Commercial/Institutional Recycling

a) Recycling information kit

General waste reduction

- a) Recycling switchboard
- b) Recycling newsletter
- c) Yard debris program

MAJOR OBJECTIVES FOR YEAR

- 1. To administer recycling grant programs.
- 2. To administer and implement the yard debris prog.
- 3. To further the intent of cooperative marketing.
- 4. To operate the recycling switchboard.
- 5. To establish a systematic reporting system for material collected and participation rate.
- 6. To establish a systematic contact and follow-up system to promote commercial/institutional recycling.
- 7. To select areas and implement a systematic education program for curbside pickup
 - a) School education program.
 - b) Community presentation program.
 - c) Promotional program.
- 3. Assist in the overall preparation of promotional/ educational strategies.
- To provide technical assistance to local government, industry and general public.
- To promote better communication and coordination among all participants in the waste reduction area.

Fund: Capital Improvement Fund

PROGRAM: Solid Waste

PROGRAM PURPOSE (General Statement of Goals & Objectives)

To acquire land for future facilities and design and construct solid waste facilities which provide service and assure the disposal of solid waste in a cost effective and environmentally acceptable manner.

SUBPROGRAM

CTRC and Site Development

Transfer Stations

St. Johns Landfill

Wildwood Landfill

Transfer to Operating Fund

MAJOR OBJECTIVES FOR YEAR

The contracts to construct and manage the construction of the Clackamas Transfer and Recycling Center should be completed by Janaury, 1983, to coordinate with Rossman's closure. Also, Metro will acquire property from Southern Pacific Railroad to maximize the building area for CTRC. Funds have been appropriated to Metro for the project from DEQ.

Metro has budgeted the purchase of property for 2 transfer stations in the region. Construction of a transfer station in Washington County would begin later this FY.*

Repay to the City of Portland cost to prepare St. Johns plans. Program includes maintaining a fund for final cover.

Metro, upon receiving permits will acquire property necessary to develop Wildwood Landfill as a regional disposal site. Preliminary construction and operation plans could begin.*

Funds from the initial Solid Waste Plan Implementation Funds (S. W. 115) will be transferred to Personnel, and Materials and Services for the Energy Recovery Project. Also, this includes capital outlay to equipment for CTRC.

^{*}Fund available but need authorization from Council to acquire.



REVENUE

Fiscal Year 1983

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND

Fund: Capital

Department: Solid Waste

Division:

······································		ALTIAD INLICADURAL LOND			DIVISION.			
ACCOUNT #	ITEM	CURRENT BUDGET	CHANGE	REQUESTED AMOUNT	JUSTIFICATION			
	Carry Over			3,087,750	Available Funds from SW118, SW115, Landfill Cover and SW117 Funds plus Interest			
	DEQ Loan			10,351,900	Existing Loan for SW118 and New Loans Including Wildwood and Transfer Station Projects			
	DEQ Grant		·	709,510	Grant monies for CTRC and Site Development			
	Transfer from Operating			344,000	Final Cover Funds as Generated by St. Johns Rates			
	Interest		9 5	100,000	Interest earned on SW118, Final Cover Fund and Fund Balances			
	Revenue from Bond Sale ERF			1,078,346	Bond sale of ERF includes repaying SW-115 capital funds for S.W.M.P. implementation			
		••						
		•						
					•			
	Total		,	15,671,506				

Fund: Capital

Department:

Solid Waste

CCOUNT # ITEM	CURRENT BUDGET	CHANGE	REQUESTED AMOUNT	JUSTIFICATION
CTRC and Site Development				
Land Construction Engineering	·		35,000 3,430,000 200,000	Complete Site Fill & Construct CTRC
Transfer Stations Land Construction Engineering	5		1,306,800 4,000,000 530,000	Construction of one Transfer Station
St. Johns Leachate Systo Methane Gas Design	em	*	72,000	Repay City of Portland for St. Johns
Final Cover Final Cover Reserve			984,000	Plans Final Cover Placed During FY 83-Area 2
Wildwood Land Engineering			2,450,000 375,000	Purchase of Landfill Site
Transfer to Operating Fund			719,782	Transfer of SW115 Funds for Resource Recovery & Purchase of Equipment For CTRC
Transfer to Debt Service			424,400	Debt service payment for site dev. and CTRC for 1st half of FY and for SW-11
Contingency			994,524	

Func. Debt Service

PROGRAM:

Solid Waste

PROGRAM PURPOSE (General Statement of Goals & Objectives)

Generate sufficient funds to repay outstanding loans and bonds for capital projects necessary for the solid waste management system.

