BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF CERTIFYING THAT)
TRI-MET'S JOINT COMPLEMENTARY
PARATRANSIT PLAN UPDATE FOR 1996
CONFORMS TO METRO'S REGIONAL
TRANSPORTATION PLAN

RESOLUTION NO. 95-2231

Introduced by Councilor Rod Monroe, Chair JPACT

WHEREAS, The U.S. Department of Transportation issued a final rule implementing the transportation provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) on September 6, 1991; and

WHEREAS, The final rule as applied to the Portland metropolitan area requires Tri-Met to develop an annual Paratransit
Plan Update which conforms to the Regional Transportation Plan
(RTP); and

WHEREAS, The final rule requires that the Metropolitan

Planning Organization (MPO) review the Paratransit Plan Update

and certify that it conforms to the RTP; and

WHEREAS, The Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation certifies that it has reviewed the ADA Paratransit Plan
Update for 1996 prepared by Tri-Met as required under 49 CFR part
37.139(h) and finds it to be in conformance with the RTP (the
transportation plan developed under 49 CFR part 613 and 23 CFR
part 450); and

WHEREAS, The Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation recommends certification by the Metro Council; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED,

That the Metro Council hereby certifies that it has reviewed the ADA Paratransit Plan prepared by Tri-Met (included as Exhibit

A) as required under 49 CFR part 37.139(h) and finds it to be in conformance with the RTP, the transportation plan developed under 49 CFR part 613 and 23 CFR part 450 (the UMTA/FHWA joint planning regulation), for a period of one year.

ADOPTED by the Metro Council this 14 day of 1995

1995.

Presiding Officer

Approved as to Form:

DRAFT

1996 ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) Paratransit Plan Update of the

Tri-County Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon (Tri-Met)

DRAFT

January 26, 1996

PARATRANSIT PLAN UPDATE FOR 1996

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Identification of Submitting Entity and Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Certification	1
	Identification of Submitting Entities	2
•	Form 1: MPO Certification	3
II.	Timetables, Progress Report on Milestones, and Six (6) Service Criteria Compliance	4
	Table 1: 1994-1996 Milestone Timetable And Milestone Progress Report On 1995 Accomplishments	.5
-	Form 2: Significant Milestone Slippage Explanation	6
	Table 2: Revised Timetable (1995-1996)	7
	Table 3: Eligibility, 6 Service Criteria, and Full Compliance Date	. 8
III.	Demand And Service Estimates	10
	Table 4: Demand And Service Estimates	11
IV.	Budget, Cost and Vehicle Estimates	13
	Table 5: ADA Paratransit Costs	14
	Table 6: Total System Costs	15
	Table 7: Accessible Fixed Route Buses	16
•	Table 8: Paratransit Vehicles Used On The System	17
-	Table 9: ADA Paratransit Customers	18
V.	Public Participation	19

	Description of Public Participation	20
VI.	Unresolved Issues	28
	Letter from FTA with No Unresolved Issues	29
√II.	Other Issues	30
	Description of Other Issues	31
/III.	Attachments	32
		•

•

1996 PARATRANSIT PLAN UPDATE

SECTION I

IDENTIFICATION OF SUBMITTING ENTITIES

AND

MPO CERTIFICATION

IDENTIFICATION OF SUBMITTING ENTITIES

Tri-Met 4012 SE 17th Ave. Portland, Oregon 97202 (503) 238-4915

Authorized Person:

Tom Walsh, General Manager

(503) 238-4915

Contact Person:

Gary Boley, Manager

Demand Responsive Programs

(503) 238-5809, TTY (503) 238-5811

Metropolitan Service District (Metro) 600 NE Grand Ave.
Portland, OR 97232-1797 (503) 797-1700

Authorized Person:

, Presiding Officer

(503) 797-1700

Contact Person:

Rich Ledbetter, Senior Transportation Planner

(503) 797-1761

1996 PARATRANSIT PLAN UPDATE

SECTION II

TIMETABLES, PROGRESS REPORT ON MILESTONES

AND

SIX SERVICE CRITERIA

PDATE MILE- ARGET STONE ATE MET ?		1997: MILESTONE PROGRESS REPORT — 25 of Jan. 1996	1995 NEW DAȚE 7
AM/YY) (Y/N)	i .	(Y/N - period January 26, 1995 - January 25, 1995)	(MM/YY)
09/94		Full Compliance with ADA including	
N Y		 a. Request accepted on "next day" basis b. Trips scheduled within one hour of requested pickup time 	8 – 96 N/A
У У	•	 c. No substantial numbers of significantly untimely pickups for initial or return trips d. No substantial number of trip denials or 	N/A i
Y .		missed trips e. No substantial number of trips with excessive trip lengths	N/A

... Note: Using Form 2, provide detailed written explanation on milestone slippage greater than one full year (12 months).

