## BEFORE THE METRO COUNCIL

FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING	)	RESOLUTION NO. 95-2244
URBAN RESERVE STUDY AREAS	)	
	)	Introduced by Councilor McLain
•	)	

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 94-2040C established a 2040 Growth Concept proposal that included initial urban reserve study areas for further analysis; and

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 94-2040C anticipated that adoption of an amended Regional Urban Growth Goals and Objectives (RUGGO) ordinance including the 2040 Growth Concept text and map would be completed at the same time in 1995 that final urban reserves would be designated; and

WHEREAS, Analysis to date indicates a need to revise urban reserve <u>study</u> areas for continued study prior to designation of final urban reserves; and

WHEREAS, Maintaining these study areas on 2040 Growth Concept maps is helpful for illustrative purposes prior to designation of final urban reserves; now, therefore,

## BE IT RESOLVED,

1. That the urban reserve study areas indicated in Exhibit "A" attached shall be the subject of Metro's continued study for possible designation as urban reserve areas consistent with the Land Conservation and Development Commission's Urban Reserve Rule.

2. That Metro's continued study of these areas does not preclude presentation of any better case or better data relating to designation of certain of these <u>study</u> areas or other areas as urban reserve areas prior to Metro's designation decision.

ADOPTED by the Metro Council this day of Jehrnan 1996.

Jon Kvistad, Presiding Officer

Approved as to Form:

Daniel B. Cooper, General Counsel

kaj 1250

## Urban Reserve Study Area Criteria

The Growth Management Committee, a subcommittee of the full Metro Council agreed at their November 2, 1995 meeting with the staff recommendation for urban reserve study area criteria (which primarily follows the State Urban Reserve Rule which in turn cites factors 3 through 7 of State Goal 14, Urbanization) as follows:

- a) Factor 3 "Orderly and economic provision of public facilities and services".. (Proximity to the UGB and Access to Arterials were used to quantify this factor);
- b) Factor 4 "Maximum efficiency of land uses within and on the fringe of the existing urban area" (Proximity to Urban Centers was used to quantify this factor);
- c) Factor 5 "Environmental, energy, economic and social consequences" (Terrain, floodplains, wetlands and riparian areas were mapped to quantify this factor);
- d) Factor 6 "Retention of agricultural land as defined, with Class I beign the highest priority for retention and Class IV the lowest priority; " (Soil classification and exception lands were used for this factor);
- e) Factor 7 "Compatibility of the proposed urban uses with nearby agricultural activities" (existence of a natural barrier watercourse, change in terrain, etc. was used to quantify this factor);
- f) from the Metro Regional Urban Growth Goals and Objectives (RUGGO), we included a consideration of separation of community;
- g) from the RUGGO we included a consideration of a balance of jobs and housing.
- h) a policy of no net gain in Urban Reserve Study Areas (if new areas are added, an equal amount is deleted) is recommended. In addition, a no net gain policy in EFU lands is recommended.