SUBPROGRAM

DEQ Loan S. W. 115

DEQ Loan S. W. 117 and 117 adjustment

DEQ Loan S. W. 118

MAJOR OBJECTIVES FOR YEAR

Initial loan of \$2,150,000 from DEQ to implement solid waste management system. Paid by user fee.

Loan of \$1,300,000 for the expansion of St. Johns landfill and gate house improvements. Adjusted upon the approval of grant portion by E-Board. Repayment is through user fees for the expansion and rates for other improvements.

Loan of \$4,487,700 to construct engineered fill at Energy Recovery Facility and construct a transfer station and recycling center in Clackamas County to service area as a result of the Rossman Landfill closing. Repayment of site development is through user fees and CTRC with rates at the site.

Materials & Services, Capital Outlay, General Expense Fiscal Year 1983

Fund: Debt Service Department: Solid Waste

Division:

					DIVIDIOII,
ACCOUNT #	ITEM	CURRENT BUDGET	CHANGE	REQUESTED AMOUNT	JUSTIFICATION
	SW115-Implementa- tion	211,985		210,000	Annual principle and interest payment to DEQ for initial loan of \$2,150,000 made in 1977, loan matures in 1992. Monies to be used for implementation of solid waste management program.
	SW116-Bottle Wash Loan	10,600		-0-	Loan made January 1978 to Metro for bottle-washing facilities at PRT center, debt has been retired.
	SW117-St. Johns Expansion	190,000		192,200	
	SW117A-St. Johns	8,893		10,000	to DEQ for St. Johns Expansion Project Initial loan was \$1,300,000 made in January 1980 and adjusted by 60,870 in August 1980. Total loan (70%) and grant(30%) is \$1,944,100 and will mature in October 1987.
	SW118-Site Develop- ment and CTRC	169,349		398,000	Total loan is \$4,487,700. Grant is \$1,923,300. Annual principle and interest payments are based on loans as necessary for construction. In FY 82, only \$2,930,000 of total loan was requested. Resulting in repayments F 83 total loan and grant(\$6,411,000) will be expended. Loan will be repain August 2000.
				•	



Materials & Services, Capital Outlay, General Expense Fiscal Year 1983

Fund: Debt Service

Department: Solid Waste

Division:

ACCOUNT #	ÎTEM	CURRENT BUDGET	CHANGE	REQUESTED AMOUNT	JUSTIFICATION
•	EXPENDITURES				
	DEQ Loan SW115			210,000	Repay Loan for Solid Waste Planning and Implementation
	DEQ Loan SW117 SW117A			192,200 10,000	
	DEQ Loan SW118			398,000	Repayment of Loan for Site Development and CTRC
	TOTAL			810,200	
	REVENUE	·			
	St. Johns Rates	•		72,800	Debt Service on Gatehouse Improvements
	CTRC Rates			95,500	Debt Service Payment for CTRC Portion of SW118
	User Fees-Landfill	,		217,500	Debt Service for Site Development, St. Johns Expansion and Solid Waste Management Plan Implementation
	Transfer From Capital			424,400	Debt Service for Site Development and CTRC for first half of FY83 and for SW115.
	TOTAL			810,200	SW113.



Budget Summary

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

METRO For the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1982 and ending June 30, 1983

BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS

General Bolid Waste

- 1. No funds budgeted for cost of living adjustment.
- Reclassification upward of six positions, including Director of Solid Waste.
- The addition of gatehouse staff for CTRC, a part-time landfill attendant for St. Johns Landfill, and a senior accountant.
- 4. Increase user fee to cover debt Service requirements for site development project. (Estimated to be 350)
- Proceed with current programs under close budgeting monitoring, especially during the first six months. Make budget adjustments as program decisions are made.

Waste Reduction

- 1. Continue the Recycling Switchboard at existing levels.
- Budget funds for curbeide recycling collection program with specific program elements to be developed by January 1.
- 3. Continue funding of drop-off centers.
- 4. Establish system to monitor waste reduction progress in the region.
- Administer and implement a yard debris program to be funded by disposal fees after September.

St. Johns Landfill

- Approval of rate adjustment for inflation and the establishment of a final cover fund (estimated to be 15 percent on January 1, 1983).
- Pay for the methane gas and end use program from user fee funds.