^{*} List all 1994-1996 ADA Paratransit Milestones; Then Indicate Progress (Y/N) On Milestones Targeted To Be Achieved Prior To 1/26/95; Include Additional Accomplishments

JAN. 95

EXCEPTION REPORT: MILESTONE SLIPPAGE EXPLANATION*

	Target	New Target
MILESTONE or FULL COMPLIANCE DELAYS:	Date 195 Update	Date 196 Update
1. Next day ride reservations	· 4/95	8/96

Slippage occurred as a result of delays in procurement and installation of a new bus dispatch system for both fixed-route and paratransit service, installation of new automated paratransit scheduling software and a new menu driven phone system. The bus dispatch system is scheduled for installation in June '96. While adjusting to the new system we will begin moving to next day ride reservations by 8/96 with full compliance in 1/97.

^{*}Note: A narrative explanation, using Form 2, must accompany Table 1, when there is significant milestone slippage. During the 1994-1996 period, "significant milestone slippage" exists (1) when the target date for Plan full compliance is delayed or (2) when individual milestones slip by a year (a full 12 months). This Form 2 provides a brief example of such a slippage explanation. If there are no milestone or full compliance delays, needs explanation is required, and Form 2 can be omitted. [Attach as many additional sheets to this form as needed; you may put this form on your own wordprocessor.]

SYSTEM NAME: Tri-Met

STATE: Oregan

city: Portland REVISED 1995 - 1996 ADA PARATRANSIT PLAN TIMETABLE (Ta (Table 2)

1995 - 1996 TARGET DATE (MM/YY) ···

ANY REMAINING MILESTONES - JANUARY 1995 UPDATE

08/96

Extend reservation hours to man, the day before service

01/97

Full compliance

ELIGIBILITY, SIX SERVICE CRITERIA, AND FULL COMPLIANCE DATE (Table 3, Page 1)

COMPLIANCE ITEM	•	•	IN FULL COMPLIANCE NOW (Y/N)	IF NO, EXPECTED DATE OF FULL COMPLIANCE (MM/YY)
ELIGIBILITY PROCESS				****
1. Requests for certification being accepted and all aspects of polic documentation, etc.) established	y (appeals,	•	<u> </u>	
2. Compliance with companion and personal care attendant requir	rements	.•	· <u>Y</u>	
3. Compliance with visitor requirements			<u> </u>	
SIX SERVICE CRITERIA SERVICE AREA			•	
4. Service to all origins and destinations within the defined area			у .	•
5. Coordination with contiguous/overlapping service areas, if appli RESPONSE TIME	cable .	•	<u>Y</u>	
6. Requests accepted during normal business hours on "next day"	basis		. <u>N</u>	8 - _ 96
7. Requests accepted on all days prior to days of service (e.g., weeks	ends/holidays)	•	Y	
Requests accepted at least 14 days in advance		. •	Y	4
Trips scheduled within one hour of requested pickup time FARES		1 •	<u> </u>	•
• No more than twice the base fixed route fare for eligible individu	als.		<u>Y</u>	
Compliance with companion fare requirement	1		<u>Y</u>	

	•	system name: Tri-Met	(Table 3,	Page 2)		CITY: Portland IN FULL COMPLIANCE	STATE: IF NO, EXPECT DATE OF FULL	Oregan ED
	:	COMPLIANCE ITEM				NOW (Y/N)	COMPLIANCE (MM/YY)	
	12.	Compliance with personal care attendant fare requirement DAYS AND HOURS OF SERVICE			•	Y	1	-
	13.	Paratransit provided during all days and hours when fixed rooperation	utė service	e is in		<u>Y</u>		
	· ,	TRIP PURPOSES	L .	•	:	•		
		No restriction on types of trip purposes	· ·			<u> </u>		
• •	T.	No prioritization by trip purpose in scheduling			•	<u> </u>		
	1.6	CAPACITY CONSTRAINTS					•	i
		No restrictions on the number of trips an individual will be pr No waiting lists for access to the service	ovided			<u> </u>		
			• 4 • . •		•	· <u>Y</u>		1
,	19.	No substantial numbers of significantly untimely pickups for i No substantial numbers of trip denials or missed trips	nitial or re	eturn trips		· <u>Y</u>	·	
••		No substantial numbers of trips with excessive trip lengths.	•	•		· · ·		
• •		When capacity is unavailable, subscription trips are less than	50 nercent			Y Y		
• •		DATE TARGETED FOR "FULL COMPLIANC	E" WITI			•		
,		ALL "ADA PARATRANSIT" REQUIREMENT	s '	•		•	;	
		In 1994 Update Submission		•			9/94	
	•••		٠	•		• ,		* # ₁
		In 1996 Update Submission	•		•	•	1/96	
			• 1					
•	•		•1					
				.•		•	• • •	