CTRC

- Recover the debt service for the CTRC after the facility begins operation.
- 2. Batablish rates to recover costs for operating CTRC.

BOLID WASTE OPERATIONS FUND

REVENUE

Source	PY 82 Revised	PY 83 Proposed
Grants/Loas	\$ 206,500	\$ 59,000
Enterprise Revenue Interest	3,980,000	-513051519 6, 319,519
Fund Balance Transfers	749,396	380,000
Other Total Revenue	1,447,230 \$6,303,126	57.532-333
	•	7,543,801
	expenditure	
Category	FY 82 Revised	PY 83 Proposed
Personnel Services Materials & Services	\$ 729,059 4,214,578	\$ 860,318 4,992,434
Capital Outlay Transfers	14,500	64,835
Contingency	985,144 209,845	1,328,200
Unappropriated Balance Total Requirements	150,000	298,014
rocar vedarraments	\$6,303,126	7.543.801
		7,575,001

5660B/257-15

76



FUND: SOLID WASTE OPERATIONS

DEPARTMENT: DIVISION:

COORDINATING

CURRENT REVISED PY 82 BUDGET	1	PROPOSED BUDGET	PY 8	3		ittee NDATION		ncil Roved		ncil Pted
PTE AMOUNT	ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FTE	TMUOMA	FTE	THUOMA	PTR	AMOUNT	FTS	AMOUNT
		Resources								
\$749,396	5900	Fund Balance		\$380,000						
1,100,000	5500/20	User Fees		1,300,000						
•	5530	Pranchise Pee		750						
2,800,000	5560	Disposal Fees		-5,024,769·	5,018,769					
	5840	Transfer from Solid			,					
		Waste Capital		-745,752	719,782					
206,500	5120	Grants		59,000	• ,					
	5570	Salvage Revenue		5,500						
	5600	Interest	* *	60,000						
1,447,230		Other	• •	0						
\$6,303,126		Total Resources		\$7 ,375,771	7,543,801	•				

ME I PO

Budget Summary

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

METRO For the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1982 and ending June 30, 1983

FUND: SOLID WASTE OPERATIONS DEPARTMENT: SOLID WASTE DIVISION:

	r revised 2 Budget	PROPOSED BUDGET	FY 83	3	∞	Dinating MMITTEE MENDATION		NCIL PROVED	COUNCIL ADOPTED		
PTB	AMOUNT	ACCOUNT # DESCRIPTION	FTE	AMOUNT	FTE	AMOUNT	PTE	AMOUNT	FIR	AMOUNT	
	•	Personnel Services									
1.0	\$35.756	Solid Waste Director	1.0	\$50,000							
1.0	31,650	Operations Manager	1.0	35,599							
1.0	28,704	Engineering Manager	1.0	30,472							
		Senior Planner	1.0	30,729							
2.58	63,513	Solid Waste Coordinator	2.25	58,977							
3.0	67.454	Solid Waste Engineer	2.0	49,337							
2.0	32.594	32,855 Solid Waste Technician	2.0	36,052							
1.0	16-028	16,827 Engineer Technician	3.0	40,808							
1.0	22,464	Operations Assistant	1.0	24,068							
_,		Regional Planner 3	1.0	24,068							
		Facilities Supervisor	1.0	18,018							
.5	6,373	Information Services									
		Assistant	1.0	14,040							
1.0	17,565	Public Information		•							
	-	Specialist	1.0	18,928							
1.0	19,468	Public Involvement		·							
	•	Coordinator	1.0	19,856							
1.0	27,219	Field Office Manager	1.0	27,219							
. 5	10,370	Senior Accountant	1.0	21,824							
2.75	34,273	Secretary 1	2.75	35,786							
4.6	52,404	Landfill Attendant	6.9	80,427							
1.5	15,519	Clerk Typist 2	1.0	10,483	٠.				•		
		Administrative Assistant 2	1.0	19,582							
1.0	13,770	Chief Landfill Clerk									
1.0	16,433	Administrative Assistant 1									
1.0	21,403	Environmental Planner									
.5	14,897	Assistant Legal Counsel									
2.0	19,632	Staff Assistant									

FUND: SOLID WASTE OPERATING DEPARTMENT: GENERAL EXPENSE DIVISION:

CURRENT REVISED FY 82 BUDGET			PROPOSED BUDGET	PY	8 3		1	Coordinating Committee Recommendation		-	UNCIL PROVED	COUNCIL ADOPTED	
PTB	AMOUNT	ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FTE		AMOUNT	P	æ	AMOUNT	FIE	AMOUNT	PTE	AMOUNT
			General Expense										
•	544,407	9000	Transfer to General Fund			\$598,400							
	440,737	9300	Transfer to Debt Service										
	-		Fund			385,800							
		9500	Transfer to Capital										
			Projects Fund			344,000							
	209,845	9700	Contingency			329,984	298,01	4					
	150,000	9800	Unappropriated Balance					•					
			~			_	4	- 1.1					
\$1,	344,989		Total General Expense		. 🚉	1,650,184	1,626,	214					
30.93 \$6,	303,126		Total Fund	32.9	\$	7,575,7 <u>71</u>	7,543	.80	/				

FUND: SOLID WASTE CAPITAL

DEPARTMENT: DIVISION:

URRENT REVISED FY 82 BUDGET	Р	ROPOSED BUDGET	PY	8 3	(Ordinating Committes Ommendation		uncil Proved	COUNCIL Adopted		
PTE AMOUNT	ACCOUNT #	DESCRIPTION	FTE	AMOUNT	FTE	AMOUNT	FIE	AMOUNT	PTE	AMOUNT	
•		Resources									
\$9,010,000		Pund Balance		\$3,087,750							
4,300,000	5700	DEQ Loan		10,351,900							
2,000,000	5120	DEQ Grant									
2,000,000	3110	Transfer from Solid		709,510							
		Waste Operating		344 000							
150,000	5600	Interest		344,000							
130,000	2000			100,000							
		Revenue from ERF Bond Sale			1,078,3	46					
\$15,660,000		Total Resources	550	\$ <u>15</u> 76877 506	15,671,	506					
		Requirements			• •/						
		Clackamas Center									
		Land		\$35,000							
\$5,981,000		Construction		3,430,000							
430,000		Engineering Design		200,000							
400,000		Transfer Stations		200,000							
1,000,000		Land		1,306,800							
3,000,000		Construction	•								
550,000		Engineering Design		4,000,000							
330,000		St. Johns		530,000							
2,370,000		Construction									
240,000		Engineering Design		72 000							
240,000				72,000							
		Final Cover		984,000							
		Final Cover Reserve		150,000		•					
		Wildwood							•		
		Land		2,450,000							
		Engineering		375,000							



SOLID WASTE CAPITAL FUND

The Solid Waste Capital Fund provides funding for five major solid waste construction or capital projects:

- Clackamas Transfer & Recycling Center;
- Transfer Stations;
- St. Johns Methane Gas Design and Final Cover:
- Wildwood Landfill; and
- Completion of the BRF proposal for Council decision.

If any or all of these projects do not move ahead, the loans and/or ERF reimbursements indicated as revenue will not be obtained. As those decisions are made, the Solid Waste Capital and Operating budgets will be adjusted as necessary.

The projects noted above and the site development and/or construction are consistent with the Solid Waste Management Plan.

.

BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Finish the site development contract and proceed with the construction of the CTRC.
- 2. The budget allow for the purchase of sites for both the East and West Transfer Stations and the construction of the West Station if approved in FY 83.
- 3. The Capital Pund will continue to repay the City of Portland loan for St. Johns Expansion engineering.

- 4. Final cover for St. Johns Landfill will be paid from the Capital Improvement Fund.
- 5. A reserve fund will be established for Final Cover expected to be required in early FY 84.
- 6. The Energy Recovery Pacility, Transfer Station Planning and Wildwood expenses will be funded by the Capital Improvement Fund.
- 7. If the Energy Recovery Pacility bonds are issued, sold all planning funds spent to date will be repaid to the Capital Improvement Fund.
- 8. Debt Service payment for site development, the first half of payment for CTRC and the Solid Waste Implementation loan will be paid from the Capital Fund.

Source	PY 82 Revised	PY 83 Proposed
Fund Balance	\$ 9,010,000	\$ 3,087,750
Grants/Loans Transfers	6,500,000	11,061,410 344,000
Interest Other	150,000	100 000
Total Revenue	\$15,660,000	1,078,346 \$13,601,506 15,671,506
	•	15,671,506
	Expenditure	•
Category	PY 82 Revised	PY 83 Proposed
Transfers		\$ 1,170,150 1,144,182
Capital Projects Contingency Total Expenditure		\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
•		15.678550



FUND: SOLID WASTE CAPITAL DEPARTMENT: DIVISION:

CURRENT REVISED FY 82 BUDGET	P	ROPOSED BUDGET	PY 8 :	3	COORDII COMM: RECOMMEI	ITTEB		UNCIL PROVED	COUNCIL ADOPTED		
PTB AMOUNT	ACCOUNT #	DESCRIPTION	FTE	AMOUNT	FTE	AMOUNT	PTE	TRUOMA	FTB	AMOUNT	
\$1,201,685		Requirements - continued Transfer to Solid Waste Operating		\$745,752-	719,182		· .				
150,000	9300	Transfer to Solid Waste Debt Service		424,400	,						
	9700	Contingency	_	984, 554	994,524						
\$15,660,000		Total Requirements	4	15,687,506	15,671,500	6					

PROPOSED BUDGET COST ALLCCATION PLAN DISTRIBUTION OF INDIRECT COSTS¹

APRIL 1982

	Total	PI	ANNING FUND	,3	Soli	d Waste Pun	a4		Zoo Punds ⁴		General Pund	
	Indirect	Specific	Pooled	Total	Specific	Pooled	Total	Specific	Pooled	Total		
	Costs	Costs	Costs	Costs	Costs	Costs	Costs	Costs	Costs	Costs	Supported ⁵	
Counc il												
Personnel Services	55,812					23,999	23,999		11,162	11,162	20,651	
Materials & Services												
Council Per Diem	25,920					11,146	11,146		5,184	5,184	9,590	
Councilor Expense	18,000					7,740	7,740		3,600	3,600	6,660	
Meetings & Conf.	5,000					2,150 129	2,150 129		1,000 60	1,000	1,850 111	
Supplies	300 105,032					45,164	45,164		21,006	21,006	38,862	
										-		
Executive Management Personnel Services	214,909		51,148	51,148		103,156	103,156		25,789	25,789	34,816	
Materials & Services	224,707		22,210	01,100		,	,					
Auto and Travel	4,500					2,160	2,160		540	540	1,800	
Meetings & Conf.	3,000					1,440	1,440		360	360	1,200	
Training & Tuition	100					48	48		12	12	40	
Dues, Fees, Pub.	3,395					1,630	1,630		407	407	1,358	
Supplies	300		72	72		144	144		36	36	48	
Outside Legal	2,500					1,200	1,200		300	300	1,000	
	228,704		51,220	51,220		109,778	109,778		27,444	27,444	40,262	
Public Affairs												
Personnel Services	220,402		85,824	85,824		114,609	114,609		6,612	6,612	13,357	
Materials & Services												
Auto and Travel	525					273	273		16	16	236	
Meetings & Conf.	3,600					1,872	1,872		108	108	1,620	
Training & Tuition	450					234	234		13	13	203	
Dues, Fees, Pub.	8,200					4,264	4,264		246	246	3,690	
Ads, Prom., Legal Notices	2,800					1,456	1,456		84	84	1,260	
Printing	4,588					2,385	2,385		138	138	2,065	
Supplies	3,850		1,497	1,497		2,002	2,002		115	115	236	
Contractual Services	5,900					3,068	3,068		177	177	2,655	
Miscellaneous	2,700 253,015		B7,321	87,321		$\frac{1,404}{131,567}$	1,404 131,567		7,590	81 7,590	$\frac{1,215}{26,537}$	
Finance & Administration	2-0,000											
Accounting												
Personnel Services	198,088	15,847	9,508	25,355	47,541	11,410	58,951	110,929	2,853	113,782		
Materials & Services	,	,	,,,,,	,,,	11,502	,	30,732	220,722	-,	,		
Training & Tuition	1,200	96	58	154	288	69	357	672	17	689		
Dues, Pees and Pub.	200	16	10	26	48	12	60	112	2	114		
Supplies	2,000	160	100	260	480	120	600	1,120	20	1,140		
Contractual	40,000	3,200	1,920	5,120	9,600	2,300	11,900	22,400	580	22,980		
	241,488	19,319	11,596	30,915	57,957	13,911	71,868	135,233	3,472	138,705		
Budget & Central Services												
Personnel Services	189,091	33,260	53,522	86,782	10,384	43,967	54,351	31,182	16,776	47,958		
Materials & Services		,	00,022	55,.52	20,504	43,307	34,331	31,162	10,770	47,930		
Auto & Travel	500					145	145		125	125	230	
Meeting & Conf.	200					58	58		50	50		
Dues, Pees, Pub.	12,600		51	51		4,561	4,561		5,113	5,113		
Advertising	5,000	565	270	835	545	324	869	3,215	81	3,296		
Printing	25,000	13,000	2,354	15,354	1,750	2,799	4,549	4,250	847	5,097		
Telephone	50,000	20,000	7,665	27,665	9,000	9,930	18,930	4,250	3,405	3,405		
Postage	31,000	7,440	5,624	13,064	6,820	8,609	15,429		2,507	2,507		
Maintenance & Repairs	17,880	460	8,638	9,098	290	6,876	7,166	10	1,606	1,616		
Equipment Rental	50,634		27,343	27,343		16,809	16,809		6,482	6,482		
Supplies	16,000		4,876	4,876		7,063	7,063		1,198	1,198		
Contractual Services	13,045		6,080	6,080		6,062	6,062		903	903		
Insurance	31,120		13,175	13,175		2,524	2,524		15,421	15,421		
Lease Payment/Rent	263,132	82,280	54,366	136,646	49,090	58,177	107,267		19,219	19,219		
	705,202	157,005	183,964	340,969	77,879	167,904	245,783	38,657	73,733.4	112,390		
Data Processing	154,608	12,369	7,421	19,790	37,106	8,905	46,011	86,580	2,227	88,807		
TOTAL	1,688,049	188,693	341,522	530,215	172,942	477,2294	650,1714	260,470:	135,4721	395,942		
ADJUSTED BUDGET ANOUNT 4, 5	3 600 045			***				٠,				
WANTED BRITAIN WENTEL	1,688,049 (378,933 ⁶			598,400			377,252	333,464	