1996 PARATRANSIT PLAN UPDATE SECTION IV

BUDGET, COST AND VEHICLE ESTIMATES

ADA PARATRANSIT \mathbf{D} EMAND AND \mathbf{S} ERVICE ESTIMATES (Table 4, Page 1)

•	DEMAND (No. Trips/Year)	Actual 1992 ·	Actual 1993	Actual	Est. 1995	Pro j. 1996	Proj. 1997
	(Thousands of One-Way Trips)	****					'I '
1.	ADA Paratransit Trips Provided/Year (000)	446	487	541	585	644	695
			•	•	• •	•	
2.	Total Paratransit Trips Provided/Year (000) (Total ADA and non-ADA)	510	556	619	670	738	797
				•		•	
3.	Total Paratransit Revenue Hours/Year (000) (Total ADA and non-ADA) [Sec. 15 definition]	239	258	268	275	303	327 ·
(n 1	.991, total paratransit trips (line 2) were: 504	•	, •			•	•

ADA PARATRANSIT SERVICE: Purchased Transportation.

		••	
4	For 19:96 estimate the number of trips on line 1 that w		
.44	TOT 13.38 CHIMBLE THE HUMBER OF TRUE OF THE FIRST P	/PTP DEAVIARA DV CANTEGETET TOTI CARVICAL	
		cio più ridedi di continacion tali scivice. 20,000	

5. For 19 95 estimate the number of trips on line 1 that our system purchased (contracted out) rather than provide in-house: 541,000 (include contracted taxi service from line 4 and other service owned or operated by the contractors).

ADA PARATRANSIT SERVICE (Table 4, Page 2)

•		•			Actual 1994	Proj. 1997
•		•				7!-
social serv	its. In 1995, estimate the circle agencies (SSA), who rovide an estimate for 1	o prior to the ADA, pi	line 1, that you p ovided SSA para	rovided to clients of loca transit service for their	192,000	248,000
				•	•	•
"denied"	eakdowns, trips negotis	itations. (Please do no	ot include trips m	issed because of traffic (ows," etc.) How many		500
•	•			\$	numae Vaue	. '
	s. Clearly, it is discrime estimate the percent o			p requests based on trip ing purposes: (Optiona		ver, for
	estimate the percent o	f <u>trips</u> on line 1 that w	ere for the follow	ing purposes: (Options	·19:	

Note: Percentages above should total 100%.

SY	STEM NAME: Tri-Met ADA PARATRANSIT CAPITAL & (projections in t	OPERA?	ΓING BU	JDGET (CITY: F SUMMA	ortland ARY (T	able 5)	STATE:, Oreg	jan ,
		Actual	Actual	Actual	Est. 1995	Proj. 1996	Proj. 1997	6 Year Total 92-97	•
	ADA PARATRANSIT ; EXPENSES *		•		****				
: 1.	Capital Expenses	. 1.544	1.425	2.078	• <u>1.511</u>	2,214		9,156	
2.	Operating Expenses	<u>5,235</u>	<u>5,949</u>	6,516	7,667	<u>8,417</u>	8,984	42,768	
3.	Subtotal ADA Paratransit Expenses (sum of lines 1 + 2)	6,779	7,374	8,593	. 9,178	10,630	9,369	51,924	
						•	====	=== i	
	TOTAL PARATRANSIT EXPENSES ** (ADA & Non-ADA combined)	<i>i</i> .	,	•	:		• • • •		
4.	Capital Expenses	1:625	1,500	2,187	1,591	2,330	405	9,638	
5.	Operating Expenses	<u>5,655</u>	6,446	6,992	8,228	9,020	. 9,617	45,958	
6.	TOTAL PARATRANSIT EXPENSES								

IN 1991, TOTAL PARATRANSIT COSTS (Line 6) FOR OUR TRANSIT SYSTEM WERE \$ 5,972

(sum of lines 4 and 5)

7,280

^{*} Using a ratio to break out ADA from total paratransit expenses is acceptable. Do not include any ADA fixed-route costs.

** If non-ADA paratransit service is provided, add ADA to non-ADA costs to obtain Total Paratransit Expenses.

Excludes CMP & MIS costs. (MIS costs are included under system costs, but not under paratransit)
**Dollar amounts have been reduced to exclude a cost neutral Intergovernmental Medicaid contract to broker rides with the State of Oregon's Department of Human Resources. Tri-Met incures no cost and does not include rides as they were already being provided by other transportation providers prior to the brokerage agreement.

TOTAL TRANSIT SYSTEM COST ESTIMATES (Table 6) (projections in thousands of 1995 dollars)

•	TOTAL TRANSIT SYSTEM COSTS *	Actual	Actual	Actual	Est. 1995	Proj. 1996	Proj. 1997	6 Year Total 92-97
1.	Capital Expenses	18,414	23,499	27,544	44,404	68,242	14,533	196,636
2. 3.	Operating Expenses TOTAL SYSTEM COSTS	105,087	115,474	125,692	139,981	145,090	148,597	779,901
4.	(lines 1 + 2) ADA PARATRANSIT EXPENSES (line 3, Table 5)	123 <u>.501</u>	138 <u>.993</u> 7,374	. 1 <u>53, 236</u> 8,593	1 <u>84</u> , <u>385</u>	2 <u>13.312</u> 	163,130 9,369	9 <u>76,537</u> 51,924
5.	ADA PARATRANSIT AS PERCENT OF TOTAL COSTS (line 4 divided by line 3)	5 <u>.5</u> %	5.3 %	<u>5.6</u> %.	5.0 %	<u>5.0</u> %	5.7 %	5.3 %

· IN 1991, TOTAL SYSTEM COSTS (line 3) FOR OUR TRANSIT SYSTEM WERE \$_122,168

^{*} Total transit system costs encompass all system costs, not just ADA-related costs. These transit system costs must include:

(1) all fixed-route costs (bus, rail, etc.), plus (2) all paratransit expenses (ADA and non-ADA).

^{*} Excludes CMAP costs

ADA ACCESSIBILITY: FIXED-ROUTE BUSES (Table 7)

•	BUSES IN ACTIVE FLEET	Actual 1990	Actual	Actual	Actual 1993	Actual 1994	Est. 1995	Proj. 1996	Proj.
. 1.	Total Number of Buses	523	526	579	580	592	*616	623	643
2.	Buses Without Lifts/Ramps	204	166	140		108 .		113	50
з.	Buses With Pre-ADA Lifts/Ramps	319	319	321	321	321	*334	334 .	334
4.	Buses With ADA Lifts/Ramps (meets Part 38 lift specifications)	0	41	118	163	163	*165	176	259i
:	(Note: The sum of lines 2, 3, and 4 should equal line 1.)	•	: .	•••			•		
5.	Percent With Lists/Ramps (sum of lines 3 and 4, divided by line 1)	<u>61</u> %	_68_%	<u>76</u> %	84 s .	82 %	· 81 %	82%	92 %

For an average day, can you estimate the total number of persons with any disabilities that use your fixed-route service? (Do not include customers who normally use ADA paratransit service.) (Optional):

^{*}Include 15 mini bases for ATS service

TOTAL "PARATRANSIT" VEHICLES USED BY YOUR SYSTEM * (Table 8)

TOTAL NUMBER IN ACTIVE FLEET	Actual 1991	Actual 1992	Actual 1993	Actual 1994	Est. 1995	Proj. 1996	Proj. 1997
1. All Paratransit - Vans and Minivans *	10	_10	10	10	14	14	14
2. All Paratransit - Buses *	104	·118	_140	_154	<u>*156</u>	157	167
3. Paratransit - Sedans/Wagons * (other than taxis)	_2_		2	2			2
LIFT-EQUIPPED PARATRANSIT VEHICLES 4 · Paratransit - Buses, Vans and Minivans (with lifts/ramps from lines 1 and 2)	111_	125 .	. 147	161	172	173	183

CONTRACTOR VEHICLES

5 i	For 1995, from lines 1 and 2, estimate the number of buses, vans, and minivans, etc., "OWNED" by your contractors the
· .	routinely provide paratransit (ADA and non-ADA) for your system.
	Provide parametric (12012 and non-12014) for your system.