Nore detailed information on the distribution of indirect costs or eligible grant overhead costs is available on request.

Because of a more accurate distribution of indirect costs to the Solid Waste and Zoo Funds, the total amount of costs charged in FY 83 is increased from previous years. To offset the increase, the Solid Waste and Zoo Funds have only budgeted a 10 percent increase from FY 82. Dues will support the difference between the amount budgeted and costs incurred.

	Solid Waste Fund	Zoo Pund
FY 83 Indirect Costs	\$650,171	\$395,942
FY 83 Budgeted Transfer to General Fund	598,400	377,252
Amount to be General Fund Supported	\$51,771	\$18,690
See Pootnotes 3, 4 and 6.		
Unallowed Grant Costs	\$111.721	
Solid Waste Fund Support	51,771	
Zoo Pund Support	18,690	
Planning Fund Support	151,282	
Total General Fund Supported Amount	\$333,464	

A lower overhead rate was calculated to insure receipt of total revenue budgeted in the General Fund. This is a conservative estimate.

 Grant Eligible Costs
 \$530,215

 Budgeted Grant Overhead
 378,933

 General Fund Support of Planning
 \$151,282;

² Total indirect costs are General Fund expenses minus direct revenues, transfers, contingency and any unappropriated balance.

³ Only those charges which are considered to be federal grant eligible are charged to the planning fund.

Microfilm Master List:

ID# 1000 - Avalokiteshvara Mani Mantra: 685,809,230 per 2000 foot roll

Om mani padme hūm

ID# 1001 - Long Avalokiteshvara Mantra: 425,397 per 2000 foot roll

ID# 1002 - Four Dharmakaya Relic Mantras: 185,089 per 2000 foot roll

Contains:

Stainless Pinnacle: 185,089 Dharani of the Exalted Completely Pure Stainless Light: 185,089 Secret Relic: 185,089 100,000 Ornaments: 185,089

ID# 1003 - Padmasambhava (Guru Rinpoche) Mantra: 28,966,617 per 2000 foot roll

ID# 1004 - Five Powerful Deity Mantras: 1,849,807 per 2000 foot roll

Contains:

Mitrugpa: 369,961

Stainless Pinnacle: 246,641

Kunrig: 123,320 Namgyalma: 493,282

Lotus Pinnacle of Amogapasha: 616,602

ID# 1005 - Namgyalma Mantra: approximately 1,116,000 per 2000 foot roll

ID# 1006 - Dharani of the Exalted Completely Pure Stainless Light: approximate 2,170,000 per 2000