Please estimate 1997

^{*} Please include all dedicated paratransit vehicles (ADA or non-ADA service combined) used on your system. Include all paratransit vehicles your system owns or leases, as well as vehicles used from your contractors' fleet. Do not include any accessible vehicles used on the fixed-route.

^{*}Excludes 15 mini buses used for ATS services 22 VII + 134 paratransit

YOUR ADA "PARATRANSIT" CUSTOMERS (Table 9) (Please Make An Estimate Based On Actual Eligibility Determinations)

	•		•	
By 1997, please project how many people will be certified?		•	17,000	· 1
Using the 1990 Census, what is the total population of your service area?	· :	· ·		
Of those certified, can you estimate the percent who are ages (Optional)				
to 16 years old% 17 to 61% 62 to 70	%	Over 70		%
Of those eligible for ADA paratransit, how many are employed? (Optional)				
Of those ADA paratransit eligible, what percent have as their most limiting or	qualifying			-
impairment (Optional, should total 100%)				
impairment (Optional, should total 100%) Sensory Impairments (Visual, Hearing)				%
impairment (Optional, should total 100%)		c.)	<u> </u>	% %
Sensory Impairments (Visual, Hearing)	z, Walkerz, et	c.)		

Summary of public comment on Tri-Met's ADA Paratransit Plan Update:

The public hearing was held on October 18, 1995 as part of CAT's normal monthly meeting.

Testimony on the plan was received by three people covering three areas. Two were written comments and the other one was oral.

1. Tri-Met should consider providing service beyond the 3/4 mile corridor as required by ADA.

Tri-Met will continue to work with local communities to identify resources to address transportation needs for elderly and disabled customers whose trips are outside the 3/4 mile corridor as defined by the ADA. VTI and the Clackamas County Senior Transportation Consortium are ways in which we currently meet some of this demand.

2. Long waits on the telephone to make ride reservations.

Tri-Met will analyze telephone traffic flow to assure that all calls are answered by a ride reservationist within five minutes.

3. Excessive trip lengths.

Tri-Met is currently working with the local community to develop a measurable means to compare Paratransit trip length with fixed route trip length. Recently new Trip Planning software has been installed to allow this review and Tri-Met will be in compliance by January 1996. Tri-Met does currently provide service which results in 78 percent of all trips being completed within one hour.

ATTACHMENT A

TIMETABLE FOR 1996 PARATRANSIT PLAN UPDATE

Activity	Date
Distribution of Plan and Notice of Public Hearing	10-2-95
Initial Review by CAT	
Review by TPAC	10-27-95
Review by JPACT	11-9-95
Second Review by CAT	11-15-95
Review by Tri-Met Board	11-22 or 11-29-95
Metro Transportation Planning Committee	12-5-95
Metro Council Adoption	12-14-95
Due at FTA	1-26-96

TRANSPORTATION PLANNING COMMITTEE REPORT

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 95-2231, FOR THE PURPOSE OF CERTIFYING THAT TRI-MET'S JOINT COMPLEMENTARY PARATRANSIT PLAN UPDATE FOR 1996 CONFORMS TO METRO'S REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Date: December 7, 1995 Presented by: Councilor Kvistad

<u>Committee Recommendation:</u> At the December 5 meeting, the Committee unanimously voted to recommend Council adoption of Resolution No. 95-2231. Voting in favor: Councilors Kvistad, Monroe and Washington.

Committee Issues/Discussion: Andy Cotugno, Transportation Planning Director, presented the staff report and reviewed the purpose of the resolution. Cotugno explained that federal law requires that Metro, acting as the Metropolitan Planning Organization, annually certify that Tri-Met's Joint Complementary Paratransit Plan Update conforms with the Regional Transportation Plan. The plan review process has included Tri-Met's Citizens for Accessible Transportation Committee, TPAC and the Tri-Met Board of Directors.

Glen Boley, Tri-Met, indicated that the agency is still working to address two federal compliance issues. These include meeting a January 1997 requirements for providing "next day trips" and developing additional data to assess trip lengths.

STAFF REPORT

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 95-2231 FOR THE PURPOSE OF CERTIFYING THAT TRI-MET'S JOINT COMPLEMENTARY PARATRANSIT PLAN UPDATE FOR 1996 CONFORMS TO METRO'S REGIONAL TRANS-PORTATION PLAN

Date: October 17, 1995 Presented by: Andrew Cotugno

PROPOSED ACTION

This resolution certifies to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) that Tri-Met's Joint Complementary Paratransit Plan Update for 1996 conforms to Metro's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). Tri-Met is required to obtain this certification from Metro to meet the requirements of the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990.

TPAC has reviewed Tri-Met's update on the Paratransit Plan and recommends approval of Resolution No. 95-2231.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA), enacted by the U.S. Congress in 1990, mandates the development of a plan to address discrimination and equal opportunity for disabled persons in employment, transportation, public accommodation, public services, and telecommunications. The original ADA transportation plan, as developed by Tri-Met and adopted by the Tri-Met Board of Directors on December 18, 1991, outlined the requirements of the Act as applied to Tri-Met's service area, the deficiencies of the existing service when compared to the requirements of the new Act, and the remedial measures necessary to bring Tri-Met and the region into compliance with the Act.

The final rule also requires that Metro, as the Metropolitan Planning Organization, review Tri-Met's Paratransit Plan annually and certify that the plan conforms to the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). This certification is one of the required components of Tri-Met's submittal to the Federal Transit Administration and, without the certification, Tri-Met cannot be found to be in compliance with the ADA.

Annual Plan Update Requirements

It is required under 49 CFR part 37.139(h) that the Paratransit Plan be updated and certified each year. The annual plan update must include all significant changes and revisions to the established timetable for implementation and address how and when key milestones within the plan are being met (49 CFR part 37.139(j). It is also required that milestone slippage greater than one year be addressed.

The 1995 Paratransit Plan Update, previously submitted by Tri-Met and certified by Metro in Resolution No. 95-1995, included several milestones that were to be achieved by January 1996. The status of these milestones are addressed in Tri-Met's 1996 Annual Paratransit Plan Update.

Tri-Met's 1996 Annual Plan Update

Tri-Met's 1996 Annual Paratransit Plan Update identifies current activities and planned strategies for complying with the milestones previously committed to in their 1995 Plan update (see item B below). It is required that the 1996 Paratransit Plan Update be approved and submitted to FTA by January 26, 1996. A schedule of review and approval dates is included as Attachment A.

A. Progress On Milestones To Be Achieved Prior to 1/25/96

Tri-Met achieved full compliance with ADA for the following milestones identified in the 1995 Plan Update (Table 1 in the 1996 Paratransit Plan Update which is included as Exhibit A to the resolution). This Complementary Paratransit Plan update (January 1996) complies with the requirements of 49 CFR Section 37.139.

- 1. Trips scheduled within one hour of requested pickup time.
- 2. No substantial numbers of significantly untimely pickups for initial or return trips.
- 3. No substantial number of trip denials or missed trips.
- 4. No substantial number of trips with excessive trip lengths.

B. Revised ADA Paratransit Plan Timetable for 1996

Tri-Met had indicated in their 1995 plan update that requests for rides on a "next day basis" would be achieved by April 1995. This date has been revised to August 1996. The slippage occurred as a result of delays in procurement and installation of a new bus dispatch system for both fixed-route and paratransit service, installation of new automated paratransit scheduling software and a new menu-driven phone system. The bus dispatch system is scheduled for installation in June 1996. While adjusting to the new system, Tri-Met will begin moving to next-day ride reservations by August 1996 with full compliance expected by January 1997.

C. Plan Review by Citizens for Accessible Transportation (CAT) Committee

A public hearing and comment period was held by the Citizens for Accessible Transportation on October 18, 1995 on the

proposed 1996 update. A summary of the testimony and comments will be provided at the October 27 TPAC meeting.

D. Plan Review by Tri-Met Board of Directors

The Tri-Met Board will be reviewing the plan for approval at their normal meeting on November 22, or November 29, 1995.

With the implementation of next-day service, Tri-Met's proposed Paratransit Plan Update will conform to the Interim Federal RTP. Chapter 1 of the RTP specifies that Tri-Met will offer services which address ADA by continuing to:

- develop complementary paratransit services which comply with the ADA;
- specify lifts on all new high-floor transit vehicles or ramps on low-floor transit vehicles;
- work with local juridictions to make transit stops accessible; and
- develop other facilities and services which are accessible to customers with disabilities as required by the ADA.

The Phase II updated RTP (May 1996) will also be consistent with the ADA service requirements.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

The Executive Officer recommends approval of Resolution No. 95-2231.