ID# 1007 - Stainless Pinnacle, Tsugtor Drime: 3,038,000 per 2000 foot roll

ID# 1008 - Kurukulla Mantra: 44,909,235 per 2000 foot roll

ID# 1009 - Dependent Related Heart Mantra: 12,303,900 per 2000 foot roll

ID# 1010 - Amitayus Long Life Sutra: 480,168 per 2000 foot roll

ID# 1011 - Medicine Buddha Mantra - 34,560,000 per 2000 foot roll

GARCHEN RINPOCHE'S students have produced the following mantras available on microfilm: TBD (we haven't counted yet but it's comparable to the ones above)

ID# 2100 - Long Vajrayogini Mantra: 4,560,000 per 2000 foot roll

ID# 2101 - Red Tara Mantra and Prayer:

Contains:

18,304,000 Mantras per 2000 foot roll 1,600,000 Prayers per 2000 foot roll

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

MATERIALS AND SERVICES PROPOSED BUDGET - PRELIMINARY FISCAL YEAR 1983

	Council	Executive Management	Public Affairs	Finance & Administ.	Transp.	Development Services	Criminal Justice	Zoo Operating	Solid Waste Operating	Totals
Advertising, Promotion,										
Legal Notices Animal Purchases			2,800	5,000		13,750		23,606	78,550	123,706
Auto & Travel Expenses Bad Debts		4,500	525	1,500	5,000	4,000	1,000	20,000 16,544	20,990	20,000 54,05
Contractual Services Councilor Expenses	18,000	2,500	5,900	58,045	158,420	65,000		223,391	17,740 4,148,204	17,740 4,661,460
Data Processing Dues, Fees & Publications	•	3,395	8,200	63,427 13,100	400	11,034			26,880	18,000 101,341
Equipment Rental Insurance		3,333	0,200	50,634	400	250 500	250	12,083 2,530	15,710 4,650	53,388 58,314
Lease Payments/Rent Maintenance & Repairs				31,120 302,372				84,676 3,000	3,000 185,500	118,796 490,872
Meetings & Conferences Merchandise for Resale	5,000	3,000	3,600	30,380 1,700	2,250	1,500	500	60,347 7,775	101,700 14,980	192,427 40,305
Miscellaneous Per Diem	07.000		2,700	2,000	12,000	6,250		390,000 6,755	263,850	390,000 293,555
Postage	25,920			31,000		87		6,600	3,560	25,920 41,247
Printing Supplies	300	300	4,588 3,850	25,000 22,000	17,500 1,000	2,652 750	250 500	26,934 300,553	59,560 25,480	136,484
Telephone Fraining & Tuition		100	450	51,500 2,200	2,400	350	300	37,816 5,690	10,180	354,733 99,496
Utilities - Electricity Utilities - Other					-7.55	330		63,862	6,500 4,400	17,690 68,262
Utilities - Water				 			-	64,866 155,901	1,000	65,866 155,901
Total	49,220	13,795	32,613	690,978	198,970	106,123	2,500	1,512,929	4,992,434	7,599,562
5660B/257-20										

SOLID WASTE ADVISORY COMMITTEE GUESTS AND ADVISORS IN ATTENDANCE

DATE 4-23-82 GUEST OR ADVISOR **AFFILIATION** CONFECTION INDUSTRY Public - Clackamas Co. Norm Wietting Sue Klobertanz METRO. PASSO ALEANAUER'S DISPOS-HAUL DEQ. DEG

ASSICIATION OF OREGIN RECYCLES

LEE BARRETT

SOLID WASTE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

ATTENDANCE AND VOTE RECORD

ATTENDANCE	RECORD		VOTING RECORD															
member	here	not here*	item	yes	no	abst	item	yes	no.	abst	item	yes	no	abst	item	yes	no	abst
COZZETTO	彩	1	·						•									
COFFIN		Ė					•											<u> </u>
GRABHORN	X																	
GRAY	X																	<u> </u>
HARRIS	1.																	
HOWARD		E	l ä				H	=			ä							
JOHNSON	業	1	SUBJECT				SUBJECT				SUBJECT				SUBJECT			
NEWBORE	柔	1	Subs				sus				SUB				SUB			
PHILLIPS	H				•	!							·					
SANDBERG	X						•	·										1
SIEVERS	求	1					•										``	
SPARKS		Ė																
TROUT	X		BA BA				ВХ	ŕ			BY				BY:			
WELLINGTON	圣	1	NO				MOTION SEC.				MOTION SEC.				MOTION SEC.			
•			MOT	·			MO1 SEC				MOT				MOT			